

Flygtningenævnets baggrundsmateriale


Bilagsnr.:	818
Land:	Afghanistan
Kilde:	Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction (SIGAR)
Titel:	Addendum to SIGAR's January 2018 Quarterly report to the United States Congress
Udgivet:	Januar 2018
Optaget på baggrundsmaterialet:	14. maj 2018

SIGAR

Special Inspector General for
Afghanistan Reconstruction

JAN 30
2018

ADDENDUM TO SIGAR'S JANUARY 2018
QUARTERLY REPORT TO THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS



ADDENDUM
FEBRUARY 2018

SECURITY

TERRITORIAL AND POPULATION CONTROL

For SIGAR's January 2018 *Quarterly Report to the United States Congress*, Resolute Support (RS) initially instructed the agency not to publicly release district, population, and land-area control data marked NATO/RS UNCLASSIFIED.¹ RS has since reversed this directive, informing SIGAR on February 15, 2018, that it was an error and the data had been remarked for public release.² RS also declassified a detailed control map and the underlying data for each of Afghanistan's 407 districts, shown in Figure 2 and Table 2.³ For information about how RS assesses district control, see page 4 and Table 1.

SIGAR is publishing this addendum to the January 2018 quarterly report to provide analysis of the now-releasable control data for Congress and the public.

Afghan Government's Control of Districts is Lowest, Insurgency's Highest, Since December 2015


Three key points emerge from the RS data:⁴

- The percentage of districts under insurgent control or influence has doubled since 2015.
- The percentage of contested districts has risen by nearly 50% since 2015.
- The percentage of districts under government control or influence had decreased by over 20% since 2015.

According to RS, the number of districts under Afghan government control or influence fell again since last quarter, reaching the lowest level since SIGAR began analyzing district-control data in December 2015. Conversely, insurgent control or influence over Afghanistan's districts increased to a record high this quarter.⁵ A historical record of district control in Afghanistan is shown in Figure 1.

As of October 15, 2017, RS reported that 55.8% of the country's 407 districts are under Afghan government control or influence, a one percentage-point decline since last quarter and a 1.5-point decline from the same period in 2016.⁶ Of the 407 districts of Afghanistan's 34 provinces, 73 districts were under government

FIGURE 1 – HISTORICAL DISTRICT CONTROL IN AFGHANISTAN




Note: * May 2017 figures were updated in USFOR-A's vetting response this quarter.

Source: USFOR-A, response to SIGAR data call, 11/27/2015, 1/29/2016, 5/28/2016, 8/28/2016, 11/15/2016, 2/20/2017, 5/15/2017, 8/24/2017, and 10/15/2017; USFOR-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/16/2018 and 2/7/2018; SIGAR, analysis of USFOR-A provided data, 1/2018.

control, and 154 were under government influence, a decrease of one district under government control and a decrease of three under government influence since last quarter.⁷

There were 13 districts under insurgent control and 45 under insurgent influence as of October 15, 2017, an increase of four districts under insurgent influence since last quarter. Therefore, 14.3% of the country's total districts are now under insurgent control or influence, a one percentage-point increase over last quarter, and a more than four-point increase from the same period in 2016.⁸ The number of contested districts (122) remained the same as last quarter and represents 30% of Afghanistan's districts. According to RS, "contested" districts are those in which both the Afghan government and insurgents have influence, but neither side is in complete control.⁹

FIGURE 2 – CONTROL OR INFLUENCE OF AFGHANISTAN'S 407 DISTRICTS, AS OF OCTOBER 2017



Note: GIROA = Government of the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan. INS = Insurgent. The population data depicted here reflects how the Afghan population is disbursed throughout the country. However, the entire population of a given area is not necessarily under the district stability level indicated. A district is assigned its district stability level based on the overall trend of land-area/population control of each district as a whole.

Source: RS, response to SIGAR data call, 10/2/2017 and response to SIGAR inquiry, 2/15/2018.


As shown in the map in Figure 2, RS identified the provinces with the largest percentage of insurgent-controlled or -influenced districts as Uruzgan Province, with four of its six districts under insurgent control or influence; Kunduz Province (five of seven districts); and Helmand Province (nine of 14 districts). RS noted that the provincial centers of all of Afghanistan's provinces are under Afghan government control or influence.¹⁰

Insurgent Population Control Reaches New High

RS also reported that insurgent control or influence increased to 12% of the population this quarter, its highest level since SIGAR began analyzing population-control data in September 2016. Afghan government control or influence remained roughly the same as last quarter.¹¹ RS reported this quarter that as of October 2017, 3.9 million Afghans (12% of the population) live in districts under insurgent control or influence. Of the estimated 32.5 million people living in Afghanistan, RS determined that the majority, 20.9 million (64%), still live in areas controlled or influenced by the government, while another 7.8 million people (24%) live in areas that are contested.¹²

The 12% of the population controlled or influenced by the insurgency represents a one percentage-point increase since last quarter and a four-point increase compared to the same period in 2016.¹³ While the Afghan government's control or influence over the population has remained much the same over the last year, the percentage of the population living in contested areas decreased by one point since last quarter and five points compared to the same period in 2016.¹⁴ General John Nicholson, the commander of RS, did not mention these gains for the insurgency in his characterization of the situation during a press conference on November 28, when he said that population control "remains roughly the same as [in 2016]."¹⁵ For a historical record of population control in Afghanistan, see Figure 3.

