

Homeoffice.gov.uk/ind/asylum/asylum-Ukraine! ^{DokBas ✓}

Ukraine (72)

Udlændingestyrelsen 1991 HJ 1711-00053

Akt. nr.: 19

52

UKRAINE

COUNTRY ASSESSMENT

Homesetsværdi . PH. 5.47

VERSION 03, MARCH 1999

CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	
	A. Scope of Document	1.1 - 1.5
II.	GEOGRAPHY	
	A. Location and Climate	2.1 - 2.2
	B. Population	2.3 - 2.4
	C. Language and Religion	2.5 - 2.6
III.	HISTORY	
	A. Pre-Twentieth Century Ukraine	3.1 - 3.2
	B. The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic	3.3 - 3.8
	C. Ukrainian Independence	3.9 - 3.15
	D. Crimea	3.16 - 3.20
	E. Economy	3.20 - 3.24
IV.	INSTRUMENTS OF THE STATE	
	A. The Constitution	4.1 - 4.3
	B. Government	4.4 - 4.5
	C. Security	4.6 - 4.8
	D. Judiciary	4.9 - 4.13
	E. Detention Centres/Prisons	4.14 - 4.16
V.	HUMAN RIGHTS	
	A. General Assessment	5.1 - 5.4
	B. Political Opinion	5.5 - 5.11
	C. Religion:	5.12 - 5.12
	Christianity	5.13 - 5.15
	Judaism	5.16 - 5.18
	Non-Native Religious Organisations	5.19 - 5.22
	D. Ethnic Minorities:	5.23 - 5.24
	Africans and Asians	5.25 - 5.25
	Carpathians	5.26 - 5.26
	Hungarians	5.27 - 5.28
	Roma (Gypsies)	5.29 - 5.29
	Romanians	5.30 - 5.30
	Russians	5.31 - 5.34
	Crimean Tatars	5.35 - 5.38
	Other Ethnic Minorities	5.39 - 5.40
	E. Women	5.41 - 5.44
	F. Children	5.45 - 5.46
	G. Homosexuals	5.47 - 5.47

VI. OTHER ISSUES

A. Military Service	6.1 - 6.3
B. Organised Crime	6.4 - 6.7
C. Citizenship	6.8 - 6.12
D. Internal and Foreign Travel	6.13 - 6.16
E. Refugees	6.17 - 6.21

ANNEX A Chronology of Main Events, 1921-1998

ANNEX B Main Political Organisations

ANNEX C Prominent People

BIBLIOGRAPHY

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Scope of Document

1.1. This assessment has been produced by the Country Information & Policy Unit of the Immigration & Nationality Directorate, Home Office, from information obtained from a variety of sources.

1.2. The assessment has been prepared for background purposes, for those involved in the asylum determination process. The information it contains is not exhaustive, nor is it intended to catalogue all human rights violations. It concentrates on the issues most commonly raised in asylum claims made in the United Kingdom. It represents the current assessment by the Immigration & Nationality Directorate of the general socio-political and human rights situation in Ukraine.

1.3. The assessment is sourced throughout. It is intended to be used by caseworkers as a signpost to the source material, which has been made available to them. The vast majority of the source material is readily available in the public domain.

1.4. It is intended to revise the assessment on a six-monthly basis, while the country remains within the top 35 asylum-producing countries in the United Kingdom.

1.5. The assessment will be placed on the Internet (<http://www.homeoffice.gov.uk/ind/cipul.htm>). An electronic copy of the assessment has been made available to the following organisations:

Amnesty International UK
Immigration Advisory Service
Immigration Appellate Authority
Immigration Law Practitioners' Association
Joint Council for the Welfare of Immigrants
JUSTICE
Medical Foundation for the Care of Victims of Torture
Refugee Council
Refugee Legal Centre
UN High Commissioner for Refugees

II. GEOGRAPHY

A. Location and Climate

2.1. The Republic of Ukraine (formerly the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic) is situated in east-central Europe. It is bordered by Poland, Slovakia, Hungary, Romania and Moldova to the west, by Belarus to the north and by the Russian Federation to the north-east and east. To the south lie the Black Sea and the Sea of Azov. Ukraine is comprised of approximately 233,090 square miles,¹ and after Russia, is the second-largest country in Europe. Except for the Carpathian Mountains in the west and the small Crimean range in the south, 95% of Ukraine's territory is a plain that gradually slopes from the north-west to the south.³

2.2. The climate is temperate, especially in the south. The north and north-west share many of the continental climatic features of Poland or Belarus, but the Black Sea Coast is noted for its mild winters. Droughts are not infrequent in southern areas.¹

B. Population

2.3. The Ukrainian people belong to the southern branch of the Eastern Slavs. The name "Ukraina", which originated in the twelfth century, denotes border-land: the area lacks natural frontiers and has a troubled history.^{7a} Located across the main routes between Europe and Asia, Ukraine was repeatedly exposed to various and frequently competing cultures.³ The capital of Ukraine is Kiev (Kyiv), which has an estimated population of 2,635,000.¹

2.4. At the beginning of 1998, the population of Ukraine was officially estimated at 50.5 million. Of this number, approximately 73% are ethnic Ukrainians, 22% are ethnic Russians, while the remainder is made up of ethnic Jews, Belarusians, Moldovans, Bulgarians, Poles, Hungarians, Romanians, Greeks, Tatars, Roma (Gypsy), Crimean Tatars, Armenians and others.¹

C. Language and Religion

2.5. The official state language is Ukrainian, an Eastern Slavonic language written in the Cyrillic script.¹

2.6. Most of the population are adherents of Christianity, the major denominations being the Ukrainian Orthodox Church (Moscow Patriarchate), the Ukrainian Orthodox Church (Kievan Patriarchate), and the Roman Catholic Church (mostly 'Greek' Catholics, followers of the Uniate or Eastern rite). There are also a number of Protestant churches and small communities of Jews and Muslims.¹

III. HISTORY

A. Pre-Twentieth Century Ukraine

3.1. Ukrainians can trace the ancestry of their state to the principality of Kievan Rus, established on the River Dnieper and its tributaries in the ninth century, and the point of entry for Christianity in the tenth century.^{7a} Because of its natural resources and accessibility, Ukraine has experienced devastating foreign invasions and conquests throughout history.³ Kievan Rus became the centre of a great civilisation, but eventually fell prey to the Mongols in the twelfth century, thus precipitating the separate development of the major east Slavic regions.^{7a} Following the subsequent fall of the Rus principalities to the Mongol invasions in the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries, the Ukrainians developed distinctively from the other Eastern Slavs, mainly under Polish and Lithuanian rulers.¹

3.2. Following a few years of brief independence in the mid-seventeenth century,² the Ukrainians first entered the Russian Empire in 1654, and in 1667 were divided between two regions: the area east of the Dnieper became part of Russia, while western Ukraine was annexed by Poland. Russia gained more Ukrainian lands as a result of the subsequent partitions of Poland in the late eighteenth century, while western regions were acquired by Austria,¹ and thus absorbed into the Habsburg Empire. In the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries, Ukrainians in the Russian Empire were usually called Little Russians (*Malorossy*), while those in the Austrian Empire continued to refer to themselves as Ruthenians (*Rusyny*).³

B. The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic

3.3. After a few years of independence under a succession of weak governments between 1917 and 1921, Ukraine was not to become independent again until over seventy years later.² The Treaty of Riga, which formally ended the Soviet-Polish War in 1921, assigned western Ukraine to Poland, Czechoslovakia and Romania, while eastern and central lands formed the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic (SSR). The Ukrainian SSR was one of the founding members of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR) in December 1922.¹

3.4. The 1920s were a period of national development for Ukrainians: the use of the Ukrainian language was encouraged, literacy improved and the New Economic Policy (NEP) gave to peasants a certain measure of prosperity. However, the end of the NEP in 1928 and the collectivisation of agriculture resulted in famine, in which an estimated seven million Ukrainians died. The repressive policies of Stalin, who became the Soviet leader in 1924, were particularly severe in Ukraine, where advocates of the wider use of the Ukrainian language and of greater autonomy for the republic were arrested. By the late 1930s, almost the entire Ukrainian cultural and political élite had been imprisoned, killed or exiled. Later, the repressions were extended to include people from all sectors of society.¹

3.5. The Second World War brought further suffering to Ukraine and led to the deaths of around six million Ukrainians, although Soviet victory did unite the east and west of the country.¹ Ukraine was also subsequently enlarged by territories once Polish, Czechoslovakian and Romanian,^{7a} while Crimea, formerly an autonomous republic within the Russian Federation and home of the Tatars, was handed to Ukraine in 1954.¹

3.6. During the 1960s and 1970s, there was an increase in overt criticism of the regime, when political and religious dissidents began to demand greater civil, religious and national rights. This led to the widespread repression of dissidents and a purge of the membership of the ruling Communist Party of Ukraine (CPU) in 1972. The accession of Mikhail Gorbachev to the Soviet leadership in 1985 and his policy of *perestroika* (restructuring) had little initial effect in Ukraine, where dissidents continued to be harassed by the police, where independent political and cultural groups were refused legal status, and where the republican media remained under the strict control of the CPU.¹ On the other hand, the policy of *glasnost* (the new openness or freedom of expression) became a means for the nationalities of the USSR to voice their grievances and aspirations.³

3.7. On 26 April 1986, a serious explosion occurred at the Chernobyl nuclear power station in northern Ukraine. Large amounts of radio-activity leaked into the atmosphere, but information on the accident and its consequences were strictly controlled by the Soviet authorities. Thirty-one people were killed in the explosion and perhaps thousands more died from acute radiation sickness, while increased numbers of cancers and related illnesses were reported throughout a large area. Around 135,000 people were evacuated and a thirty-mile exclusion zone was created around Chernobyl. In 1996, it was reported that an estimated 2,500 deaths in Ukraine may have been caused by the accident, while a further 3.2 million people had been affected by the disaster.¹

3.8. Widespread anger over the government's handling of the nuclear disaster brought the first major wave of criticism of the Soviet system, and during 1989 verbal criticism increasingly developed into political activity.³ The Ukrainian People's Movement for Restructuring (known as Rukh) was founded by a group of prominent writers and intellectuals, and, despite official opposition, Rukh published its first manifesto in 1989 and also established local branches throughout the republic. The other major political force opposing the government was the independent workers' movement, based in the mining communities of the Donbass area. Problems with housing, working conditions and food supply all contributed to a growing militancy. Opposition also came from religious groups such as the Ukrainian Catholic (Uniate) Church and the Ukrainian Autocephalous Orthodox Church, which began campaigns for official recognition in 1989. Legalisation was eventually granted to the Catholic Church when Gorbachev met Pope John Paul II in December 1989, and the Ukrainian Autocephalous Orthodox Church was formally revived the following year.¹

C. Ukrainian Independence...

3.9. The advent of parliamentary politics in 1990 publicised the names of former political prisoners, who became the leaders of democratic groups, increasing their public profile.² In a climate of unprecedented activism, Ukraine held its first relatively free elections in March 1990, in which Rukh and other groups with similar views gained around 170 seats. However, in the Russian-speaking communities of eastern Ukraine and in rural regions, there was stronger support for the CPU, which won an estimated 280 seats. Despite Rukh's support for independence, the government signed the protocol to a new draft union treaty with the USSR in March 1991.¹

3.10. When on 19 August 1991 the State Committee for the State of Emergency (SCSE) attempted to stage a *coup d'état* in Moscow, there was an initially cautious response from the Ukrainian leadership. A declaration which effectively denounced the SCSE was adopted by the Presidium and the Ukrainian Supreme Soviet on 20 August 1991, but opposition leaders protested

that the leadership had been indecisive in its response. The collapse of the ~~coup~~ and the subsequent banning of the CPU led to significant changes in the politics of Ukraine.¹

3.11. On 24 August 1991, the Supreme Soviet adopted a declaration of independence, pending confirmation by a referendum on 1 December 1991. Despite his past record as a loyal communist official, Leonid Kravchuk's experience and support for Ukrainian independence ensured his election as President on 1 December 1991,¹ when the declaration of independence was overwhelmingly approved by 90% of the population.² This was followed by measures aimed at consolidating independence, including the establishment of the Ukrainian armed forces and new national symbols by early 1992.¹

3.12. The lack of a recent tradition of statehood brought many problems in the early days of Ukrainian independence. Institutions inherited from the old Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic had had a largely symbolic existence under the Soviet system and giving these institutions real substance, creating new ones where necessary, and promoting a national cultural and economic revival were difficult challenges after decades of Russian domination.² By early 1993, disputes concerning economic policy were dominating domestic politics, which led to growing conflict between President Kravchuk and the Prime Minister, Leonid Kuchma, who subsequently resigned in September 1993, after which Kravchuk assumed direct control of the government and announced early elections to a new 450-member parliament, the Verkhovna Rada (Supreme Council), in March and April 1994.¹

3.13. The result was an overwhelming victory for communist and left-wing parties as well as independent candidates, and appeared to confirm the existence of a political division between eastern Ukraine, whose largely Russian population voted for left-wing, pro-Russian parties, and the west of the country, where moderate Ukrainian nationalist parties won the greatest share of the votes.¹ In July 1994, Kuchma was elected President on a platform of better relations with Russia and economic reform,^{7a} defeating Kravchuk, who had emphasised Ukraine's independence from Russia and secured a majority of votes in western Ukraine.¹

3.14. Following lengthy discussions, the Rada approved a new constitution on 28 June 1996. It confirmed extensive new powers of appointment granted to the President, including the right to nominate the Prime Minister, and guaranteed the right to private ownership. Meanwhile, unrest in the coal-mining industry continued and led to one-fifth of the mining community striking in July 1996. The matter was only settled when the government pledged to settle the wage-arrears. Protest at the government's failure to pay public sector wages re-emerged in October 1996, when some 15,000 teachers went on strike in Kiev. This was followed by mass rallies and strikes in other cities later that month. The economic crisis continued and in February 1997 the Ministers of Finance and Statistics were dismissed by the President. Nationwide demonstrations took place in March 1997, because of the government's continued failure to pay wage arrears, and the issue continued to provoke social unrest.¹

