

AFGHANISTAN ASSESSMENT

Country Information and Policy Unit

October 2002

I SCOPE OF DOCUMENT

II GEOGRAPHY

III ECONOMY

IV HISTORY

V STATE STRUCTURES

VIA HUMAN RIGHTS ISSUES

VIB HUMAN RIGHTS - SPECIFIC GROUPS

VIC HUMAN RIGHTS - OTHER ISSUES

ANNEX A: CHRONOLOGY OF EVENTS

ANNEX B: POLITICAL ORGANISATIONS

ANNEX C: PROMINENT PEOPLE

REFERENCES TO SOURCE MATERIAL

1. SCOPE OF DOCUMENT

1.1 This assessment has been produced by the Country Information and Policy Unit, Immigration and Nationality Directorate, Home Office, from information obtained from a wide variety of recognised sources. The document does not contain any Home Office opinion or policy.

1.2 The assessment has been prepared for background purposes for those involved in the asylum / human rights determination process. The information it contains is not exhaustive. It concentrates on the issues most commonly raised in asylum / human rights claims made in the United Kingdom.

1.3 The assessment is sourced throughout. It is intended to be used by caseworkers as a signpost to the source material, which has been made available to them. The vast majority of the source material is readily available in the public domain.

1.4 It is intended to revise the assessment on a six-monthly basis while the country remains within the top 35 asylum-seeker producing countries in the United Kingdom.

2. GEOGRAPHY

General

2.1 The Transitional Islamic State of Afghanistan is a land-locked country in Southwest Asia. Its neighbours are Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan and Tajikistan to the north, Iran to the west, China to the northeast, and

Pakistan to the east and south.[1] Covering an area of some 251,773 square miles, there are 31 provinces. The five largest towns are Kabul (the capital), Kandahar, Herat, Mazar-i-Sharif and Jalalabad.[1] Population movements across the border and internal displacements make it difficult to obtain reliable population figures.[11b] although a July 1999 estimate of the population was 25,824,822.[23]

Languages

2.2 There are a variety of languages spoken in Afghanistan, the principal two being Pashtu and Dari.[1] These have been the official languages of the country since 1936, using an augmented Arabic script. Pashtu (spoken by the Pashtun ethnic group) is an Indo-European language, and Dari is a dialect of Farsi/Persian (spoken mainly by the Tajiks, Farsis, Hazaras and Aimaq).[11a]

2.3 Some 50% of the population are able to speak Pashtu, which is one of the two official languages taught in schools.[16] Pashtu is spoken by the Pashtuns living in Afghanistan and Pakistan. The Pashtu speaking area is defined as the south-eastern third of Afghanistan and the area of Pakistan between the Afghan border and the Indus. The language is equally understandable on both sides of the border. It is noted that within Pashtu there are dialectical variations in pronunciation, spelling, grammar and vocabulary. Using the test of pronunciation, the two principal dialects identified are western/Kandahari and eastern/Peshwarin; this division approximates to a division along the border.[15b]

2.4 Dari, which itself contains dialectical variations, is the Afghan variant of Farsi and differs from the national standard dialect of Iran. There are however Farsi dialects within Iran that have much in common with Dari. Dari speakers may not therefore be able to communicate easily in standard Farsi. Because of its literary and commercial importance, Dari generally serves as the means of communication between speakers of different languages rather than Pashtu.[15b] The formal style of Dari is closer to Tehrani Persian (Farsi), and the informal style in some parts of Afghanistan is closer to Tajiki of Tajikistan. Phonological and lexical differences between Iran and Afghanistan cause little difficulty in comprehension.[16]

2.5 Since 1978 a multitude of languages used by ethnic minorities such as the Uzbeks, Turkomans, Baluchis, Nuristanis and Pashai were officially recognized.[11a] Afghan Sikhs retain the use of Punjabi amongst themselves, given their involvement in trade. Dari/Farsi is probably the most important additional language for them.[15b]

See also Sikhs and Hindus: paragraphs 6.32 - 6.39

3. ECONOMY

3.1 The dislocations associated with more than 20 years of fighting together with years of severe drought, have reduced the country's economy to a bare subsistence level. Most of the population was engaged in cultivation and animal husbandry. In previous years poppy cultivation was the mainstay of the economy and largely financed the military operations of various factions.[2b]

3.2 On 14 November 1999 the United Nations (UN) imposed sanctions on Afghanistan in response to the Taliban's refusal of the US demand to hand over Islamist fugitive Usama bin Laden, in hiding in Afghanistan. The sanctions were limited in scope, and officially restricted to the freezing of the Taliban's overseas bank accounts and also the blocking of Afghan Ariana international flights. The sanctions had an adverse affect on aid agencies attempting to bring food and medicine into the country.[18a] In January 2002 the UN Security Council revised the sanctions to target the members of Al Qa'ida and the former Taliban regime permitting Afghanistan's overseas assets to be unfrozen.[25ap]

3.3 In March 2002 the World Bank estimated the cost of rebuilding the Afghan economy over the next ten years at \$15 billion and labelled Afghanistan as one of the poorest and most miserable states in the world. It was estimated that over \$1 billion in aid would be received during the financial year starting 21 March 2002.[25am]

3.4 In late September 2002 President Hamid Karzai began a tour of Arab Gulf states to appeal for more help in rebuilding his country's shattered economy. At the same time a meeting of 60 donor nations in Washington heard that Afghanistan could soon run out of reconstruction funds. US Treasury Secretary Paul O'Neill told the meeting that Afghanistan needs \$165 million to cover the cost of running the government for the coming six months.[25m]

3.5 In September 2002 President Karzai announced the introduction of new currency. One new Afghani would be worth 1,000 times that of the old Afghani. The Central Bank (Da Afghanistan Bank) had previously not been in control of banknote printing and had therefore been unable to formulate a meaningful monetary policy.[36m] Launch of the currency went ahead as planned on 7 October 2002.[36h]

See also Humanitarian Situation paragraphs 6.129 - 6.136

4. HISTORY

Part I

Part II

4. HISTORY

For detailed history prior to 1992 see Europa source material number [1]

1992 - 1994: Mujahidin Government

4.1 The Islamic State of Afghanistan was proclaimed in April 1992 as successor to the Soviet backed regime of President Mohammad Najibullah, which had been overthrown by opposition mujahidin (holy warriors) following the end of the (1979-89) Soviet military intervention.[54] After the fall of President Najibullah four main armed groups with different ethnic characteristics and foreign support initially fought for power in Kabul.[11a] Having discarded a UN proposal to form a neutral body, the guerrilla leaders agreed to establish a 51-member interim Islamic Jihad Council, composed of military and religious leaders which was to assume power in Kabul. Sibghat-ullah Mojaddedi was the leader of the small moderate Jebha-i-Nejat-i-Melli (National Liberation Front). He was to chair the Islamic Jihad Council for two months, after which period a ten-member Leadership Council, comprising mujahidin chiefs would be set up for a period of four months, to be presided over by the head of the Jamiat-i-Islami, Burhanuddin Rabbani. Within six months a special council was to meet to designate an interim administration which was to hold power for up to a year pending elections. [1]

4.2 Mojaddedi arrived in Kabul on 28 April 1992 as the President of the new interim administration. The Islamic Jihad Council was not however supported by Gulbuddin Hekmatyar (leader of rival guerrilla group, the Pashtun dominated Hizbi-I Islami), whose radical stance differed substantially from Mojaddedi's more tolerant outlook. At the end of the month, Hekmatyar's forces lost control of their last stronghold in the centre of Kabul. Within a few weeks, the Government of the newly proclaimed Islamic State of Afghanistan had won almost universal diplomatic recognition, and by early May 1992 about one-half of the Islamic Jihad Council had arrived in the capital. An acting Council of Ministers was formed, in which Ahmad Shah Masoud (Tajik general and leader of the Jamiat-i Islami guerrilla group) was given the post of Minister of Defence. The premiership was set aside for Ustad Abdol Sabur Farid, a Tajik commander from Hizb-i Islami (Hekmatyar declined to accept the post). [1]

4.3. Despite Mojaddedi's repeated pleas to Hekmatyar and his followers to lay down their arms, Hekmatyar, who was particularly angered by the presence of Uzbek forces under the command of General Abdul Rashid Dostum in the capital, continued to bombard Kabul with artillery and indiscriminate rocket launches from various strongholds around the city. Scores of citizens were killed and wounded. On 28 June 1992 Mojaddedi surrendered power to the Leadership Council, which immediately offered Rabbani the presidency of the country and the simultaneous responsibility of the Interim Council of Ministers for four months.[1] Following the Islamabad Accord of March 1993, Afghanistan was formally ruled by President Rabbani. The post of Prime Minister was given to Hekmatyar. [11a]

4.4 Renewed intense fighting broke out on 1 January 1994, when Prime Minister Hekmatyar formed a new alliance with Uzbek, General Dostum, and attempted to force President Rabbani from office. The fighting over control of territory and political authority in Afghanistan intensified between the Jamiat-i Islami (led by President Rabbani and his commander, Masoud) and the alliance between the northern General Dostum and Hekmatyar (referred to as the Supreme Co-ordination Council, with the backing of the Hizb-i Wahdat). [11a] Dostum's militia reportedly oppressed the civilian population of Kabul, as bitter fighting by the mujahidin factions ensued amongst looting and lawlessness. [25f]

Emergence of the Taliban

4.5 United Nations efforts to promote a broad-based government acceptable to the various factions continued. A plan for the transfer of power to a broad-based interim administration was postponed due to the absence of political will amongst the major powers and new political developments. Notably there was the emergence of a new political grouping[11a] in the latter half of 1994[1], the (mainly Pashtun) Taliban

(Taleban). [11a] The Taliban were hitherto unknown - Taliban being the plural of 'Talib', meaning 'seeker of religious knowledge'. They were reportedly young Pashtun graduates, emanating from fundamentalist Islamic schools established by Afghan refugees in Pakistan. [1]

4.6 Kandahar two hundred miles south of Kabul is the home of the Pashtun tribes with their own code of honour, duty and justice. Many had fought against the Russians, and some began to meet regularly in the early 1990s in a village just outside the city. They were devout Muslims and had studied in Pakistan's religious schools. Their homes were being destroyed and looted by rival warlords. They took no action until mid 1994 when a local girl was gang-raped and killed by a local warlord and his men. The Taliban's first decisive move in their rise to power was to kill those responsible. [28]

4.7 The Taliban were appointed by Islamabad to protect a convoy attempting to open up a trade route between Pakistan and Central Asia. The group comprised Afghans trained in religious schools in Pakistan and former mujahidin. The latter proved to be effective bodyguards as they drove away other mujahidin groups who attacked the convoy. [25a] In November 1994 the Taliban captured Kandahar from the mujahidin, and moved north-eastwards. The term of office of President Rabbani came to an end on 28 December 1994 but he remained President, pending the outcome of UN sponsored peace negotiations. [11a]

4.8 The Taliban's popularity initially surprised the warring mujahidin factions. As ethnic Pashtuns themselves, a large part of the Taliban's support came from the Pashtun community who were disillusioned with existing Tajik and Uzbek leaders. Other Afghans also often welcomed Taliban successes in stamping out corruption, restoring peace and allowing commerce to flourish again. The Taliban's refusal to negotiate with existing warlords who had caused immense destruction and killing also earned them respect. [25a]

February 1995 - June 1996

4.9 On 14 February 1995 the Taliban captured the headquarters of Hekmatyar's Hizb-i Islami in Charasyab, south of Kabul. They took control of nine of the country's provinces. In early March 1995 the Taliban entered the Karte Seh district in western Kabul and disarmed Hizb-i Wahdat militia who had been in control of the area. The Taliban's presence there brought them face to face with President Rabbani's government forces, and the Taliban were subsequently pushed out of the area to Charasyab, withdrawing further south to Maidan Shahr. Heavy fighting continued between government and Taliban forces over control of the city. [11a]

4.10 On 5 September 1995 the Taliban captured the city of Herat from the forces of the governor, Ismail Khan, who was allied to the government of Rabbani. [11a] In October 1995 the Taliban launched a massive ground and air assault on Kabul, but by early January 1996 had failed to breach the capital's defences. Despite the holding of exploratory negotiations between the Rabbani government and major opposition parties in the first quarter of 1996, the fighting in and around Kabul intensified. The President's attempts at conciliation finally proved successful in late May 1996 in a critical development (the 'Mahipar Agreement'), when he persuaded Hekmatyar to rejoin the Government. [1] As Hekmatyar resumed the post of Prime Minister, fighting in Kabul broke out and the city became a target of indiscriminate bombing. Daily rocket attacks on Kabul peaked in June 1996. [11a]

September 1996 - June 1997

4.11 On 11 September 1996 the Taliban captured Jalalabad, the eastern city bordering Pakistan. They went on to capture Kabul on 27 September 1996. Their first act was to publicly hang former President Najibullah, who since the fall of his government in April 1992 had sought shelter in a UN compound. The capture of Kabul quickly realigned political forces within Afghanistan and the region. The non-Pashtun forces allied again as they did in the North Alliance of 1992. [11a] The country was effectively partitioned between areas controlled by Pashtun and non-Pashtun forces, as the Taliban now controlled all the predominantly Pashtun areas of the country (as well as Herat and Kabul). Meanwhile, non-Pashtun organisations controlled the areas bordering on the central Asian republics. [11a]

4.12 To the north of Kabul, the forces of the ousted government were pushed out of the towns of Charikar and Jebul Siraj, and the front line reached the village of Gulbahar at the mouth of the Panjshir Valley: the stronghold of Commander Masoud. In the week of 14-20 October 1996 the forces of General Dostum joined Commander Masoud's front line and fought their way to Kabul in a counter-attack. As a result the Taliban lost their strategic military airbase at Bagram. A second front line was opened in the north-west, where the Taliban held territory bordering the area controlled by General Dostum. [11a]

4.13 By late October 1996 the anti-Taliban forces had launched a concerted offensive against Kabul in the hope of ousting the Islamic militia. Their leaders were now collectively known as the Supreme Council for the Defence of Afghanistan (SCDA). Their headquarters were situated in General Dostum's stronghold of Mazar-i-Sharif. Despite repeated calls for a cease-fire from various foreign governments and the UN, the fighting between the Taliban and the allied opposition continued into January 1997. [1]