FIGURE 3 – HISTORICAL POPULATION CONTROL IN AFGHANISTAN



Note: * May 2017 figures were updated in USFOR-A's vetting response this quarter.

Source: USFOR-A, response to SIGAR data call, 11/27/2015, 1/29/2016, 5/28/2016, 8/28/2016, 11/15/2016, 2/20/2017, 5/15/2017, 8/24/2017, and 10/15/2017; USFOR-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/16/2018 and 2/7/2018; SIGAR, analysis of USFOR-A provided data, 1/2018.

Land-Area Control

According to RS, the Afghan government held control or influence over 58.9% (379,000 square kilometers) of Afghanistan's 643,000 square kilometers of land, as of October 15, 2017, a slight increase from last quarter's 58.6%. The land area contested decreased from 21.5% last quarter to 20.8% this quarter. However, the land controlled or influenced by the insurgency increased slightly this quarter, from 19.9% to 20.2%. Overall, both the Afghan government and the insurgency gained 0.3% of control or influence over Afghan lands this quarter, reducing the contested amount about by 0.6%.¹⁶

For a district-level breakdown of control throughout Afghanistan, see Table 2 on pages 5–15.

RS District Assessment Methodology

According to USFOR-A, RS uses five stability factors to assess the status of a district (governance, security, infrastructure, economy, and communications), and assigns an overall district-stability level to each district (1-insurgent control, 2-insurgent influence, 3-neutral, 4-Afghan government influence, 5-Afghan government control). RS makes their assessments for the districts based upon their subjective synthesis of the five factors as a whole, enabling their commanders to balance the factors with their understanding of the local and regional conditions. Commanders are also asked to assess the stability of provincial capitals separate from the districts in which they are located.¹⁷ See Table 1 for the description of how each district-stability factor corresponds with the district-stability levels.

TABLE 1 - CATEGORIES USED BY RESOLUTE SUPPORT TO DETERMINE LEVEL OF DISTRICT STABILITY

Stability Factor	INS Control 1	INS Influence 2	Neutral 3	GIROA Influence 4	GIROA Control 5
Governance	No DG or meaningful GIROA presence. INS responsible for governance.	No DG and limited GIROA governance. INS active and well supported.	NO DG present and limited GIROA governance.	DG present and GIROA governance active. INS active but have limited influence.	DG and GIROA control all aspects of governance. Limited INS presence.
Security	INS dominate area. No meaningful ANDSF presence.	ANDSF activities limited. Collapse of district is expected.	ANDSF and INS both present in strength. Neither is able to dominate the area.	ANDSF dominate although INS attacks are common.	ANDSF dominant. INS attacks are rare and ineffective.
Infrastructure	INS control all key infrastructure within the district.	INS control most of the key infrastructure but some GIROA control remains.	Control of key infrastructure routinely passes between GIROA and INS.	GIROA control most of the key infrastructure. INS seek to gain control but are largely ineffective.	GIROA control all key infrastructure. INS unable to compete for control.
Economy	INS control the local economy. No effective GIROA taxation or wages paid. GIROA supply routes are closed.	INS taxation is dominant. Some effective GIROA taxation and wages paid in places.	Effective GIROA taxation and wages are paid but a shadow (and effective) system of INS taxation is also commonplace.	Effective GIROA taxation and wages are paid. A shadow system of INS taxation is present in some areas.	GIROA oversees a function in local economy with taxes collected and wages are paid. Minimal INS interference.
Communications	INS messaging is dominant across the area. GIROA messaging ineffective	INS messaging dominant but GIROA messaging is reaching the people.	Neither GIROA or INS dominate messaging.	GIROA dominate messaging but INS have an active IO campaign.	GIROA dominate. INS messaging is ineffective.
Stability Level (RS Criteria)	Under INS Control	Under INS Influence	Contested	Under GIROA Influence	Under GIROA Control

Note: ANDSF = Afghanistan National Defense and Security Forces, DG = District Governor, GIROA = Government of the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan, IO = Information Operation, RS = Resolute Support.

Source: USFORA, response to SIGAR data call, 2/27/2016.

