Genève DANMARKS FASTE MISSION

SLAVICA TELEFON:

(+41 22) 918 00 40 (+41 22) 918 00 66 414166 DAN CH

TELEFAX TIL

UDENRIGSMINISTERIET

ORIGINAL

ANTAL	AFD.:	UDFYL
EX.	a	DES AF U
	W	×

37

46. FRY. 5.6.

55-11514/25 C.X. 2 9 SEP 1998

Prioritet

L.FORDELT:

Fra

Karen Skipper 17.C.1/14

Repr. j.nr.

Antal sider

20 inkl. denne side

Antal bilag

Aktor. 4

1 OKT. 1998

m-Finadin-10

757

MISEAX R 8951

Dato 28. 15:57 september

1998

Odlændingestyrelsen (som bedes fordele til Juridisk kontor og meteret Dokumentati Komcenter bedes Kopi til StF.4 og N.6 refaxe :117

UNHCRs manedlige rapport om situationen i ex-Jugoslavien

Vedlagt indsendes ovenstående, som vedrører juli og august 1998, og som er dateret 23. september 1998.

P.M.V./Karen Skipper 1568



# JUBOSLAVIEW

200

## MONTHLY REPORT ON THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF YUGOSLAVIA, BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA AND FORMER YUGOSLAVIA LIAISON UNIT (FYLU)

JULY/AUGUST 1988

CROATIA

17	N	1
C 1/1	8 SEP, 1998	AISSIONEN GENEVE

# FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF YUGOSLAVIA

## KOSOVO STUATION

on a number of fronts in Malisavo, Sava Reka and Stimije areas, the Albanian border five-day battle, the Government offensive intensified; massive attacks were launched KLA, Serbian security forces launched a major offensive at the end of July to retake KLA-controlled areas and regain control of the main roads, most importantly the Printins-Pec and Printins-Prizzen roads. Following the retaking of Orahovac after a declaring a number of rural areas in the western and central Kosovo under their control. In mid-July the KLA lampehed a major offensive to take the town of Orahovac, while fighting also apread to the Pec area. The Orahovac offensive by the The conflict in Kosovo sharply escalated during July and August 1998. July saw the KLA marked a change in the nature of the conflict. In response to the activities of the Konovo Liberation Army (KLA) attempt to expand its control to urban centres, while and in Drenics itself. On 29 July the KLA stronghold of Malinevo fell to the

Prizen was affected by intense military activity. and Suva-Roka, south-west of Pristins. At the very end of August the area south of western part of the province seemingly extended to central Kosovo around Malisovo population which had characterised the operation by Serbian security forces in the Kijevo stretch of the Printing-Pec road. The pattern of heavy destruction and dethe Rugovska Klizura area, along the Stimlje-Suva Reka road and in the Komorane-August while the town of Junik, a reported KLA stronghold, was retaken on 15 August. By mid-August fierce fighting was raging in the Western parts of Djakovica, the area's civilian population. Government troops regained control of Likovac on 6 security zone in the West along the border with Albania to five fillometres, displacing Decani and Pec. At the end of August fighting continued in several areas west of Pec. The month of August saw substantial Scrbian advances. Security forces enlarged the

security forces, which has particularly targeted the civilian population. The Socretary-All observers have criticised the clearly disproportionate use of force by Serbian

General denounced the disproportionate use of force against the civilian population by Government troops in his report to the Security Council of 6 August. Retaliatory actions against ethnic Albanian civilians, regarded by the Government as actual or potential KLA supporters, were described by some as "scorched earth" tactics. The deliberate shelling of villages caused loss of lives and massive destruction of personal and real property. The military defeats in the course of more conventional clathes and the loss of control over sizeable portions of the province by KLA prompted it to adopt guerrilla tactica. This has led to a cycle of continued KLA strikes against the police under cover of civilians and prompt retalistion by security forces against the ethnic Albanise population. At the same time there are reports of persons being arbitrarily arrested by Serbian Forces for questioning and kept in pre-trial detention for periods well beyond the legal time limit. Torture and ill-treatment during pre-trial detention was reported, including at least four cases of death in custody. For its part, the KLA slso committed abuses such as the kidnapping and detention of Serb "sympathisers" as well as summary executions of Serbs, Kosovo Albanians and Roma civilians.

This figure was a tenfold increase compared to the 25,000 persons estimated by UNHCR to have been displaced during the first four months of 1998. The vast majority, 170,000, were displaced within Kosovo proper, as internally displaced persons (IDPs). Others inchaded 34,000 IDPs in Montenegro, 20,000 IDPs in other parts of Serbia, 14,000 refugees in Albania, approximately 1,000 refugees in Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, over 5,000 in Bosnia and Herzegovina, 2,000 in By the end of August, open fighting and retaliatory actions by Serbian security forces against civilians led to the death of some 700 civilians, the destruction of entire villages, the burning of fields and crops, and the displacement of over 240,000 persons Turkey and growing numbers of refugees in Western European countries.

10 mm 10 mg

- +-

danger. From October onward temperatures in Kosovo will drop sharply. Without adequate housing, clothing and food, people will be unable to survive hiding in forests or in rained houses. The only alternative is for people to be provided with adequate shelter or return home, while at the same time having access to food, water, medical care and other life-sustaining necessities prior to the onset of winter. Humanitarian Government forces or to seak relative safety in remote locations. UNHCR estimated that 50,000 individuals were forced from their homes into the woods and mountains It was not always possible to assess fully the level of population displacement inside Kosovo, owing to the insecurity, impediments to access and the fluidity of the movements. Thousands of civilians were on the move in an effort to escape attacks by to the displaced persons and the security of both their personnel and the assisted population is fully respected by the parties to the conflict. While humanitarian access improved following the 16 June Joint Statement by Presidents Milosevic and Veltzin, organisations could play a crucial role in saving lives only if they are given full access that 50,000 individuals were noteen aroun were will place the life of these people in and live out in the open. The forthcoming winter will place the life of these people in full and unfectered access has been hampered by accurity concerns.