4.14 Heavy fighting between Taliban and anti-Taliban alliance forces resulted in thousands fleeing south toward Kabul. [3a] The Taliban pushed further north, capturing three districts in Kapisa province in north-eastern Afghanistan (previously under the control of Commander Masoud's troops), progressing toward the Salang Pass to within 20 kilometres of the Soviet-built tunnel that leads through the Hindu Kush mountain range into provinces held by General Dostum. The opposition reportedly surrendered much of the territory without fighting. [11a]

4.15 On 23 January 1997 the Taliban captured Jebul Siraj and Gulbahar, both former Masoud strongholds. [3a] In the North, residents of Mazar-i-Sharif (the northern Afghan capital controlled by General Dostum) were threatened in January 1997 when the Taliban opened its offensive north of Kabul. Forces loyal to General Dostum destroyed parts of the Salang highway (the Salang tunnel north of Kabul, nearly three kilometres long, is the main route to the northern provinces and beyond to Central Asia), blocking direct access north of Kabul. Dostum's Shia Muslim allies managed to block a Taliban attempt to bypass the crucial road link. [11a]

4.16 In May 1997 the Taliban were reportedly pushed out of Jebul Siraj, a strategic town north of Kabul by forces of Commander Masoud. In late May the situation swiftly changed as the fragile coalition linking General Dostum with former government forces of President Rabbani, Hekmatyar's Hizb-i-Islam and the mainly Shia Hizb-i-Wahdat fell apart following the defection on 19 May 1997 of General Dostum's senior commander, Abdul Malik. On 24 May 1997, fighters loyal to Malik captured Mazar-i-Sharif, which became under the full control of ethnic Uzbek fighters who had until a week before been part of the northern alliance opposing the Taliban. Meanwhile the towns of Kunduz, Baghlan and Samangan east of Mazar were announced as under Taliban control. General Dostum fled to Turkey [11a], and General Malik assumed his position as leader of the National Islamic Movement. [1]

4.17 The Taliban's control of the north swiftly ended on 28 May 1997, when they were defeated in Mazar-i-Sharif through an eighteen-hour battle, by Uzbek soldiers who broke the new alliance with the Taliban. Commander Masoud's resistance continued in the north-east as he launched a surprise attack on the Taliban on 25 May 1997 after one of his senior commanders, General Bashir Salangi, defected to the Taliban and gave its troops permission to move up the mountain road. Commander Masoud, who was fighting from north-eastern strongholds in Takhar and Badakhshan provinces, moved reinforcements into the area the same day. [11a]

4.18 The anti-Taliban alliance expanded and strengthened in early June 1997 by the inclusion of forces of Hekmatyar and of the Mahaz-i-Melli-Islami, led by Pir Sayed Ahmad Gailani. This new coalition, which superseded the SCDA, was known as the United Islamic Front for the Salvation of Afghanistan (UIFSA)[1] (also known as the Northern Alliance[11d] and the United Front[17b]) hereafter referred to as the Northern Alliance. At the beginning of June 1997, the Taliban effectively controlled two-thirds of the country. [11a]

August 1997 - September 1998

4.19 In mid-August 1997 it was reported that the UIFSA had appointed a new government based in Mazar-i-Sharif with Rabbani continuing as President, Abdorrahim Ghafurzai as Prime Minister, Ahmad Shah Masoud as Minister of Defence and General Abdul Malik as Minister of Foreign Affairs. However the former Prime Minister in the anti-Taliban administration, Hekmatyar, refused to recognize the new government. Within a few days of its appointment seven members of the new Government, including Prime Minister Ghafurzai, were killed in an aeroplane crash. In late August 1997 the anti-Taliban opposition alliance appointed Abdolghaffar Rawanfarhadi as the new Prime Minister. [1]

4.20 In September 1997 the main battlefield moved northwards from Kabul when the Taliban launched an offensive in an attempt to recapture Mazar-i-Sharif. Following fierce fighting the Taliban were forced to lift the siege and retreat in early October 1997. Meanwhile, in mid-September 1997 General Dostum was reported to have returned to Mazar-i-Sharif from Turkey, and in the following month the member parties of the UIFSA re-elected him as commander of the forces of the alliance. They also appointed him as Vice-President of the anti-Taliban administration. [11b] In late October 1997 the Taliban unilaterally changed the country's name to the Islamic Emirate of Afghanistan.[1]

4.21 There were reports of a bitter rivalry between General Dostum and General Abdul Malik, with skirmishes between their respective forces. Dostum's battle for supremacy with his rival led him to make overtures to the Taliban, including offers of exchanges of prisoners of war. By late November 1997 General Dostum reassumed leadership of the National Islamic Movement, ousting General Malik. [11b]

4.22 In December 1997 the UN Security Council issued a communiqué expressing its concern at the alleged massacres of civilians and prisoners of war being perpetrated by various factions in Afghanistan. In late 1997 the World Food Programme launched an emergency operation to help those facing starvation in the central region of Hazarajat which had been blockaded by the Taliban since August 1997. In January 1998 the UN was forced to suspend emergency airlifts to Hazarajat when Taliban aircraft bombed the area. [1]

4.23 In February 1998 the political crisis in Afghanistan was overshadowed by a devastating earthquake in the northern province of Takhar, which caused the deaths of more than 4,500 people and left about 30,000 homeless. Poor weather conditions and the continuing fighting hampered the international relief programme. In May 1998 a second severe earthquake hit north eastern Afghanistan killing over 5,000 people.[1]

4.24 In March 1998, reports of factional fighting between rival members of the Northern Alliance highlighted the fragile nature of the anti-Taliban alliance. Following a major diplomatic effort by the US, the Taliban and the Northern Alliance held peace talks in Islamabad. In early May 1998 the talks broke down and fighting resumed north of Kabul.[1]

4.25 Relations between the Taliban and the UN deteriorated in June 1998 when the Taliban decided to close more than 100 private schools and small home-based vocational courses which were educating girls. In July 1998 the Taliban expelled almost all international aid agencies.[1]

4.26 On 1 August 1998 the Taliban captured the headquarters of the ethnic Uzbek leader General Dostum in Sheberghan. Dostum reportedly fled via the Uzbek border to Turkey. The Taliban, reinforced by considerable numbers of extremist volunteers from other Islamic countries including Pakistan, Saudi Arabia, Egypt and Algeria, then recaptured Mazar-i-Sharif in early August 1998.[1]

4.27 On 20 August 1998 the US launched air attacks against alleged terrorist bases in eastern Afghanistan and Sudan, reportedly operated by Usama bin Laden leader of the Al Qa'ida organisation. The attacks were in retaliation for attacks on two US embassies in East Africa earlier in the month. In September 1998 the Taliban suffered a serious setback when Saudi Arabia, one of only three countries to recognise the regime, withdrew its funding and political support and recalled its envoy from Kabul.[1]

4.28 In September 1998 a serious tension developed between Iran and the Taliban over the deaths of nine Iranians who had been killed by the Taliban during the storming of Mazar-i-Sharif. Both Iran and Afghanistan massed troops on the border. It was reported later that some 2,000 to 6,000 Shia Hazara civilians had been massacred by Taliban guerrillas after the recapture of Mazar-i-Sharif.[1]. By the end of August 1998 the Taliban controlled about 90% of the country. [5a]

4.29 In mid September 1998 the Taliban captured Bamian, a Shia stronghold, and the last major town outside its control. This effectively restricted anti-Taliban opposition to the Panjshir valley, the stronghold of former defence minister Ahmed Shah Masoud.[1]

4.30 In January 1999 it was reported that the Northern Alliance had established a multi-ethnic Supreme Military Council under the command of Masoud, with the aim of giving fresh impetus to the anti-Taliban movement and co-ordinating manoeuvres against Taliban forces in northern Afghanistan. Despite the holding of UN monitored direct peace talks between the representatives of the Taliban and the Northern Alliance in Turkmenistan in February and March 1999, and in Uzbekistan in July 1999 very little was achieved.[1] The Hazaras regained control of Bamian in April 1999, although it was then recaptured by the Taliban in May 1999, when a number of Shia residents were reportedly killed.[2b]

4.31 In July 1999 following reports that Usama bin Laden was being sheltered in eastern Afghanistan the US imposed financial and economic sanctions on the Taliban regime, a further attempt to persuade it to hand over the militant leader. In early August 1999 the Taliban launched a massive offensive against the Northern Alliance in the Panjshir valley; tens of thousands of mainly Tajik civilians were displaced. Masoud instigated a massive counter attack and the Taliban were forced into a swift retreat towards Kabul.[1]

4.32 Between July and December 1999 a series of Taliban offensives in the north was marked by summary executions, the abduction and disappearance of women, forced labour of detainees, the burning of homes, and the destruction of other property and agricultural assets.[17b]

4.33 In mid November 1999, the UN Security Council imposed an embargo on all Taliban-controlled overseas assets and a ban on the international flights of the national airline, Ariana Afghan Airlines, in response to the Taliban's refusal to hand over Islamist fugitive Usama bin Laden. [1]

January 2000 - December 2000

4.34 During 2000 the Taliban and Northern Alliance suffered internal discord, with defections of senior officials occurring on both sides. Heavy fighting concentrated in the north of Kabul resumed in early March 2000.[1] By April 2000 low rainfall and extremely high temperatures had resulted in crops being burned in the fields. By May 2000 it became apparent that a drought in the country was more widespread than was originally thought. Three to four million people - about a fifth of the population - were severely affected.

[25c]

4.35 In May 2000 there was reportedly a massacre by the Taliban near the Robatak pass on the border between Baghlan and Samangan provinces in the north central area. A Human Rights Watch report stated that all of those killed had been detained for four months, and many had been tortured. Thirty-one bodies were found at the site, twenty-six being identified as Ismaili Hazara civilians from the Baghlan province, although the true figure of the dead may be much higher. There were also reported to be as many as three other gravesites along the same road northwards.[17a] Uzbek prisoners were also amongst those executed in Samangan. [11d]

See also Hazaras paragraphs 6.60 - 6.66

4.36 During peace negotiations between the Taliban and the Northern Alliance in March and May 2000 the parties did not reach agreement on a cease fire. In early June there was renewed fighting in northern and central Afghanistan; by the end of July 2000 the Taliban had acquired more territory in northern Afghanistan.[1] In August the UN began distributing food in the north, where people had reportedly been eating plants and roots to survive. A World Food Programme official described the situation as desperate. [25d] The drought began to reduce a significant amount of the population to a marginal level of survival. The situation was particularly bleak in the west of the country, although there were also reports that the water table in the south had fallen significantly. Mullah Omar reportedly issued a statement in response to the drought, saying that it represented a punishment by God for discontent with Taliban rule among the population, and neglect of religious duties. It is believed that this was the first time that the Taliban leader acknowledged that some elements of Afghan society were unhappy with the Taliban. [22a]

4.37 In early September 2000 the Taliban secured the key northern town of Taloqan. This victory represented a serious military and political setback for Masoud and the Northern Alliance. Heavy fighting continued and by the end of 2000 the Taliban controlled over 90% of Afghanistan.[1] Amnesty International reported that during the fighting houses were burned and villagers were killed. It was also reported that the Taliban cut the throat of one man in front of his relatives. There were also unconfirmed reports that Taliban soldiers (some foreign) abducted women and girls from villages in the area.[2b] The town lies 160 miles north of Kabul and is close to the Tajikistan border. It acted as the main supply route to the opposition and therefore represented a significant victory for the Taliban, who after capturing the town previously were unable to hold onto it. International aid agency Medecins Sans Frontieres expressed concern at the amount of displaced people in a region already suffering from severe drought and food shortages.[25e]

4.38 Up to 150,000 people reportedly headed for the Tajikistan border to flee the advancing Taliban following their Taloqan offensive. This resulted in a desperate situation according to European aid workers due to lack of food, shelter or medicines. The refugees were closely linked to the Northern Alliance, and therefore feared Taliban reprisals. [25f]

4.39 Amnesty International reported that the Northern Alliance executed six prisoners on 6 December 2000 following their arrest two days previously; signs of torture were also reported. The arrests followed the ambush and shooting of Alliance members. A spokesman insisted that some sort of trial took place, despite their execution only forty hours after their arrest. This action was indicative of a political motive behind the executions. [71]

See also Judiciary paragraphs 5.195 - 5.20

January 2001 - December 2001

4.40 In January 2001 concern was growing for the safety of around 10,000 displaced persons living in makeshift camps along the Tajikistan border. The refugees living on the banks and islands of the River Pyandi faced food shortages and security problems, and some were reportedly wounded by shellfire. Lack of adequate facilities was also causing dysentery and other illnesses. [25g] UNHCR halted an assistance programme when it became apparent that these people were mixed with armed elements, contravening UNHCR policy. [36a]

4.41 In early January 2001 the Taliban allegedly detained and shot 300, male civilians, mainly Hazaras, living in Yakaolang district of Bamian. Kofi Annan UN Secretary General expressed concern about reports of deliberate killings and human rights violations and demanded a prompt investigation. The Taliban denied the claims and refused foreigners access to the town. In mid-February 2001 the Northern Alliance recaptured Bamian city and uncovered evidence of the alleged massacre. A report by Human Rights Watch confirmed that 170 men had been killed and that serious human rights violations had been committed.[1] [17a]

See also Hazaras paragraphs 6.60 0 6.66

4.42 On 7 February 2001 the World Bank Country Director for Pakistan and Afghanistan commented that the humanitarian situation in Afghanistan was one which had all the ingredients of a famine. The UN Under

Secretary-General for Humanitarian Affairs also began a visit to Kabul, Faizabad and Herat on 12 February 2001 and echoed these observations, stating that one million were at risk of famine. [22b] In the same month there were reports that over 500 people had died in refugee camps in the western city of Herat as a result of extreme cold weather and a lack of shelter and fuel. Herat's population had swelled by 80,000 with refugees pouring in at a rate of over 300 a day. [25i]

4.43 In March 2001, in the face of intense international opposition, the Taliban undertook the destruction of Afghanistan's pre-Islamic cultural heritage including the world's two largest Buddhas in Bamian. [1]

4.44 At the end of March 2001 Russian border guards accused the Taliban of opening fire on the refugees stranded on the Tajikistan border. UNHCR stated that some anti-Taliban fighters were amongst the refugees. Tajikistan continued to refuse to give entry to the 10,000 camped in the border zone. [20c]

4.45 In April 2001 General Dostum returned to Afghanistan after a three-year exile and reportedly met Ahmed Masoud in the Panjshir Valley. Morale amongst anti-Taliban forces was reported to have risen following the return of Dostum, who still had the support of many fighters. [25i]