**TABLE 2 – CONTROL DATA FOR AFGHANISTAN'S 407 DISTRICTS,
AS OF OCTOBER 2017**

UNCLASSIFIED				
Province	District	Oct 2017 Assessment	Landmass [sq km]	Population
Badakhshan	Arghanj Khwah	GIRoA Influence	731	20,000
Badakhshan	Argo	GIRoA Influence	1,054	108,000
Badakhshan	Baharak	Contested	324	36,000
Badakhshan	Darayim	GIRoA Influence	561	74,000
Badakhshan	Darwaz-e Bala	GIRoA Influence	1,335	27,000
Badakhshan	Darwaz-e Pa'in	GIRoA Influence	1,224	33,000
Badakhshan	Faizabad	GIRoA Influence	494	72,000
Badakhshan	Ishkashim	Contested	1,133	17,000
Badakhshan	Jurm	INS Influence	1,227	46,000
Badakhshan	Khashi	Contested	255	45,000
Badakhshan	Khwhan	GIRoA Influence	735	21,000
Badakhshan	Kiran wa Munjan	Contested	5,219	12,000
Badakhshan	Kishim	Contested	770	100,000
Badakhshan	Kohistan	GIRoA Influence	492	20,000
Badakhshan	Kuf Ab	GIRoA Influence	1,418	28,000
Badakhshan	Raghistan	Contested	1,297	49,000
Badakhshan	Shahr-e Buzurg	GIRoA Influence	977	64,000
Badakhshan	Shighnan	GIRoA Influence	3,529	34,000
Badakhshan	Shiki	Contested	620	31,000
Badakhshan	Shuhada	Contested	1,558	42,000
Badakhshan	Tagab	Contested	1,400	34,000
Badakhshan	Tashkan	GIRoA Influence	843	36,000
Badakhshan	Wakhan	GIRoA Influence	10,946	19,000
Badakhshan	Warduj	INS Control	887	27,000
Badakhshan	Yaftal-e Sufla	GIRoA Influence	603	65,000
Badakhshan	Yamgan	INS Control	1,761	31,000
Badakhshan	Yawan	GIRoA Influence	442	39,000
Badakhshan	Zaybak	Contested	1,620	10,000
Badghis	Ab-e Kamari	GIRoA Influence	1,805	89,000
Badghis	Ghormach	INS Influence	1,952	66,000
Badghis	Jawand	GIRoA Influence	7,131	98,000
Badghis	Muqur	Contested	1,259	32,000
Badghis	Murghab	Contested	4,456	118,000
Badghis	Qadis	GIRoA Influence	3,451	114,000
Badghis	Qal'ah-ye Now	GIRoA Control	657	76,000
Baghlan	Andarab	GIRoA Influence	1,020	32,000
Baghlan	Baghlan-e Jadid	Contested	2,596	212,000

Continued on the next page

UNCLASSIFIED

Province	District	Oct 2017 Assessment	Landmass [sq km]	Population
Baghlan	Burkah	Contested	836	64,000
Baghlan	Dahanah-ye Ghor	INS Control	1,453	72,000
Baghlan	Deh-e Salah	Contested	453	38,000
Baghlan	Doshi	Contested	1,942	86,000
Baghlan	Firing wa Gharu	Contested	240	20,000
Baghlan	Gozargah-e Nur	Contested	417	12,000
Baghlan	Khinjan	Contested	1,017	33,000
Baghlan	Khost wa Firing	Contested	1,890	77,000
Baghlan	Khvajah Hijran	Contested	653	29,000
Baghlan	Nahrin	Contested	984	85,000
Baghlan	Pul-e Hisar	Contested	889	34,000
Baghlan	Pul-e Khumri	Contested	533	261,000
Baghlan	Talah wa Barfak	Contested	2,880	38,000
Balkh	Balkh	GIROA Control	541	149,000
Balkh	Chahar Bolak	Contested	516	100,000
Balkh	Chahar Kent	GIROA Control	1,076	53,000
Balkh	Chimtal	Contested	1,810	114,000
Balkh	Dehdadi	GIROA Control	259	82,000
Balkh	Dowlatabad	GIROA Control	1,643	127,000
Balkh	Kaldar	GIROA Control	831	14,000
Balkh	Khulm	GIROA Control	3,009	87,000
Balkh	Kishindeh	GIROA Control	1,182	59,000
Balkh	Marmul	GIROA Control	561	14,000
Balkh	Mazar-e Sharif	GIROA Control	28	448,000
Balkh	Nahr-e Shahi	GIROA Control	1,145	96,000
Balkh	Shahrak-e Hairatan	GIROA Control	82	10,000
Balkh	Sholgarah	GIROA Control	1,791	141,000
Balkh	Shor Tepah	GIROA Control	1,458	48,000
Balkh	Zari	GIROA Control	833	53,000
Bamyan	Bamyan	GIROA Control	1,797	99,000
Bamyan	Kahmard	GIROA Control	1,407	44,000
Bamyan	Panjab	GIROA Control	1,889	84,000
Bamyan	Sayghan	GIROA Control	1,732	30,000
Bamyan	Shaybar	GIROA Control	1,298	36,000
Bamyan	Waras	GIROA Control	2,976	134,000
Bamyan	Yakawlang	GIROA Control	6,779	110,000
Daykundi	Gayti	GIROA Control	1,462	43,000
Daykundi	Gizab	GIROA Control	3,672	82,000
Daykundi	Ishtarlay	GIROA Control	1,350	59,000
Daykundi	Kajran	GIROA Control	1,840	42,000