3.15. A total of thirty parties and electoral blocs contested the parliamentary elections to the Rada on 29 March 1998. The result of the elections demonstrated widespread support for left-wing parties, primarily the CPU (re-registered in 1993),¹ which gained a total of 122 seats,⁶ to become the largest party in the legislature, although it was far short of an overall majority. Eight parties exceeded the 4% threshold required to secure representation: the CPU,¹ the People's Democratic Party of Ukraine (77 seats), Rukh (48 seats), Hromada (45 seats), the Green Party of Ukraine (26 seats), the Socialist Party of Ukraine and Peasants' Party of Ukraine Bloc (25 seats), the United Social Democratic Party of Ukraine (23 seats), and the Progressive Socialist Party (14 seats).⁶ In

addition, of the directly-elected seats, the greatest number were won by independent candidates, many of whom were reformist business executives, who, it was believed, would support the government's economic programme. While voter turnout was 70.8%, several serious violations of electoral law were reported by independent observers; in particular, restrictions were imposed on the opposition media in the period prior to the elections.¹

D. Crimea

3.16. Crimea is an autonomous region within Ukraine, which was transferred from Russian to Ukrainian territory by the Soviet authorities in 1954. Ethnic Russians constitute approximately 70% of its population. In 1992, under pressure from Kiev, the Crimean authorities renounced the idea of political independence in return for broad autonomy. Crimea has its own government and parliament,¹ but disagreement between Kiev and the Crimean authorities over the degree of autonomy which Crimea should enjoy has caused tensions since Ukrainian independence. These have so far been managed through negotiations.^{7a}

3.17. Meanwhile, there has been a worsening of tension within elements of Crimean society.^{7a} Following the return by late 1992 of some 250,000 Crimean Tatars to their homeland from Soviet Central Asia (where they had previously been deported *en masse* in 1944 for alleged collaboration with the Germans²), relations between the local leadership and Crimean Tatars deteriorated steadily. In October 1992, a Tatar encampment was dispersed on the orders of the Crimean government, and in response some 6,000 Tatars stormed the Crimean parliament building.¹

3.18. Tension between the Crimean authorities and Kiev reached a peak in 1995, when President Kuchma and his government reacted firmly to Crimean attempts to go beyond the bounds of the Ukrainian Constitution. The Crimean authorities eventually yielded to pressure, and agreed to Ukrainian demands that they prepare a new Constitution. This they had done by October 1995, but its adoption prompted mass rallies and hunger strikes by Crimean Tatars, who claimed that it ignored their interests, in particular by failing to provide adequate status for the Crimean Tatar language. Many of the Constitution's provisions were also opposed by the central authorities, who continued to be concerned by separatist tendencies on the peninsula, and it was subsequently amended before being finally adopted in December 1996.¹

3.19. Elections to the Crimean parliament, held simultaneously with the central parliamentary elections in March 1998, brought the issue of the status of Crimean Tatars to the fore, when demonstrations were staged by Tatars appealing for the right of suffrage. It was estimated that about half of the Tatars then resident in Crimea did not have Ukrainian citizenship, and were therefore ineligible to vote. The Ukrainian Rada rejected a proposal by Kuchma to allow those Crimean Tatars who had not yet received Ukrainian citizenship, but whose applications were pending, to participate in the elections. The results of the elections demonstrated a similar support for left-wing parties in Crimea as had been shown in Ukraine. Leonid Hrach, leader of the Communist Party of Crimea, was elected Chairman of the new Supreme Council, and upon the expiry of the Crimean government's mandate in May, a new Council of Ministers was appointed, with Serhiy Kunitsyn as Prime Minister. The appointment was subsequently approved by Kuchma.¹ In December 1998, the Rada approved a new Constitution for Crimea, which allows the Crimean government to control its own fiscal policy and gives it legislative power, provided that its laws are compatible with the Ukrainian Constitution.⁶

E. Economy

3.20. Ukraine faced serious economic problems following the dissolution of the USSR in 1991, with inflation reaching very high levels and all sectors of the economy experiencing sharp falls in production. Ukraine became a member of the IMF and the World Bank in 1992, and in June 1994 signed an agreement of partnership and co-operation with the European Union. Ukraine is also a member of the Black Sea Economic Co-operation Group. In late 1994, a programme of economic reforms was initiated, which included the privatisation of state enterprises, the liberalisation of retail prices and of foreign trade, and a strict monetary policy, with the aim of narrowing the budget deficit and reducing the rate of inflation.¹

3.21. By 1996, the reform programme had achieved some success, with a significant reduction in the rate of inflation contributing to the stabilisation of the economy. The second stage of the economic reform process was launched in late 1996 and aimed to accelerate the privatisation programme, to remove the remaining price controls and to restructure the energy sector. By mid-1997, the privatisation of small-scale enterprises was virtually complete, while the divestment of medium and large-scale concerns was aimed to be completed by 2000.¹

3.22. The introduction of a new currency, the hryvnya, in September 1996, was an indication of Ukraine's increased financial stability. However, output in most sectors of the economy continued to decline in 1997 and the disbursement of loans by the IMF, in accordance with agreements concluded in the late 1990s, was delayed on several occasions, owing to Ukraine's failure to implement key elements of the economic reform programme. Notably, the growth of wage and pension arrears was one of the most serious issues faced by the government in early 1998. Moreover, the high level of corruption discouraged any substantial investment in Ukraine.¹

3.23. Ukraine has the second largest economy among the former Soviet Union states, Russia's being the largest. While Ukraine's gross national product has contracted sharply since independence (official figures suggested a fall of around 40% between 1991 and 1997), the reality is probably less dramatic, as it is widely believed that the black economy is around the same size as the official economy. Living standards have also declined, but have been cushioned by food supplies from ubiquitous private plots of land. Meanwhile, wages and pensions remain unpaid in many areas.^{7a}

3.24. In February 1998, during the first official visit to Moscow by a Ukrainian President since independence, Kuchma and his Russian counterpart, Boris Yeltsin, signed a ten-year economic co-operation agreement between the two countries. The treaty envisaged a doubling of bilateral trade over the period of the agreement.¹ In addition, an Extended Finance Facility of \$2.2 billion was finally agreed in September 1998, although disbursements under the Facility are dependent upon close adherence to conditions set by the IMF to drive economic reform forward.^{7a}

IV. INSTRUMENTS OF THE STATE

A. The Constitution

4.1. The Constitution of the Republic of Ukraine was adopted at the fifth session of its parliament, the Verkhovna Rada (Supreme Council) of Ukraine, on 28 June 1996. It replaced the Soviet-era Constitution (Fundamental Law), originally passed on 12 April 1978 but amended several times after Ukraine gained independence in 1991¹.

4.2. The fundamental principles of the Constitution are: the Republic of Ukraine is a sovereign and independent, unitary and law-based state, in which power is exercised directly by the people, through the bodies of state power and local self-government. The life, honour, dignity and health of the individual are recognised as the highest social value. The Constitution is the highest legal authority; the power of the state is divided between the legislative, the executive and the judicial branches. The state language is the Ukrainian language. The use and protection of Russian and other languages of national minorities, and the development of minorities' ethnic and cultural traditions is guaranteed. The state ensures protection of all forms of ownership rights and management, as well as the social orientation of the economy. The state symbols of Ukraine, its flag, coat of arms and anthem, are established.¹

4.3. In addition, the Constitution declares the rights and freedoms of individuals to be unalienable and inviolable regardless of race, sex, political or religious affiliation, wealth, social origin or other characteristics. Fundamental rights, such as the freedoms of speech and association and the right to private property, are guaranteed. Citizens have the right to engage in political activity, and all individuals are entitled to work and to join professional unions to protect their employment rights. The Constitution commits the state to the provision of health care, housing, social security and education. All citizens have the right to legal assistance. Obligations of the citizenry include military service and taxes. The age of enfranchisement for Ukrainian citizens is 18 years, and elections to organs of the state are declared to be free and conducted on the basis of universal, equal and direct suffrage by secret ballot.¹

B. Government

4.4. Ukraine is governed by a directly-elected president and a unicameral parliament, the Verkhovna Rada, which is elected partially according to proportional representation and partially by direct constituency mandate.¹¹ Executive power is vested in the President and the Prime Minister, while legislative power is the prerogative of the 450-member Verkhovna Rada. The President is elected by direct, popular vote for a mandate of five years. President Leonid Kuchma was elected in 1994 and his term is due to expire in October 1999, while the current Rada was elected for a four-year term in March 1998. The President appoints the Prime Minister and the members of the Cabinet of Ministers. Ukraine is a unitary state, divided for administrative purposes into 24 oblasts (provinces), one Autonomous Republic (Crimea), and two metropolitan areas (Kiev and Sevastopol). The Constitution guarantees local self-government to regions, cities, settlements and villages.¹

4.5. According to international observers, the parliamentary elections of March 1998 were an

improvement on those held in 1994. Despite numerous flaws and irregularities, the elections generally reflected the will of the electorate.¹¹ The result was the election of a substantial bloc (around 40% of seats in the Rada) of left-wing deputies opposed to the government's reform plans, although the left failed to win the overall majority that some had predicted. Following the election, the Rada members who were elected as independent candidates largely aligned themselves with one or other faction. The result is that the centre-right parties now slightly outweigh the leftist parties, although no grouping has an overall majority. Since September 1998, Kuchma has become increasingly frustrated with what he sees as the deliberate obstruction of his reform programme by the Rada, which has repeatedly courted confrontation with the President and is opposed to many aspects of the reform.^{7a} This ongoing conflict has paralysed the legislature and greatly impeded effective policy formulation.⁶

C. Security

4.6. The Security Service of Ukraine (SBU), the Ministry of Internal Affairs (which controls the various police forces), and the Ministry of Defence all have equal responsibility for internal security and report to the President through the Cabinet. While the armed forces have largely remained outside of politics and the civilian authorities generally maintain effective control of the security forces, institutional government corruption can sometimes lead to their improper use. The SBU, police, and Prosecutor's Office have attracted domestic and international criticism for their failure to take adequate action to curb institutional corruption and abuse in the government. Meanwhile, the SBU and other government agencies have interfered indirectly in the political process, through criminal investigations of politicians, journalists and influential businessmen.¹¹

4.7. Law enforcement personnel are believed to be responsible for a large proportion of the human rights violations reported. Some remnants of Soviet control mechanisms survive in many guises and official corruption is widespread. In particular, police corruption remains a serious problem. Police and prison officials regularly beat detainees and prisoners, and there are numerous reports of torture, sometimes resulting in death. For those who have suffered human rights abuses by members of the security forces, there is no effective mechanism for registering complaints about mistreatment or for obtaining redress. Meanwhile, the government has made little effort to end such practices or to punish officials who have committed or abetted the abuses (see V. Human Rights).¹¹

4.8. Police officials and militia personnel have the right to stop persons and vehicles arbitrarily to initiate extensive document checks and vehicle inspections, and police may detain a person arbitrarily for up to three hours to verify identity. Arbitrary arrest and detention for longer periods remain problematic. The law provides that the authorities may detain a suspect for three days without a warrant, after which an arrest order must be issued. The Constitution stipulates that only courts may issue arrest warrants, but under its transitional provisions, the Prosecutor's Office retains the right to issue search and arrest warrants until 2001. The maximum period of detention after charges have been filed is eighteen months, but the law does not limit the aggregate time of detention before and during a trial. In addition, the SBU may conduct intrusive surveillance and searches without a warrant, with the consent of the Prosecutor General, who nominally oversees this function of the SBU. However, the extent to which it uses its authority to monitor SBU activities and to curb excesses by security officials is unknown.¹¹

D. Judiciary

4.9. The Constitution provides for an independent judiciary, but in practice the judiciary remains subject to considerable political interference. The courts are funded through the Ministry of Justice, which allows the government to influence the judicial process. The Constitution provides that the old court system may remain in place for a maximum period of five years, until 2001, and while the establishment of an independent judicial system awaits the passage of implementing legislation, the judiciary continues to operate according to Soviet principles. Most judges and prosecutors were appointed during the Soviet era, and court officials are closely attuned to government interests, the influence of which is particularly strong at regional and local levels. The authority and independence of the judicial system are also undermined by a lack of sufficient staff and funds, which engenders inefficiency and corruption.¹¹

4.10. The existing court system consists of the Constitutional Court, general jurisdiction courts and arbitration, or commercial, courts. The courts of general jurisdiction are divided into criminal and civil sections. These and the arbitration courts are organised on three levels: district (rayon) courts, regional (oblast) courts, and finally, at national level, the Supreme Court and Supreme Arbitration Court. Military courts only hear cases involving military personnel. The Constitutional Court is the ultimate interpreter of legislation and the Constitution, and determines the constitutionality of legislation, presidential edicts, cabinet acts, and acts of the Crimean Autonomous Republic. The Constitutional Court hears cases at the request of the President, at least 45 Parliamentarians, the Supreme Court, the Parliament's Human Rights Ombudsman, or the Crimean legislature. Citizens may only apply to the Constitutional Court through the Human Rights Ombudsman.¹¹

4.11. The judiciary is overburdened and inefficient, which undermines the citizens' right to a fair trial. By law, a trial must begin no later than three weeks after indictment, but this requirement is rarely met by the overburdened court system and there can be long delays. Lengthy pre-trial detention in very poor conditions is common, and detainees often spend months in pre-trial detention for offences that involved little or no prison time if convicted. While months may pass before some defendants are finally brought to trial, complicated cases can take years to get to trial. The 1996 amendment to the Criminal Procedures Code provides for bail, but this has rarely been used. Meanwhile, restrictions on travel outside of a given area are sometimes employed. The law stipulates that a defence lawyer be provided without charge to the accused from the moment of detention or the filing of charges, whichever comes first. However, insufficient numbers of defence lawyers, the low government fee they receive, and a lack of awareness of their rights on the part of detainees mean that this provision is often undermined.¹¹