4.46 In June 2001 the Taliban carried out the aerial bombardment of Yakawlang and after entering the town burnt it to the ground. Most of the town's inhabitants had already left as the fighting had intensified. [29a]

4.47 In August 2001 a key commander in Mazar-i-Sharif withdrew from the Taliban, a loss which threatened to undermine the Taliban's hold on the fiercely independent city. The Commander had given the Taliban one of their most decisive victories in 1998 after defecting from the opposition. Meanwhile Taliban troops found themselves stretched too thinly to make any significant gains, despite motivated reinforcements from Pakistan and Arab countries. [18c]

4.48 In August 2001 eight aid workers and sixteen Afghans working for a German Christian aid organisation were arrested by the Taliban on charges of proselytising Christianity. [1]

See also History paragraph 4.55

4.49 On 9 September 2001 suicide bombers seriously injured anti-Taliban alliance leader Ahmed Shah Masoud. He died six days later. General Mohammed Fahim Khan was appointed as his successor. [1]

4.50 The situation in Afghanistan changed drastically as a result of the terrorist attacks on the US on 11 September 2001. Two days later Colin Powell US Secretary of State publicly identified Usama bin Laden and his Al Qa'ida organisation as principally responsible. On the 16 September 2001 the UN imposed diplomatic sanctions and an arms embargo on the Taliban. The US began to form an anti-terrorist coalition with the United Kingdom. Pakistan a supporter of the Taliban reversed its policy and agreed to co-operate with the US led coalition. [1]

See also Al Qa'ida paragraphs 6.148 - 6.150

4.51 Initially the Taliban appealed to the US not to attack Afghanistan and denied complicity with bin Laden. However on 26 September 2001 the Taliban announced that an edict had been sent to bin Laden asking him to leave the country. Through intense diplomatic efforts the US and UK sought to build a broad anti-terrorist coalition to prepare for military action against the Taliban regime. Throughout September US and UK military forces were mobilised and deployed in areas close to Afghanistan. [5b]

4.52 Despite the looming crisis the Taliban and Northern Alliance continued military operations in September 2001. On 12 September 2001 the UN World Food Programme halted shipments of food aid in the face of concerns over the safety of workers involved in the relief effort. The cessation of aid efforts and an increasing concern that US attacks on Afghanistan were imminent caused an increase in the number of displaced people seeking refuge in camps near the Pakistan and Iran borders. Border controls were strictly enforced with Pakistan restricting access to those judged to be in need of assistance. It was estimated that as many as 1.5 million refugees left their homes in the second half of September 2001. [5b]

4.53 On 7 October 2001 the US-led coalition commenced military operations (Operation Enduring Freedom) against Taliban military targets and Al Qa'ida camps in Afghanistan. [5c] In addition to military strikes aircraft released food and medicine parcels to Afghan civilians and leaflets offering protection and a reward in return for information on the whereabouts of Al Qa'ida leaders. After one week most of the Taliban's air defences had been destroyed and military action was intensified. On 20 October 2001 US ground forces began an assault on Afghanistan. [1]

4.54 During October the US conducted further operations on an almost daily basis. Aid agencies reported significant increases in the numbers of refugees seeking shelter in camps close to Afghanistan's borders. In Afghanistan the Taliban appeared to have scaled down operations against the Northern Alliance, in contrast the Northern Alliance were reported to have stepped up operations in particular launching an attack

to seize the high ground surrounding Bagram airbase.[5c]

4.55 In early November attacks against the Taliban in northern Afghanistan escalated and on 9 November 2001 the Northern Alliance captured Mazar-i-Sharif. The Northern Alliance continued to seize almost all of northern Afghanistan. On the night of 12 November 2001 the Taliban fled Kabul and the Northern Alliance entered the capital on 13 November 2001. There were reports of defections of large numbers of Taliban fighters as morale among the Taliban declined.[1] In both Kabul and Mazar-i-Sharif it was reported that Northern Alliance forces summarily executed hundreds of foreign Taliban volunteer fighters, in general, captured Afghan Taliban were treated more leniently, although there were reports that they had been subjected to beatings and other reprisals. The eight western aid workers detained by the Taliban in August, accused of proselytising Christianity, were moved out of Kabul 13 November 2001 and subsequently released.[5d]

4.56 On 19 November 2001, Pakistan, the only country to continue to recognise the Taliban (even after announcing its support for the American-led coalition), broke off its relations with the Taliban.[25v] The United Nations sponsored talks on the future of Afghanistan commenced in Bonn, Germany on 27 November 2001. The talks brought together representatives of the four Afghan groups, the Northern Alliance, the Pakistan based Peshawar group, the Iranian backed Cyprus delegation of Afghan refugees and exiles and the Rome group headed by the former king.[5d] After nine days of talks a formal "Agreement on Provisional Arrangements in Afghanistan Pending the Re-establishment of Permanent Government Institutions" (The Bonn Agreement) was signed on 5 December 2001.[25x][39b]

4.57 The Bonn Agreement stated that the Interim Authority, the initial governing body would rule for six months from 22 December 2001 and that power would pass to a Transitional Authority on 22 June 2002.[39b] An Interim Administration, to govern Afghanistan, was inaugurated on 22 December 2001. A 30-member cabinet was sworn in under the leadership of Hamid Karzai. The cabinet included representatives from Pashtun, Hazara and Tajik ethnic groups and included two women ministers.[25aa][25ab]

See also Political System paragraphs 5.5 - 5.7

4.58 In early December 2001 the Northern Alliance supported by US and UK forces defeated the remaining Taliban troops who had fled to the northern city of Kandahar. Isolated pockets of Taliban continued to engage the Northern Alliance throughout the country, however the fall of Kandahar on 7 December 2001 signalled the end of the Taliban regimes grip on Afghanistan. Mullah Omar and senior Taliban leaders evaded capture. US forces stepped up efforts to locate Usama bin Laden, alleged leader behind the 11 September attacks on the US. He was believed to be at a complex of caves at Tora Bora south-west of Jalalabad. The cave complex had been captured by 20 December 2001 but he was not found.[5e]

January 2002 onwards

4.59 A Military Technical Agreement was signed by the Afghan Interim Administration on 4 January 2002 on the deployment of an International Security Assistance Force (ISAF) to Afghanistan. The agreement tasked the ISAF to work alongside Afghan Security Forces maintaining security in and around Kabul. Britain was lead nation of the ISAF for the initial three months of its mandate.[25ac][39c] The ISAF reached its full operating capacity of 4,500 troops on 18 February 2002.[39e] On 25 January 2002 the Interim Administration leader Hamid Karzai announced names of members of the Special Independent Commission responsible for convening an Emergency Loya Jirga. This would decide on the make up of a Transitional Authority, to govern for 18 months from June 2002.[36e]

4.60 In January 2002 US led forces continued military operations against small pocket of Taliban resistance and focused their efforts on locating former Taliban leaders and Usama bin Laden. Despite these efforts Taliban leader Mullah Omar remained at large and Usama bin Laden also continued to evade capture.[5f] On 10 January 2002 the US commenced flying prisoners captured during the campaign in Afghanistan to a US prison camp in Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.[25ad] By 23 January 2002 the camp held some 158 prisoners; transfers were then halted to allow the camp to be expanded.[25ae]

4.61 In February 2002 US forces continued military operations against small pockets of Taliban resistance and continued their efforts to locate troops loyal to Usama bin Laden's Al Qa'ida organisation. Small-scale conflicts between rival regional leaders were reported in regions throughout the country. On 14 February 2002, the Civil Aviation and Tourism Minister Abdul Rahim Wardak was assassinated.[5g]

4.62 On 1 March 2002 US troops launched Operation Anaconda against suspected Taliban and Al Qa'ida forces in the Shah-i-Kot valley in Paktia province. The operation developed into a 17-day conflict, which saw the bloodiest fighting since the arrival of the US troops in October 2001. On 18 March 2002, the UK announced plans to deploy 1,700 troops in Afghanistan to assist in Operation Anaconda.[5h] By 20 April 2002 the full force of 1,700 Royal Marines had arrived in Afghanistan.[25bh]

4.63 On 25 March 2002, an earthquake measuring 6.1 on the Richter scale hit the Hindu Kush region of the country. It was reported that as many as 1,800 people were killed and thousands left homeless. The city of

Nahrin was hit hardest by the earthquake with 90% of the city's housing destroyed. Violent aftershocks continued for several days hampering rescue efforts and causing further damage.[5h] On 31 March 2002 the Special Independent Commission announced and published the procedures for the Emergency Loya Jirga.[40b]

4.64 On 4 April 2002 the Interim Administration claimed to have foiled an attempt to assassinate Hamid Karzai and detained some 300 supporters of the former Prime Minister Gulbuddin Hekmatyar. Officials said they had seized significant quantities of arms from supporters of Hekmatyar's Shia Hezbi-I-Wahdat-I-Islami. A few days later an alleged assassination attempt was made on Mohammad Fahim Khan the Defence Minister and Vice Chairman of the Interim Administration. The alleged assassination attempt followed warnings from the ISAF that groups excluded from the governing process intended to destabilise Kabul and undermine the interim Government.[5i]

4.65 In April 2002 Human Rights Watch issued a report detailing abuses against ethnic Pashtuns in Northern Afghanistan following the fall of the Taliban.[17e] Also in April 2001 there were reports that mass graves had been found in the Bamiyan area believed to contain the bodies of members of the local Hazara community killed late in 2001 after the fall of the Taliban.[25be]

4.66 US and UK forces continued military operations in April 2002 against small pockets of Taliban resistance and continued to focus their efforts on locating Al Qa'ida troops loyal to Usama bin Laden. On 8 April 2002 the Interim Administration launched a programme to destroy Afghanistan's crop of opium. Farmers were offered payment for destroying opium crops. According to Hamid Karzai farmers who refused to join the programme would be liable to prosecution and would have their land seized.[5i]

4.67 In May 2002 US and UK forces continued military operations within Afghanistan. On 1 May 2002 the UK forces launched a two week offensive named Operation Snipe. On 23 May 2002 the UN Security Council adopted resolution 1413 extending the mandate of the ISAF for an additional six months from 20 June 2002.[5j] On 6 June 2002 Hamid Karzai signed a decree establishing an Afghan Independent Human Rights Commission. According to the decree the commission is charged with developing a national plan of action for human rights in Afghanistan.[40m]

4.68 The process of selecting delegates for the Emergency Loya Jirga started in the middle of April 2002 and continued until 6 June 2002. Following the selection of delegates the Loya Jirga took place between 11 and 19 June 2002. In a report to the UN Secretary General dated 11 July 2002 it was noted that despite some imperfections in the nomination and selection of candidates and incidents of intimidation during the Loya Jirga itself, it reached a successful conclusion. Hamid Karzai was elected as the new Head of State and the structures and appointment of the Transitional Authority were approved. The final cabinet of the Transitional Authority was composed of five Vice-Presidents, three Special or National Advisors with Cabinet status and 30 Ministries. The ethnic balance showed Pashtun representation increased slightly to just under 50%, and Tajik representation reduced to below one third. The Administration included three women.[40s]

See also Political System paragraphs 5.8 - 5.12

4.69 The choice of Taj Mohammad Wardak a Pashtun as Interior Minister caused a demonstration by police in Kabul on 20 June 2002. They blocked a road near the Interior Ministry when Mr Wardak arrived for a handover from the former Interior Minister Yunis Qanooni. Hamid Karzai stated that the police had to accept the command of the minister.[25w]

4.70 In June 2002 Hamid Karzai underlined his determination for rule by government and not factional warlords.[25w] Factional clashes continued to be a problem and on 15 June 2002 UN Special Representative Lakhdar Brahimi called on prominent warlords General Dostum and General Atta Mohammad to take urgent action to stop lawlessness and intimidation in the north of the country.[25u] Foreign aid workers in the area had also come under attack prompting some to consider withdrawing from the area.[36i] On 20 June 2002 Turkey took over the leadership of the International Security Assistance Force. [5k]

4.71 On 20 June 2002 UK Secretary of State for Defence announced that the UK force of 1,600 troops, deployed in Afghanistan to search for Taliban and Al Qa'ida, would be withdrawn.[5k]

4.72 On 6 July 2002 Afghan Vice President Haji Abdul Qadir was assassinated by unidentified gunmen. The ISAF were asked to assist in the investigation of his death.[25t] Later in July 2002 American bodyguards were employed to reinforce security for Hamid Karzai.[25p] In August 2002 a report by the ISAF of its investigation into the assassination of Qadir indicated that they had failed to identify the killers. [25n] In late July 2002 it was reported that the Afghan authorities had foiled an attempt to blow up a government minister's car. It was alleged a car carrying explosives was intercepted in Kabul. A statement from the General Department of National Security said that the driver was a subject of a foreign country and claimed international terrorists were responsible.[25j]

4.73 In early August 2002 growing concerns about violence involving troops of regional warlords was reported. US troops had engaged in action twice against members of rival warlord factions battling for control in the eastern province of Kunar. In the west near the Iranian border several clashes between Herat Province Governor Ismail Khan and ethnic Pashtun troops were reported. On 7 August 2002 Afghan police reported that at least 15 people were killed in a shoot-out between Afghan police and what were described as foreigners at Binizar near Kabul. A Foreign Ministry spokesman said that there were signs that the 12 gunmen killed were members of Al Qa'ida.[40w]

4.74 On 5 September 2002 an assassination attempt on Hamid Karzai was made in the southern city of Kandahar. Two rounds were fired on the President's vehicle. American soldiers guarding the President fired back at the gunman killing at least three people. Earlier in the day there was a large explosion in Kabul killing at least ten people and injuring dozens. The target of the bomb, reportedly inside a taxi, was unclear. The explosion took place close to the Ministry of Information and Culture and the Spinzar Hotel. [25k]

5. STATE STRUCTURES

Part I

Part II

5. STATE STRUCTURES

THE CONSTITUTION

5.1 From 1996 to the immediate period before 22 December 2001 there was no countrywide recognised constitution. In 1999 the Taliban claimed that it was drafting a constitution based on Islamic law but made no further announcements regarding a constitution.[2b] The Bonn Agreement restored the Constitution of 1964 to the extent that its provisions were not inconsistent with those of the Bonn Agreement and with the exception of those provisions relating to the monarchy and the executive and legislative bodies provided for in the Constitution.[39b] In early February 2002 an executive order of the leader of the Interim Administration further announced the partial restoration of the 1964 constitution.[36d]