their relief convoy on 24 August 1998, is but one example of the risks routinely incurred by humanitarian aid workers in Kosovo. The killing was witnessed by another organisation Mother Theresa Society (MTS), as the a result of a deliberate attack on The killing by Serbian security forces of three staff members of the charitable MTS worker who was about 500 meters away from the scene. The victims were on a

led convoy two days earlier. convoy of seven tractors delivering supplies which had been provided by a UNHCR-

provided fell short of actual needs. of the strenuous efforts made by all aid workers to locate those in need, the assistance convoys intensified in the last two weeks of August. During the month UNHCR/WFP-led convoys delivered anistance to some 250,000 IDPs in Kosove. However, in spite agencies strove to gain access to displaced persons and deliver essential food and non-food relief items. UNECR and WPF-led multi-agency convoys delivered assistance to thousands of IDPs in an average of three/four convoys per week. The frequency of Throughout the reporting period a number of governmental and non-governmental

need for decisive political response to the crisis. If violence continues displacement and human suffering will affect higher and higher numbers of innocest civilians. and the Special Envoy dearly emphasised that humanitarian action can not replace the displacement and avoid a humanisarian catastrophe. The Assistant High Commissioner situation. His trip to the region was immediately followed by a mission of the High In late August, the Assistant High Commissioner visited Kosovo in order to assess the immediate case-fire and political settlement are indispensable to prevent further Commissioner's Special Envoy for Former Yugoslavia. Both concluded that an

Government to mobilise resources for this purpose. State Julia Taft. Ms. Taft concurred with UNHCR's view that urgent assistance was The visits by the Assistant High Commissioner and the Special Envoy for Former Yugorlavia was immediately followed by a mission of the US Assistant Secretary of needed, especially for the internally displaced in Kosovo, and committed the US

within Kosovo. UNHCR believes that, short of an invnectiate negotiated settlement, the following elements should form part of a strategy to ease the suffering in Kosovo. to be out in the open in Kosovo proper priority to those most at risk, particularly the some 50,000 displaced who are estimated Second, immediate assistance and shelter to those who have been displaced, giving enforced by the international community, particularly by its political components. First, a concerted and energetic political effort to secure a cease-fire which is credibly This initiative evolved from a Pranco-German proposal to identify return priority areas create a framework for co-ordinating a comprehensive response to the Kosovo crisis in late August, UNISCR welcomed an EU initiative under the Austrian Presidency to

the initiation of an urgest winterization programme. Third, increased preparedness in amicipation of further population displacement and

with the support of the relevant political actors, to improve conditions for and facilitate the return of the displaced to their homes. Fourth, further strengthened co-ordination amongst international actors on the ground,

not the international community, are in the position, and bear the principal responsibility, to provide their own citizens with security and restore their confidence of houses and infrastructure, represents a second enjoy obstacle to return. Efforts to took place in the months of July and August, and the ensuing need for reconstruction as a prerequisite for large-scale return movements. The destruction of houses which All observers recognise the return home is a priority. Only the Serbian authorities, and

to return. Timely international assistance to make return austainable will have to be international monitoring and assistance. Such measures have been directed, and will continue to be directed, at consolidating return movements where they are already occurring or at initiating them where the displaced persons have expressed an intention encourage return home must involve a combination of the two following essential measures: guarantees of security by the Serbian authorities as well as the KLA and continued and will depend on contributions by the international community.

Sediare, Srbica and Giogovac. Unfortunately, these return movements were offset by further displacement in other areas of central Kosovo, south-west of Printing. In the second half of August some return movements occurred within Kosovo. An estimated 80 per cent of the original population returned to Orahovac, Ade and Oblific new also sizeable return movements, while initial returns took place to Magura and

later added to the list, bringing the total to 12: Ornhovac, Djakovica, I Osojan, Kijevo, Srbica, Glogovac, Malizevo, Suva Reka, Junik and Intinic. On 27 August 1998 the Serbian authorities announced the creation of 11 Assistance the situation in the region and facilitate the return of DPs to their homes. Istinic was Centres in Kosovo and requested the support of humanitarian agencies in normalising 12: Orahovac, Djakovica, Decan, Klina

electricity in return areas, an urgent action to save harvests, a fundamental change of attitude by state-controlled media towards the Kosovo Albanian community and the the interrogation of male returnees; an end to the de facto commercial blockade imposed on the province; the immediate restoration of basic services such as water and international organisations on the ground that concrete steps can be taken by the authorities to fully restore confidence and promote return: an end to attacks to civilians, destruction of property, crops and livestock; ending separation of makes from their families and their detention as well as displaced. But it is difficult to encourage return, while police action continues to drive people from their homes. Building confidence in return is essential. UNHCR believes UNHICR is working closely with the authorities on the initiative, since it may contribute to consolidating return movements whilst reaching out to those still humanitarian agencies, and enhanced co-ordination and consultation with the

-

UNHCR consequently highlighted that programmes should be enhanced in order to support the host family system, now stretched to the limit, and lessen the social impact on the internal stability of the republic vulnerable to negative external interference of the influx. Steps were initiated to identify additional public and communal buildings since the absorption capacity of the present ones has been already saturated. All in all sensitive moment of the political life of the Republic. President Djukanovic expressed his concern to high-ranking UNFICR officials that the influx might have a deep impact Croatia who continue to be hosted by Montenegro. The influx arrived in a particularly IDPs quickly outnumbered the 26,000 refugees from Bosnia and Kerzegovina and whom were ethnic Albanian and Slav Muslims. The great majority of IDPs were accommodated with relatives and friends. The Montenegrin ethnic Albanian and Muslim communities are so far bearing most of the social burden of such an influx. Cross reported that 36,000 IDPs had entered the country from Kosovo, the majority of As of 31 August 1998 the Montenegrin Ministry of Interior and the Montenegrin Red

8 86/68/89 86/68/82

social costs of the influx and respond positively to the authorities' open-door policy. an increase in international assistance to Montenegro is needed in order to reduce the

