Flygtningenævnets baggrundsmateriale

Bilagsnr.:	362
Land:	Uganda
Kilde:	UNICEF
Titel:	Statistical profile on female genital mutilation
Udgivet:	januar 2020
Optaget på baggrundsmaterialet:	19. maj 2020



STATISTICAL PROFILE ON FEMALE GENITAL MUTILATION

Female genital mutilation (FGM) refers to "all procedures involving partial or total removal of the female external genitalia or other injury to the female genital organs for non-medical reasons."1 While the exact number of girls and women worldwide who have undergone FGM remains unknown, at least 200 million girls and women have been cut in 31 countries with representative data on prevalence. FGM is a violation of girls' and women's human rights and is condemned by many international treaties and conventions, as well as by national legislation in many countries. Yet, where it is practised FGM is performed in line with tradition and social norms to ensure that girls are socially accepted and marriageable, and to uphold their status and honour and that of the entire family. UNICEF works with government and civil society partners towards the elimination of FGM in countries where it is still practised.

1. World Health Organization, Eliminating Female Genital Mutilation: An interagency statement, WHO, UNFPA, UNICEF, UNIFEM, OHCHR, UNHCR, UNECA, UNESCO, UNDP, UNAIDS. WHO. Geneva. 2008. p. 4.

2010

National decree/legislation banning FGM passed

SELECTED STATISTICS ON WOMEN'S STATUS

7 %	of women 20-24 years were married or in union before age 15
34 %	of women 20-24 years were married or in union before age 18
28%	of women 20-24 years old have given birth by age 18
49%	of women 15-49 years old think that a husband/partner is justified in hitting/beating his wife under certain circumstances
65 %	of women 15-49 years old make use of at least one type of information media at least once a week (newspaper, magazine, television, radio)


Source: DHS 2016








HOW WIDESPREAD IS THE PRACTICE?

The prevalence of FGM in Uganda is very low across all regions and population groups











What are the prevailing attitudes towards FGM?


Most girls and women in Uganda think FGM should stop



Is the practice of FGM changing?


The practice of FGM has been systematically very low throughout the years







Notes: The boundaries and the names shown and the designations used on the map do not imply official endorsement or acceptance by the United Nations. Only categories with 25 or more unweighted cases are presented. Due to rounding, some of the data presented may not add up to 100 per cent. Prevalence data for girls aged 0 to 14 reflect their current, but not final, FGM status since some girls who have not been cut may still be at risk of experiencing the practice once they reach the customary age for cutting. Therefore, the data on prevalence for girls under age 15 is actually an underestimation of the true extent of the practice. Since age at cutting varies among settings, the amount of underestimation also varies and this should be kept in mind when interpreting all FGM prevalence data for this age group. Source for all chattrs on this page: DHS 2016, unless otherwise noted.

INTER-COUNTRY STATISTICAL OVERVIEW







Notes: In Liberia, girls and women who have heard of the Sande society were asked whether they were members; this provides indirect information on FGM since it is performed during initiation into the society. Data on girls' prevalence in Egypt refer to girls aged 6 months to 14 years and in Indonesia refer to girls aged 0 to 11 years. An older source is used to report on the prevalence of FGM among girls and on the percentage of support for FGM in Uganda (DHS 2011) since the latest source did not collect these data. Data on the prevalence among girls refer to an older source in Nigeria (MICS 2016-17) due to an anomaly in the results in the most recent source. MICS data for Ghana (2011) could not be used to report on attitudes towards FGM due to the fact that information is missing for girls and women with no living daughters; data from MICS 2006 are used instead. EDSF/PAPFAM data for Djibouti (2012) could not be used for attitudes towards FGM since the question is different from the standard; data from MICS 2006 are used instead. In Liberia, only cut girls and women were asked about their attitudes towards FGM; since girls and women from practicing communities are more likely to support the practice, the level of support in this country as captured by DHS 2013 is higher than would be expected had all girls and women been asked their opinion. In Egypt and Somalia, the support for FGM was calculated among all girls and women, since respondents were not first asked whether they had heard of the practice. Prevalence data for girls and women aged 15 to 49 years and data on attitudes towards FGM are not available for Indonesia.