5.2 The Bonn agreement called for the convening of a Constitutional Loya Jirga within 18 months of the establishment of the Transitional Authority, in order to adopt a new Constitution for Afghanistan.[39b] On 5 October 2002 the Afghan Transitional Administration announced the establishment of the Drafting Committee of the Constitutional Commission. The Committee, composed of nine members two of whom are women, is to prepare a preliminary draft of the Constitution to be finalised by a Constitutional Commission (to be appointed at a later stage). The final draft of the Constitution will be submitted to a Constitutional Loya Jirga to be held in 2003.[40z]

Citizenship and Nationality

5.3 In May 2002 the Afghan Embassy in Islamabad provided information on the types of passports issued to Afghan citizens. Six different types of passports are issued, diplomatic, service, trade, student, Hajj (only valid in connection with a pilgrimage) and ordinary nationality passports. Diplomatic and service passports are only issued in Kabul by the Foreign Ministry, while trade passports, student passports and Hajj passports can be issued by local authorities in Afghanistan. Ordinary nationality passports can be issued at Afghan representations outside Afghanistan.[8b]

5.4 When applying for an Afghan nationality passport the applicant must visit the passport authorities in person to fill in an application form. Identity documents must be presented, for example an Afghan identity card (tazkara) or a previous passport. The majority of the Afghan population possess ID documents, but if not they can bring a witness to confirm their Afghan identity. When submitting passport applications, applicants are interviewed to check they have a good knowledge of Afghan affairs including geographical conditions. It was confirmed that Afghan passports issued by the former Taliban regime are no longer valid. [8b]

POLITICAL SYSTEM

Interim Administration

5.5 Afghanistan has experienced civil war and political instability for 22 years.[2b] On 22 December 2001 the Interim Administration took office following the signing of The Bonn Agreement.[2b] The Bonn Agreement set out the provisions for the establishment of the Interim Administration. The Agreement stated that the Interim Authority, mandated to rule for six months would:

- act in accordance with basic principles and provisions contained in international instruments on human rights and international humanitarian law
- ensure the participation of women as well as the equitable representation of all ethnic and religious communities.[39b]

5.6 The Interim Authority, the repository of national sovereignty, consisted of an Interim Administration, a Special Independent Commission for Convening the Emergency Loya Jirga and a Supreme Court of Afghanistan. The Interim Administration (the Executive of the Interim Authority) took office on 22 December 2001. The Interim Administration was entrusted with the government of Afghanistan during its mandated period of rule. The Bonn Agreement specified the transfer of power to a Transitional Authority within six months and called for the convening of an Emergency Loya Jirga (under the auspices of a Special Independent Commission) to elect a Head of State and approve proposals for the structure and key personnel of the Transitional Administration.[39b] The 30 member interim cabinet which formed the Interim Administration was drawn from representatives of the Northern Alliance, the Rome group loyal to the former king Zahir Shah, and the smaller Cyprus and Peshawar exile groups, and included a mix of ethnic backgrounds.[25aa][25ab]

5.7 The Bonn Agreement called for a Special Independent Commission for Convening the Emergency Loya Jirga to be established within one month of the inauguration of the Interim Authority.[39b] Accordingly the members of the Commission were announced on 25 January 2002.[40a] The Special Independent Commission announced the procedures for the Emergency Loya Jirga on 31 March 2002. They said that the Loya Jirga would meet between 10 and 16 June 2002, to decide on a Head of State and the composition of a Transitional Administration. Selection of the delegates to the Loya Jirga would take place in two stages, nomination at district level followed by selection at regional level (regions being made up of several districts).[40b] The Commission said that 1,450 delegates would attend the Loya Jirga of which 1,051 would be elected, with the remainder being quotas of reserved seats for women, members of the Interim Administration, refugees, internally displaced people, nomads, business people, intellectuals, religious scholars and members of civil society.[25z][40b] Selection of the delegates at district level commenced in mid April 2002.[25af] District and regional meetings continued throughout April and May 2002 and into June 2002.[19a][40g][19b]

The Emergency Loya Jirga

5.8 The Loya Jirga finally opened on 11 June 2002, more than 25 hours after originally planned.[25ar] Recurring themes throughout the speeches by delegates from the various provinces included; the need to promote national unity in spite of political and ethnic differences; the need to address insecurity in many parts of the country; the need to create a national army; the reform of the security agencies; the need to expand education; the need to bring about an ethnically balanced Government; and the need to curb corruption and patronage. Political differences emerged in particular over the role of the former King and a fundamentalist agenda put forward by some members of jihadi parties. Hamid Karzai emerged from these confrontations as a consensus candidate for the presidency of the Transitional Administration. He was elected overwhelmingly by secret ballot with 1,295 votes out of 1,575.[40s]

5.9 President Karzai supported the establishment of a transitional national assembly. This was not stipulated by the Bonn Agreement but had been suggested by the Special Independent Commission. Although the idea was broadly endorsed the debate over equitable representation of different regions and ethnic groups became bogged down in disagreements. Finally President Karzai suggested that the concept and mode of election to the assembly be revisited at a later stage, based on the recommendations of an advisory committee to be selected by the Loya Jirga. A transitional national assembly was not however established.[40s]

5.10 On 19 June 2002 following intense consultations President Karzai announced the names of 14 Ministers and 3 Vice Presidents who were endorsed by the Loya Jirga.[40s] The final make-up of the Transitional Administration which was announced later, was composed of 5 Vice Presidents, 3 Special or National Advisers with Cabinet status, and 30 Ministers.[40s] The ethnically mixed Transitional Administration included 13 Pashtuns including Hamid Karzai, nine Tajiks, three Uzbeks, three Hazaras, and one Turkmen.[48b] The Administration included three women, Dr Suhaila Seddiqi, Minister for Public Health, Habiba Surabi, Minister for Women's Affairs, and Mahbooba Huqooqmal deputy Women's Minister. [48b]

5.11 In a report dated 11 July 2002 the Secretary-General to the UN Security Council noted that the Loya Jirga process had not been without difficulties, imperfections being noted in the nomination and selection of

delegates and incidents of intimidation during the Loya Jirga itself. However the Secretary General reported that the Loya Jirga had reached a successful conclusion, accomplishing the tasks of electing a Head of State and approving the structures and appointment of the Transitional Authority.[40s] The Secretary General's Special Representative Lakhdar Brahimi also commented on 19 July 2002 that the Loya Jirga incorporated significant and innovative democratic elements. As a result two thirds of the 1,600 delegates who took part were actually selected by the people themselves, the members spanned every ethnic and political group, and 200 of them were women.[39f]

5.12 The new Afghan Transitional Islamic Administration was sworn in on 24 June 2002.[25az]

1996 - 22 December 2001

5.13 On assuming power in 1996, the Taliban declared Afghanistan a "complete" Islamic state and appointed an interim Council of Ministers to administer the country.[1] The Taliban's restrictions regarding the social behavior of men and women were communicated by edicts and enforced mainly by the Religious Police. The edicts were enforced with varying degrees of rigor throughout the country.[2b] Following a visit in February 2001, the UN Under-Secretary-General for Humanitarian Affairs and Emergency Relief stated that the Taliban "appear to be both unable and unwilling to cater to the basic concerns and needs of people under their control" and that "they seem to be consumed by their immediate goals of military gain and religious progress." [11d]

5.14 In 1997 the Taliban issued an edict renaming the country the Islamic Emirate of Afghanistan and named its leader Mullah Omar Head of State and Commander of the Faithful. Ultimate authority vested with Mullah Omar who headed the inner *Shura* (Council) based in the southern city of Kandahar.[2b] At the local level, local *Shura* were constituted and also ruled by decree.[11d] In practice however decision-making became totally centralised and secretive, with the Kabul ministers rarely consulted on key issues. [33] The Taliban appeared to have embarked upon an increasingly ideological hard-line stance during 2001, with observers commenting on the insanity of their complete confrontation with the international community.[38]

5.15 The Taliban controlled approximately 90% of the country the remaining 10% was controlled by a rival regime, the Islamic State of Afghanistan (generally known as the Northern Alliance or United Front). Former President Burhanuddin Rabbani who controlled most of the country's embassies and retained Afghanistan's United Nations seat nominally headed this regime. Rabbani and his military commander, Ahmed Shah Masoud controlled the majority of Tajik areas in the extreme north-east of the country. The anti-Taliban forces of the Northern Alliance acted in concert with the US led military coalition, Operation Enduring Freedom, which commenced on 7 October 2001.[2b]

JUDICIARY

Current Position

5.16 The Bonn Agreement stated that the judicial power of Afghanistan shall be independent and shall be vested in a Supreme Court of Afghanistan and such other courts as may be established by the Interim Administration. The Bonn Agreement also called for the establishment of a Judicial Commission to rebuild the domestic justice system in accordance with Islamic principles, international standards, the rule of law and Afghan legal traditions.[39b]

5.17 On 21 May 2002 the Judicial Commission was established by decree. It consists of 16 eminent Afghan scholars, legal practitioners and jurists. A subsequent decree promulgated on 6 June 2002 outlined the specific powers and functions of the committee. Several working groups are to be set up on different thematic issues related to the Afghan justice sector. Each working group will be chaired by a member of the Judicial Commission with other members of the Commission, external consultants and experts participating in, and contributing to, its work.[40s] By the end of August 2002 it was reported that the Judicial Commission had set up 11 working groups to address key issues.[40ad]

5.18 The new chief justice of Afghanistan and head of the supreme court, Mr Fazal Hadi Shinwari asserted in January 2002 that, as an Islamic country, Afghanistan would continue to apply Islamic law to judgements in courts. He also announced an amnesty for those who had worked for the Taliban regime (but not those accused of murder).[24a]

1996 - 22 December 2001

5.19 Following their seizure of power in Kabul in September 1996, the Taliban imposed a strict Islamic code of conduct on Kabul, including the introduction of stonings, lashings, amputations, and the death penalty as punishment for various crimes.[1] According to the UN the Taliban established a lower court and a higher

court in every province, with a Supreme Court in Kabul.[2b] However there was no functioning nation-wide judicial system in place. The Taliban regime recognised only the validity of Sharia (Islamic) law and did not accept the notion of secular law or binding international law.[11d] The Taliban ruled strictly in areas they controlled, establishing ad hoc and rudimentary judicial systems.[2b]

5.20 In 1997 the UNHCR reportedly had misgivings about the proper working of the legal system in northern Afghanistan (those areas not under Taliban control). This was considered especially true in areas where individual commanders had established independent power bases and accordingly acted as the legislative, judiciary and executive authority all in one.[8a]

LEGAL RIGHTS/DETENTION

Current Situation

5.21 The Bonn Agreement provided for a legal framework to be applicable on an interim basis until the adoption of a new Constitution. The Bonn agreement stated that this legal framework would made up as follows:-

"i)The Constitution of 1964, a/ to the extent that its provisions are not inconsistent with those contained in this agreement, and b/ with the exception of those provisions relating to the monarchy and to the executive and legislative bodies provided in the constitution; and

ii)existing laws and regulations, to the extent that they are not inconsistent with this agreement or with international legal obligations to which Afghanistan is a party, or within those applicable provisions contained in the Constitution of 1964, provided that the Interim Authority shall have the power to repeal or amend those laws and regulations."[39b]

5.22 It has been reported that following the departure of the Taliban, judges in Afghanistan could not find any copies of the codes of Afghanistan. After the Taliban rose to power in 1996 the decrees of Mullah Omar and his harsh interpretation of Islamic law became the new governing institute and previous legal codes were often intentionally burned and destroyed. Efforts are currently underway to reassemble the legal codes in effect prior to Soviet and Taliban rule.[10] The International Crisis Group reported in July 2002 that in Afghanistan no semblance of a functioning national judicial system remains. Three decades of regime change have lead to massive alterations of the legal system in content and implementation. Afghanistan's few experts are uncertain which laws are actually in force.[26]

5.23 ISAF is not specifically mandated to act as the Afghan police in Kabul, but is mandated to provide a stable and secure environment for the political process in support of the Interim and Transitional Administrations. Within Kabul some districts are safer than others, criminality in some areas is notorious. Mines and structurally unsafe buildings also cause safety concerns. The ISAF are not responsible for the protection of individuals or minority groups, and have no official authority to investigate complaints or take action. Complaints could be taken to the police, but they have a limited capacity to react in the face of a high level of crime and the domination of warlords. A judicial and legal system exists but with limited function.[51] In an open letter to President Karzai in July 2002 Amnesty International urged the Transitional Administration to ensure the rapid development and implementation of a fully functioning criminal justice system conforming to international law and standards relating to human rights.[7m]

1996 - 22 December 2201

5.24 Taliban courts imposed an extreme interpretation of Islamic law (not a formal countrywide legal code) and punishments followed swift summary trials. Those found guilty of murder or rape were generally ordered to be executed, although victims' relatives could alternatively accept other restitution.[2b] The judiciary in Taliban controlled areas consisted of tribunals, where clerics with little legal training ruled on Pashtun customs and the Taliban's interpretation of Sharia law.[11d]

5.25 With the absence of formal legal and law enforcement institutions, justice was not administered according to formal legal codes, and persons were subject to arbitrary detention. There were credible reports that both Taliban and Northern Alliance militia extorted bribes from civilians in return for their release from prison or freedom from arrest. Judicial and police procedures varied from locality to locality. Little is known about the procedures for taking persons into custody and bringing them to justice. In both Taliban and non-Taliban areas practices varied depending on the locality, the local commanders and other authorities. Some areas had a more formal judicial structure than others.[2b] In April 2001 UNHCR reported that in Taliban and Northern Alliance controlled areas the rule of law was similarly non-existent.[11d]

5.26 Little is known about the administration of justice in the areas that were controlled by the Northern

Alliance. The administration and implementation of justice varied from area to area, and depended on the impulse of local commanders or other authorities who summarily execute, torture and mete out punishments without reference to any other authority.[2b]

See also Internal Security paragraphs 5.41 - 5.47 and Religious Police paragraphs 5.48 - 5.50