Continued on the next page

UNCLASSIFIED

Province	District	Oct 2017 Assessment	Landmass [sq km]	Population
Daykundi	Khedir	GI RoA Control	1,551	55,000
Daykundi	Mir Amor	GI RoA Control	2,383	76,000
Daykundi	Nili	GI RoA Control	549	50,000
Daykundi	Sang-e Takht	GI RoA Control	1,923	62,000
Daykundi	Shahristan	GI RoA Control	1,954	81,000
Farah	Anar Darah	GI RoA Influence	10,619	34,000
Farah	Bakwah	Contested	2,436	43,000
Farah	Bala Boluk	INS Influence	5,532	87,000
Farah	Farah	GI RoA Influence	3,444	139,000
Farah	Gulistan	Contested	7,052	53,000
Farah	Khak-e Safed	Contested	1,842	37,000
Farah	Lash-e Juwayn	GI RoA Control	5,422	34,000
Farah	Pur Chaman	GI RoA Influence	6,441	64,000
Farah	Pusht-e Rod	Contested	433	50,000
Farah	Qal'ah-ye Kah	GI RoA Influence	3,550	38,000
Farah	Shayb Koh	GI RoA Control	2,794	27,000
Faryab	Almar	INS Influence	1,589	89,000
Faryab	Andkhoy	GI RoA Influence	377	49,000
Faryab	Bal Chiragh	Contested	1,126	61,000
Faryab	Dowlatabad	GI RoA Influence	2,729	60,000
Faryab	Gurziwan	Contested	1,868	92,000
Faryab	Khan-e Chahar Bagh	GI RoA Influence	942	28,000
Faryab	Khwajah Sabz Posh	Contested	556	67,000
Faryab	Kohistan	INS Influence	2,309	67,000
Faryab	Maimanah	GI RoA Influence	147	103,000
Faryab	Pashtun Kot	Contested	2,689	224,000
Faryab	Qaisar	INS Influence	2,545	176,000
Faryab	Qaram Qol	GI RoA Influence	1,069	21,000
Faryab	Qurghan	GI RoA Influence	811	62,000
Faryab	Shirin Tagab	Contested	1,961	99,000
Ghazni	Ab Band	GI RoA Influence	1,005	34,000
Ghazni	Ajristan	Contested	1,602	36,000
Ghazni	Andar	Contested	709	153,000
Ghazni	Bahram-e Shahid (Jaghathu)	GI RoA Influence	654	44,000
Ghazni	Deh Yak	GI RoA Influence	724	60,000
Ghazni	Gelan	INS Influence	1,111	71,000
Ghazni	Ghazni	GI RoA Control	360	199,000
Ghazni	Giro	Contested	885	45,000
Ghazni	Jaghuri	GI RoA Influence	2,093	209,000

Continued on the next page

UNCLASSIFIED

Province	District	Oct 2017 Assessment	Landmass [sq km]	Population
Ghazni	Khwajah 'Omari	GIRoA Influence	209	23,000
Ghazni	Malistan	GIRoA Influence	1,780	100,000
Ghazni	Muqer	GIRoA Influence	866	61,000
Ghazni	Nawah	INS Control	1,666	36,000
Ghazni	Nawur	GIRoA Influence	5,219	116,000
Ghazni	Qarah Bagh	Contested	1,646	181,000
Ghazni	Rashidan	GIRoA Influence	388	22,000
Ghazni	Waghaz	Contested	392	46,000
Ghazni	Wali Muhammad Shahid Khugyani	GIRoA Influence	141	22,000
Ghazni	Zanakhan	Contested	302	15,000
Ghor	Chaghcharan	GIRoA Influence	7,716	166,000
Ghor	Chahar Sadah	Contested	1,297	32,000
Ghor	Do Lainah	GIRoA Influence	4,597	44,000
Ghor	Dowlatyar	GIRoA Influence	1,701	42,000
Ghor	La'l wa Sar Jangal	GIRoA Control	3,878	136,000
Ghor	Pasaband	Contested	4,550	116,000
Ghor	Saghar	GIRoA Control	2,658	42,000
Ghor	Shahrak	GIRoA Influence	4,341	73,000
Ghor	Taywarah	GIRoA Influence	3,667	112,000
Ghor	Tulak	GIRoA Influence	2,708	63,000
Helmand	Baghran	INS Control	3,156	79,000
Helmand	Dishu	INS Control	9,118	23,000
Helmand	Garm Ser	INS Influence	16,655	109,000
Helmand	Kajaki	INS Control	1,957	88,000
Helmand	Lashkar Gah	GIRoA Influence	2,000	134,000
Helmand	Marjah	INS Influence	2,718	74,000
Helmand	Musa Qal'ah	INS Control	1,720	73,000
Helmand	Nad 'Ali	Contested	3,168	70,000
Helmand	Nahr-e Saraj	GIRoA Influence	1,536	140,000
Helmand	Nawah-ye Barakzai	GIRoA Influence	625	119,000
Helmand	Now Zad	INS Control	4,073	62,000
Helmand	Reg-e Khan Neshin	INS Control	7,361	25,000
Helmand	Sangin	INS Control	517	72,000
Helmand	Washer	GIRoA Influence	4,617	19,000
Herat	Adraskan	GIRoA Influence	9,979	66,000
Herat	Chisht-e Sharif	GIRoA Influence	2,506	29,000
Herat	Farsi	GIRoA Influence	2,040	38,000
Herat	Ghorian	GIRoA Influence	7,328	109,000
Herat	Gulran	GIRoA Influence	6,100	115,000