4.12. The Constitution provides for compensation for unlawful or arbitrary arrest, detention or conviction, but there are no known cases where this provision has been invoked, which, on the evidence, indicates a lack of faith in the judiciary, rather than the absence of unlawful detentions. The Constitution also includes procedural provisions to ensure a fair trial, but pending the passage of legislation to implement these constitutional provisions, a largely Soviet-era criminal justice system remains in place, which is reflected in the sustained level of conviction rates: almost all completed cases result in convictions.¹¹

4.13. Organised crime elements are also widely alleged to influence court decisions. The Justice Ministry reported that in 1997, 135 judges were disciplined, 22 dismissed, and 5 prosecuted for bribery. Evidence indicates that suspects often bribe court officials to drop charges before cases go to trial, to reduce sentences or to commute them. Meanwhile, criminal elements routinely use intimidation to induce victims and witnesses to withdraw or change their testimony. The law requires that a special police unit protect judges, witnesses, defendants and their relatives, but this

has not yet been formed and trial participants remain vulnerable to pressure.¹¹

E. Detention Centres/Prisons

4.14. Prison conditions are harsh and do not meet minimum international standards. In addition, prison officials intimidate and mistreat inmates, who are subject to regular beatings as well as torture, which has sometimes led to death (see V. **Human Rights**). Due in part to the severe economic crisis, prisons and detention centres are severely overcrowded and lack adequate sanitation and medical facilities. Information from diplomatic sources during 1998 indicated that there were 218,085 prisoners in the penal system, 44,300 of whom were in pre-trial detention. Because the country lacks a well-developed system of suspended sentences and the law does not differentiate between misdemeanours and felonies, at least one-third of inmates have been convicted of only minor offences. In response to the overcrowding, a mass amnesty in July 1998 released some 25,000 inmates.¹¹

4.15. There were 2,300 deaths in prison and detention facilities during 1998, which represents more than three times the death rate of the general population. Poor sanitary conditions result in deaths from diseases such as tuberculosis and dysentery, and there are frequent incidents of murder by fellow-inmates, as well as suicide. Diplomatic representatives and human rights monitors have reported that it has recently become more difficult to obtain access to prisons. In addition, cases were reported of prisoners being denied correspondence, and limited to one family visit per year. Prisoners may complain to the Human Rights Ombudsman about the conditions of detention, but human rights groups have reported that inmates were subsequently punished for initiating complaints.¹¹

4.16. In April 1998, the government created a Penal Department to oversee reform of the penal system and to serve as the administrative centre of it. Originally placed under the supervision of the Ministry of Internal Affairs, the Penal Department was subsequently put under the Ministry of Justice, at the request of international observers. However, there have since been no known changes in personnel, responsibilities or practices from those of the Ministry of Internal Affairs' penal system administration. The government has also acted to punish some prison and police officials who committed or condoned violence against prisoners, but, given the scope of the problem, these isolated actions have failed to limit abuses.¹¹

V. HUMAN RIGHTS

A. General Assessment

5.1. The 1996 Constitution provides a legal framework for protecting civil and human rights,¹¹ which reflects Ukraine's commitments as a member of the Council of Europe (since November 1995)^{9b} and signatory to a number of international human rights instruments,^{9a} including the European Convention on Human Rights.^{9b} However, many constitutional provisions still await the passage of enabling legislation, while many areas of life are still regulated by Soviet law and practices, which means that actual human rights practices often do not conform to constitutional requirements. While the government has implemented some measures to punish officials who have committed or abetted human rights abuses and to purge local law enforcement agencies of corrupt elements, these measures do not appear to have had a significant effect. Nonetheless, since independence, the country has made progress on a number of basic freedoms, including freedom of speech, which is generally respected.¹¹

5.2. A wide variety of domestic and international human rights groups operate in Ukraine without government restriction, investigating and publishing their findings on human rights cases. Government officials are generally co-operative and responsive to their views, but enquiries into penal conditions, which are a significant human rights concern, are limited by their status as state secrets, and human rights groups have reported increased difficulties in investigating in this area. In January 1998, the President signed into law the creation of the constitutionally mandated Office of the Human Rights Ombudsman, and parliament elected the first Ombudsman in April 1998. However, the law does not provide any significant enforcement authority or provide for penalties for obstructing the Ombudsman's enquiries. However, despite this and the fact that the 1998 budget contained no funding for the offices and staff of the Human Rights Ombudsman, they have still been active in investigating human rights violations.¹¹

5.3. The Constitution prohibits torture, but there have been numerous reports of torture and ill-treatment of suspects in police custody and prisons throughout Ukraine, in contravention of its commitments as a party to the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, as well as the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.^{4b} Police and prison officials regularly beat detainees and prisoners, and there have been persistent reports that Berkut (special militia units or riot police) troops beat and torture inmates as part of regular training exercises. Two forms of torture reported are the "swallow" method, whereby the detainee is placed on his stomach and his feet are tied to his hands behind him, forcing his back to arch, and the "baby elephant" method, whereby a gas mask is placed on the victim's head and the flow of oxygen is slowly reduced. Other detainees are beaten until they waive their right to a lawyer. There is no effective mechanism for registering complaints about mistreatment or for obtaining redress for such actions. Prisoners may address complaints to the Human Rights Ombudsman, who has received widespread reports of torture in pre-trial detention, but this avenue is limited by the Ombudsman's lack of enforcement authority, prisoners' fears of punishment for initiating complaints, and insufficient effort on the part of the government to end such practices or to punish those responsible.¹¹

5.4. Ukraine committed itself to an immediate moratorium on the death penalty on joining the

Council of Europe in November 1995. However, subsequent reports indicated that 180 people were executed between then and March 1997, which led the Council of Europe to adopt a resolution, in January 1997, condemning the continuing executions in Ukraine and threatening it with expulsion should more executions be carried out. There were no known cases of execution after March 1997, but reports that 264 prisoners remained under sentence of death by the end of 1997 continued to cause international concern and doubts over Ukraine's commitment to ending the death penalty.^{4a} The number of people sentenced to death in 1998 was 162, 146 of whom have not had their sentences commuted to prison sentences. While no executions have been carried out since March 1997, the courts still sentence people to death because the old Criminal Code is still valid and no changes have yet been introduced to the current legislation.¹⁸ With opinion polls showing an overwhelming majority in favour of the death penalty in early 1999, the Rada may hesitate to approve the government's recently proposed law to ban the death penalty. This is likely to provoke further confrontation with the Council of Europe, which has renewed its threat to suspend Ukraine's membership unless it takes steps to meet its commitments during 1999.

B. Political Opinion

5.5. Citizens have the right and ability to change their government peacefully. The Constitution provides universal suffrage for citizens of at least 18 years of age, and for periodic elections every four years for the parliament and every five years for the president. International observers considered the March 1998 parliamentary elections to have been an improvement on those in 1994, but while the campaign was generally peaceful and free of widescale fraud, it was marred by instances of violence and other procedural flaws. There were also various forms of government pressure on the media immediately prior to the elections, which served to limit the independence of the press. In addition, the government is alleged to have used government agencies, in particular the Tax Inspectorate, to disrupt or eliminate the businesses of political opponents. Election results in several constituencies were declared invalid by courts or elections commissions, and court proceedings to review the election results for a number of Rada seats were not transparent.¹¹

5.6. The Constitution, law and onerous government regulations restrict freedom of association to varying degrees. The Constitution prohibits the establishment of parties and organisations that advocate the elimination of the country's independence or the violent overthrow of the government and the constitutional order; that jeopardise Ukraine's sovereignty or territorial integrity; that undermine its security; that foment ethnic, racial or religious hatred; that violate individual rights and liberties; or that jeopardise public health. The Constitution also prohibits the establishment of political party organisations in the executive and judicial branches, military units, state-owned enterprises, educational and other public institutions. Many members of such bodies nonetheless publicly associate themselves with specific parties, although the law prohibits the police authorities, members of the SBU and armed forces personnel from joining political parties (see Annex B: Main Political Organisations).¹¹

5.7. Freedom of association is restricted significantly through a strict registration requirement that lends itself to political manipulation and corruption. Groups must register with the government to pursue almost any purpose. The Ministries of Internal Affairs, Justice, Economy, and Foreign Economic Relations, as well as the State Committees on Religion and Broadcasting and other government bodies, have registration functions and have used this power to limit freedom of association. The registration law gives the government an unlimited right to inspect the activities of all registered groups. A registered group may not duplicate any function or service that the government is expected to provide. For example, human rights lawyers who wish to represent

prisoners are prohibited from establishing an association because the government is required by the Constitution to provide lawyers for the accused.¹¹

5.8. The Constitution and law provide for freedom of assembly, and the government generally respects this right in practice. However, the 1989 Law on Public Assembly circumscribes freedom of assembly by stipulating that organisations must apply for permission to their respective local administration at least ten days before a planned event or demonstration. Under that law, participants in demonstrations are prohibited from inciting violence or ethnic conflict and from calling for the violent overthrow of the constitutional order. In practice, unlicensed demonstrations are common, and most occur without police interference.¹¹

5.9. The Constitution and a 1991 law provide for freedom of speech and the press, but in practice the government partially limits freedom of the press through tax inspections, libel cases, subsidisation and intimidation of journalists, that leads many to practice self-censorship. While the government has a virtual monopoly on the broadcast media, there is a wide variety of newspapers and periodicals available, espousing different political points of view, and individuals can and frequently do criticise the government without reprisal. However, the dependence of the subsidised press and much of the private press on government patronage has particularly inhibited criticism at the local level. During 1998, the government increasingly interfered with freedom of the press, most notably in the context of the March parliamentary elections. Both central and local government regularly targeted opposition newspapers with unannounced tax inspections or fire and building code inspections. Three opposition newspapers were forced to cease operations because their accounts were frozen at various points during the year by the Tax Inspectorate: *Pravda Ukrayiny*, *Polityka*, and *Vseukrayinskiye Viedomosti*.¹¹

5.10. Central and local government frequently use criminal libel cases or civil suits based on alleged damage to a person's reputation to punish critics. Consequently, any journalist who publishes an article critical of a public official risks being sued for damages. At least eleven newspapers, two regional television stations and numerous individual journalists were fined heavily during the year for libel or injury to personal honour and dignity. Journalists complain that because the law does not limit damages, it is biased against them and can be used to drive opposition newspapers out of business. In addition, journalists are sometimes subject to physical attack related to their professional activities, and the journalistic community has claimed that reporters have received threats of arrest and were sometimes physically assaulted for investigation of crime and official corruption. The intermeshing of organised crime and many public officials makes it difficult to assess to what extent these attacks and threats are politically motivated (see VI.B. Organised Crime).¹¹

5.11. While the major universities in Ukraine are state-owned, they operate for the most part under full autonomy. However, academic freedom within universities is an underdeveloped and poorly understood concept. University administrators possess the power to silence colleagues by denying them the ability to publish, withholding pay and housing benefits, or directly terminating them. This atmosphere tends to limit the spirit of free inquiry. All private and religiously affiliated universities operate without any reported state interference or harassment.¹¹

C. Religion

5.12. The Constitution and the 1991 Law on Freedom of Conscience and Religion provide for separation of church and state and the right to practice the religion of one's choice. With the

exception of some non-native religions, the government generally respects these rights in practice. The government generally permits religious organisations to establish places of worship and to train clergy, and has continued to expedite allotment of land plots for construction of new places of worship and to return religious buildings and sites to their former owners. However, the implementation of a 1992 decree on restitution of religious community property seized during the Soviet era remains stalled in many places.¹

Christianity

5.13. The predominant religion in Ukraine is Christianity. Adherents are fragmented between the Ukrainian Orthodox Church (Moscow Patriarchate), the Ukrainian Orthodox Church (Kievan Patriarchate), the Ukrainian Autocephalous Orthodox Church, and the Roman Catholic Church (also known as Ukrainian Catholic, 'Greek' Catholic or Uniate, who follow the Eastern rite).¹

5.14. All Orthodox churches were part of the Ukrainian branch of the Russian Orthodox Church until 1990, when the Russian Orthodox Church in Ukraine was renamed the Ukrainian Orthodox Church (UOC), partly to counter the growing influence of the Ukrainian Autocephalous Orthodox Church (UAOC). Established in 1921 as part of the wider movement for Ukrainian autonomy, the UAOC was forcibly incorporated into the Russian Orthodox Church in 1930. It continued to operate clandestinely and among Ukrainian exiles, and was formally revived in Ukraine in 1990. By 1995, it had approximately 1,000 parishes. In the early 1990s, there was considerable tension between the UOC and the UAOC over the issue of church property seized in 1930. The Kievan Patriarchate of the Ukrainian Orthodox Church was formed in 1992, when factions of the UOC and the UAOC united, and by 1995, that Church had approximately 2,000 parishes. The Ukrainian Orthodox Church of the Moscow Patriarchate remains part of the Russian Orthodox Church and owes its allegiance to the Moscow Patriarchate. In 1995, that Church had approximately 6,600 parishes.¹

5.15. Most Roman Catholics in Ukraine are adherents of the Byzantine or Eastern rite, the so-called Uniate ('Greek' Catholic) Church, which is based principally in western Ukraine and Transcarpathia. The Ukrainian Catholic (Uniate) Church was established in 1596 by the Union of Brest, which permitted Orthodox clergymen to retain the Eastern rite, while transferring their allegiance to the Pope. In 1946, at the Synod of Lvov (Lviv Sobor), the Uniates were forcibly integrated into the Russian Orthodox Church, but continued to function in an 'underground' capacity.¹ As a result, they suffered fierce persecution, with the arrests of many activists, but experienced a strong revival in the 1980s.³ Together with the UAOC, the Uniate Church was a prominent campaigner for official recognition in 1989, when it was finally granted legalisation. In June 1992, there were 2,700 Uniate churches in Ukraine and 452 Roman Catholic churches of the Latin rite. At 31 December 1996, there were an estimated 5,339,456 adherents. Adherents of Latin rite Catholicism in Ukraine are predominately ethnic Poles.¹