July and August saw a steady deterioration of bilateral relations between FRY and against Yugoslav shelling of its territory. XLA fighters using northern Albania as a base. Albania protested on several occasions Albania. Albania was accussed by Beigrade of offering sanctuary and active support to

country. The area became increasingly militarised due to the open presence of KLA. This militarization was compounded by the presence of heavily armed local gangs, which virtually controlled that portion of the Albanian territory. This situation affected the security of the refugoe population as well as of aid workers. Refugoes who entered out of which 7,000 remained in the northern part of the country, mainly concentrated in the Tropoje district, and 7,000 moved to urban areas in the south and other maintaining an antenna office in Bujram Curri and elsewhere in the north. While work closely the situation at the border and the entry and transit of refugees by inter alia central and southern provinces of the country. UNHCR will continue to monitor the country from Kosovo endured dangerous and arthous flight across the mountains of August the security situation steadily deteriorated while a few collective centres were recently established in Tropoje. During the month locations. The great majority of refugees are accommodated with relatives and friends By end August 1998, UNHCR estimated that 14,000 refugees had entered Albania in order to reduce the impact of the influx on Albanian society. international community continues to assist the local authorities to cope with the crisis, will work on the establishment of collective accommodation in other sites in the south will continue in the north to upgrade the reception capacity of transit centres, UNHCR and appeared in need of interediate material assistance, medical treatment and physical protection. As a result of security and other concerns, starting from mid-August situation and the volatile political environment in Albania, it is easertial that the with new population displacement. Bearing in mind the extremely difficult economic focus on shelter winterisation projects and further enhancing its preparedness to cope In view of the upcoming winter and a likely continuation of the conflict, UNHCR wil UNHCR began to encourage refugees who had entered Albania to move to the safet in the northern part of the

On 25 August 1998, UNHCR issued a position paper containing a number of consideration relevant to the treatment of asylum-seekers in Kosovo. The note assesses the situation throughout Kosovo as unsafe and unstable and featured by ethnically States to halt the deportation of rejected asylum-seekers on humanitarian ground and detention, deprivation of life and torture carried out by State agents and non-state individualised persecution on political grounds is occurring by means of arbitrary arrest asylum-neckers and to allow them to lodge asylum applications. It also encouraged based persecution. In addition to conflict and communal/ethnically motivated violence UNHCR consequently encouraged asylum countries to receive Kosovo

# AND CROATIA PROGRAMME FOR REFUGEES FROM BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA

FRY remained as high as 550,000. The estimated number of refugees from Bosnia and Herzegovina and Crostia hosted in

rafugees in Vojvodina only. Croatian Danube Region intensified in July coinciding with the end of the school year. UNHCR estimated that, as of end August, 50,000 Croatian citizens of Serb origin entered FRY from the Croatian Danube Region. Some 36,500 were registered as According to several municipalities at the border with Croatia, the influx from the

number of UNHCR-assisted repatriation movements to Bosnia and Herzegovina stood and Herzegovinu to address the issue of 5,500 pending cases who registered for repatriation from FRY and further assess return possibilities. As of 28 August, the and Herzegovina spontaneously. In the second week of August the Reputriation Officer undertook a mission to Bosnis 1,032 individuals UNHCR estimated that 15,000 to 20,000 repatriated to Bossia

The Commission for Real Property Claims, an operational partners of UNHCR, has began hiring staff for their offices in Belgrade, Vojvodins and Southern Serbla. Their Belgrade office is expected to be fully operational to receive claims from Bosman refugees by mid-September.

-

UNHER. However, a number of omissions and inconsistencies in the data provided by ODPR to UNHER Belgrade in August, concerning the cases which had been approved for return, prompted UNHER to raise the issue with ODPR central office in Zagreb. began to flow among the three operational partners, the Croatian Office for Displaced Persons and Refugees (ODPR), the Serbian Commissioner for Refugees (SCR) and Since the tripartite mosting between the Government of Croatia, the Government of FRY and UNHCR to simplify procedures for repatriation to Croatia, information has

76,000 citizenship applications from refugees since I January 1997. A total of 17,000 cases have been accepted, representing 27,500 refugees who have been granted FRY citizenship. The balance remained pending, while MOI claimed that no negative decisions were taken concerning applications from refugees. After many months of repeated enquiries, UNHICR finally received information on citizenship applications from the Federal Ministry of Interior (MOI). MOI received

(SDR) and 16 by the Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC). Out of the total of 394 housing units, 158 are in Montenegro and 236 in Serbia. The projects enable refugees who have expressed a wish to integrate in FRY to become self-reliant. The participating municipalities in Serbia provide 3 hectares of agricultural land or other employment to one member of the beneficiary family. niccessful in Vojvodina housing units have been completed, of which 48 were funded by Swiss Disaster Relief Since the launch of the programme for durable accommodation for refugees, 238 The programme appears most

UNHCR seeking assistance in tracing his daughter, who had been allegedly kidnapped in the vicinity of the town. Another 50 civilians were reportedly kidnapped at the same On 22 July, a refugee from Crostia who had left Orahovac in Kosovo approached in the number of refugees there. time. Field visits to collective centres in Kosovo have confirmed a dramatic decrease

applications fell off by the end of August accepted, 38 rejected, 23 departed FRY and 808 are pending. in Belgrade submitted a total of 1,881 individuals, out of which 1,012 have been Herzegovina in Kosovo have continued. As of 31 August 1998, the resettlement Unit UNHCR activities for the resettlement of refugees from Croatia and Bostus and The number of

# BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA

#### CENERAL

### ELECTIONS

Central Bosma Cantons, Bosmak DPs and refugees have begun bringing the issue of return to RS to the forefront by taking direct sections, as seen in Kotor Varos and plight of those who wish to remein in RS. A similar trend was noticeable in Canton 10, where the effect of Zubak's New Croatian Initiative (NHI) party in favour of return of associations as an opportunity to slow down the pace of minority returns in Bosnia and Herzegovina, as these returns were turned into one of the "hottest" political issues. "Ostanak" ("Remain") for their movement, took advantage of the susceptibility of politicisms in the pre-election period to lobby for relocation on municipal land with population groups, sought to make political capital out of the subject of return. Hard-line Bosnian Serb DP Associations in RS, some of whom have adopted the name politicisms, playing on the fears and frustrations of both domestic and displaced Bosanska Gradiska. An increased level of tension was noticed, as nationalist Bosnian Croata to their homes of origin were not very tengole. In Una-Sana and Refugees, became more reserved on minority returns, while vocally pointing out the Most notably, in Republika Srpaka (RS), the authorities, including the Ministry of The preparations to the September elections were regarded by nationalist parties and financial assistance from the international community.