Continued on the next page

UNCLASSIFIED

Province	District	Oct 2017 Assessment	Landmass [sq km]	Population
Herat	Guzarah	GIRoA Control	2,657	178,000
Herat	Herat	GIRoA Control	83	496,000
Herat	Injil	GIRoA Control	1,393	380,000
Herat	Karukh	GIRoA Control	1,995	81,000
Herat	Kohsan	GIRoA Control	2,235	66,000
Herat	Kushk	Contested	2,885	152,000
Herat	Kushk-e Kuhnah	Contested	1,661	56,000
Herat	Obeh	GIRoA Influence	2,623	93,000
Herat	Pashtun Zarghun	GIRoA Influence	1,898	122,000
Herat	Shindand	INS Influence	6,996	220,000
Herat	Zindah Jan	GIRoA Control	2,525	73,000
Jowzjan	Aqchah	GIRoA Influence	156	94,000
Jowzjan	Darzab	INS Influence	478	60,000
Jowzjan	Faizabad	Contested	1,181	50,000
Jowzjan	Khamyab	GIRoA Influence	870	17,000
Jowzjan	Khanaqa	GIRoA Influence	488	29,000
Jowzjan	Khwajah Do Koh	GIRoA Influence	2,077	32,000
Jowzjan	Mardian	GIRoA Influence	707	46,000
Jowzjan	Mingajik	GIRoA Influence	882	52,000
Jowzjan	Qarqin	GIRoA Influence	1,235	30,000
Jowzjan	Qush Tepah	INS Influence	881	30,000
Jowzjan	Shibirghan	GIRoA Influence	2,165	200,000
Kabul	Bagrami	GIRoA Control	279	76,000
Kabul	Chahar Asyab	GIRoA Influence	257	46,000
Kabul	Deh-e Sabz	GIRoA Influence	462	62,000
Kabul	Farzah	GIRoA Control	90	29,000
Kabul	Gul Darah	GIRoA Control	76	26,000
Kabul	Istalif	GIRoA Control	109	38,000
Kabul	Kabul	GIRoA Control	350	4,486,000
Kabul	Kalakan	GIRoA Control	75	42,000
Kabul	Khak-e Jabar	GIRoA Influence	585	18,000
Kabul	Mir Bachah Kot	GIRoA Control	66	61,000
Kabul	Musahi	GIRoA Influence	110	28,000
Kabul	Paghman	GIRoA Influence	361	153,000
Kabul	Qarah Bagh	GIRoA Influence	209	89,000
Kabul	Sarobi	GIRoA Influence	1,309	69,000
Kabul	Shakar Darah	GIRoA Control	318	103,000
Kandahar	Arghandab	GIRoA Control	547	59,000
Kandahar	Argistan	GIRoA Influence	3,899	42,000
Kandahar	Daman	GIRoA Control	4,109	40,000

Continued on the next page

UNCLASSIFIED

Province	District	Oct 2017 Assessment	Landmass [sq km]	Population
Kandahar	Dand	GI RoA Control	289	236,000
Kandahar	Ghorak	INS Influence	1,486	12,000
Kandahar	Kandahar	GI RoA Control	482	482,000
Kandahar	Khakrez	INS Influence	1,648	28,000
Kandahar	Maiwand	INS Influence	2,852	72,000
Kandahar	Ma'ruf	INS Influence	3,185	40,000
Kandahar	Mya Neshin	INS Influence	895	18,000
Kandahar	Nesh	Contested	1,281	17,000
Kandahar	Panjwa'i	GI RoA Control	5,962	107,000
Kandahar	Registan	GI RoA Influence	13,562	8,000
Kandahar	Shah Wali Kot	Contested	3,279	54,000
Kandahar	Shorabak	GI RoA Influence	4,174	17,000
Kandahar	Spin Boldak	GI RoA Control	5,688	139,000
Kandahar	Zharey	GI RoA Influence	674	106,000
Kapisa	Alah Say	GI RoA Influence	303	47,000
Kapisa	Hisah-e Awal-e Kohistan	GI RoA Influence	88	82,000
Kapisa	Hisah-e Dowum-e Kohistan	GI RoA Influence	53	56,000
Kapisa	Koh Band	GI RoA Control	150	28,000
Kapisa	Mahmud-e Raqi	GI RoA Influence	184	90,000
Kapisa	Nejrab	GI RoA Influence	581	128,000
Kapisa	Tagab	GI RoA Influence	522	97,000
Khost	Bak	GI RoA Influence	170	27,000
Khost	Gurbuz	Contested	359	34,000
Khost	Jaji Maidan	Contested	328	29,000
Khost	Khost	GI RoA Influence	491	172,000
Khost	Manduzai	GI RoA Influence	114	66,000
Khost	Musa Khel	INS Influence	427	49,000
Khost	Nadir Shah Kot	Contested	334	41,000
Khost	Qalandar	GI RoA Influence	157	12,000
Khost	Sabari	Contested	413	87,000
Khost	Shamul	GI RoA Influence	172	18,000
Khost	Sperah	Contested	492	28,000
Khost	Tanai	GI RoA Influence	429	70,000
Khost	Terayzai	Contested	397	54,000
Kunar	Asadabad	GI RoA Control	85	41,000
Kunar	Bar Kunar	GI RoA Influence	169	25,000
Kunar	Chapah Darah	Contested	600	39,000
Kunar	Dangam	Contested	203	22,000