Death Penalty

5.27 Public executions were reported, and during 2000 at least 15 people were executed in public.[7b] On 26 September 2000 a man convicted of adultery was publicly stoned in Maymana in Fariab province. The woman with whom he was convicted of engaging in adultery was sentenced to 100 lashes, although the sentence was postponed because she was pregnant. The punishment for homosexuality is to have walls topped on those found guilty. This punishment was carried out on at least one occasion in 1999 and seven times in 1998 (resulting in five deaths). There were however no known instances of such punishment during 2000 or 2001.[2b] In October 2002 an Afghan tribunal sentenced one of the country's most notorious commanders Abdullah Shah to death for murdering dozens of people. Abdullah Shah can appeal against the sentence, and President Hamid Karzai's approval for the death sentence is needed. If the court's decision is upheld it would be the first official execution since the fall of the Taliban regime. Asma Jahangir UN Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions who attended the court hearing expressed strong reservations about the way the trial was conducted.[55]

5.28 Amnesty International reported that the Northern Alliance executed six prisoners on 6 December 2000 following their arrest two days previously; signs of torture were also reported. The arrests followed the ambush and shooting of Alliance members. A spokesman insisted that some sort of trial took place, despite the execution only forty hours after the accused were arrested. This action was possibly indicative of a political motive behind the executions.[71]

INTERNAL SECURITY

Current Situation

5.29 The Bonn Agreement raised the possibility of an international security force for Afghanistan and called upon the international community to assist in the formation of a national army and police force.[2b] A Military Technical Agreement was signed with the Interim Administration on 4 January 2002 on the deployment of an International Security Assistance Force (ISAF) to Afghanistan to work alongside Afghan police to maintain security in and around Kabul. Britain agreed to be lead nation of the ISAF for the initial three months of its mandate.[25ac][39c] The ISAF reached its full operating capacity of 4,500 troops on 18 February 2002.[39e]

5.30 A UNHCR report, dated 13 February 2002, indicated that the security situation whilst improved in urban centres had not improved in other parts of the country. In the provinces, pockets of instability remained or re-emerged, often as a result of unsettled power-sharing agreements.[11e]

5.31 ISAF reported in late April 2002 that the security situation in Kabul had improved significantly since their arrival. According to ISAF ongoing statistical analysis, crime rates have decreased across the city by as much as 70%. ISAF reported that the general population of Kabul welcomes the security and confidence that ISAF brings, with some 89% of respondents saying that they are happy with the presence of the Force. There were a few low-level incidents involving ISAF patrols, which ISAF associated with increased patrolling activity, especially in the hours of darkness, in the more lawless areas of the city. ISAF will continue to work to improve the security situation in these areas.[40d] In late May 2002, further evidence of the improvement in the security situation in Kabul was provided when night time curfew hours were reduced, so that the curfew only ran from 2300 hours until 0400 in the morning.[40ac]

5.32 A Danish fact finding mission to Kabul in May 2002 reported that the security situation in Kabul was generally good although in certain areas, (particularly districts 5,6 and 7) civilian safety was poor. Crime in these areas was mainly directed against the wealthy. Some politically motivated crime was also reported, but thought to be in connection with the selection process for the Loya Jirga, still underway at the time of the visit. The director of the Danish Committee for Aid to Refugees (DACAAR) did not believe that there was any ethnically motivated violence in Kabul. The Deputy Chief of Police in Kabul stated that there were no security problems in Kabul. He attributed the crime rate to economic problems.[8b]

5.33 The Transitional Administration has limited reach outside Kabul. Various warlords and their forces continue to control the provinces.[50] There continued to be reports of tension and fighting between rival warlords in particular in the north of Afghanistan in Mazar-i-Sharif city, Sholgarah district and Sari Pul in late April and early May 2002. A Security Commission was set up to deal with the issues.[19c][17h] A Briefing Paper issued by HRW in June 2002, highlighted the factional rivalries and open hostility affecting the

security situation in northern Afghanistan. The competing ambitions of two ethnically-based armed parties, the mainly Uzbek Junbish-e Milli led by General Abdul Rashid Dostum, and the mainly Tajik Jamiat-e Islami led by General Atta Mohammad were reported to have led to an increase in crime. Robbery, rape and other violent crimes were apparently being committed with impunity. The line between these two parties runs through the southern part of Balkh province and neighbouring Sari Pul province.[17f] Fighting erupted again in late June 2002.[40o] On 1 July 2002, President Karzai sent a four man team (headed by his personal advisor to the Ministry of Religious and Tribal Affairs) to the north to launch an investigation into factional fighting between rival warlords.[40q] Fighting was also reported around Gardez and in Wardak province in late April 2002[17h] and around Kunduz in late May 2002.[20e]

5.34 The security situation was also covered in the Secretary-General to the UN Security Council's report dated 11 July 2002, (covering the period from 18 March 2002). He reported that in Kabul the ISAF has continued to have a very positive impact on security in conjunction with the Afghan police and other domestic security forces. However security in Afghanistan remains a cause for concern. In addition to actions by ex-Taliban and Al Qa'ida, armed factions that nominally support the Bonn process continue to pose a threat to the consolidation of peace and civil government in the country. Particularly in the north a rivalry between Jumbesh and Jamiat factions has prevented the establishment of effective security in Mazar city. The Secretary-General also reported that in the weeks prior to his report a number of armed attacks and robberies took place against international aid agencies. At the time of his report no credible measures had been taken to address these security problems.[40s]

5.35 On 23 May 2002 the UN Security Council voted to extend the mandate for the International Security Assistance Force for a period of six months beyond 20 June 2002. Commenting on the security situation Kieran Prendergast, Under-Secretary-General for Political Affairs, said that the creation of new Afghan security institutions would take time. He also said that the current security situation, in particular outside Kabul, remained a major concern. A representative from Afghanistan who attended the meeting thanked the international community for its assistance, citing in particular the general establishment of peace and security in the country.[39e] On 20 June 2002, the UK handed over leadership of ISAF to Turkey. Major General John McColl, Commander of the UK force handed over command to Turkey's Major General Akin Zorlu. Commenting on the achievements of ISAF, General McColl said that a dramatic change in the nature of Kabul had been achieved, "when we arrived there was a great deal of lawlessness, now we have a situation where the city has come to life." [25ay]

5.36 The Secretary General also reported on sporadic fighting and skirmishes in Uruzgan, Laghman, Kunar, Wardak, Paktia, Khost and Nimruz provinces. Disputed governorships had destabilized several provinces, with the Central Government frequently unable to quell military opposition to Governors it has legitimately named.[40s]

5.37 The ISAF monthly report covering the period 20 June 2002 to 31 July 2002 reported that the security situation in Kabul and its surrounding areas was generally calm, but said the security circumstances remained potentially vulnerable as demonstrated by the assassination of Vice President Haji Abdul Qadir on 6 July 2002.[40y]

5.38 The UMAMA situation report for 22 to 28 September reported on stable or calm security situations in most areas in Afghanistan. However the situation in the north continued to be volatile, despite a recent spate of recent fighting ceasing as a result of intervention by the Security Commission.[40ab]

5.39 Since the Soviet invasion the "secret police" has been a key tool of repression. While the agency's name has been changed several times many personnel and tactics have remained the same. The internal intelligence branch now called the National Security Directorate (NDS) was formerly known as "KhAD". Since the fall of Kabul in November 2001 this has been controlled and staffed by the Panshiri Shura-i-Nazar.[26] President Karzai has highlighted the establishment of the national army and police and reform of the NDS as being among his top priorities for the transitional period.[39f]

5.40 In August 2002 it was reported that an Accountability Department tasked with ensuring Afghan Muslims refrained from such crimes as drinking alcohol, fornication and sodomy had been established. It was reported that this was similar to the notorious religious police of the ousted Taliban, but with less draconian powers. Deputy Chief Justice Manawi explained that Accountability employees would try to persuade people not to pursue vice and unlawful acts and that offenders will face gentler punishments than under the Taliban. The new punishments will depend on the crime but will include fines, imprisonment and lashings.[24b]

1996 - 22 December 2001

5.41 During the Taliban regime the Taliban's militia and religious police, part of the Ministry for the Promotion of Virtue and Suppression of Vice (PVSU) were responsible for internal security in areas under Taliban control.[2b]

5.42 Prior to 22 December 2001 civilians continued to be the primary victims of unabated fighting. [2b] Both

sides of the conflict targeted civilians during their quests for military victory, subjecting them to the indiscriminate use of mortars, rocket and fighter plane attacks and also landmines. [11d][6b]

5.43 Following the arrival of the Taliban, it was reported in 1996 that many roads were reopened and security conditions apparently improved. [11a] The strict security enforced by the Taliban in areas under its control resulted in a decrease in abductions, kidnappings and hostage taking. However, there were also credible allegations that Taliban forces were responsible for disappearances, abductions, kidnappings and hostage taking and maintained private prisons to settle personal vendettas.[2b]

5.44 In June 1997 Amnesty International reported that claims by the Taliban to have brought peace and stability to Afghanistan were often used by their supporters to justify human rights abuses, including their discriminatory practices against women. However, whilst Kabul's residents apparently welcomed an end to the rocketing and shelling that came from the Taliban's take over of the city, some questioned the price which they had to pay.[7a]

5.45 A Human Rights Watch report, dated July 2001, detailed a number of violations committed by both sides during the course of the conflict and indicative of the conduct of the warring parties. These included the massacre by the Taliban of some 176 civilians in the town of Yakaoloang in the Hazajat region in January 2001 following fighting in and around the town between Taliban and Northern Alliance factions. A further execution of an unknown number of civilians also took place in June 2001. In January 2001 Taliban forces summarily executed at least 31 ethnic Uzbek civilians while retreating from Khwajaghar in Takhar province. There were also reports that the Taliban bombed residential areas of Taloqan prior to the fall of the town in September 2000 and that Taliban forces carried out summary executions of Northern Alliance sympathisers in villages in the area. In late 1999 and early 2000 internally displaced persons in and around Sangcharak district recounted summary executions, burning of houses and widespread looting during the four months that the area was held by the Northern Alliance.[17b]

5.46 On 7 October 2001 Operation Enduring Freedom, a US led international coalition began a military action aimed at toppling the Taliban regime and eliminating the Al Qa'ida network from Afghanistan.[2b] In November 2001 Northern Alliance forces killed approximately 100 to 300 Taliban fighters in the city of Mazar-i-Sharif when it shelled a former girls school that was being used as a military barracks by the Taliban. There were conflicting reports as to whether some of the Taliban forces had attempted to surrender before the shelling.[2b] It was further reported that another 120 Taliban prisoners were killed on 25 November 2001 following a riot of prisoners at the Qala-e-Jhangi fort.[2b][25at] In November 2001 following the capture of Kabul there were unconfirmed reports of civilians killing fleeing Taliban members, and Arabs and Pakistanis.[2b][25r]

5.47 On 16 October 2001 Taliban members were reported to have intermittently looted offices of the Swedish Committee for Afghanistan over a period of two days in five separate Afghan cities.[2b] In November 2001 following the capture of Kabul by the Northern Alliance there were credible reports that as Taliban members fled the city they ransacked offices, stole vehicles, looted the museum and stole an estimated \$1.5 million from the currency exchange district.[2b]

Religious Police

5.48 The Taliban relied on a religious police force under the control of PVSV to enforce rules regarding appearance, dress, employment, access to medical care, religious practice, expression and other behaviour. Members of the PVSV, which was raised to the status of a Ministry in 1998 regularly monitored persons on the street to ensure that individuals were conforming to Taliban edicts. Those found in violation of the edicts were subject to punishment on the spot including beatings and detention. The edicts were generally enforced in cities, especially Kabul, but enforced less consistently in rural areas, in which more discretion was permitted based on local custom.[2b]

5.49 The UN Special Rapporteur met with the Head of the Religious Police in December 1997, who explained that the Religious Police had two roles. Firstly to promote virtue by calling people to good deeds and secondly to prohibit vice by keeping people out of crimes and other anti-religious activities. It was the duty of the police to investigate and then turn the case over to the Chief Justice and the Attorney General. [6a]

5.50 Small incidents (including small social and religious crimes) were dealt with exclusively by the Religious Police, who carried out punishments. Men and women in Kabul reportedly feared being stopped or harassed by the Religious Police (for example the Religious Police beat shopkeepers found in their stores at prayer time). Punishments for some offences reportedly ranged from 10-40 lashes. [6a]

PRISONS AND PRISON CONDITIONS

Current Situation

5.51 In January 2002, the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) reported on the release of some 270 detainees in Kabul. They had been recently transferred from the Do Ab prison in Panjshir having previously been in prison for up to six years. ICRC delegates confirmed that they were currently visiting some 4,800 detainees in approximately 40 places of detention throughout Afghanistan.[42a]

5.52 On 1 February 2002 Amnesty International (AI) raised concerns about the conditions under which thousands of prisoners in Afghanistan were detained. Under the Bonn Agreement the Interim Administration is formally responsible for detention facilities, however Amnesty reported that the US had a continuing responsibility for the welfare of prisoners in US custody, and in addition had significant influence over the situation of prisoners in facilities run by the Afghan authorities.[7c] In particular AI raised concerns about conditions in the US controlled Kandahar Airport facility, where there were reports of prisoners being gagged, blindfolded and shackled and held in unheated permanently lit quarters.[7c]

5.53 Physicians for Human Rights reported in January 2002 on conditions found at Shebarghan Prison west of Mazar-i-Sharif. Investigators found severe overcrowding, non-existent sanitation and exposure to winter cold, inadequate food and no medical supplies, resulting in epidemic illness and deaths. According to the commander of the facility, cells designed to hold 10-15 prisoners were holding 80-110 men. The prisoners held included 1,000 Pakistanis, Afghan Taliban who surrendered at Kunduz in December 2001 and 100-150 prisoners who had been held since before the Taliban rose to power.[45] The ICRC confirmed in March 2002 that it had been visiting detainees at Shebarghan prison since November 2001. The prison held the largest number of detainees captured after the fall of the Taliban, and ICRC had provided detainees with blankets, clothes, shoes, bowls, water containers and soap. Immediate steps had also been taken to ensure a sufficient supply of water.[42e]

5.54 US officials confirmed in January 2002 that they were holding 368 prisoners captured during the conflict, 306 at a base in Kandahar, 38 in Bagram outside Kabul, 16 at Mazar-i-Sharif and eight aboard the Navy ship USS Bagram.[25ad] A number of the US held prisoners including suspected members of Usama bin Laden's Al Qa'ida network and fighters from the ousted Taliban were flown to a US prison camp in Guantanamo Bay, Cuba in January 2002.[25ad] By 25 January 2002 some 158 prisoners had been transferred to Guantanamo Bay. There was some international criticism of the treatment of the prisoners however the US maintained they were illegal combatants rather than prisoners of war and were therefore denied rights enshrined in the Geneva Convention on prisoners of war. The US insisted they were being treated humanely.[25ae] ICRC delegates were permitted to visit these detainees in accordance with ICRC standard working procedures.[42b]