# REFATRIATION FROM GERMANY

41,000 refugees repatriated from Germany. The International Organisation for Migration (IOM) estimated that 24,500 individuals returned from 3 to 21 August numbers of refugees to Bossis and Herzegovina. In the months of July and August, Germany has continued to pursue its policy of encouraging the repatriation of large Curson in northern Bosnia. IOM estimated the same figure for the month of alone. More than a third of this total settled in the already overcrowded Tuzla-Podrinje September

Being unable to return to their homes in RS, they ended up relocating with their own ethnic group in the Federation. The additional social burden represented by this their actual places of origin. sent back on a voluntary basis and when the right conditions exist for them to return to its serious concerns regarding this relocation, reiterating that refugees should only be threatening the current stability of the Federation. UNHCR has repeatedly expressed of internally displaced persons and the shortage of available accommodation, are increasing number of relocation movements, in combination with the still high numbers The overwhelming majority of these returnees are Bosniaka, originally from RS

Governments, whereby Serb-owned houses are to be repaired to shelter Bosnial Krupa has accepted so far three relocation The situation has been characterized as "on the verge of social misery". Bosanska towards minority return, these towns have been receiving large numbers of relocatees situation in Saraki Most and Bosanska Krupa. Despite the relatively open attitudes A clear example of problems arising from these relocation movements is given by the projects from German Laneder

# PROPERTY LEGISLATION UPDATE

making process have contributed to the poor or non-simplementation of the Law. However, efforts continued by all agencies to inform holders of tenancy rights who wish to re-claim these flats to file their applications before the deadline of 4 October. On the basis of these observations, the North-West Reconstruction Return Task Force (NW - RRTF) has recommended that the desdiine be extended to a date as close as possible to the corresponding deadline under the new RS law. uneven and incomi Throughout the country, the implementation of the Federation's legislation on socially owned apartments (Law on Cossation of the Validity of the Law on Apartments) was ives of municipal housing officials and blatant political interference in the decision stent. Refusal to order evictions of current occupants, threats to the

certainly raise tensions; RS politicians, including the moderates, have been sending messages during the electoral period that Serb DPs and refugees would not be evicted. This will certainly provide much wanted impetus for return of Bouriaks and Bourian Croats from the Federation and refugees abroad to RS. The movements to RS would The RS is expected to adopt a set of new property laws similar to those in Federation

## KOSOVO ASYLUM-SEEKERS

concern. The authorities relactantly agreed that the current 262 persons could stay in two unused transit centres (176 in Bosanski Petrovac, and 86 in Srednje, some 25 kms north of Sarajevo) and requested the Cancon to look for potential accommodations. that reception and care of the ratigoes are a state - and not exclusively a UNHER individuals. The State and Federation Ministries were at first reluctant to recognize to live with friends and relatives could have easily brought the total to 5,000 Bihac, Moster, Tuzle and Zenica. UNHCR believes, however, that others who came the reporting period was 2,172, this number includes of registrations carried out in Sarajevo. The total registered by UNHCR in Bossus and Herzegovina at the end of As of 29 August, 1,553 Kosovar Albanian refugees had registered with UNHCR

Surajevo to consider identifying sites for texted camps. arrangements, and the continued influx of 50 to 100 a day, prompted the office in experienced to far in finding alternatives to the current, expensive commercial housing prepared or rehabilitated to accommodate over 760 refugees who are already in hotals the Red Cross (IFRC), and other UN agencies to identify suitable sites which can be was submitted to the Council of Ministers, but was later taken off the agenda. UNHCR Samjevo has sought the assistance of SFOR, the International Federation of and guest houses in Surajevo and others who continue to arrive. The difficulty An interim Instruction to regulate the temporary admission of refugees from Kosovo

Bosnia and Herzegovina, siesce this could raise expectations and create a pull factor. extremely vulnerable individuals, UNRCR has recommended that embassies exert caution in providing resettlement opportunities to refugees from Kosovo presently in resettlement on their behalf. With the exception of cases of family reunification and transit country and are, in fact, interested in resettling to third asylum countries. UNHCR has made clear that there are no grounds at this time for UNHCR to seek It is apparent that many refugees from Kosovo regard Bosnia and Herzegovina as a

on the resettlement of this group from Bosnia and Herzegovina. In a meeting with a group of Embassics (French, German, US, Italian, British, Danish, Canadian, Swedish, Swiss, and Spanish) on 31 August, UNHCR reiterated its position

## REPATRIATION TO CROATIA

for forwarding to ODPR. No clearance has been received by the end of August applications for return, with the participation of ODPR, the RS Ministry of Refugree Following the establishment of a tripartite mechanism for the processing of and UNHCR, a total of 208 cases were received by UNHCR Sub-Office Banja Luka

up the opportunity and desire for return. return option open. Access to documentation, including passports, may further open to make up their minds but wish to obtain documents (the most numerous) to keep the who have found durable solutions already in RS and (3) those who have not been able UNHCR Barija Luka has identified that there are three distinct categories of people among the Croatian Serb refugees: (1) those who wish to return immediately, (2) those

## PROTECTION

Law on the Return of Bosnia and Herzegovina Refugees and Displaced Persons in Bosnia and Herzegovina, which provides a legal framework for the return of Bosnian citizens to and within Bosnia and Herzegovina. Disagreement between the Serb and Representative (OHR) for an expert opinion. the Bosniak versions of the document were referred to the Office of the High The Council of Ministers included on their 31 August agenda the adoption of the Draft