Judaism

5.16. In the mid-1990s, there were an estimated 500,000 Jews in Ukraine, despite high levels of emigration since the 1980s.¹ Jews in Ukraine represent the fourth or fifth largest Jewish community in the world, and the second largest minority group in Ukraine itself. Concerned about an aging Jewish population and the exodus of young Jews, Ukrainian Jewish communities have set up numerous cultural, religious and welfare programmes since independence in 1991, with help from overseas aid organisations, mainly in Israel and the United States, which have sparked a Jewish revival in Ukraine. That revival is evident in the opening of many Jewish educational establishments, including a University in Kiev, Jewish religious societies, cultural associations, synagogues, as well as three Jewish newspapers. The revival has also been manifested in the

resumption of the annual Hasidic Jewish pilgrimage, marking the Jewish new year at the end of September, to the grave of Rabbi Nakhman of Bratzlav in the town of Uman, south of Kiev, where the government plans to build a large synagogue, to accommodate the pilgrims.^{8c}

5.17. Sources widely acknowledge that there is no state-sponsored anti-Semitism in Ukraine today. President Kuchma has taken a clear public stance against anti-Semitism, and has been co-operative in supporting the Jewish cultural and religious revival. In the March 1998 parliamentary elections, Jews, representing a variety of parties, reportedly won twenty seats, five times the number held by Jews prior to that election. However, while sources differ on the extent of the problem,^{8c} anti-Semitism does exist on an individual and societal basis, and some ultra-nationalist groups and newspapers continue to publish and distribute anti-Semitic tracts regularly. In some instances, public officials have not condemned anti-Semitic statements and have not enforced the Criminal Code prohibitions against inciting inter-ethnic hatred. Anti-Semitic stereotypes are widespread among the general population and anti-Semitic incidents, such as the desecration of Jewish graves by ultra-nationalist groups, continue to occur, albeit at a reduced level.¹¹

5.18. Jewish groups maintain that they have continued to face obstacles in re-acquiring community properties confiscated during the Soviet period. However, numerous Jewish congregations have nonetheless successfully negotiated with local authorities for worship space. In 1996, a Kiev arbitration court decided in favour of transferring the title of the former Kiev Central Synagogue, which in Soviet times was used as a puppet theatre, to a Chabad Hasidic congregation. By December 1997, the puppet theatre had vacated the premises, and in the spring of 1998 the building reopened once again as a synagogue.¹¹

Non-Native Religious Organisations

5.19. The government's protection of religious freedom has deteriorated for non-native religious organisations (**defined as all organisations other than Orthodox, Catholic or Jewish**).¹¹ There are a number of Protestant churches,¹ and among them are those which are considered to be the most militant of religious denominations in Ukraine, including the Baptists and other Protestant sects, such as Pentecostals, Adventists and Jehovah's Witnesses. They practice their faith in autonomous congregations, educate their children according to the dictates of their religions, and sometimes refuse to register with the government, thereby making it difficult for the authorities to control them. They suffered severe persecution under the Soviet regime, and won many converts, particularly in eastern Ukraine.³ The current government does not discriminate against individual believers of non-native religions, but their organisations faced greater difficulty in carrying out their activities during 1998. Through burdensome licensing requirements and informal means, local government restricted non-native religions, including Christian denominations other than Orthodox and Catholic.¹¹

5.20. A 1993 amendment to the 1991 Law on Freedom of Conscience and Religion restricts the activities of non-native, foreign-based, religious organisations. It permits members of the clergy, preachers, teachers and other foreign citizen representatives of foreign organisations to preach, administer religious ordinances or practice other canonical activities "only in those religious organisations which invited them to Ukraine and with official approval of the governmental body that registered the statutes and the articles of the pertinent religious organisation." The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints complained that this restriction prevented the transfer of its missionaries between cities, and claimed that the Kiev municipal committee on religious affairs has not allowed any new missionaries to enter Kiev since December 1997.¹¹

5.21. All religious organisations are required by the 1991 Law on Freedom of Conscience and Religion to register with the central office of the State Committee on Religious Affairs, a process that generally takes about one month, and the Committee's local city or regional office. The regional offices also supervise the compliance of religious organisations with the provisions of the law. Non-native religious organisations have reported that, especially at local or regional levels, officials of the State Committee refused to register their organisations for protracted periods, thus effectively preventing their activities. In addition, Evangelical Christian missionaries have reported some instances of societal discrimination against members of their churches, such as salary cuts and public criticism for betraying the indigenous religions.¹

5.22. Ukraine's small Islamic communities are led by the Spiritual Administration of Muslims in Ukraine, based in Kiev. There is also the Association of Independent Muslim Communities of Ukraine, formed in 1994, and based in Donetsk.¹

D. Ethnic Minorities

5.23. Although Ukraine did not experience the inter-ethnic violence that occurred in some other Soviet republics in the late 1980s and early 1990s, the ethnic diversity of the country has caused some serious problems.¹ Throughout his mandate, President Kuchma has taken a clear public stance against xenophobia and has spoken of a need for systematic, considered and constructive steps to strengthen intra-national relations. With an estimated 130 minority groups,^{8c} which make up approximately 27% of the population,¹ Ukraine is viewed as a melting pot for different peoples and cultures, rather than as an ethnically defined state. The maintenance of inter-ethnic calm there has been attributed to the consistent government stance, aimed at reassuring ethnic minorities concerning their legal status and cultural freedom.^{8c} As a sign of its willingness to support ethnic minorities, the government of Ukraine has encouraged ethnic groups expelled by Stalin to return to Ukraine, including Germans and Poles from Central Asia.^{8a}

5.24. Several laws guarantee protection of the rights of minorities in Ukraine. These include the 1989 Law on Languages, the 1991 Law on Freedom of Conscience and Religion, the 1992 Law on National Minorities, as well as the 1996 Constitution, which assures their right to receive instruction in their native language, and to study their native language in state and communal educational establishments and through national cultural societies. Several sources indicate that these rights are generally respected in Ukraine, but also suggest that the enforcement of laws protecting minorities is a problem, due to the lack of an effective legal system.^{8c}

Africans and Asians

5.25. There are reports of discrimination and frequent harassment of ethnic minorities and, increasingly, of racially motivated violence against those of Asian and African origin. Police officials harass and abuse dark-skinned people, who are routinely detained for arbitrary document checks. Representatives of these groups have claimed that police officials also routinely ignored, and sometimes abetted, violence against them.¹¹

Carpathians

5.26. In the western region of Transcarpathia, which had formed parts of Czechoslovakia and Hungary before the Second World War, the independence referendum of 1 December 1991 included a separate question on the introduction of an autonomous status for the region. Demands for the establishment of a Transcarpathian Autonomous Republic have been made especially

strongly by the Society of Carpathian Ruthenians (Rusyns), who consider themselves ethnically distinct from Ukrainians. In March 1992, they established the Subcarpathian Republican Party.¹

Hungarians

5.27. Estimated at approximately 163,100 by the 1989 census,¹ the Hungarian population of Ukraine is largely concentrated in the multi-ethnic Transcarpathia. No agreement could be reached on an autonomous Hungarian district for the Berehovo region, as requested by the inhabitants. High-level Ukrainian political figures have ruled that only self-government and cultural autonomy would be acceptable, but even so, permission was not granted to make bilingual Ukrainian-Hungarian signs mandatory in minority-inhabited areas. Nonetheless, bilingual road signs were in evidence in the area in 1991.^{8a}

5.28. Despite Ukrainian reluctance to grant ethnic Hungarians full territorial autonomy, Hungary has described their treatment by Ukraine as exemplary. Ukraine and Hungary have established co-operation on minority rights which, according to a high-ranking Hungarian official, could serve "as an example for the entire region, as well as for Europe."^{8a}

Roma (Gypsies)

5.29. There are almost 48,000 Roma residing in Ukraine.¹ Opinion polls have shown that, among all ethnic groups, the level of intolerance is highest towards the Roma. In the Transcarpathian region in particular, the Roma have been subject to violence and abuse by police.¹

Romanians

5.30. Estimated at approximately 134,800 by the 1989 census, ethnic Romanians constitute another significant element of Ukraine's ethnic minority population.¹ Some ethnic Romanians living in the Chernivtsy oblast of south-western Ukraine have accused the Ukrainian authorities of curbing some of their rights, specifically on travel and other contacts with Romania. Ukraine has dismissed these accusations and pointed to the cultural freedoms and facilities enjoyed by the Romanian minority. In 1992, the then chairman of Ukraine's State Committee on Nationality Affairs, Yuri Olenenko, noted that in Chernovtsy oblast, where 20% of the population is Romanian, 86 out of the 420 schools use the Romanian language.^{8a} However, in September 1998, the Romanian government accused the authorities in Ukraine of practising discrimination, when eighteen Romanian schools were closed, and spoke of a need to check the way in which Romanians in Ukraine can exercise their rights as laid down in the basic treaty between the two countries.¹⁵

Russians

5.31. Russians constitute the largest ethnic minority in Ukraine, numbering over 11 million, comprising 22% of the population as a whole, and 70% in Crimea.¹ These high numbers are largely a reflection of the Soviet policy of in-migration of Russians to Ukraine, as part of its Russification programme throughout the Soviet Union. For Russians, Ukraine was a particularly attractive destination, with its good climate and high level of socio-economic and cultural development, together with a familiar linguistic and cultural heritage. With the number of Russians in Ukraine growing from just three million in 1926 to almost ten million in 1979, there was a high rate of intermarriage between Russians and Ukrainians, which further contributed to the Russification of Ukraine.³

5.32. Although large numbers of Russians can be found throughout the country, they are heavily concentrated in the industrial regions of eastern and southern Ukraine, bordering on Russia. Under the Soviet regime, the Russian population of Ukraine had been provided with a full range of

Russian language facilities to satisfy its cultural and educational needs. Since independence, there has been an increasing Russian resistance to perceived Ukrainianisation measures, not the least of which has been the rapid growth in the use of the Ukrainian language, which had been widely regarded as inferior under the Soviet regime.^{8a} While the Constitution provides for "the free development, use and protection of the Russian language and other minority languages in Ukraine," some pro-Russian organisations in eastern Ukraine have complained about the increased use of the Ukrainian language in schools and the media. They claim that their children are disadvantaged when taking academic entrance examinations, since all entrants are required to take a Ukrainian language test. Some regional councils attempted unsuccessfully in 1997 to give the Russian language equal status alongside Ukrainian.¹¹

5.33. However, while there have been some claims of discrimination against Russians in Ukraine, there is no evidence of serious Russian-Ukrainian ethnic tension, except in Crimea.^{8a} There, a government requirement for political organisations to have representatives in at least half of the regions (oblasts) of the country as a prerequisite for registration as a political party primarily affects Russian, as well as Crimean Tatar, organisations.¹¹ There too, opinion polls indicate that almost half the number of Russians would prefer to be citizens of Russia or the Soviet Union, rather than of Ukraine.⁶ Since independence, over 1 million people, mostly ethnic Russians, have left the country.¹¹

5.34. Dissatisfaction among ethnic Russians with the policies of the Ukrainian government has been encouraged by the worsening economic situation, and has tended to increase the demands that Russian be granted the status of second official language, accompanied by calls for dual Ukrainian-Russian citizenship, a federated structure for Ukraine, and closer ties to Russia.^{8a} However, although Ukrainian officials may speak of a strategic partnership with Russia, the official view in the country is that Ukraine, unlike Russia, is historically a European state that came under Russian imperial domination for many centuries and is now striving to rejoin its true cultural world of Europe.⁵ Russia's ratification of the controversial Russia-Ukraine Treaty of Friendship, Co-operation and Partnership in February 1999, in which Russia finally recognised the territorial integrity of Ukraine within its current borders, met with strong opposition from those who argued that it would deprive Russia of Crimea, worsen the situation of ethnic Russians living in Ukraine, and open the way for Ukraine to join NATO.²¹

Crimean Tatars

5.35. Following the return by late 1992 of some 250,000 Crimean Tatars to their historic homeland from Soviet Central Asia (where they had previously been deported *en masse* in 1944 for alleged collaboration with the Germans²), relations between the local leadership and Crimean Tatars deteriorated steadily.¹ While the Ukrainian Government provided some financial assistance, the Tatars' return has caused tension with other residents in Crimea. The Tatars allege that they are discriminated against by local employers, that they have difficulty securing land, and that in some instances their temporary shelters have been destroyed by local militia. The Russian majority in the peninsula has resisted acknowledging the rights of the Tatars. Crimean Tatars generally support Ukrainian sovereignty over Crimea, but have called for the renewal of their national-territorial status on the peninsula.^{8a}

5.36. Crimean Tatar leaders have complained that their community (now numbering about 300,000⁶) has not received adequate assistance in resettling and that an onerous process for acquiring citizenship has excluded many of them from participating in elections and from the right to take part in the privatisation of land and state assets. A 1997 amendment to the citizenship law

waives some of the usual residence and language requirements for returning deportees, and this expedited procedure for acquiring citizenship by the deported victims of political oppression was expected to facilitate the acquisition of citizenship by Crimean Tatars. The amendment allows deported persons, including Crimean Tatars, to acquire Ukrainian citizenship without the mandatory five-year term of residence in Ukraine and without Ukrainian language proficiency. As with any other applicants for citizenship, however, Crimean Tatars are required to provide official documents from their country of residence, confirming that they are no longer citizens of that country. An August 1998 agreement between Ukraine and Uzbekistan (from where the majority of Tatars arrive) was expected to make it easier for Tatars to relinquish Uzbek citizenship and acquire Ukrainian citizenship, but it remains unclear whether this will reduce the waiting period for Tatars to acquire citizenship.¹¹