5.55 In May 2002 Klaus-Peter Klaiber European Union Special Representative in Afghanistan called for urgent action to improve the conditions of former Taliban and Al Qa'ida fighters in Shebarghan prison camp. Over 2,000 prisoners were held at the camp all of whom were ethnic Pashtuns, mostly Afghans but some Pakistanis. The prisoners were reportedly being fed only on thin soup and some were being kept in rooms only 1.5 meters square. A spokesman for the Deputy Defence Minister General Dostum confirmed that he shared concerns about the camp but said that funds were needed urgently elsewhere.[25ai] In May 2002 it was reported that some 500 prisoners had been released from Shebarghan prison and another 400 were shortly due for release. The prisoners were Pakistanis who were returned to Pakistan following their release and were described as Taliban and Al Qa'ida foot soldiers.[20f]

5.56 In May 2002 the Interim Administration instituted an amnesty which it hoped would ease overcrowding in the country's jails. Commissions were set up around the country to review the cases of prisoners. The Kabul commission chairman reported that over 60 people including some women and children had been released during the commission's first four days of work. In Kabul's main detention centre convicted criminals are being held alongside untried defendants as the main prison is without sewers and water.[24c]

5.57 ICRC reported that during the period January to August 2002 it had visited 6,189 security detainees and prisoners held in 78 places of detention. Those detained were under the responsibility of the Afghan authorities or those attached to the US forces. In addition it had provided assistance to 3,043 detainees to help them return home.[42f]

1996 - 22 December 2001

5.58 The Taliban operated prisons in Kandahar, Herat, Kabul, Jalalabad, Mazar-i-Sharif, Pul-i-Khumri, Shebarghan, Qala-e-Zaini and Maimana. The Northern Alliance maintained prisons in Panjshir and Faizabad.[2b] The Taliban also established female prisons in Kandahar, Kabul, Mazar-e-Sharif and possibly Jalalabad according to the UN Commission on Human Rights.[30a]

5.59 Both the Taliban and the Northern Alliance reportedly held thousands of combatants. The Northern Alliance reportedly held a number of Pakistanis and other third country nationals, along with several hundred Taliban soldiers. A number of persons arrested by the Taliban in 1998 for political reasons were

still believed to be in detention until the fall of the Taliban.[2b] Civilians suspected of collaboration with the Taliban were arbitrarily detained by opposition factions and severely beaten, with their family members also subject to ill treatment.[11d] All Afghan factions are believed to have used torture against opponents and POWs, though specific information is generally lacking. [2b]

5.60 In a 1999 report Amnesty International described prison conditions as particularly appalling. Prisoners were held in overcrowded conditions, deprived of adequate food, sleep, space and sanitation facilities. Torture was reported to be standard practice. Cells were intolerably hot in summer and cold in winter, with damp cells and poor hygiene the main cause of infectious diseases.[7k] The biggest prison run by the Taliban authorities was reportedly in Kandahar, where the majority of political prisoners or military combatants were held. Some were subject to forced labour and died of exhaustion or from beatings by prison guards.[7k] There were credible reports that torture occurred in prisons under the control of both the Northern Alliance and the Taliban.[2b] The Taliban ran female prisons in Kandahar, Kabul, Mazar-i-Sharif and possibly Jalalabad, the UN Commission on Human Rights reported in August 2000 that many women were held without official reason.[30a]

5.61 During 2001 there were reports of a number of detentions by the Taliban, including 60 civilians in Bamiyan's Yakawalang district. The detention of journalists increased during the military conflict late in 2001. [2b]

5.62 In November 2001 Human Rights Watch reported on the deaths of hundreds of Taliban fighters in a school in Mazar-i-Sharif following the capture of the city by the Northern Alliance. Reports varied as to whether they had laid down arms and were prisoners of war, or were holed up in the school compound exchanging fire with opposing forces and were targeted in the process of that exchange.[17c]

MILITARY SERVICE

December 2001 to date

5.63 In late February 2002 it was reported that the ISAF had commenced the training of a professional national army. After more than 20 years of civil war Afghanistan has large numbers of armed soldiers most remaining loyal to their local clan chiefs and war lords. It is planned to disarm as many of these local militias as possible replacing them with a professional national army under the control of central government.[25aj] In March 2002 Hamid Karzai leader of the Interim Administration speaking at a meeting of warlords from all over Afghanistan, said that Afghanistan would have an independent, multi-ethnic national army.[25aq] In early April 2002, the first 600 members of the newly formed First Battalion of the Afghan National Guard graduated from training.[30c]

5.64 In April and May 2002, in meetings with the Secretary-General of the UN Security Council, the Interim Administration produced plans outlining an armed force which would be overseen by a national security council and a defence council.[40s]

5.65 In June 2002 Human Rights Watch reported instances of families being forced to make cash payments to avoid the conscription of their sons into militia forces. This was occurring in northern Afghanistan where two ethnically based armed parties were involved in conflict.[17f]

See Internal Security paragraph 5.33

5.66 The Secretary-General to the UN Security Council reported in July 2002 that difficulties had been experienced in assembling an ethnically and regionally balanced group of recruits for the first battalion of Afghan National Guard. Similar difficulties were being faced by the US and French who were involved in further troop training exercises. The Secretary General reported that over one third of the soldiers on the first battalion Afghan National Guard have left since completing their training due to lack of support from the Ministry of Defence.[40s]

Pre 22 December 2001

5.67 Before 1992 conscription existed, military service could be performed in the armed services, the Ministry of the Interior and the secret police (KhAD). After the 1992 collapse of the communist government all serving conscripts were discharged, all deserters were granted amnesty (except very high-ranking officers) and the previous government's military bodies were dissolved. [9]

5.68 The vast majority of Taliban soldiers have reportedly been volunteers. Conscription has nonetheless apparently taken place, especially in critical situations. Conscripts might have included Hazaras, but were otherwise mainly Pashtuns. A source at the US representation in Pakistan advised that the Taliban's opposing factions have also practised conscription.[8a] It has also been reported that some paid bribes to

keep their sons out of the conflict, and that revolts against forced recruitment occurred.[31a]

5.69 During its fact-finding mission in November 1997, the Danish Immigration Service reported a US source as claiming that the Taliban were known to recruit soldiers both in refugee camps in Pakistan and within Afghanistan itself. However, there was reportedly seldom any forced recruitment in camps in Pakistan.[8a] In May 2000 Hazara conscripts were reportedly executed for refusing to serve with the Taliban.[11d] Generally speaking however, it appears that as some communities in Afghanistan resisted conscription, the Taliban could easily find replacements elsewhere - notably in Pakistan with its availability of impoverished and undereducated youth.[33]

5.70 In September 2001 as fears of a US attack began to grow it was reported that the Taliban were forcibly seizing men (including Tajiks and Hazaras) aged between 18 and 30 to fight America. Those who resisted faced the threat of jail, with reports that some were also to be held as hostage. On 24 September 2001 the Taliban announced they were mobilising 300,000 men to defend Afghanistan against the feared attacks.[18d]

MEDICAL SERVICES

5.71 In a report dated January 2002 entitled Afghanistan: Preliminary Needs Assessment for Recovery and Reconstruction, it was noted that the health status of Afghans ranks amongst the poorest in the world. Life expectancy at birth, 44 for males and 45 for females is among the lowest in the world. The report further indicated that health infrastructure and human resources were grossly inadequate for a population of about 24 million, which is expected to rise to roughly 35 million in ten years.[4] Maternal health care is a particular problem, a World Health Organisation (WHO) medical officer reported in January 2002 a figure of 1,700 maternal deaths for every 100,000 live births, the second highest maternal mortality rate in the world.[36k] Under the Taliban women were given only the most rudimentary access to health and medical care.[2c]

5.72 There is a strong urban bias in the provision of medical facilities with many being located in Kabul and an uneven distribution in rural areas. Only 30-40% of the population have access to some health service. About 75% of the population have access to emergency obstetric care, which was only available in 11 of the 33 provinces. In January 2002 there were about 17,500 public sector health staff, 3,900 of who were doctors providing approximately two doctors per 10,000 of population. In addition it was noted that staff were deployed unevenly to the populations geographical distribution, the composition of staff did not meet the needs required and existing staff needed significant skill upgrading/refresher training to deliver an essential services package.[4]

5.73 The ICRC has for years pursued a strategy of supporting Afghan medical structures. Surgical structures have been the focus of ICRC activities due to the need for these in conflict situations. In 2001 ICRC maintained the regular support needed to uphold surgical services in key referral hospitals on both sides of the conflict and provided surgical facilities for an average of over 3,000 inpatients and 20,000 outpatients per month.[42c] In late January 2002 the first surgical operation in three years took place at Bamiyan hospital. ICRC had decided to rehabilitate the hospital in December 2001, and with assistance from the Norwegian Red Cross and Medecins sans Frontieres (MSF) all essential services were expected to be in operation by the end of February 2002.[42d]

5.74 In a statement to the UN Security Council on 30 January 2002 Hamid Karzai said that he sought to build a health system that would offer affordable services to all.[39d] The World Health Organisation (WHO) reported in March 2002 that developing a functional health care system was a top priority in the reconstruction of Afghanistan. WHO also reported that the Afghan Ministry of Health had made important strides toward establishing a functioning structure for long-term health sector development; an organisational structure for the Ministry had been established defining roles and responsibilities for departments. A WHO training seminar on health management is to be followed by nationwide planning workshops.[43a]

5.75 In May 2002 a Danish Fact Finding mission to Afghanistan reported that there were 20 to 25 hospitals in Kabul which were functioning but charged fees. Although there were hospitals in most large towns not all were functioning. Generally there was better availability of treatment in Kabul than elsewhere. It was reported that some rural areas had little or no access to health treatment.[8b]

5.76 In June 2002 it was reported that a basic level of medical care is available in Kabul (much better provision than all other parts of the country).[51] A World Health Organisation report dated 5 April 2002 showed a concentration of health facilities in urban areas and detailed that Kabul had 12% of the population and 50% of the hospital beds in the country, and a ratio of one doctor per 1,000 people.[43b] In excess of 60 aid organisations are working on projects in Afghanistan covering health and social welfare.[52b]

5.77 The Afghan Red Crescent runs a national network of 48 clinics, which make a huge contribution to improving the healthcare of those most in need. In particular the Qalafatullah clinic in Kabul provides basic

healthcare to 50,000 people, caring primarily for women and children.[40u] The ICRC reported at the end of August 2002 that it continues to provide regular assistance to seven hospitals throughout the country. Health facilities assisted by ICRC provided service to over 6,000 inpatients, 38,600 outpatients and performed 3,750 operations in the period from January to the end of July 2002.[42f]

EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM

5.78 In a report dated January 2002 entitled Afghanistan: Preliminary Needs Assessment for Recovery and Reconstruction it was noted that the education system was in a virtual state of collapse. Afghanistan's education indicators rank among the lowest in the world, with the highest gender gap and marked rural/urban and other geographic disparities. The gross enrollment rate in primary education was 38% and 3% for boys and girls respectively. Participation in secondary education was reported as extremely low and tertiary education institutions were hardly functioning.[4]

5.79 The Taliban eliminated most formal opportunities for girls' education, although some girls' schools still operated in rural areas and in some towns. Some girls nonetheless received an education in informal home schools, which were tolerated to varying degrees by the Taliban around the country. During 2000 there were reports that the number of children attending these home schools was increasing, along with girls' attendance in various educational settings, including formal schools. During 2001 it was reported that the authorities increasingly restricted the activities of home schools. The Taliban's implementation of its educational policy was inconsistent and varied from region to region, as well as over time. [2b]

5.80 In a statement to the UN Security Council on 30 January 2002 Hamid Karzai stated that he would invest in the education of children particularly girls.[39d] In February 2002 women took university entrance exams for the first time since the fall of the Taliban, about 500 young women joined seven times as many male students in the tests at Kabul University campus.[25al] A new Afghan school year commenced on 23 March 2002 when it was estimated up to 2 million children, including girls, returned to the 3,000 schools which re-opened. Women teachers who had been forbidden from working were rehired and resumed teaching.[25ak][44a] The estimated school-age population is 4.5 million and more children are expected to return to school in April, May and June.[44a] The return to school followed a Back to School Campaign led by the Afghan Interim Administration which had organised an entire educational structure virtually from scratch. The United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) supported the Administrations efforts delivering more than 7,000 metric tons of learning materials to virtually every school in the country. [44a]

5.81 In Kabul basic education facilities are available at primary level, and (to a much more limited extent) secondary level. There is also a functioning university.[51] There are 16 institutions of higher learning in Afghanistan. Kabul has the largest university but there are also universities in Jalalabad, Kandahar, Mazar-i-Sharif and Herat. Herat University suffered under the Taliban, but is emerging again as a centre for higher learning, catering for some 3,100 students. The university has 17 female teachers and hundreds of female students, who study in separate classrooms from the male students.[36n]

6. HUMAN RIGHTS

6A. HUMAN RIGHTS ISSUES

Part I

Part II

6. HUMAN RIGHTS

6A. HUMAN RIGHTS ISSUES

OVERVIEW

Current Situation

6.1 The Bonn Agreement established the Interim Authority and demanded that the Interim Authority should

- act in accordance with basic principles and provisions contained in international instruments on human rights and international humanitarian law

- ensure the participation of women as well as the equitable representation of all ethnic and religious communities.