## SECURITY INCIDENTS

The majority of security incidents which occurred during the reporting period aimed at discouraging or preventing minority returns On 31 July in Travnik a Bosnian Cross

municipality. The next day, a Croat roadblock effectively blocked the access to the village. SFOR IPTF, OHR, ECMM and UNHCR, together with the Mayor of Vitez and other municipal authorities, went to the scene. A group of 50 Bornian Croats, apparently organised by accompanying members of the Croat War Veterans Association (HVIDR), aggressively demanded the immediate departure of the DPs and stated that previous agreements were no longer valid. As the opposing crowd grew and the situation deteriorated, the DPs decided to leave town under encort. However, they and representatives of the international community had to be shielded by SFOR. police officer was killed by an explosive device planted about 50 meters from the Travnik police station. On 6 August, 45 Bosniak DPs returned to Gacice in Vitez from a very hostile crowd of 150.

and Sovici villages. Prior to their return, the HVIDR warned that the return provocative. Earlier, two Bostian Crosts were assaulted by Bostiaks in Jablanica. Municipality), shots were fired on two occasions by unknown person(s) at German, French, and Ukrainian SFOR personnel. On that day, 70 Bosniaks returned to Doljani On 8 August, issued a press statement denouncing the action of the arrested persons assailants were consequently arrested and charged in court. The Mayor of Jahlanica in Doljani village (a Bosnian Croat populated area of Jablanica

During an assessment visit by Bosniak DPs to Bileca Municipality (RS) on 25 August, the local police informed the visitors that they could not guarantee their security and were practically prevented for visiting their homes.

bomb was found outside Mr. Mrso's house. IPTF has also reported that there were four aroon incidents in Bugojno Municipality within the reporting period. After discussions with OSCE, IPTF/UNCA, and SFOR, the following municipalities were identified as potential "hot spots" where tensions may arise during the elections: Zepoc, Novi Seher, Kakanj, Jajoc, Vitez, Kresevo and Travnik. On 27 August, an assessment visit to Bugojno Municipality by Bosnian Croat refugees was cancelled because of a bomb threat made against the President of the Bugojno Municipal Council, Ivo Mrso (HDZ). IPTF later confirmed that a home-made cluster

# ASSESSMENT VISITS AND MINORITY RETURNS

### GENERAL

inter-ethnic violence), as well as in Western Herzegovina (Canton 7, Prozor and Moster). More moderate attitudes regarding minority returns have also been noticed among some officials in eastern RS (e.g. Pale, Trnovo, Han Pijesak and Sokolac.) negotiating return movements on a number of axes, especially in northern Republika Srpaka (RS) (Kotor Varos, Bosanska Gradiska), in Canton 10 (Bosansko Grahovo, The months of July and August new progress in minority returns, with UNHCR Herzegovins, a leading Bossian Serb representative informed UNHCR that up to 5,000 Bossian Serbs might return to the region before December 1998. Glamoc and Drvar -- where the return rate is back to the level preceding the June Following the successful return of some 250 othnic Sorbs to areas around Montar

returnees may have to go back to their place of displacement, thus reducing confidence in the return process and in the international community. The present funding shortfall are not sufficient funds to meet shelter demands arising from increased returns, some receiving both minority returns and relocation movements related projects, with a likely negative impact on the absorption capacity of the areas affecting UNHCR's former Yugoslavia Operation, and the Bosnia and Herzegovina programme in particular, is now resulting in the reduction or suspension of shelter-The UNHCR Sub-Office in Mostar, however, expressed its deep concern that, if there

## SPECIFIC EVENTS

From March to 28 August 1998, over 13,000 families (42,178 persons) applied to return to the Sarajevo Canton. For the first time, the number of Bossian Serb families having applied (5,175) exceeded the number of Bosniak families (5,152). A total of 2,174 Bosnian Croat families, representing 6,788 persons, registered. Applications from other ethnic groups totalled 552 families (Source: Cantonal Minister for DP's, weekly report of 31 August).

Kotor Varos. Reconstruction support is needed to make their return austainable Some 200-300 family heads began to establish a half-way presence in Siprage and August saw the first substantial movement of Bosniaks from Travsik to Kotor Varos.

relatives continued relatively unimpeded, save minor security incidents. Over 350 minorities, mainly Bosniaks, returned to Bosansksa Gradiska by end August. initially planted. Spontaneous returns of Bosniaks to empty damaged houses or "return movements" did not take place to the extent that the Bosniak organisers had houses. With intervention and counselling by UNHCR, SFOR and IPTF, the scheduled Bosnisk DPs and refugees originally from Bossniska Gradiska also started to press the aspe of return to RS by organizing highly visible "convoy returns" to mostly occupied

In Sarajevo Cardon, during the last week of August, 38 Serb families reoccupied their homes in six Municipalities of Sarajevo Canton. For the Bosnian Croats, 39 families returned to five Municipalities. For the "others", 14 families returned to three Municipalities. Most returns took place to the Novo Sarajevo Municipality.

WFP and other agencies in the RRTF will try to provide adequate material goods and have returned to Kotor Varos Municipality in Republika Stpska UNHCR, SFOR In the largest return movement to Canton 7, some 200 Bosniaks returned on 21 food to sustain the return until homes can be reduilt. August to Oplacici village in the Croat-controlled Municipality of Capijina. Additionally, in the last two weeks of August, at least 170 heads of Borniak families

routed to make regular stops in Bacevi in view of the lack of public transport in the retrunees spent the night in two houses in Bacevi. No accurity incidents were reported and the returnees were very happy. The Inter-Entity Bus Line in the area will be re-Bucevi, Mostar Municipality South (Bosnian Cross-controlled). A total of 65 of these As a major breakthrough, on 15 August 80 Scrbs returned from Nevisinje (RS) to

(159 Serb returnees). With exhibited will to receive minority returnees by the Una-Sana authorities, lack of reconstruction funding continues to be one of the most In Una Sana, minority return continued at a sustained pace with a reasonable level of political willingness and co-operation from the authorities. Especially notable are Bosanski Petrovac (99 Serb returnees) and Martin Brod area of Una-Sana Canton formidable obstacles lowards return.