5.37. In addition to the citizenship obstructions to full participation in the political process by Crimean Tatars, a government requirement for political organisations to have representatives in at least half of the regions (oblasts) of the country as a prerequisite for registration as a political party primarily affects Crimean Tatar, as well as Russian, organisations in Crimea.¹¹ While the approval of a new Constitution for Crimea in December 1998 represents a major step forward for the republic, it fails to meet the demands of the Tatars, who are seeking a guaranteed quota of deputies in parliament and official status for the Tatar language.⁶

5.38. Both Crimean Tatar and Ukrainian minorities in Crimea credibly complain of discrimination by the Russian majority and demand that the Ukrainian and Tatar languages be given equal treatment to Russian. While the Crimean government, pleading insufficient funds, did not assent to requests from the Crimean Tatar community for assistance in re-establishing its cultural heritage through Tatar language publications and educational institutions, the central government is working with the UNHCR (United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees), the OSCE (Organisation for Security and Co-operation in Europe), and the International Organisation for Migration on support for the Crimean Tatar community.¹¹

Other Ethnic Minorities

5.39. Other minorities in Ukraine include Belarusians (440,045), Moldovans (324,525), Bulgarians (233,800), Poles (219,179),^{8a} Greeks (98,600), Armenians (38,600), and others amounting to a further 356,800 at the time of the 1989 census.¹ These minorities are relatively small in number, but in a few cases they are heavily concentrated in territories adjacent to states dominated by the same ethnic group.^{8a} In early 1999, about fifty ethnic groups from eight regions of western Ukraine formed a confederation, comprising Russians, Poles, Romanians, Hungarians, Jews and Roma. It claimed that ethnic minorities were often prevented from holding important posts in virtually every western region, while the number of schools where ethnic languages are taught had been reduced. It announced its intention to increase the influence of ethnic groups on the local authorities and to improve its dialogue with them.²⁰

5.40. In a report by the United Nations on the Prevention of Discrimination Against and the Protection of Minorities in July 1998, reference was made to the Ukrainian Constitution's provisions for the protection of minorities, as well as to the parliamentary Committee on the Rights of Minorities and the State Committee on Religion. Reference was also made to the measures adopted by Ukraine to integrate Crimean Tatars upon their return to the Autonomous Republic of Crimea, by addressing issues of citizenship, economic and social rights and humanitarian policy. In addition, the need for dialogue between the minorities and the Ukrainian government, in order to resolve problems involving minorities, was emphasised.^{9c}

E. Women

5.41. Violence against women is reportedly pervasive. According to statistics compiled by the United Nations Development Programme, the number of reported rapes and attempted rapes have increased during recent years, but surveys indicate that the majority of rapes and other cases of physical abuse go unreported. The Criminal Code outlaws rape, including within marriage, but the authorities often pressure women not to press charges against their husbands. Violence against women does not receive extensive media coverage, despite the efforts of human rights groups to highlight the problem. Hotlines, shelters and other practical support for victims of sexual abuse are practically non-existent. In August 1998, the Kiev municipal authorities opened the country's first women's centre, and the government announced plans to establish a network of shelters throughout the country, but by the end of the year it had not begun to implement these plans.¹¹

5.42. Ukraine is an important source country of girls and women trafficked to western and central Europe and the Middle East for sexual exploitation. The International Organisation for Migration has estimated that 100,000 Ukrainians have been trafficked abroad for this purpose since 1991. The government took steps to address the problem in April 1998, with an amendment to the Criminal Code that imposes harsh penalties on those who traffick human beings, including for sexual exploitation, but the effectiveness of these steps remains unclear. The authorities rarely prosecute men for engaging women in sexually exploitative work, and there are reports that the militia receives bribes in return for ignoring this problem, and even that public officials have abetted or assisted organised criminal groups in trafficking women abroad. The government is unable to assist the victims effectively, primarily due to a lack of funds, and other support services also suffer from a shortage of money.¹¹

5.43. Labour laws establish the legal equality of men and women, including equal pay for equal work, a principle that is generally observed. However, the economic crisis has harmed women disproportionately, and women are much more likely to be dismissed than men. The Constitution and the Law on Protection of Motherhood and Childhood prohibit the employment of women in jobs that are hazardous to their health, for example, jobs that require them to lift more than 25 pounds at one time. However, despite implementation of a government programme to combat dangerous labour, these laws remain poorly enforced and the Ministry of Labour has estimated that 15% of working women are employed at hazardous jobs.¹¹

5.44. Few women attain top managerial positions in state and private industry. By law, pregnant women and mothers with small children enjoy paid maternity leave until their children reach the age of three, a benefit which is a disincentive for employers to hire women for responsible or career track jobs. However, educational opportunities for women have generally been equal to those enjoyed by men. Women are active in political life, but hold a disproportionately small percentage of offices. While women hold 28 of the 450 seats in the Rada, only two women hold ministerial posts. The eighteen-member Constitutional Court has two female members.¹¹

F. Children

5.45. The government is publicly committed to the defence of children's rights, but the deep economic crisis severely limits its ability to ensure these rights. The widely acknowledged problem of growing violence and crime in and outside of schools, especially the notoriously violent

vocational schools, is largely ignored by the public and the government. The government does, however, provide public education for children, which is compulsory until the age of fifteen. However, the public educational system has deteriorated as a result of government financial disarray. Teachers often go unpaid for months, while increasing numbers of children from poor families drop out of school, and illiteracy, which was previously very rare, has become a problem. Health care is provided for children, but economic problems have worsened the overall quality of the system.¹¹

5.46. There have been increased cases of homeless children, who usually flee poor orphanage or domestic conditions, and drug use and child prostitution among these children are widespread. Several charity groups have been formed to assist these children, but they have failed to reduce the problem. Deteriorating conditions in state orphanages led to government encouragement of families to provide foster homes for orphans, and of the establishment of private government-supervised orphanages. Currently, there are seventy-five such orphanages, with some 800 children.

To curb illegal adoption, the April 1998 amendment to the Criminal Code prescribed up to fifteen years' imprisonment for trafficking in children and illegal adoption, although there had been no known successful cases of its application by the end of the year.¹¹

G. Homosexuals

5.47. There is no official discrimination against homosexuals in Ukraine. The Rada adopted a law in 1991 which decriminalised homosexuality, making Ukraine the first republic in the former Soviet Union where homosexuality was not a criminal offence. Homosexuality between consenting males over the age of sixteen has been legal in Ukraine since then. It is therefore also unlikely that a woman would be persecuted in Ukraine because of her sexuality.^{7b}

VI. OTHER ISSUES

A. Military Service

6.1. Military service is compulsory for males over eighteen years of age, for a period of eighteen months in the ground forces and air forces, and two years in the navy.¹ The upper age limit for conscripts is 27 years. However, the problem of **draft evasion**, which became a common feature in Ukraine following independence in 1991, has since become widespread. This reflects less fear of the consequences on the part of draft evaders in a country more democratic and aware of human rights, and their belief that the newly-formed Ukrainian military machine is less able than its Soviet predecessor to punish them. In theory, males avoiding military recruitment can be sentenced to up to three years' imprisonment. However, in July 1993, media reports cited a study, commissioned by the Ukrainian Procurator General, which predicted that only one-third of conscription aged men would actually serve in the Ukrainian armed forces. The study also revealed that evasion of military service, failure to turn up for military registration and absence without leave had become "unprecedentedly widespread." It stated that very rarely were officials and citizens of call-up age punished for violating the law. The study criticised existing legislation, and the Procurator General urged the Ukrainian Minister of Defence to take necessary measures.^{7b}

6.2. Conditions for conscripts and other military personnel have caused concern. The military have revealed that 107 servicemen committed suicide in 1997, while the use of force against subordinates and other breaches of military conduct resulted in 800 cases of injury during that year, including five dead and forty-four permanently crippled. Meanwhile, 788 cases of draft evasion were recorded, due to "lack of law and order" in the army: 3,300 crimes were committed in the armed forces in 1997, 38% involving felonies and 11% involving the use of arms.^{7b} During 1998, violence against conscripts by fellow-soldiers continued and sometimes resulted in death. There were reports of harsh conditions and beatings, and punishment administered for committing or condoning such practices did not serve as an effective deterrent to further abuses. Since 1991, 450 soldiers have been convicted of violent harassment of their colleagues. According to a government official, between ten and twelve military personnel were beaten to death during 1998, and a total of between twenty and thirty died as an indirect result of injuries.¹¹

6.3. A law on **alternative service** was adopted by the Rada in December 1991.¹³ It allows people who object to military service on religious grounds to "perform works for the public good" instead. However, a radio report the following November suggested that the law was not enforced until the end of 1992. At present, the percentage of males allowed to undertake alternative military service is low, at around the region of between 1.2 and 1.5%.^{7b} In December 1998, an amendment to the alternative service law stipulated that those undertaking alternative service must serve twice as long as those in the usual armed forces, and that they can work at public utilities, charities or Red Cross institutions. Alternative service can be undertaken only on the basis of documentary evidence from an officially registered religious organisation.¹⁶

B. Organised Crime

6.4. Since independence, the level of organised crime and corruption within Ukraine has risen

sharply. This can be attributed largely to Ukraine's geographical location as a potential transit route for narcotics, laundered money and weapons to central and western Europe. A law on measures to counter illegal trafficking in, and abuse of, drugs was adopted in February 1995, the first occasion when illegal drugs were defined in law, a law which instructed financial institutions to provide information on banking and other activities of people known to be involved in narcotics trafficking.¹⁴ During 1998, there were approximately 39,000 violations connected with illegal drugs and 30,700 arrests of suspects on charges of possession.¹⁹

6.5. Besides narcotics, there is a close working relationship between corrupt officials and organised crime. Covering corruption and organised crime within the Ukrainian media has resulted in assassination attempts against journalists, in particular in Crimea, a region most heavily affected by organised crime, where the intermingling of officials and organised crime figures is stronger than anywhere else in Ukraine, and where the security service has openly displayed its hostility to Russian journalists, who are accused of biased reporting. Others at risk include businessmen, tax inspectors and politicians who refuse to co-operate with organised crime figures. The areas most affected, in addition to Crimea, are Donetsk and Dnipropetrovsk.¹⁴

6.6. The Ukrainian mafia can be divided into three groups: black market criminals who pose no security threat to the state; the commercial mafia, which came into being under the favourable conditions created by the state monopoly within the economy; and the political mafia, who have set up their own small enterprises, trading in state goods sold to them at low prices and resold for a large profit, which is used to create support groups within the higher echelons of the state. The government is unable to liquidate the mafia, and its ability to limit mafia activities is hampered by a lack of security personnel and equipment, which often fails to meet the standards of that possessed by the mafia. In addition, the fusion of corrupt state officials with criminal formations is so strong that the measures taken against them frequently encounter resistance on the part of the violators and their highly-placed protectors.¹⁴

6.7. There is no doubt that the authorities are alarmed at the growth of organised crime and corruption, and regard it as a threat to national security. President Kuchma has described organised crime as the 'fifth estate' and noted the failure of earlier anti-crime campaigns launched in 1994 and 1995. A new decree, issued in August 1996, came a month after the attempted assassination of the then Prime Minister, Pavlo Lazarenko, and called for the development of a comprehensive crime-fighting programme for 1996-2000.¹⁴ However, the pervasiveness of corruption, connections between government officials and organised crime, and the political activities of organised crime figures continued during 1998 and often blurred the distinction between political and criminal acts. Politicians, politically connected businessmen, campaign managers and journalists were all victims of attacks, which were sometimes fatal. According to official statistics, there were forty-six contract killings during the year, some of which may have been politically motivated.¹⁴ In January 1999, the Prosecutor General accused members of parliament of trying to protect criminals and of hindering the state's fight against organised crime and corruption. Despite an intensified fight against organised crime and corruption, there was an 11% increase in the number of bribery cases leading to criminal proceedings in 1998 compared to 1997.¹⁷

C. Citizenship

6.8. Since becoming independent in 1991, Ukraine has struggled to manage a complex and unprecedented migration phenomenon. The country now hosts some 1.4 million returnees, primarily ethnic Ukrainians from other countries of the former Soviet Union.^{10c} Ukraine passed its

nationality law on 8 October 1991 and published it on 14 November 1991.¹² The law entered into force on the day of publication. According to Article 2,¹² all persons resident in Ukraine on the date of entry into force of the law (14 November 1991), who are not nationals of another state, are considered to be Ukrainian citizens, provided they do not object to it. It is unclear if such a formulation includes temporary residents and, among others, former Soviet military personnel situated in Ukraine.^{10a}

6.9. According to Article 2.2 of the law,¹² certain persons of Ukrainian origin who were not residing in Ukraine on 14 November 1991 were able to acquire Ukrainian nationality provided that they were not citizens of another state, were born or permanently resided in Ukraine, and expressed within one year from the entry into force of the law, the desire to become a Ukrainian national. However, Ukrainians resident outside the country had to be either performing military service, studying or working abroad on behalf of the Ukrainian state in order to qualify under this provision.^{10a}

6.10. Ukrainian nationality can also be obtained through birth. According to Article 13 of the law,¹² children both of whose parents are Ukrainian nationals automatically acquire the nationality of their parents, regardless of the place of birth. This is also the case for children of a Ukrainian national and a stateless or unknown person (Article 14.3¹²). Children of a Ukrainian national and a foreign national acquire Ukrainian nationality automatically, if born on Ukrainian territory. Such children may also acquire Ukrainian nationality automatically if, at the time of birth, one of their parents is permanently residing in Ukraine. In all other cases, Ukrainian nationality of the child is determined by a written declaration of both parents (Article 14¹²). Children of stateless persons born in Ukraine acquire Ukrainian nationality, provided that the parents are permanently resident in Ukraine (Article 15¹²). Nationality is automatically acquired by children of unknown parents found on Ukrainian territory (Article 16¹²).^{10a}