Continued on the next page

UNCLASSIFIED

Province	District	Oct 2017 Assessment	Landmass [sq km]	Population
Kunar	Darah-ye Pech	Contested	549	66,000
Kunar	Ghaziabad	GIRoA Influence	561	23,000
Kunar	Khas Kunar	GIRoA Influence	365	43,000
Kunar	Marawarah	Contested	147	25,000
Kunar	Narang	GIRoA Influence	189	36,000
Kunar	Nari	GIRoA Influence	537	34,000
Kunar	Nurgal	Contested	308	38,000
Kunar	Sar Kani	GIRoA Influence	198	33,000
Kunar	Shigal wa Sheltan	GIRoA Influence	439	36,000
Kunar	Tsowkey	Contested	245	45,000
Kunar	Watahpur	Contested	252	34,000
Kunduz	Aliabad	Contested	416	60,000
Kunduz	Chahar Darah	INS Influence	1,214	89,000
Kunduz	Dasht-e Archi	INS Influence	861	101,000
Kunduz	Imam Sahib	INS Influence	1,599	287,000
Kunduz	Khanabad	INS Influence	1,075	190,000
Kunduz	Kunduz	Contested	616	397,000
Kunduz	Qal'ah-ye Zal	INS Influence	2,120	86,000
Laghman	Alingar	Contested	818	127,000
Laghman	Alisheng	Contested	670	87,000
Laghman	Bad Pash	Contested	289	9,000
Laghman	Dowlat Shah	Contested	742	41,000
Laghman	Mehtar Lam	GIRoA Influence	430	160,000
Laghman	Qarghah'i	GIRoA Influence	887	117,000
Logar	Azrah	Contested	761	25,000
Logar	Baraki Barak	INS Influence	273	107,000
Logar	Charkh	INS Influence	286	54,000
Logar	Kharwar	INS Influence	467	32,000
Logar	Khoshi	GIRoA Influence	436	30,000
Logar	Muhammad Aghah	Contested	1,050	93,000
Logar	Pul-e 'Alam	Contested	1,121	129,000
Nangarhar	Achin	Contested	467	126,000
Nangarhar	Bati Kot	GIRoA Influence	153	95,000
Nangarhar	Behsud	GIRoA Influence	311	121,000
Nangarhar	Chaparhar	Contested	231	75,000
Nangarhar	Darah-ye Nur	Contested	259	49,000
Nangarhar	Deh Bala	Contested	385	49,000
Nangarhar	Dur Baba	GIRoA Influence	279	29,000
Nangarhar	Goshtah	GIRoA Influence	521	33,000
Nangarhar	Hisarak	Contested	669	38,000

Continued on the next page

UNCLASSIFIED

Province	District	Oct 2017 Assessment	Landmass [sq km]	Population
Nangarhar	Jalalabad	GIRoA Control	24	269,000
Nangarhar	Kamah	GIRoA Control	230	94,000
Nangarhar	Khugyani	Contested	676	160,000
Nangarhar	Kot	GIRoA Influence	173	60,000
Nangarhar	Kuz Kunar	GIRoA Influence	290	69,000
Nangarhar	La'lpur	Contested	463	23,000
Nangarhar	Mohmand Darah	GIRoA Influence	259	60,000
Nangarhar	Naziyan	Contested	215	21,000
Nangarhar	Pachir wa Agam	Contested	467	52,000
Nangarhar	Rodat	Contested	356	83,000
Nangarhar	Sherzad	Contested	466	80,000
Nangarhar	Shinwar	GIRoA Influence	88	66,000
Nangarhar	Surkh Rod	GIRoA Influence	385	170,000
Nimroz	Chahar Burjak	GIRoA Influence	20,880	31,000
Nimroz	Chakhansur	GIRoA Influence	9,878	29,000
Nimroz	Delaram	GIRoA Influence	2,064	8,000
Nimroz	Kang	GIRoA Influence	1,160	25,000
Nimroz	Khash Rod	GIRoA Influence	5,782	31,000
Nimroz	Zaranj	GIRoA Influence	1,191	73,000
Nuristan	Barg-e Matal	GIRoA Influence	1,717	19,000
Nuristan	Do Ab	Contested	564	9,000
Nuristan	Kamdesh	GIRoA Influence	1,223	31,000
Nuristan	Mandol	GIRoA Influence	2,041	24,000
Nuristan	Nurgaram	GIRoA Influence	978	32,000
Nuristan	Parun	GIRoA Influence	1,427	17,000
Nuristan	Wama	Contested	281	14,000
Nuristan	Waygal	Contested	756	24,000
Paktika	Bermal	Contested	1,297	44,000
Paktika	Dilah	Contested	1,531	31,000
Paktika	Giyani	Contested	224	41,000
Paktika	Gomal	INS Influence	4,069	10,000
Paktika	Jani Khel	Contested	989	30,000
Paktika	Mota Khan	GIRoA Influence	423	31,000
Paktika	Nikeh	Contested	122	15,000
Paktika	Omnah	INS Influence	462	15,000
Paktika	Sar Rowzah	GIRoA Influence	672	28,000
Paktika	Sarobi	GIRoA Influence	302	15,000
Paktika	Sharan	GIRoA Control	537	61,000
Paktika	Terwo	Contested	1,423	3,000
Paktika	Urgun	GIRoA Influence	511	68,000