UNHCR Basja Luka expects pressure to return to this area will increase in fisture and refugues had returned by the end of the reporting period. Further south-cast of Bosanski Grahovo, Serb refugees in FRY began visiting villages on both sides of Livno-Bosanski Grahovo municipal border. The interest in returning is strong and number of returnees to Drvar increased to 2,075. In Bosanski Grahovo 590 Serb DPs Bostiaks costinue to return to Glamoc. In Drysr, the return rate picked up, although the security situation remained volatile with ongoing intimidation and harassment. The In Canton 10, the most significant event was the return of 18 Sorb families to Giamoc

Minority returns to Zavidovici continued. In August, UNEKCR has monitored the reinstatement of 24 families (including a Roma family) in their property.

### DEMINING

insugarated on 27 August in Banja Luka. UNMAC/Bihac conducted an initial mine risk assessment in Martin Brod for possible opening of an access road on the Bosnian injury - from a hand grenade. He lost his right hand and has shrapnel lodged in his shull. An investigation is underway. The RS Mins Action Centre (MAC) was officially On 14 August a local de-miner sustained the UNHCR demining team's first scrious side of the currently contested Martin Brod village area.

### OPEN CITIES

the 14 Open Cities has now surpassed the 10,000. Tuzia was declared an Open City on 17 July. The total number of minority returns to

UNHCR Moster reports that Kiseljak and Fojnica Municipalities declared themselves "open" during the last meeting of the Municipal Assembly. The Mayor of Busovaca declared that the joint administration will soon begin to function. No new projects will regard to the progress made by the Mayor on resolution of double occupancy cases. projects return to their homes. be implemented in the municipality untill the beneficiaries of completed housing Its Open City stutus will be reviewed with particular

### BUS LINES

Zvornik - were taken over by private companies. This required the active involvement of UNRCR in facilitating meetings between private bus companies and public authorities of both Entities, developing schedules and disseminating information to the Two of UNHCR Banja Luka's inter-Entity bus lines - Tuzia-Bijeljina and Tuzia-

awakened the interest of a local state-owned bus company to take it over proving very successful, with all run in August fully booked. The UNHCR Broko/Sarajevo bus line, running once a week since 22 June 1998, is This success has

# SHELTER AND RECONSTRUCTION

as Bosanski Grahovo, the "Arrvil", the Una-Sana Canton, Tuzia and Zavidovici, Doboj (RS) and Brcko (RS), Mostar, Kakanj and Travnik, as well as in Ilidza in the Surajevo Canton. In total, some 2,000 houses have been rehabilitated or are under reconstruction under the 1998 UNHCR Reconstruction Program. Damage assessment Reconstruction activities have run full speed in numerous (minority) return areas, such plan (under existing UNFICR sub-agreements with implementing partners) turgets a and tripartite agreements are being prepared for additional housing units. total of 4,000 housing units to be implemented, subject to the availability of funds Two thirds of UNHCR beneficiaries are minorities returning to their property The current

### CROATIA

### GENERAL

implementation of the Programme for the Return and Accommodation of Displaced Persons, Refugees and Resettled Persons (hereinsfler referred to as the Return The months of July and August 1998 saw a number of developments related to the Programme), which was adopted by the Government of Croatia in June

At the beginning of July, the Article 11 Commission informed Minister of Foreign Affairs Granic and Deputy Minister for Reconstruction and Development Sterc of

reconstruction plan by early September. citizenship documents and the effective functioning of mechanisms for the return of occupied property to the legitimate owners which are envisaged in the Return the adoption of the Return Programme had positively influenced the international community's stand on the holding of the conference itself. It stressed, however, that international donor participation would hinge on rapid implementation of the Return Government's suggestion that the conference take place in October, pointing out that Programme. The Government was also requested to present a comprehensive conditions for international participation in the long-awaited Conference Reconstruction and Development. The Article 11 Commission welcomed Programme, as well as on the expedited processing of applications for Croatian

obligations still remained be fulfilled with regard to the implementation of the Laws on Validation and Annesty, as well as the functioning of local numicipalities and the functioning of the Joint Council of Municipalities concerning the areas inhabited by ethnic Region. Although the Council noted with satisfaction that the Croatian Government has fulfilled most of its obligations concerning the provision of public services and employment in the public sector, which are envisaged by the Erdut Agreement, many Region upon the expiration of UNIAES mandate, the Council also called for measures which would lead to the decrease in the number of ethnically motivated incidents in the Program on Ro-establishment of Trust. Having in mind the situation in the Danube expedited and full implementation of both the Government's Return Program and the On 2 July the UN Security Council through a presidential Statement called for the

officers. The United Nations Police Support Group (UNPSG) mission will be terminated on 15 October 1998. However, the international monitoring of the local police will continue under the suspices of the OSCE Mission to Crostia. under conditions of inter-ethnic tolerance; (2) an improved performance by Croatian police in the Damube Region. In mid-August the Representative of the Secretary General in Croatia, Mr. Souren Sersydarian, judged, under certain qualifications, that these conditions have been broadly met and authorised the draw-down of police substantive progress in the return of Croatian DPs to and from the Danube Region conditions, among others, were specified in the UN Secretary General's report as: (1) a a gradual draw-down of international police officers under certain conditions. In the afortmentioned Presidential statement of 2 July, the Security Council approved

the Danube Region, democratic standards, economic co-operation and Crostia's discuss the Return Program, the implementation of the Dayton Accord, the situation in three-day visit, again at the invitation of Mrs. Albright. Granic went to Washington to embition of western integration. Soon afterwards, the Croatian Foreign Minister Granic arrived in Washington for a