Those participating in the talks also affirmed their determination to end the tragic conflict in Afghanistan and promote national reconciliation, lasting peace, stability and respect for human rights in the country.[39b]

6.2 Prior to 22 December 2001 there was no functioning constitution or legal provisions prohibiting or protecting against discrimination based on race, sex, religion, disability, language, or social status. However the Bonn Agreement stated that the 1964 Constitution of Afghanistan would apply on an interim basis until a new constitution could be agreed. Those provisions relating to the monarchy and the executive and legislative bodies would not apply, however provisions prohibiting discrimination based on race, sex and religion would be in effect.[2b] In March 2002 the Interim Administration committed to establishing a Truth and Reconciliation Commission to investigate atrocities committed by all sides.[25ba]

6.3 In a paper, dated 13 February 2002, the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) reported that the establishment of the Interim Authority and the gradual deployment of the international security assistance force in Kabul city and surrounding areas had paved the way for a significant improvement in the situation for Afghans. This was particularly true in urban centres where the previous situation of systematic discrimination by the Taliban no longer prevailed. UNHCR advised that serious problems could continue to be faced by some individuals or groups if they were returned to Afghanistan. In particular this could be on political grounds depending on the group in control of their area of origin or on ethnic grounds for persons originating from and returning to an area where they constitute an ethnic minority. In addition those who were associated with or are perceived to have been associated with, either the former Taliban or pre 1992 Communist regimes might face serious problems on return.[11e] In a note issued on 10 July 2002 UNHCR, stated that the majority of refugees had freely opted to return and had done so safely, mostly to Kabul and Nangarhar provinces. UNHCR noted that the situation is now generally conducive to the safe return of a broad spectrum of asylum seekers.[11h]

6.4 The Bonn Agreement called for the United Nations to assist in the establishment of an independent Human Rights Commission. A cooperative initiative between representatives of Afghan society, officials of the Interim Administration and the United Nations resulted in the convening of a national workshop on human rights in Kabul on 9 March 2002. The participants represented a wide range of Afghan society, including men, women, a range of ethnic groups, and representatives from various regions. It also included human rights specialists and activists from national non-governmental organisations, academia, the Special Independent Commission and relevant ministries of the Interim Administration. The meeting was opened by Hamid Karzai, chaired by Sima Samar Vice-Chair of the Interim Administration and Minister for Womens Affairs. A keynote address was delivered by Mary Robinson, United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights. The workshop produced four standing working groups, composed entirely of Afghans, to formulate proposals for:

- a) the establishment of the independent Human Rights Commission
- b) the development of the national programme of human rights education
- c) approaches to human rights monitoring, investigation and remedial action
- d) the advancement of the rights of women.

[40a]

Independent Afghan Human Rights Commission

6.5 On 6 June 2002 Hamid Karzai signed into law a decree establishing the Independent Human Rights Commission of Afghanistan.[40m] This Commission was the product of a national consultative process between Afghan human rights activists, the Interim Administration and the UN.[40s] The Commission is charged with developing a national plan of action for human rights in Afghanistan. The 11 members of the Commission were appointed on the basis of their individual human rights expertise, personal and professional integrity, competence, demonstrated independence, and commitment to human rights.[40m] The Commission comprises men and women from all the major ethnic groups.[40s] Members of the Commission will serve for two years during which time they will not be subject to removal by any external authority.[40m]

6.6 On 28 June 2002 the independent Afghan Human Rights Commission (AHRC) meeting for the second time unanimously approved the appointment, by Hamid Karzai, of the former Minister for Women, Dr Sima Samar, as its Chair. Two members of the AHRC recently appointed to cabinet positions resigned at the same meeting. In order to ensure its independence the terms of reference of the AHRC do not allow Cabinet members to be members of AHRC.[40p]

6.7 Speaking in September 2002 Dr Sima Samar said that the Commission is an independent body, which will work for human rights education in schools, the advancement of women, children and transitional justice and undertake monitoring and investigation of human rights abuses. The Commission, which has yet to establish itself, has about 100 staff around the country.[36o]

1996 - 22 December 2001

6.8 The overall human rights situation throughout 2000 and 2001 was extremely poor and serious human rights violations continued to occur. The Taliban carried out summary justice and political and other extra judicial killings.[2b] Afghans continued to suffer a wide range of deprivations and violations, with policies and practices that severely restricted or denied their human rights. The continuing civil war was the most significant factor. Both warring factions demonstrated total disregard for the protection of civilians and international humanitarian law. [11d]

6.9 On assuming power the Taliban imposed a strict and intimidating Islamic code. [11d] A reported formal easing of restrictions in 2000 reversed during 2001. The Taliban attempted to increase its control by increasing the authority of the PVSV religious police, by increasing restrictions and by committing a greater number of abuses.[2b]

6.10 The Taliban and members of other warring factions committed numerous serious human rights abuses in areas they occupied in 2000 and 2001. In the past there have been reliable reports that individuals were detained by both the Taliban and Northern Alliance because of their ethnic origins and suspected sympathy with opponents. There have also been credible reports that both sides were responsible for torture in prisons. The human rights situation in areas outside Taliban control also remained extremely poor and Northern Alliance members have committed numerous serious abuses. Anti-Taliban forces continued sporadic rocket attacks against Kabul in 2000 and bombarded civilians indiscriminately. Armed units of the Northern Alliance, local commanders and rogue individuals have been responsible for political killings, abductions, kidnapping for ransom, torture, rape, arbitrary detention and looting.[2b]

6.11 A UNHCR report dated April 2001 noted that in a situation of continued conflict, abysmal economic conditions and widespread human rights violations there are no clear definable rules concerning who is or who is not at risk in Afghanistan. However UNHCR reported that among the prime targets of human rights abuses were the following:

- Members of non-Pashtun ethnic groups not associated with the Taliban.
- Members of certain Pashtun tribes not linked to the Taliban.
- Minority religious groups.
- Educated women.
- Educated Afghans not associated with the Taliban.
- Intellectuals seeking an end to the war.
- Afghans working with the UN and NGOs.
- People associated with the former pro-Soviet governments.

The main element of the violations that occurred however was not necessarily religious affiliation or ethnicity, but rather the actual or imputed opposition to the Taliban. [11d]

Domestic and International Non-Governmental Organisations(NGO)

6.12 There are many domestic and international NGOs in the country. Some are based in neighbouring countries (mainly Pakistan) with branches inside the country. The focus of their activities is primarily humanitarian assistance, rehabilitation, health, education and agriculture.[2b][52a][52b]. In June 2002 Human Rights Watch(HRW) reported on several attacks on NGO workers in Balkh province in northern Afghanistan. The attacks took place mainly in Mazar-i-Sharif and it was reported that many NGO's in northern Afghanistan had removed female aid workers from undertaking field missions temporarily as a result. HRW blamed the attacks on the absence of a coherent, accountable administration in Balkh province.[17f] In a briefing on 27 June 2002 a spokesman for the Secretary General's Special Representative reported that the ban on female aid workers undertaking field missions had been lifted.[40n]

6.13 During 2001 as in previous years the civil war and lack of security made it difficult for human rights organisations to adequately monitor the situation inside Afghanistan.[2b] Prior to 22 December 2001 the Taliban continued to harass domestic NGOs and interfered with their operations. They threatened to impound the vehicles of NGOs that did not work on projects preferred by the Taliban. Other threats included the closure of projects that did not include Taliban supervisors or workers.[2b]

6.14 In August 2001 the Taliban arrested eight foreign aid workers and an estimated 48 Afghan employees of an NGO on charges of proselytizing, they were released by opposition forces in November 2001.[2b]

UNHCR confirmed that amongst those targeted by the Taliban were Afghans working for the UN and other NGOs.[11d] Following their capture of Yakawlang in January 2001, eyewitnesses reported that personnel of the Center for Cooperation on Afghanistan (CCA), a local aid agency, were among the civilians rounded up and executed outside the relief agency office. Other staff members of relief agencies were identified among those killed. Several staff members of a local leprosy clinic and one of its patients were also identified among those killed.[17a]

FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND MEDIA

Current Situation

6.15 A new law guaranteeing freedom of the press in Afghanistan was formally adopted in early February 2002. The law gave written guarantees for a free press and the leader of the Interim Administration, Hamid Karzai said that it left Afghan journalists free to criticise the government. The law ends years of censorship and a total ban on free speech under the former Taliban regime. Television was banned and the printed media had been reduced to little more than propaganda. Publishing is now estimated to be enjoying a period of freedom not seen for 20 years. Broadcasting and most papers remain state controlled, but a number of weekly independent magazines are now available on the streets including a magazine called Women's Mirror, written by women for a female readership.[25ao]

6.16 In late August 2002 the newly appointed Head of State Television and Radio in Kabul banned Indian films from being shown on Kabul TV and ruled that radio must not broadcast women singing. The move was seen as a sign of a continuing struggle for influence between Islamists and moderates. Indian films are regularly shown in Kandahar and often appear on television in Mazar-i-Sharif.[25b] In early September 2002 Hamid Karzai, speaking at a conference on the promotion of independent media in Kabul, said that the country's state-run media should not become a propaganda tool for military or political factions nor cover up Afghanistan's problems.[25bk]

1996 - 22 December 2001

6.17 There were no laws effectively providing for freedom of speech and of the press. Senior officials of various warring factions attempted to intimidate journalists and influence their reporting. There were fewer than ten regular publications in the country. All other newspapers were only published sporadically and for the most part were affiliated with different factions.[2b] The Taliban radio station, the Voice of Shariat, broadcast religious programming and Taliban pronouncements.[2b] The Taliban selectively banned the entry of foreign newspapers into their territory and many foreign books were banned.[2b] The Taliban prohibited music, movies, and television on religious grounds. In August 1998 television sets, videocassettes, videocassette recorders, audiocassettes, and satellite dishes were outlawed in order to enforce the prohibition. The ban continued through most of 2001 although reportedly television sets were sold widely and their use ignored unless reported by a neighbour.[2b]

6.18 All factions attempted to pressure foreign journalists who reported on the conflict. The Taliban initially cooperated with members of the international press who arrived in Kabul, however in August 2000 the Taliban introduced strict regulations governing the work of foreign journalists in the country. Foreign journalists were forbidden to film or photograph people or animals, were not allowed to interview women and were required to be accompanied at all times by a Taliban escort to ensure that these restrictions were enforced. During 2000 and 2001 there were also credible reports of the detention and torture of those believed to have been helpful to Western journalists.[2b]

6.19 Taliban arrests and restrictions against journalists increased during the intensified military conflict late in 2001. In September 2001 foreign media were banned from areas under Taliban control. A British journalist and her two Pakistani guides were arrested by the Taliban and later released, and a French and a Pakistani journalist and their Pakistani guide were arrested in October 2001 and also later released, a Japanese journalist was similarly arrested in October 2001 and released by the Taliban in November 2001. [2b]

6.20 A number of journalists were killed during the intensified fighting late in 2001. On 11 November 2001 Taliban forces fired on a Northern Alliance convoy and killed three journalists who were in an armoured personnel carrier. On 19 November 2001 in Mungarhar province armed men forced four journalists out of their convoy of vehicles and executed them and on 26 November 2001, in an apparent attempted robbery in Taloqan, armed men broke into a house demanded money, stole equipment and killed a Swedish journalist. [2b]

FREEDOM OF RELIGION

Background and Demography

6.21 The majority of Afghans are Muslims of the Sunni sect; there are also minority groups of Shia (Shi'a) Muslims, Hindus, Sikhs, Christians and Jews.[1][2a] Reliable data on the religious demography is not available but it is estimated that 84% of the population are Sunni Muslim and most of the remaining 16% are Shia Muslim and other religions. Sikhs, Hindus and Jews make up less than 1% of the total population.[2a] There are small numbers of Ismailis who are Shia Muslims who consider the Aga Khan their spiritual leader. In the past small communities of Hindus, Sikhs, Jews and Christians lived in the country, however most members of these communities have left. Even at their peak, these non-Muslim minorities constituted only one per cent of the population. Almost all members of the Hindu and Sikh population, which once numbered 50,000, have emigrated or taken refuge abroad. Hindus and Sikhs now numbering only in the hundreds often work as traders. The few Christians and Jews who live in the country apparently are almost all foreigners who are assigned temporarily to relief work by foreign NGO's.[2b]

6.22 Several areas of the country are religiously homogenous. Sunni Muslim Pashtuns, centered around the city of Kandahar, dominate the south, west and east of the country. The homeland of the Shia Hazaras is the Hazarajat or the mountainous central highlands around Bamiyan. Badakshan province in the extreme northeast has historically been an Ismaili region. Other areas, including Kabul the capital are more heterogenous, for example around the northern city of Mazar-i-Sharif there is a mix of Sunnis and Shia belonging to a number of ethnic groups.[2a]

Current Situation

6.23 Since 22 December 2001 the legal basis for religious freedom is the 5 December 2001 Bonn Agreement and the 1964 Constitution. The 1964 Constitution proclaims "Islam" the "sacred religion of Afghanistan" and states that religious rites of the state shall be performed according to the Hanifa doctrine. The Constitution also proclaims that "non-Muslim citizens shall be free to perform their rituals within the limits determined by the laws for public decency and public peace." According to the US Department of State after the fall of the Taliban the Interim Administration and the Transitional Administration stated publicly, and began to pursue, a policy of religious tolerance.[2a] In August 2002 it was reported that an Accountability Department tasked with ensuring Afghan Muslims refrained from such crimes as drinking alcohol, fornication and sodomy had been established. It was reported that this was similar to the notorious religious police of the ousted Taliban, but with less draconian powers. Deputy Chief Justice Manawi explained that Accountability employees would try to persuade people not to pursue vice and unlawful acts and that offenders will face gentler punishments than under the Taliban. The new punishments will depend on the crime but will include fines, imprisonment and lashings.[24b]

1996 - 22 December 2001

6.24 Freedom of religion was restricted severely. Due to the absence of a constitution and the ongoing civil war, religious freedom was determined largely by the unofficial, unwritten, and evolving policies of the warring factions.[2b] In mid 1999 the Taliban claimed it was drafting a new constitution based on the sources of Islamic religious (Sharia) law. It was stated that the new constitution would ensure the rights of all Muslims and of religious minorities, however a constitution was never adopted. Custom and law required affiliation with some religion, and atheism was considered apostasy, and was punishable by death. Licensing and registration of religious groups did not appear to be required by the authorities in any part of the country. The small number of non-Muslim residents in the country were allowed to practice their faith but not proselytize.[2b]

6.25 The country's official name according to the Taliban was the Islamic Emirate of Afghanistan, and according to the Northern Alliance was the Islamic State of Afghanistan. These names reflected the desires of both factions to promote Islam as the state religion. Taliban leader Mullah Mohammed Omar carried the title Commander of the Faithful.[2a]

6.26 In areas it controlled the Taliban sought to further institute its extreme interpretation of Islam declaring that all Muslims must abide by their interpretation of Islamic law. Proclamations and edicts were announced through broadcasts on Radio Shariat and enforced by the religious police under the control of PVSV. Violations were subject to on the spot beatings and detentions.[2b]