Continued on the next page

UNCLASSIFIED

Province	District	Oct 2017 Assessment	Landmass [sq km]	Population
Paktika	Wazah Khwah	INS Influence	1,759	28,000
Paktika	Wur Mamay	INS Influence	3,183	4,000
Paktika	Yahya Khel	Contested	348	21,000
Paktika	Yosuf Khel	GIRoA Influence	522	17,000
Paktika	Zarghun Shahr	GIRoA Influence	474	36,000
Paktika	Ziruk	Contested	214	23,000
Paktiya	Ahmadabad	GIRoA Influence	416	33,000
Paktiya	Dand Patan	INS Influence	206	32,000
Paktiya	Dzadran	GIRoA Influence	503	44,000
Paktiya	Gardez	GIRoA Influence	708	104,000
Paktiya	Jaji	Contested	603	77,000
Paktiya	Jani Khel	INS Influence	145	43,000
Paktiya	Lajah Ahmad Khel	GIRoA Influence	197	36,000
Paktiya	Lajah Mangal	GIRoA Influence	225	15,000
Paktiya	Mirzakah	GIRoA Influence	202	22,000
Paktiya	Sayyid Karam	Contested	250	57,000
Paktiya	Shwak	GIRoA Influence	107	7,000
Paktiya	Tsamkani	GIRoA Influence	301	62,000
Paktiya	Zurmat	INS Influence	1,414	131,000
Panjshayr	Abshar	GIRoA Control	516	16,000
Panjshayr	Bazarak	GIRoA Control	345	22,000
Panjshayr	Darah	GIRoA Control	196	15,000
Panjshayr	Khinj	GIRoA Control	684	48,000
Panjshayr	Parian	GIRoA Control	1,421	18,000
Panjshayr	Rukhah	GIRoA Control	164	28,000
Panjshayr	Shutul	GIRoA Control	226	13,000
Panjshayr	Unabah	GIRoA Control	178	23,000
Parwan	Bagram	GIRoA Control	360	128,000
Parwan	Charikar	GIRoA Influence	267	222,000
Parwan	Jabal us Saraj	GIRoA Influence	116	77,000
Parwan	Koh-e Safi	Contested	580	38,000
Parwan	Salang	GIRoA Control	520	31,000
Parwan	Sayyid Khayl	Contested	46	55,000
Parwan	Shaykh 'Ali	GIRoA Influence	920	31,000
Parwan	Shinwari	GIRoA Influence	721	51,000
Parwan	Siahgird Ghorband	GIRoA Influence	895	118,000
Parwan	Surkh-e Parsa	Contested	1,164	49,000
Samangan	Aibak	GIRoA Influence	1,489	126,000
Samangan	Darah-ye Suf-e Bala	GIRoA Influence	2,890	77,000
Samangan	Darah-ye Suf-e Pa'in	Contested	1,341	70,000

Continued on the next page

UNCLASSIFIED

Province	District	Oct 2017 Assessment	Landmass [sq km]	Population
Samangan	Fayroz Nakhchir	GIRoA Control	1,185	16,000
Samangan	Hazrat-e Sultan	GIRoA Influence	1,486	71,000
Samangan	Khuram wa Sar Bagh	GIRoA Control	2,135	48,000
Samangan	Ruy Do Ab	GIRoA Influence	2,385	56,000
Sar-e Pul	Balkhab	GIRoA Influence	2,978	62,000
Sar-e Pul	Gosfandi	Contested	1,092	69,000
Sar-e Pul	Kohistanat	INS Control	6,165	99,000
Sar-e Pul	Sangcharak	Contested	1,061	123,000
Sar-e Pul	Sar-e Pul	Contested	2,053	192,000
Sar-e Pul	Sayad	Contested	1,335	67,000
Sar-e Pul	Sozmah Qal'ah	Contested	584	63,000
Takhar	Baharak	Contested	243	46,000
Takhar	Bangi	Contested	603	45,000
Takhar	Chah Ab	Contested	759	96,000
Takhar	Chal	GIRoA Influence	326	32,000
Takhar	Darqad	INS Influence	366	33,000
Takhar	Dasht-e Qal'ah	Contested	329	41,000
Takhar	Farkhar	GIRoA Influence	1,255	58,000
Takhar	Hazar Sumuch	GIRoA Influence	346	24,000
Takhar	Ishkamish	INS Influence	799	74,000
Takhar	Kalafgan	GIRoA Influence	474	43,000
Takhar	Khvajah Bahawuddin	Contested	213	29,000
Takhar	Khvajah Ghar	INS Influence	387	82,000
Takhar	Namak Ab	GIRoA Influence	547	15,000
Takhar	Rustaq	GIRoA Influence	1,862	194,000
Takhar	Taloqan	GIRoA Influence	848	269,000
Takhar	Warsaj	GIRoA Influence	2,698	46,000
Takhar	Yangi Qal'ah	INS Influence	261	55,000
Uruzgan	Chinartu	INS Influence	1,014	32,000
Uruzgan	Chorah	INS Influence	2,020	46,000
Uruzgan	Deh Rawud	Contested	1,643	75,000
Uruzgan	Khas Uruzgan	INS Influence	2,599	69,000
Uruzgan	Shahid-e Hasas	INS Influence	1,858	72,000
Uruzgan	Tarin Kot	Contested	1,762	125,000
Wardak	Chak-e Wardak	Contested	1,111	103,000
Wardak	Daymirdad	Contested	956	38,000
Wardak	Hisah-e Awal-e Behsud	GIRoA Influence	1,573	46,000
Wardak	Jaghathu	Contested	599	56,000
Wardak	Jalrayz	GIRoA Influence	1,092	65,000