However, the meeting clearly indicated outspoken disagreement over Bosnia and the democratisation process in Croatia. While the US believes that Croatia belongs to The visit of the US Socretary of State, Mrs. Madeleine Albright to Zagreb at the end of August was in a way the continuation of the talks with Foreign Minister Granic in Washington. Mrs. Albright was received by the Croatian President Franjo Tudjman.

benefits but responsibilities as well, which must be met by Croatia Europe, Mrs Albright noted that manhership to western institutions catalls not only

12/20

# PROGRAMME ON RETURN - REPATRIATION FROM FRY AND RS

repatriation of refugoes from FRY has continued, albeit at a slow pace. Programme on Return took place from FRY to Croatia. Since then, progress towards On 30 July, the first repatriation movement under the newly adopted Government

The total number of minority returns to and within Croatia is presently over 45,000. This number includes 23,000 persons who have repatriated since the end of 1995, monthy from the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia, as we as some 22,300 Croatian Serb displaced persons who have returned from the Danube Region to their homes in other parts of Crossin since the signing of the Two-Way Return Agreement in April 1997. Based on observations in the field, UNHCR estimated that a realistic cumulative figure From the Isanch of the Return Programme until 30 August, 10,639 applications for of minority returns could be around 20,000 in the Former UN Sectors (so-called "putri list") issued by Croatian diplomatic missions abroad - totalling 1,524 identified as spontaneous returnees and 484 persons repatriated with a travel document In the same period 385 persons repatriated in UNHCR/ODPR convoys, 655 were repatriation were submitted to ODPR. Of this total, ODPR cleared 2,923 applications

50 per cent of the refugee population in FRY. repossessing their properties. According to our office in Belgrade, they represent 40 to houses. Serb refugees whose houses are temporarily occupied by Croat displaced persons and Bosman Croat refugees on the basis of Croatian legislation adopted in the return to and from the Danube Region, the returnee population is essentially composed of cases of family reunion or individuals returning to their own inhabitable and empty As noted in relation to implementation of the Joint Working Group Agreement on aftermath of Operations Flash and Storm in 1995 continue to be provented from

community. UNHCR welcomed this decision. to both organised and spontaneous returnees, owing to pressure from the international At the end of July, ODPR resumed the registration of and the grant of returnen status

simplification of voluntary reputriation procedures. As a result of that meeting, refugees in the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia can now submit applications for bottlenecks in the repatriation process, particularly relating to clearances for return. The mission, which was described as constructive by both sides, focused on the Crossian Government's Office for Displaced Persons and Refugees (ODPR) to Belgrade to meet with high-ranking officials from the Yugoslav Ministry of Foreign Affairs and the Office of the Serbian Commissioner for Refugee and Identify On 20 July, the UNHCR Chief of Mission for Croatia accompanied the Head of the voluntary repatriation through the offices of the Serbian Commissioner for Refugees. UNHCR's offices and the Croatian Embassy in Belgrade.

implementation by the Croatian Embassy in Belgrade continues to be a serious bottleneck for the return process as a whole Although this meeting resulted in the simplification of registration procedures, slow

MISSION DU DENEMBRY GENEVE + UM

The Government organised a first information meeting on the Return Programme in Topusko on 7 July, which was attended by Government officials, representatives of the international community in Croatia and representatives of eleven counties, towns and municipalities affected by the return process. The meeting highlighted the concern of many of the participants regarding the economic situation in the so-called Former UN heavily damaged areas international community to intensify reconstruction and development, especially in It was stressed that Crostia would require financial support from the

the Programme at the local level. Programme be issued for use by State bodies charged with the operational aspects of that clear written instructions explaining the legal basis for implementation of the providing accurate information relating to implementation of the Programme and urged stressed that the Government Commission on Return was ultimately responsible for Commissions teaked with seeking a solution to property-related issues. UNHCR failed to produce substantive directives and information required by those charged with implementation of the Return Programme — especially the newly formed Housing A follow-up information meeting held on 18 August in Basics Ostanjie did not meet international expectations. By that time, the Government Commission on Return had

is the task of the Housing Commissions (formed under the Programme), which should provide the temporary user with alternative accommodation in a state-owned house or removing the consequences of its application. According to the Programme for Return, the accommodation of "temporary users" (a large majority of them are Bossian Crosts) A number of Bossian Crosts originating from Bossnaka Posevina and Banja Luka region (both areas are in the Bosnian Serb entry) were allocated houses or apartments belonging to Crossian Serbs under the Law on Temporary Take-Over and Administration of Specified Property. This Law was reacteded in August 1998 without structures of the Return Programme and key factor in the UNHCR's assessment of the flat in order to enable legitimate owners to repossess their property. For UNFICR the work of Housing Commissions is of crucial importance and will be the real test for the

## REPUBLIKA SRPSKA CROSS BORDER RETURN MOVEMENTS BETWEEN CROSTIA AND

Barria Luka and with the Crostian Ambassador to Bosnia-Herzegovina. The delegation discussed procedures and cross-border co-operation for facilitating the return of operational Croatian Consulate in Barria Luka. The setting of consular days in Barria refugees to and from Croatia with specific focus on the establishment of a fully ODPR to Banja Luka on 20 August in order to meet with Republika Srpaka (RS) accelerate repatriation in a meaningful manner Minister for Refugees Dragicevic, with the Office of the High Representative (OHR) The UNHCR Chief of Mission for Crostia, Rob Robinson, travelled with the Head of Luka by Croatian Embassy personnel of Sarajevo was an improvement, but did not

Herzegovins, by end August, according to the UNHCR database, 2,014 persons With regard to repatriation movements from Crostia to neighboring Bosnia and