6.11. Ukrainian nationality can also be acquired through naturalisation. Foreign citizens and stateless persons may apply for Ukrainian nationality if they fulfil the conditions laid down in Article 17.2.¹² Under this provision, applicants must: renounce foreign nationality, unless otherwise provided in bilateral conventions; have permanently resided on the territory of Ukraine for the previous five years; have a legal source of income in Ukraine; know the Ukrainian language as necessary "for communication"; and recognise and obey the Constitution of Ukraine. However, under Article 17.3 and 4,¹² these requirements may be waived or softened for women married to a Ukrainian national, provided they renounce their former nationality and submit an application, or for individuals who have rendered special services to the Ukrainian state. Finally, under Article 17.2,¹² the residence requirement does not apply to those who were born on the territory of Ukraine or who had at least one parent or grandparent that was born on that territory and who are not citizens of other states.^{10a}

6.12. The citizenship law was subject to amendment in 1997.^{10c} While the law provides the right to Ukrainian citizenship for all individuals who were born or lived in Ukraine before independence and to their descendants who lived outside Ukraine as of 13 November 1991, provided those persons are not citizens of other countries, they must submit their application by the year 2000. The amended law also provides the right to citizenship for deported victims of political oppression, like the Crimean Tatars (see 5.36. Crimean Tatars). In addition, refugees can acquire Ukrainian citizenship if they have lived legally in Ukraine for five years and can communicate in the Ukrainian language.¹¹

D. Internal and Foreign Travel

6.13. The Constitution provides for freedom of movement within the country, foreign travel, emigration and repatriation, and, with some limits, these freedoms are respected in practice. However, the government has not yet fulfilled its pledge to abolish mandatory registration and replace it with an informational residence register. Current regulations impose a nationwide requirement to register at the workplace and place of residence in order to be eligible for social benefits, thereby complicating freedom of movement by limiting access to certain social benefits to the place where one is registered. People who move to other regions for work in the private sector, for example, may be denied formal access to free medical care and other services provided by the state. Residence without registration carries a fine under the administrative code, but this provision is rarely enforced. During 1998, human rights groups reported an increasing number of cases of people being stripped of their residence registration, evicted from their homes, and made homeless through criminal fraud or court error.¹¹

6.14. Ukrainian citizens are required to carry internal passports, which contain a stamp indicating residence and matrimonial status.¹¹ It is not possible for persons abroad to obtain copies of internal passports, but birth certificates can be obtained by relatives and posted to a person outside of Ukraine. Without a photograph, however, the authorities cannot identify the person in the documentation and can only provide confirmation that the person in question exists.^{8b} Meanwhile, a regulation announced in August 1998, requires foreigners to obtain special permits to visit areas within thirty to fifty kilometres of the border, although this regulation is not yet being enforced, pending the adoption of implementing regulations.¹¹

6.15. Citizens who wish to travel abroad are able to do so freely, although exit visas are required for citizens who wish to take up permanent residence in another country. There were no known cases of exit visas being denied during 1998.¹¹ Under Ukrainian law, a citizen requires an external passport to leave the country and this is not difficult to obtain. The Ministry of Internal Affairs retains information on people who have external passports, and if another country needs to know whether a person is a Ukrainian citizen, it can contact the Ukrainian Embassy which, after making enquiries, should be able to verify whether the person is a citizen.^{8b} The government may deny passports to individuals in possession of state secrets, but denials may be appealed.¹

6.16. As of 1 January 1998, following an announcement made in October 1997, Soviet passports ceased to be valid for departure from Ukraine and all citizens wishing to travel are required to hold Ukrainian passports. This applies regardless of the term left in the old Soviet passports. Returning Ukrainians who are Soviet passport holders are allowed entry, but are required to change their passports prior to their next departure (although some flexibility is being allowed in a limited number of individual cases). Ukrainian Embassies abroad are issuing new passports on request.^{7b}

E. Refugees

6.17. Ukraine is not a signatory to the 1951 United Nations' Convention relating to the Status of Refugees nor its 1967 Protocol, and it has not acceded to any other international refugee instrument.^{10b} Its treatment of refugees is governed by the 1993 Law on Refugees, which entitles recognised refugees to all the benefits accorded to citizens,¹¹ but which has been criticised for failing to meet international standards, for example, in not securing the principle of family unity. Of particular concern is the notion of first country of asylum, which, under the 1993 law, means that a person cannot be recognised as a refugee if he has stayed in a country where asylum could have been granted. The UNHCR has criticised the indiscriminate use of this regulation in Ukraine, which it claims has led to the exclusion from refugee status of a large number of people in need of international protection. The safe third country regulation, though not applied to Afghan asylum seekers who entered Ukraine via Russia before 1 January 1995, is frequently applied to people who arrived in Ukraine after that date, for example, Iraqis who have travelled via Turkey.^{10b}

6.18. Also of concern is that under the 1993 law, the principle of *non-refoulement* applies to recognised refugees only, and the principle is not reflected elsewhere in Ukrainian legislation. In addition, lengthy determination and inadequate appeal procedures have led to further problems for asylum seekers. Those who are unable to access the refugee determination procedure immediately due to an overburdened system, as well as many who have an appeal outstanding against the refusal of asylum, are unable to work, access accommodation or health care, and can be subject to fines, detention and harassment by the police. In view of its concerns, the UNHCR has advised states, in principle, not to apply the safe third country notion to asylum seekers who have stayed in or travelled through Ukraine.^{10b}

6.19. The government has, however, taken significant steps in developing institutions and structures to protect refugees in Ukraine and the UNHCR has committed its support for this capacity-building process.^{10b} Refugee certificates began to be issued in February 1996 by the Ministry of Nationalities, which determines refugee status,^{8b} and the UNHCR has noted further significant progress since then. In particular, status determination and appeal procedures have now been introduced throughout Ukraine. However, a number of gaps remain in the coverage of relevant legislation and further implementation of procedures, deficiencies which should be partially addressed by the revision of refugee legislation, which is expected to be approved by parliament during 1999. At present, refugee status is given for an initial period of three months, which may subsequently be extended.^{10c} While refugees are entitled to material assistance under the refugee law,¹¹ the three-month rule has been criticised by the UNHCR for restricting opportunities for employment and secure accommodation. However, the UNHCR remains committed to working with the government on capacity-building measures.^{10c} In 1997, the government, in co-operation with the UNHCR, established a refugee receiving centre for 200 people in Vinnytsya and it plans to open another four centres elsewhere.¹¹

6.20. By October 1998, there were 3,101 people officially registered as refugees in Ukraine, some 2,353 of whom are Afghans and 1,056 of whom are children. A commitment has been made to award refugee status to all Afghans who arrived in Ukraine before 1995,¹¹ and most of those who qualify had been studying in Ukraine and did not wish to return to Afghanistan.^{8b} The other major refugee-producing countries for Ukraine are Angola, the Central African Republic, Iran and Iraq,^{10c} with Kurds forming a large number.^{8b} Under the citizenship law, legally registered refugees may apply for citizenship after five years of permanent residence.¹¹ However, large numbers of potential asylum seekers do not register with the authorities or with the UNHCR, as their ultimate objective

is to transit Ukraine and obtain refugee status in western Europe. This trend is encouraged by Ukraine's safe third country regulation, which has led to the majority of asylum seekers being rejected on the grounds that they travelled through other countries before entering Ukraine.^{10c} Instances of police harassment of certain categories of refugees appear to have diminished during 1998. There have been no reports of forcible deportation of asylum seekers, or forcible returns to countries where persecution is feared. However, the government has not supported a foreign-funded programme to facilitate the travel to Ukraine of some emigrants who qualify for resettlement as refugees.¹¹

6.21. Ukraine has signed re-admission agreements with the Slovak Republic, Poland and Hungary. These agreements foresee the re-admission of three categories of persons: nationals; third country nationals and stateless persons lawfully staying on the territory of one state party; and third country nationals who illegally cross the common border. In practice, the number of people who have been re-admitted under the third category is very limited, and Ukraine is willing to re-admit a person only if clear evidence is provided that the individual has left Ukraine for another country.^{10b} Ukraine's major problem is that it has no similar re-admission agreement with Russia and cannot in any case find the funds to repatriate the increasing numbers of immigrants. Ukraine is, therefore, something of an immigrant trap.^{7b}

CHRONOLOGY OF MAIN EVENTS, 1921-1998

1921: Following a few years of partial, ill-fated independence following the Bolshevik revolution in 1917,^{7a} the Treaty of Riga, which formally ended the Soviet-Polish War, assigned western Ukraine to Poland, Czechoslovakia and Romania, while eastern and central lands formed the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic (SSR).¹

1922: The Ukrainian SSR was one of the founding members of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR) in December.¹

1920s: A period of national development for Ukrainians: the use of the Ukrainian language was encouraged, literacy improved and the New Economic Policy (NEP) gave to peasants a certain measure of prosperity.¹

1930s: The end of the NEP and the collectivisation of agriculture resulted in famine, in which an estimated seven million Ukrainians died. The repressive policies of Stalin were particularly severe in Ukraine, where advocates of the wider use of the Ukrainian language, or of greater autonomy for the republic, were arrested. By the late 1930s, almost the entire Ukrainian cultural and political élite had been imprisoned, killed or exiled. Later, the repressions were extended to include people from all sectors of society.¹

1940s: The Second World War brought further suffering to Ukraine and led to the deaths of around six million Ukrainians, although Soviet victory did unite the east and west of the country.¹ Ukraine was also subsequently enlarged by territories once Polish, Czechoslovakian and Romanian.^{7a}

1954: Crimea, formerly an autonomous republic within the Russian Federation and home of the Tatars (deported *en masse* to Soviet Central Asia in 1944), was handed to Ukraine.¹

1960s: Encouraged by the thaw of de-Stalinisation, there was an increase in overt criticism of the regime, when political and religious dissidents began to demand greater civil, religious and national rights.³ This led to a widespread repression of the critics.¹

1970s: The repression of dissidents continued, while there was also a purge of the membership of the ruling Communist Party of Ukraine (CPU) in the 1972.¹

1980s: The accession of Mikhail Gorbachev to the Soviet leadership in 1985 and his policy of *perestroika* (restructuring) had little initial effect in Ukraine, where dissidents continued to be harassed by the police, independent political and cultural groups were refused legal status, and the republican media remained under the strict control of the CPU.¹

1986: On 26 April, a serious explosion occurred at the Chernobyl nuclear power station, in northern Ukraine, in which thirty-one people were killed and perhaps thousands more died from acute radiation sickness, while increased numbers of cancers and related illnesses were reported throughout a large area.¹

1988: The official secrecy surrounding the explosion led to greater public support for opposition movements in Ukraine. The Ukrainian People's Movement for Restructuring (known as Rukh) was founded by a group of prominent writers and intellectuals.¹

1989: Opposition also came from religious groups such as the Ukrainian Catholic (Uniate) Church and the Ukrainian Autocephalous Orthodox Church, which began campaigns for official recognition. Legalisation was eventually granted to the Catholic Church when Gorbachev met Pope John Paul II in December, and the Ukrainian Autocephalous Orthodox Church was formally revived the following year.¹

1990: Local and republican elections were held on 4 March, in which Rukh and other groups with similar views gained around 170 seats. However, in the Russian-speaking communities of eastern Ukraine and in rural regions, there was stronger support for the CPU, which won an estimated 280 seats.¹

1991: Despite Rukh's support for independence, the government signed the protocol to a new draft union treaty with the USSR in March. When on 19 August, the State Committee for the State of Emergency (SCSE) attempted to stage a *coup d'état* in Moscow, there was an initially cautious response from the Ukrainian leadership. The collapse of the *coup* and the subsequent banning of the CPU led to significant changes in the politics of Ukraine. On 24 August, the Supreme Soviet adopted a declaration of independence, which was overwhelmingly approved on 1 December, when Leonid Kravchuk was elected as President.¹

1992: Measures aimed at consolidating independence were introduced, including the establishment of the Ukrainian armed forces and new national symbols. Under pressure from Kiev, the Crimean authorities renounced the idea of political independence in return for broad autonomy. Relations between the local leadership and Crimean Tatars, 250,000 of whom had returned to Crimea from Central Asia by late 1992, deteriorated steadily. In October, a Tatar encampment was dispersed on the orders of the Crimean government, and in response some 6,000 Tatars stormed the Crimean parliament building.¹

1993: By early 1993, disputes concerning economic policy were dominating domestic politics, which led to growing conflict between President Kravchuk and the Prime Minister, Leonid Kuchma, who subsequently resigned in September, after which Kravchuk assumed direct control of the government and announced early elections to a new 450-member Supreme Council in March and April 1994.¹

1994: The result of the elections was an overwhelming victory for communist and left-wing parties as well as independent candidates, and appeared to confirm the existence of a political division between eastern Ukraine, whose largely Russian population voted for left-wing, pro-Russian parties, and the west of the country, where moderate Ukrainian nationalist parties won the greatest share of the votes. In July, Kuchma was elected President, defeating Kravchuk.¹

1995: Tension between the Crimean authorities and Kiev reached a peak, when President Kuchma and his government reacted firmly to Crimean attempts to go beyond the bounds of the Ukrainian Constitution. The Crimean authorities eventually agreed to Ukrainian demands for a new Constitution, but its adoption in October prompted mass rallies and hunger strikes by Crimean Tatars, who claimed that it ignored their interests.¹

1996: Following lengthy discussions, the Rada approved a new Constitution on 28 June. Unrest in

the coal-mining industry continued and led to one-fifth of the mining community striking in July. Protest at the government's failure to pay public sector wages re-emerged in October, when some 15,000 teachers went on strike in Kiev. This was followed by mass rallies and strikes in other cities later that month. An amended Crimean Constitution was adopted in December.¹

1997: The economic crisis continued and in February the Ministers of Finance and Statistics were dismissed by the President. Nationwide demonstrations took place in March because of the government's continued failure to pay wage arrears, and the issue continued to provoke social unrest during the year.¹

1998: A total of thirty parties and electoral blocs contested the parliamentary elections to the Rada on 29 March. The result of the elections demonstrated widespread support for left-wing parties, primarily the CPU,¹ which gained a total of 122 seats,⁶ to become the largest party in the legislature. Elections held simultaneously in Crimea demonstrated a similar support for left-wing parties there. Leonid Hrach, leader of the Communist Party of Crimea, was elected Chairman of the new Supreme Council, and upon the expiry of the Crimean government's mandate in May, a new Council of Ministers was appointed, with Serhiy Kunitsyn as Prime Minister.¹

MAIN POLITICAL ORGANISATIONS

Until 1990, the only legal party was the Communist Party of Ukraine (CPU), an integral part of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union. In 1988/89, however, a Ukrainian People's Movement for Restructuring (known as Rukh) was established to support greater democratisation and freedom of speech, and several other political organisations were also founded. In 1990, after the CPU's constitutional monopoly was abolished, many new political parties were established. Rukh, which had been the main coalition of forces opposed to the CPU between 1988 and 1991, became a political party, the People's Movement of Ukraine, in 1993, and by the beginning of 1994 both extreme left-wing and extreme right-wing parties had been formed. The CPU was banned after the *coup* attempt in Moscow in August 1991, but re-registered in 1993. After the 1994 and 1998 elections, the Communist Party was the largest single party in the Ukrainian parliament.