Continued on the next page

UNCLASSIFIED

Province	District	Oct 2017 Assessment	Landmass [sq km]	Population
Wardak	Maidan Shahr	GIROA Influence	246	49,000
Wardak	Markaz-e Behsud	GIROA Influence	3,345	145,000
Wardak	Nérkh	Contested	562	72,000
Wardak	Sayyidabad	Contested	1,095	140,000
Zabul	Arghandab	INS Influence	1,507	40,000
Zabul	Atghar	Contested	502	11,000
Zabul	Daychopan	INS Influence	1,640	48,000
Zabul	Kakar	INS Control	1,082	30,000
Zabul	Mizan	Contested	1,118	17,000
Zabul	Now Bahar	INS Influence	1,264	23,000
Zabul	Qalat	GIROA Influence	1,836	43,000
Zabul	Shah Joy	INS Influence	1,719	71,000
Zabul	Shamulzai	Contested	2,889	32,000
Zabul	Shinkai	Contested	2,289	29,000
Zabul	Tarneki wa Jaldak	Contested	1,503	22,000

Note: Because Afghanistan has been a conflict environment for decades, impacting the possibility of conducting a standard census, the population figures reflected here are estimates and may differ from other sources.

Source: USFOR-A, response to SIGAR data call, 10/15/2017 and response to SIGAR vetting, 2/7/2018.

ENDNOTES

¹ USFOR-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/16/2018.

² USFOR-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 2/15/2018.

³ USFOR-A, response to SIGAR data call, 10/2/2017 and response to SIGAR vetting, 2/15/2018.

⁴ USFOR-A, response to SIGAR data call, 11/27/2015, 1/29/2016, 5/28/2016, 8/28/2016, 11/15/2016, 2/20/2017, 5/15/2017, 8/24/2017, and 10/15/2017; SIGAR, analysis of USFOR-A-provided data, 1/2018.

⁵ USFOR-A, response to SIGAR data call, 11/27/2015, 1/29/2016, 5/28/2016, 8/28/2016, 11/15/2016, 2/20/2017, 5/15/2017, 8/24/2017, and 10/15/2017; SIGAR, analysis of USFOR-A-provided data, 1/2018.

⁶ USFOR-A, response to SIGAR data call, 10/15/2017, 8/24/2017 and 11/15/2016; SIGAR, analysis of USFOR-A-provided data, 1/2018.

⁷ USFOR-A, response to SIGAR data call, 10/15/2017 and 8/24/2017; SIGAR, analysis of USFOR-A-provided data, 1/2018.

⁸ USFOR-A, response to SIGAR data call, 10/15/2017, 8/24/2017 and 11/15/2016; SIGAR, analysis of USFOR-A-provided data, 1/2018.

⁹ USFOR-A, response to SIGAR data call, 10/15/2017 and 8/24/2017; response to SIGAR vetting, 1/16/2018; SIGAR, analysis of USFOR-A-provided data, 1/2018.

¹⁰ USFOR-A, response to SIGAR data call, 10/15/2017.

¹¹ USFOR-A, response to SIGAR data call, 5/28/2016, 8/28/2016, 11/15/2016, 2/20/2017, 5/15/2017, 8/24/2017, and 10/15/2017; SIGAR, analysis of USFOR-A-provided data, 1/2018.

¹² USFOR-A, response to SIGAR data call, 10/15/2017 and 8/24/2017; SIGAR, analysis of USFOR-A-provided data, 1/2018.

¹³ USFOR-A, response to SIGAR data call, 10/15/2017, 8/24/2017, and 11/15/2016; SIGAR, analysis of USFOR-A-provided data, 1/2018.

¹⁴ USFOR-A, response to SIGAR data call, 10/15/2017, 8/24/2017, and 11/15/2016, 8/24/2017; SIGAR, analysis of USFOR-A-provided data, 1/2018.

¹⁵ DOD, "Department of Defense Press Briefing by General Nicholson via teleconference from Kabul, Afghanistan," 11/28/2017.

¹⁶ USFOR-A, response to SIGAR data call, 10/15/2017 and 8/24/2017; SIGAR, analysis of USFOR-A-provided data, 1/2018.

¹⁷ USFOR-A, response to SIGAR data call, 5/20/2017 and 2/27/2016.