NO.743 P818/828

not receiving social benefits is one of the legal impediments and must be resolved by the authorities. In this respect UNHCR considers that all citizens should be treated registration of Bosnian Croats who have acquired Croatian citizenship and ODPR has conducted a number of de-registration exercises in full agreement with UNFICR. The applied for return to the Federation and 11,955 for return Republika Srpaka. Almost 90 per cent of applicants are Bosnian Crosts. UNHCR has advocated the doequally. are individuals of State concern as citizens, not as refugees. The problem that they are legal position of Bosnian Crosts who have acquired Crostian citizenship is clear. They

repatriating to RS UNIFICR continues to receive reports in the field that the local branches of the ruling party HDZ in the Former UN Sectors, apart from nominal declarations in favour of controlled welfare and municipal authorities) to discourage Boarian Croats from return of Bosreian Croats to their homes in RS, were resorting to different type of pressures (political propaganda, threats of revocation of social benefits by HDZ-

## PROPERTY ISSUES AND RETURN

month of July the Croatian Parliament rescinded two pieces of legislation adopted in 1995. The Law on Rescission of the Law on Temporary Take-Over and Administration of Specified Property (LTTSP) and the Law on Rescission of the Law on Rescission of the Law on Rescission of the Law on Renting of Apartments in the Liberated Areas (LRALA) were adopted by the Parliament on 10 July and entered into force on 5 August 1998. The bills of abolition Serb minorities, were not accompanied by the adoption of adequate transitional legislation dealing with the legacy of the rescinded laws. This deficiency appeared to be contrary to the recommendations of the international community to the Croatian Government during repeated communitations on the matter. It was the assessment of the or to be offered an equivalent accommodation. community as discriminatory, unconstitutional and obstructive to the return of ethnic of the LTTSP and the LRALA, which had both been regarded by the international Property-related issues continue to represent a major obstacle for return. During the holders of leasurey rights were denied any possibility to repossess state-owned property most importantly the owner's right to repossess his own property. Similarly, exeffective legal and administrative mechanism to reinstate the owner's property rights, accompanied by specific and adequate transitional provisions, deprived persons of any international community that the rescission of the two above-mentioned laws, if not

repossession of property by legitimate owners. In spite of these positive premises, the Housing Commissions have been working in a legal vacuum, given the conditions under which the two above-mentioned laws were rescinded. In addition, the in the absence of adequate transitional legislation and clear operational instructions, Government has so far failed to provide the Housing Commissions with clear directives to enable them to operate in such a legislative and administrative framework. functions, with taking stock of occupied privately-owned property and facilitate matters. The Housing Commissions have therefore been tasked, among effects of the LRALA, establishes procedures and bodies to handle property-related The Programme on Return, while neglecting to effectively deal with the discriminatory the Housing Commissions have not functioned in making return happen. Therefore

MISSION DU DARENARK GENEUE + UM

high numbers of refugees and Dps affected by property occupancy and loss of tenancy implementation of both the Joint Working Group Agreement on Return to and from the Danobe Region, and the Programme on Return, have failed to trigger the return of

# FROM THE DANUBE REGION TWO-WAY RETURN MOVEMENT OF DISPLACED FERSONS TO AND

continued. The decreasing number of security incidents reported was due to the simple fact that the Serb DP community has dramstically strunk in the last four months to low mixed communities to homogeneous ones was noted. is emerging, whereby a gradual shift of population within the Region from ethnically thousand individuals, mainly elderly and destitute. A pattern of inter-ethnic segregation Harassment and intimidation of the ethnic Serb community in the Region have

protection needs of the remaining displaced persons continue to be great. Croatian DPs waiting to return to the Danube Region have discovered that domestic property legislation is a more efficient system than the Housing Commissions to evict edinic Serb DPs. For example, the court in Onijok issued over 30 eviction notices in August based on claims filed within the previous 60 days (it is not known how many of these eviction notices were actually executed). The court system gives differential treatment to similar court filings by Croatian Serb DPs. Consequently, they face possible eviction The two-way return process within the country is stalled. At the same time, the instatement of tenuncy rights or occupied housing. with no possibility to return their own home, either due to reconstruction needs, re-

parts of Croatia, although their houses are destroyed or occupied, and have been accommodated with relatives and friends. A further aggravating factor is the dire occurrence situation affecting all communities. While the two-way return process may population, have continued to slowly depart Croatia or migrate internally to Serb communities within the Danabe Region. Some have returned apontaneously to other merely been transferred to FRY. be in the final phase. UNHCR continues to highlight that part of the caseload has As a result of these factors, displaced Croatian Serbs, as well as the resident Serb

other parts of Croatia under the Joint Working Group (JWG) Agroement on Return of April 1997. Some 15,000 Croat Dps have returned to the Danube Region while To date 1,800 Serb Displaced Persons (Ops) have returned from the Danube Region to occupancy or loss of tenancy rights. (source ODPR), mainly elderly and destitute persons, who do not have means to go to FRY or who can not go back to their homes in the Former UN Sectors due to property UNMO surveyed 47,000 in October 1996) 5,800 DPs are left in the Dambe Ragion Sectors. Out of an estimated original population of 60,000 Serb Dps (acurce UNHCR, UNHCR estimates that 10,000 Serbs have spontaneously returned to Former UN

(source Office of Serbian Commissioner for Refugees), both persons displaced to the Before and following the expiration of UNTAES mandate, 50,000 ethnic Serba

Yugoslavia (FRY). Danube Region as well as the resident population, left for the Federal Republic of

20/20

# CO-OPERATION WITH OSCE AND ECMM

linked to the return process. The Government also established a Co-ordination Committee comprising representatives of both the Government and the international community, to review the return process. As systematic and effective monitoring of international community with a view to establishing an effective co-operation mechanism to support and monitor the Government of Croatia's efforts to implement implementation of the Return Programme was developed, which set objectives and activities for each organisation perticipating in the monstoring mechanism, to ensure the Return Programme on a wide range of issues in a priority -- UNHCR, OSCE and UNHCR/OSCE chairmanship, to address operational/humanidarian and political issues the Return Programme. A Return Facilitation Group was formed under trends and progress achieved. the consistent and accurate monitoring of returns, processing of data and analysis of ECMM established a joint monitoring system. A matrix of activities related to Throughout July and August, UNHCR and the OSCE consulted members of the MO

Marco Roggia External Relations Officer Former Yngorlavia Linison Unit, UNHCR Geneva

23 Soptember 1998