Agrarian Party of Ukraine founded 1996; advocates revival of the Ukrainian countryside; Chair: Kateryna Vashchuk; 196,000 members.¹

Banderites (Stepan Bandera Followers): Stepan Bandera was one of the most famous leaders of the Ukrainian Insurgent Army (UPA) which operated during and after the Second World War. The aim of the party was to gain the independence of Ukraine. Bandera's name became synonymous with Ukrainian nationalism during the Soviet era. The group most often described as **Followers of Bandera** or **Banderites** is the UNSO.^{7b}

Christian Democratic Party of Ukraine founded 1989; democratic nationalist party; Chair: Volodymyr Stretovych; 12,000 members.¹

Communist Party of Ukraine until 1990, the only legal political party in Ukraine, and an integral part of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union; banned in August 1991, re-registered 1993; advocates state control of economy and confederation with Russia; Sec.Cen.Ctte: Petro Symonenko; 120,000 members.¹ Won 122 seats in the March 1998 parliamentary elections.⁶

Congress of National Democratic Forces founded 1992; alliance of 20 nationalist-conservative groups and parties; advocates a strong presidency, a unitary state, secession from the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS), and a "socially-just" market economy; Chair: Mykhailo Horyn; includes following four parties:¹

Democratic Party of Ukraine founded 1990; democratic nationalist party; opposes CIS membership, advocates national cultural and linguistic policies to support Ukrainian heritage; Chair: Volodymyr Yavoriskiy; 5,000 members.¹

Ukrainian National Conservative Party: founded 1992 by merger of Ukrainian National Party and Ukrainian People's Democratic Party; radical nationalist party; leader: Oleh Soskin; 500 members.¹

Ukrainian Peasant Democratic Party: founded 1990; democratic nationalist party; advocates private farming, dissolution of collective farms; Chair: Viktor Prysyzhnyuk; 5,000 members.¹

Ukrainian Republican Party: founded 1990 as successor to Ukrainian-Helsinki Union (founded 1988); democratic nationalist party; advocates immediate departure from CIS, consolidation of independence; merger with the Democratic Party of Ukraine proposed in 1994; formed the National Front bloc together with the Congress of Ukrainian Nationalists and the Ukrainian Conservative Republican Party in 1997; Chair: Bohdan Yaroshynskiy; 13,000 members.¹

Congress of Ukrainian Nationalists: founded 1992; radical nationalist party,¹ electoral front of the Organisation of Ukrainian Nationalists; in 1997 formed the National Front bloc with the Ukrainian Conservative Republican Party and the Ukrainian Republican Party; three deputies from the CUN were elected in the March 1998 elections;^{8c} leader: Yaroslava Stestko.¹

Green Party of Ukraine: founded 1990 as political wing of environmental organisation, Zeleny Svit (Green World: founded 1987); democratic nationalist party; President: Vitaliy Kononov; 3,000 members.¹ Won 26 seats in the March 1998 parliamentary elections.⁶

Hromada: founded 1993; centre-right party; favours economic reforms, but opposed to economic policies of current government and President; Chair: Pavlo Lazarenko (ex-Prime Minister).^{7b} Won 45 seats in the March 1998 parliamentary elections.⁶

Inter-regional Bloc for Reform founded 1994; advocates political and economic reform, private ownership and a federal system of government; allied with New Ukraine; Chair: Volodymyr Hrynyov.¹

Labour Congress of Ukraine: founded 1993; left-centrist party; leader: A. Matvienko; 2,000 members.¹

Liberal Party: founded 1991; stronghold is city of Donetsk; centrist, pro-economic reform; leader: Volodymyr Shcherban.^{7b}

My: founded November 1995 to support 21 candidates taking part in the December 1995 by-election to the Rada and was backed by the centrist Reforms faction; was not amongst the organisations registered to take part in the 1998 elections.^{7b}

National Fascist Party, founded 1993; advocates supremacy of the Ukrainian nation and the extension of Ukrainian borders to the scale of Keivan Rus; leader: Fedor Zaviryukha.^{8c}

New Ukraine: founded 1992; alliance of centrist parties and moderate left-wing groups; advocates radical economic reform and improvement of links with Russia and CIS; Chair: Yevhen Kushnaryov; 30,000 members; includes the following party:¹

Party for Democratic Renewal of Ukraine founded 1990 as the democratic platform within the CPU; centrist party; advocates close economic links with Russia and CIS, a market economy and privatisation; leader: Volodymyr Filenko; 2,500 members.¹

Organisation of Ukrainian Idealists: founded 1994; advocates a Ukrainian national Christian state that unites and protects all Christians; publishes the small-circulation *Idealist*; leader: Mykhailo Mankovskyy.^{8c}

Organisation of Ukrainian Nationalists: founded 1993; comprised of half-Slav and half-

Ukrainian members who adhere to Ukrainian nationalist ideology; publishes the monthly *Neskorena Natsiya* (*Unconquered Nation*) with a circulation of 4,000; its electoral front is the **Congress of Ukrainian Nationalists**; leaders: Ivan Kandyba, Volodymyr Shlemko and Mykola Plavyuk; 1,000 members.^{8c}

Party for the National Salvation of Ukraine registered as a political party in 1993; centrist; leader: Leonid Yershov; 1,500 members.¹

Peasants' Party of Ukraine founded 1992; centrist party; advocates retention of collective farm system; opposed to radical economic reform and land privatisation; leader: Serhiy Dovhan; 62,000 members.¹ As part of a bloc with the Socialist Party, won 25 seats in the March 1998 parliamentary elections. Independently, won 15 seats.⁶

People's Movement of Ukraine (Rukh): founded 1988/89 as popular movement (Ukrainian People's Movement for Restructuring); registered as political party in 1993; national democratic party; leader: Vyacheslav Chornovil; 62,000 full members, 500,000 associate members.¹ Won 48 seats in the March 1998 parliamentary elections.⁶

People's (Popular) Democratic Party of Ukraine founded 1996, on the basis of the New Ukraine movement amongst others; leader: Anatoly Matvienko; 20,000 members.^{7b} Won 77 seats in the March 1998 parliamentary elections.⁶

People's Party of Ukraine centrist party; leader: L. Taburyanskiy; 3,671 members.¹

Progressive Socialist Party: founded 1996 by members of the Socialist Party of Ukraine; ideology closer to communists; opposes moves towards social democracy; Chair: Nataliya Vitrenko.^{7b} Won 14 seats in the March 1998 parliamentary elections.⁶

Reforms and Order: founded 1997; favours radical market reform and anti-corruption; leader: Viktor Pynzenyk (ex-Deputy Prime Minister).^{7b}

Social Democratic Party of Ukraine founded 1995 by merger of Ukrainian Party of Justice, Party of Human Rights and Social Democratic Party; advocates economic and political reform; centrist party; Chair: Vasyl V Onopenko; 10,000 members.¹ As the **United Social Democratic Party**, won 23 seats in the March 1998 parliamentary elections.⁶

Socialist Party of Ukraine founded 1991; formed as partial successor to CPU; advocates retention of large state role in the economy, stronger links with CIS, priority for workers in privatisation; strongly anti-nationalist; leader: Oleksandr Moroz; 90,000 members.¹ Together with the Peasants' Party, won 25 seats in the March 1998 parliamentary elections.⁶

State Independence of Ukraine Party: founded 1990; radical nationalist party;¹ publishes *Nezborima Natsiya* (*Invincible Nation*) with a circulation of about 5,000 every three weeks; in November 1997 formed alliance with the **Ukrainian Social-National Party**;^{8c} leader: Roman Koval.¹

Subcarpathian Republican Party: founded 1992 by the Society of Carpathian Ruthenians (Rusyns), who consider themselves ethnically distinct from Ukrainians; supports the establishment of a Transcarpathian Autonomous Republic.¹

Tryzub: a public sports and patriotic organisation, with connections in the Ukrainian National Assembly, which drew media attention in 1996 when members who were security guards at a factory in Chernivtsy region staged a protest which resulted in the arrest of 32 members. The Tryzub or Trident, is actually the Ukrainian national symbol which has been adopted by various political parties, as their coat of arms.^{7b}

Ukrainian Conservative Republican Party: founded 1992; opposes any reduction in Ukraine's armed forces; in 1997 formed part of the National Front bloc, together with the **Ukrainian Republican Party** and the **Congress of Ukrainian Nationalists**, but did not win any seats in the March 1998 parliamentary elections;^{8c} radical nationalist party; leader: Stepan Khmara; 3,000 members.¹

Ukrainian Helsinki Committee: founded in November 1976 following the creation of the Helsinki Committee in Moscow the previous May. Both were inspired by the Soviet Union's signature in 1975 of the Helsinki Accords, under which the Soviet authorities agreed to respect the civil rights of their citizens. The two bodies (and similar organisations in Lithuania, Georgia and Armenia) intended to monitor the Kremlin's observance of its CSCE commitments, believing that those commitments gave them the right to exist. However, by 1980 three-quarters of the members of the Ukrainian Helsinki Group were in prison and the rest were either in exile or had been allowed to emigrate. The original membership of the Ukrainian Helsinki Group comprised 37 dissidents, nationalists, artists, and religious activists. Two of them, Levko Lukyanenko and Vyacheslav Chornovil, are now members of the Rada.^{7b}

Ukrainian National Assembly: founded 1990; 'Ukraine's largest ultra-nationalist group, which is not solely a political party but includes union committees, strike committees, welfare organisations and committees of soldiers' mothers; while based in Kiev, has structures in all regions of Ukraine; described as a fascist grouping formed initially as a loose alliance of right-wing parties^{8f}; having previously had its registration as a political party revoked because of its refusal to disassociate itself from the **Ukrainian National Self-Defence Organisation**, it was subsequently re-registered for participation in the March 1998 parliamentary elections,^{7b} in which it failed to secure any seats;^{8c} has since had its registration again revoked; advocates violence and ethnic intolerance;^{7b} leaders: Oleh Vitovych and Dmytro Korchynsky; between 5,000 and 10,000 members.^{8c}

Ukrainian National Self-Defence Organisation: the paramilitary wing of the **Ukrainian National Assembly**, established during the August 1991 Moscow *coup*,^{8c} whose activities were declared illegal after they were perceived as a threat to the stability of Ukraine and to relations with Russia.^{7b}

Ukrainian Social-National Party: founded 1991; registered as a national party in 1995; seeks a new society based on Ukrainian national supremacy; leader: Yaroslav Andrushkiv; 3,000 members, 80% of whom are students.^{8c}

Ukrainian Student Union: one of the founder groups of the Ukrainian Popular Movement's youth wing in 1993.^{7b}

Union of Industrialists & Entrepreneurs founded 1992; centrist movement; advocates retention of major state participation in the economy; leader: Anatoly Kinakh.^{7b}

CRIMEA

Communist Party of Crimea: Like its Ukrainian counterpart, the Communist Party of Crimea was banned in August 1991. The following month, however, several local communist unions were established, which merged in June 1992 to form the Union of Communists of Crimea. On 18 June 1993, the Union was renamed the Communist Party of Crimea and was officially registered on 15 September 1993.¹

Milli Firka (National Party): a radical nationalist group.¹

National Movement of Crimean Tatars: a moderate organisation, committed to co-operation with the existing political structures in Crimea.¹

Organisation of the Crimean Tatar Movement: the dominant political party among Crimean Tatars, founded in 1989, which advocates the restoration of Tatar statehood in Crimea. Also organised a Crimean Tatar representative body, the Mejlis.¹

Party for the Economic Renewal of Crimea: the most powerful of several parties promoting business interests, formed in 1994.¹

Republican Party of Crimea: one of several powerful political interest groups to emerge in 1993-94; part of the 'Russia' bloc which consisted of various pro-Russian parties; former leader, Yuri Meshkov, won the Crimean presidential elections of January 1994.¹

The Ukrainian Republican Party and the Democratic Party of Ukraine (see above) also have some support amongst the population of Crimea.¹

PROMINENT PEOPLE

Stepan Bandera: One of the most famous leaders of the Ukrainian Insurgent Army (UPA), which operated during and after the Second World War. The aim of the party was to gain the independence of Ukraine and Bandera's name became synonymous with Ukrainian nationalism during the Soviet era.^{7b}

Vyacheslav Chornovil: Former dissident and leader of the radical Lviv regional council who, as runner-up in the July 1994 presidential elections, won 23% of the votes cast, failing to come near to Leonid Kravchuk's 62%. Also leader of the People's Movement of Ukraine (Rukh), founded in 1988/89 as a popular movement (Ukrainian People's Movement for Restructuring), registered as a political party in 1993.¹

Arkadiy Demydenko: Prime Minister of Crimea until he was dismissed in June 1997, and replaced by Anatoliy Franchuk.¹

Serhiy Dovhan: Leader of the Peasants' Party of Ukraine, founded in 1992, which advocates retention of the collective farm system, and is opposed to radical economic reform and land privatisation.¹

Volodymyr Filenko: Leader of the Party for Democratic Renewal of Ukraine, founded in 1990 as the democratic platform within the CPU, which advocates close economic links with Russia and CIS (Commonwealth of Independent States), a market economy and privatisation.¹

Vitold Fokin: Replaced Vitaliy Masol, who was forced to resign as Chairman of the Council of Ministers after protest marches by up to 100,000 students in Kiev in October 1990. Fokin was seen as a compromise candidate who could unite the opposition and liberal communists behind a programme of economic reforms.¹

Anatoliy Franchuk: Appointed Prime Minister of Crimea in June 1997, and remained in office until new elections in May 1998, when he was succeeded by Serhiy Kunitsyn.¹

Mikhail Gorbachev: Leader of the Soviet Union between 1985 and 1991. The reforms he advocated had little initial effect in Ukraine, where Vladimir Shcherbitsky seldom implemented any changes, despite the latter's avowed support for the concept of *perestroika* (restructuring).¹ However, Gorbachev's policy of *glasnost* (the new freedom of expression) became a means for the nationalities of the USSR to voice their grievances and aspirations, and precipitated the dissolution of the USSR in December 1991.³

Mykhailo Horyn: Leader of the Congress of National Democratic Forces, an alliance of 20 nationalist-conservative groups and parties, which advocates a strong presidency, a unitary state, secession from CIS, and a "socially-just" market economy.¹

Volodymyr Hrynyov: Leader of the Inter-regional Bloc for Reform, founded in 1994, which advocates political and economic reform, private ownership and a federal system of government.¹

Stanislav Hurenko: Replaced Volodymyr Ivashkova as First Secretary (leader) of the CPU in June 1990.¹

Volodymyr Ivashko: Replaced Vladimir Shcherbitsky as First Secretary (leader) of the CPU in 1989, and in June 1990 was also elected Chairman of the Supreme Soviet (the highest state post in the republic). In response to subsequent protests by deputies of the Democratic Bloc, who asserted that the Chairman of the Supreme Soviet should not also be CPU leader, he resigned later in the month as First Secretary of the party and was replaced by his former deputy, Stanislav Hurenko. However, in July 1990, he also resigned as Chairman of the Supreme Soviet, after his appointment as Deputy General Secretary of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union (CPSU). He was succeeded as Chairman of the Supreme Soviet by Leonid Kravchuk.¹

Stepan Khmara: Leader of the Ukrainian Conservative Republican Party, a radical nationalist party.¹

Anatoly Kinakh: Leader of the Union of Industrialists & Entrepreneurs, founded in 1992, which advocates the retention of major state participation in the economy.^{7b}

Vitaliy Kononov: Leader of the Green Party of Ukraine, founded in 1990 as the political wing of the environmental organisation, Zeleny Svit (Green World, founded in 1987).¹

Roman Koval: Leader of State Independence of Ukraine, a radical nationalist party, founded in 1990.¹

Leonid Kravchuk: Replaced Volodymyr Ivashko as Chairman of the Supreme Soviet in July 1990. As a supporter of greater Ukrainian independence, he led the so-called 'national communists', as opposed to the 'imperial communists', who remained committed to the USSR. Despite his past record as a loyal communist official, his experience and support for Ukrainian independence led to his election as President of the Republic on 1 December 1991. He held office until July 1994, when presidential elections resulted in his defeat at the hands of Leonid Kuchma.¹

Leonid Kuchma: Former manager of a missiles factory, was appointed Prime Minister following the resignation of the government in October 1992, after a second vote of no-confidence. He subsequently headed a new government which included several members of Rukh and New Ukraine, and embarked on an extensive programme of economic reform, which led to growing conflict with President Kravchuk. In September 1993, Kuchma resigned due to the constant obstruction by the Supreme Council to his reform programme. Shortly afterwards Kravchuk himself assumed direct control of the government, but failed to defeat Kuchma in the subsequent presidential elections of July 1994, which Kuchma won with 52% of the votes cast, on a platform of closer economic and industrial ties with Russia and CIS.¹

Serhiy Kunitsyn: Appointed Prime Minister of Crimea in May 1998.¹

Yevhen Kushnaryov: Leader of New Ukraine, founded in 1992, as an alliance of centrist parties and moderate left-wing groups, which advocates radical economic reform and improvement of links with Russia and CIS.¹

Pavlo Lazarenko: Former chairman of a collective farm, was appointed First Deputy Prime Minister in August 1995, and Prime Minister in May 1996. He was subject to an assassination

attempt in July 1996, possibly in connection with his role in resolving the miners' dispute shortly before. In June 1997, was removed from office by President Kuchma who cited ill-health as the reason for his departure, but there was speculation that the real reason was his failure to expedite economic reform, and there were also accusations of corruption. Became leader of Hromada, and it was later announced that he was to be prosecuted on charges of embezzlement.¹ In December 1998, was arrested in Switzerland and charged with money laundering.⁶

Yevhen Marchuk: Former Deputy Prime Minister and Chairman of the State Security Service, replaced Vitaliy Masol as Prime Minister in March 1995. Dismissed by President Kuchma in May 1996 for failing to address the ongoing crisis in the economy, and replaced by Pavlo Lazarenko.¹

Anatoly Matviyenko: Leader of the People's (Popular) Democratic Party of Ukraine, founded in 1996, on the basis of the New Ukraine movement amongst others.^{7b} Also leader of the Labour Congress of Ukraine, founded in 1993.¹

Yurii Meshkov: An ethnic Russian and leader of the Republican Party of Crimea, was elected President of Crimea in January 1994.¹

Oleksandr Moroz: Leader of the Socialist Party of Ukraine, founded in 1991, as a partial successor to the CPU. Was elected Chairman of the Supreme Council in May 1994.¹

Vitaliy Masol: Premier between 1987 and 1990, when he was forced to resign as Chairman of the Council of Ministers after protest marches by up to 100,000 students in Kiev in October 1990, and was replaced by Vitold Fokin. In June 1994, was elected Prime Minister, but resigned in March 1995, allegedly as a result of differences of opinion with President Kuchma over economic policy.¹

Vasyl V Onopenko: Leader of the Social Democratic Party of Ukraine, founded in 1995 by a merger of the Ukrainian Party of Justice, the Party of Human Rights and the Social Democratic Party.¹

Viktor Prysyzhnyuk: Leader of the Ukrainian Peasant Democratic Party, founded in 1990, which advocates private farming and the dissolution of collective farms.¹

Valeriy Pustovoytenko: Appointed Prime Minister in July 1997.¹

Viktor Pynzenyk: A key reformist and Deputy Prime Minister until August 1993, when he resigned, but was re-appointed in August 1995, when he was given responsibility for economic reform. He again resigned in April 1997, citing the Supreme Council's continued opposition to reform proposals, and was replaced by Serhiy Tihipko.¹ Leader of Reforms and Order, founded in 1997, which favours radical market reform and anti-corruption.^{7b}

Volodymyr Shcherban: Leader of the Liberal Party, founded in 1991.^{7b}

Vladimir Shcherbitsky: A loyal ally of the Soviet leader, Leonid Brezhnev, replaced Petr Shelest as First Secretary (leader) of the CPU in 1973. However, his failure to control the growing influence of Rukh or to address worker unrest led to his dismissal in 1989.¹

Petr Shelest: First Secretary (leader) of the CPU, accused of tolerating dissent in the republic in 1973, and subsequently replaced by Vladimir Shcherbitsky.¹

Oleh Soskin: Leader of the Ukrainian National Conservative Party, founded in 1992 by a merger of the Ukrainian National Party and the Ukrainian People's Democratic Party.¹

Yaroslava Stestko: Leader of the Congress of Ukrainian Nationalists, founded in 1992.¹

Volodymyr Stretovych: Leader of the Christian Democratic Party of Ukraine, founded in 1989.¹

Petro Symonenko: Leader of the CPU, until 1990 the only legal political party in Ukraine, and an integral part of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union.¹

L Taburyanskiy: Leader of the People's Party of Ukraine.¹

Serhiy Tihipko: Appointed Deputy Prime Minister in April 1997 and pledged to reform the excessive bureaucracy of central government structures.¹

Kateryna Vashchuk: Leader of the Agrarian Party of Ukraine, founded in 1996, and advocating revival of the Ukrainian countryside.¹

Oleh Vitovych: Leader of the Ukrainian National Assembly, founded in 1990, which advocates violence and ethnic intolerance.^{7b}

Nataliya Vitrenko: Leader of the Progressive Socialist Party, founded in 1996 by members of the Socialist Party of Ukraine.^{7b}

Bohdan Yaroshynskiy: Leader of the Ukrainian Republican Party, founded in 1990 as a successor to the Ukrainian Helsinki Union (founded 1988), and advocating immediate departure from CIS and consolidation of independence.¹

Volodymyr Yavoriskiy: Leader of the Democratic Party of Ukraine, founded in 1990, which opposes CIS membership, and advocates national cultural and linguistic policies to support Ukrainian heritage.¹

Leonid Yershov: Leader of the Party for the National Salvation of Ukraine, registered as a political party in 1993.¹

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Books

1. EUROPA, *Europa World Year Book*, Europa Publications, London, 1998.
2. TARAS KUZIO, *Ukraine: The Unfinished Revolution*, Institute for European Defence & Strategic Studies, London, 1992.
3. OREST SUBTELNY, *Ukraine: A History*, University of Toronto, Toronto, 1994.

Reports

- 4.a. AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL, *Annual Report 1998: Ukraine*, Amnesty International, 1998
- b. AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL, *Ukraine: Comments on the Third Periodic Report submitted to the United Nations Committee against Torture*, March 1997.
5. DOMINIQUE AREL, *Ukraine: The Muddle Way, Current History*, October 1998.
6. ECONOMIST INTELLIGENCE UNIT (EIU) REPORT, *Ukraine*, 1st Quarter 1999.
- 7.a. FOREIGN & COMMONWEALTH OFFICE, *Ukraine: Background Brief*, November 1998.
- b. FOREIGN & COMMONWEALTH OFFICE, *Supplementary Information*, 1998.
- 8.a. IMMIGRATION & REFUGEE BOARD, *Ukraine: The Situation of Ethnic Minorities*, Research Directorate, Immigration & Refugee Board, Canada, September 1993.
- b. IMMIGRATION & REFUGEE BOARD, *Ukraine: Information on passports and refugees*, Research Directorate, Immigration & Refugee Board, Canada, 13 August 1997.
- c. IMMIGRATION & REFUGEE BOARD, *Ukraine: The Situation of Jews*, Research Directorate, Immigration & Refugee Board, Canada, January 1999.
- 9.a. UNITED NATIONS, *Human Rights International Instruments: Chart of Ratifications as at 31 December 1996*, United Nations Publications, New York and Geneva, 1997.
- b. UNITED NATIONS, *Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment: Third Periodic Report of Ukraine*, (CAT/C/SR.283), 22 May 1997.
- c. UNITED NATIONS, *Economic and Social Council: Prevention of Discrimination Against and the Protection of Minorities - Report of the Working Group on Minorities*

on its fourth session, (E/CN.4/Sub.2/1998/18), 6 July 1998.

- 10.a. UN HIGH COMMISSIONER FOR REFUGEES, *Nationality Laws in Former USSR Republics: Ukraine*, UNHCR, 1993.
- b. UN HIGH COMMISSIONER FOR REFUGEES, *Background information on the situation in Ukraine in the context of the 'Safe Third Country' concept*, UNHCR, Geneva, October 1996.
- c. UN HIGH COMMISSIONER FOR REFUGEES, *1999 Global Appeal Programme Overview: Ukraine*, UNHCR, Geneva, December 1998.
11. US DEPARTMENT OF STATE, *Ukraine: Country Report on Human Rights Practices for 1998*, Bureau of Democracy, Human Rights and Labour, 26 February 1999.

Laws

12. UKRAINE GOVERNMENT, *Law on Citizenship: 14 November 1991*, UNHCR Centre for Documentation and Research, 25 March 1998.
13. UKRAINE GOVERNMENT, *Law on Alternative (Non-military) Service*, 12 December 1991.

BBC/Reuters Articles

14. TARAS KUZIO, *Ukraine: Crime still Ukraine's greatest enemy*, *Jane's Intelligence Review (Reuters)*, 1 January 1997.
15. ROMANIAN RADIO, *Romania: Senators condemn Ukrainian discrimination against Romanian minority*, *BBC Monitoring Service (Reuters)*, 5 September 1998.
16. UKRAINIAN TELEVISION, *Ukraine amends law on military service, specifies alternatives*, *BBC Summary of World Broadcasts*, 22 December 1998.
17. UKRAINIAN TELEVISION, *Prosecutor General accuses MPs of hindering fight against crime*, *BBC Summary of World Broadcasts*, 20 January 1999.
18. UNIAN NEWS AGENCY, *Ukraine: Courts hand out more death sentences in 1998*, *BBC Monitoring Service (Reuters)*, 25 January 1999.
19. INTELNEWS AGENCY, *Ukraine: Ukrainian Ministry issues report on fight against drug trafficking in 1998*, *BBC Monitoring International (Reuters)*, 4 February 1999.
20. ITAR-TASS NEWS AGENCY, *Ukraine: About 50 ethnic groups form confederation in western Ukraine*, *BBC Monitoring Service (Reuters)*, 10 February 1999.
21. RUSSIAN PRESS DIGEST, *Ukraine: Goodbye Crimea!*, *Reuters*, 18 February 1999.