6.27 On 7 January 2001 the Taliban issued a decree calling for capital punishment for any Afghan who converted from Islam. In March 2001 the Taliban acting on what it claimed to be religious grounds destroyed two giant statues of the Buddha dating from pre-Islamic times which were carved into the cliffs near Bamiyan. The destruction received worldwide criticism including from numerous Islamic authorities. On 23 May 2001 the Taliban decreed that Hindus and Sikhs would be required to wear a piece of yellow cloth attached to their clothing to identify their religious affiliation.[2b]

See also Hindus and Sikhs paragraphs 6.32 - 6.39

6.28 In June 2001 a decree was issued relating to foreigners in Afghanistan stating that those preaching other religions to Afghan Muslims would be deported after being imprisoned for three to ten days. Taliban officials later stated that the decree was only an initial guideline. In August 2001 eight foreign nationals and an estimated 48 Afghans working for the German based NGO Shelter Now International were arrested by the Taliban on charges of proselytising and apostasy. Those arrested were freed on 15 November 2001 by opposition forces following the fall of Kabul. In August 2001 the Taliban expelled two other religion-based NGO's with longtime presence in the country. Their personnel were ordered to leave within 72 hours. **[2b]**

RELIGIOUS GROUPS

Shia Muslims

6.29 Historically the minority Shia have faced discrimination from the majority Sunni population. **[2b]** It is not clear however whether the Taliban's actions against the Shia Muslims were on account of religion or more along ethnic lines. The Hazara ethnic group for example is predominantly Shia and suffered persecution at the hands of the Taliban. Religion may therefore have been a significant factor rather than the sole cause of their persecution. **[2a]** Shias have long maintained religious links with Iran, where their 'ulema' studied at the famous seminaries in Qum and Mashad. **[11b]** The Taliban nonetheless introduced several prominent Shia commanders into its organisation, arguably to counter the perception that they were an exclusively Sunni Pashtun movement. **[2a]**

6.30 The Central Highland Hazarajat region - governed mostly by various factions of the Shia Hizb-i-Wahdat Party since 1989 - fell to the Taliban in September 1998. Since then, non-Hazarajat Taliban forces were deployed to maintain tight control and exert heavy pressure on the local population, with restrictions on their freedom of religion imposed. **[11d]** In February 2001 several enclaves within Hazarajat still remained under the control of a Hizb-i-Wahdat faction, led by the Shia mullah Karim Khalili. In some areas the Hizb-i-Wahdat governed with the support of an allied Shia party, the Harakat-i-Islami. **[17a]**

See also Hazaras paragraphs 6.60 - 6.66

Ismailis

6.31 The Ismailis are a Muslim minority group that split from the Shias in the year 765. They are estimated to consist of 2% of the total Muslim population in Afghanistan. Ismailis have been regarded as "non-Muslims" by radical elements of the Muslim population (they believe that their spiritual leader, Karim Aga Khan, is a direct descendant of the Prophet Mohammad). **[11a]** Ismailis have also fought for the Northern Alliance and suffered reprisals when the Taliban captured territories they previously held. The Taliban has reportedly mistreated Ismailis. **[2b]**

Sikhs and Hindus

6.32 Afghan Sikhs comprise descendants of traders drawn to Afghanistan through Afghan intervention in northern India and British-Indian intervention in Afghanistan, plus some whose emigration was stimulated by the upheaval of 1946-47 that accompanied the partition of the Indian Empire. The Afghan Sikhs retain the use of Punjabi amongst themselves. Given their involvement in trade, Dari/Farsi is probably the most important additional language for them. **[15b]**

6.33 The total non-Muslim population at its peak was only around 50,000. Almost all of the Hindu and Sikh population have now either emigrated or taken refuge abroad. **[2a]** Sikhs left Afghanistan en masse along with thousands of Hindus after the destruction of the Babri Mosque in Ayodhya, India in December 1992. Seeking revenge, some radical Muslims in Afghanistan saw their countrymen of Indian origin as soft targets to exact revenge; large scale looting of Hindu and Sikh temples ensued. **[36f]**

6.34 Although Sikhs also fled Kabul in 1996 when the Taliban assumed control, it was reported in October 1997 that there were a small number of Sikh shops in Jalalabad. **[15a]**

6.35 According to one report Sikhs appeared to have adapted their worship to comply with Taliban dictates and for the tiny Sikh minority (including a close-knit community of around 500 in Kabul) relations with the Taliban were relatively smooth compared to other religious minorities. This is due in part to their small numbers, but also to their willingness to adapt to Taliban rules. **[30b]** However a Sikh shopkeeper believed that some Sikhs would like to leave Afghanistan for India, as they have to behave according to Afghanistan's current circumstances. He nonetheless asserted that they were treated well by the country's current rulers, but also that the economic situation was poor for them. **[30b]** A UN source also stated that in general the Sikh community does not have any problems with the authorities. He added that they are able

to run their businesses and are allowed to practise their religion with no restriction on their movement.[36f]

6.36 Similarly in Jalalabad, a leader of the Sikh community stated that the Sikh community also felt safe in Afghanistan despite Taliban rule. There were reportedly one hundred Sikh families in Jalalabad (about seven hundred in total) who came to worship at the city's two Gurdwaras. Sikhs dominate the textile market in Jalalabad's retail shops, receiving the fabric from Muslims via the Afghan-Pakistan border. This system of commerce has been built on a foundation of communal coexistence and mutual reliance.[35a]

6.37 Hindus have been an integral aspect of the economy and Afghan society, although their businesses were eroded under the Taliban due to the dire economic situation. It was reported however that the Taliban did not target or mistreat the Hindu community; as a small group they were not perceived as a threat. A 1998 report corroborates this viewpoint, stating that for Hindus and Sikhs it was the Taliban's opponents who caused them the most problems.[3b] For the small community of Hindus and Sikhs that remained in Afghanistan, the Taliban were generally tolerant, as they were with other 'non-believers'. Individual cases of discrimination did nonetheless still occur.[3c]

6.38 On 23 May 2001, the Taliban decreed that Hindus and Sikhs would be required to wear a piece of yellow cloth attached to their clothing to identify their religious affiliation. This was purported to be to identify non-Muslims so they could be spared from harassment by PVSV enforcing mandatory rules on Muslims. The requirement was later suspended and identity cards were to be issued. On 3 July 2001 the Taliban announced that Hindus would be consulted before ordering them to wear any distinctive marks differentiating them from Muslims.[2b]

6.39 In May 2002, Afghanistan's Deputy Minister of Transport, said during a visit to India, that the Interim Administration had decided to grant protection to Afghan Sikhs and Hindus who had fled from the country, most of whom had migrated to India or Pakistan.[37] In June 2002 one report indicated that there were 30,000 Afghan Hindus and Sikhs. Unlike their counterparts in India where the faiths are clearly separate it is reported that Sikhs and Hindus, in predominantly Muslim Afghanistan, have become united in adversity. They share the same temples as well as many religious ceremonies.[49] Another report in June 2002 indicated that as few as 1,000 Sikhs remained in the country, half of which were concentrated in Jalalabad. There were also reported to be four or five Hindu families and about 15 Sikh families in Kandahar and a further 15 to 20 families in Helmand province.[40i] In October 2002 the US Department of State estimated that numbers of non-Muslims including Sikhs and Hindus were only in the hundreds.[2a] Four Hindu and Sikh delegates attended the Loya Jirga in June 2002.[49] They reported that they were no longer repressed and felt free to practice their religions.[2a]

FREEDOM OF ASSEMBLY AND ASSOCIATION

6.40 Civil war conditions and the unfettered actions of competing factions effectively limited the freedom of assembly and association during most of 2001. The Taliban has used excessive force against demonstrators but there were no reports of this in 2001.[2b]

EMPLOYMENT RIGHTS

6.41 Little is known about employment laws and practices. An insignificant number of the work force has ever been employed in an industrial setting, the only large employers in Kabul were the minimally functioning government ministries and local and national NGO's.[2b]

PEOPLE TRAFFICKING

6.42 There was no available information regarding legislation prohibiting trafficking in persons. There were reports in 1999 of trafficking by Taliban soldiers who abducted women and children from Shomali Plains villages and trafficked them to Pakistan and the Arab Gulf states. There were similar but unconfirmed reports of abductions of girls and women from villages in the Taloqan area during fighting in June to October 2001.[2b]

FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT

Current Situation

6.43 In May 2002 it was reported that there is free movement both in and out of Kabul. In the remainder of the country there are no official restrictions on movement although there may be some local roadblocks due to local acts of war. Banditry persists. The director of DACAAR stated that people now travel freely including on country roads and that there are fewer roadblocks than previously. In particular the main road running through Kabul, Mazar-i-Sharif, Herat, Kandahar, Jalalabad and back to Kabul is fully open.[8b]

1996 to 22 December 2001

6.44 In principle male citizens had the right to travel freely both inside and outside the country, although their ability to travel within the country was hampered by warfare, lawlessness, landmines, a road network in disrepair and a limited domestic air service. The Taliban's restrictions on women curtailed their ability to travel freely. Some Afghans reported difficulty in receiving necessary permits to leave the country for tourism or business purposes. Despite these obstacles many people continued to travel relatively freely prior to the US military action in October 2001, with buses plying routes in most parts of the country. [2b]

6.45 It was reported in 1998 that there was broad agreement among UN sources that freedom of movement had generally improved in Taliban controlled territory in comparison with the pre-Taliban era. Checkpoints on roads became very rare and there was a brisk traffic both within, and in and out of the area - especially via Pakistan. It was noted however that freedom of movement was greatest and most unhampered for the Pashtun ethnic group. Ethnic minorities generally, and in particular Hazaras, attracted more Taliban attention. [8a] Following the October 2001 US military action however the Taliban appeared to be losing control in the areas it governed. [25s]

6B HUMAN RIGHTS - SPECIFIC GROUPS

Part I

Part II

6B. HUMAN RIGHTS - SPECIFIC GROUPS

ETHNIC GROUPS

Introduction

6.46 Afghanistan is largely a tribal society divided into many tribes, clans and smaller groups. Considerable variation in the types of terrain and obstacles imposed by high mountains and deserts account for the country's marked ethnic and cultural differences. [11a] The major ethnic groups are Pashtun (35%), Tajik (25%), Hazara (19%) and Uzbek (6%). Minority groups include Aimak, Turkomen and Baloch amongst others. [23]

Current Situation

6.47 The Bonn Agreement reinstated part of the 1964 Constitution including, amongst others, the provision prohibiting discrimination based on race.[2b] The Interim Administration included representatives from Pashtun, Hazara and Tajik ethnic groups.[25ab] The Transitional Administration inaugurated following the Loya Jirga in June 2002 has an ethnically mixed cabinet; 13 Pashtuns (including Hamid Karzai); nine Tajiks; three Uzbeks; three Hazaras; and one Turkmen.[48b] In a paper dated February 2002 UNHCR advised that serious problems *could* continue to be faced by some ethnic groups for those persons originating from and returning to an area where they constitute an ethnic minority.[11e] In May 2002 UNHCR Afghanistan expressed concerns about Pashtuns returning to northern areas.[8b]

See Pashtuns paragraph 6.55

Pre 22 December 2001

6.48 During the Soviet occupation of Afghanistan, ethnic divisions were largely superseded by a common military and political desire amongst the mujahidin. Since 1995 however ethnic tensions have become more prominent. As areas were captured and re-captured by rival factions, atrocities were committed against certain ethnic groups. [7i] Several sources have referred to abuses and protection problems for minorities

within regions, especially for non-Pashtuns in Taliban controlled territory and for Pashtuns in Northern Alliance controlled territory. A UN source explained that the ethnic dimension to the war ran along political lines, with the parties and military alliances being based on ethnic background. Afghanistan did not experience any ethnic cleansing as such, although there has been ethnic polarization, especially between the Taliban and the Hazara population. [8a]

See also Hazaras paragraphs 6.60 - 6.66

6.49 In the past there were reliable reports of individuals being detained by both the Taliban and Northern Alliance because of their ethnic origins and suspected sympathy with opponents.[2b] Amnesty International reported in their 1999 Annual Report that during 1998 non-Pashtun ~~men~~ were barred from moving about the country freely, and that many were detained solely on the basis of ~~their~~ ethnicity. In July of that year hundreds of people travelling to Pakistan were reportedly stopped in the Jalalabad area by Taliban guards who took away Tajik, Hazara, Uzbek and Panjsheri men and boys as young as twelve. [7f] There were reports during 1999 and 2000 that there was harassment and forced expulsions of ethnic Hazaras and Tajiks from Taliban controlled areas.[2b]

Pashtuns

6.50 Pashtuns (also called Pathans) are the largest single ethnic group, constituting some 38% of the population. [23] They are Sunni Muslims, living mainly in the east and south of the country adjacent to Pakistan. Pashtuns have always played a major role in Afghan politics, adopting a dominant position, which triggered aspects of the civil war. Conflict arose for example between partners who fought against Soviet occupation. President Rabbani's regime represented the Tajik minority, whereas troops led by Gulbuddin Hekmatyar (and later the Taliban) were mainly Pashtun.[27]

6.51 The social code followed by the Pashtuns is based on the 'Pashtunwali' code, requiring the speaking of the Pashtu language and adherence to established customs. Hospitality is an important principle, as are reliance on the tribal 'jurga' for resolution of disputes and local decision-making. Other attributes of the code are the seclusion of women from all affairs outside the home, emphasis on personal authority and freedom, and political leadership based on personalities rather than ideologies or structures.[27]

6.52 Despite their dominant position the Pashtuns are not a homogeneous group, with many having fallen victim to oppression by their own elites. The power and leadership of individuals are arguably the root of the Pashtuns' divisions into tribes and numerous sub-tribes - each isolated within its own borders. Throughout history interference in one another's affairs has caused sub-tribal conflict, although in the event of external influence or central government interference a unified response has been the usual result.[27]

6.53 It was noted in 1997 that Pashtuns made up the great majority of the refugees in Pakistan. The Pashtun tribal population of Pakistan's North-West Frontier Province (NWFP) still has much in common in terms of culture, language and traditions with their fellow Pashtu-speakers across the border (the 'Durand Line') in Afghanistan. There is a long tradition of mobility among the Pashtuns who live in the NWFP and those of eastern Afghanistan. Notably, some 60,000 nomads ('kuchis' or 'powindahs' as they are respectively known in Afghanistan and Pakistan) were accustomed to moving annually with their herds between summer pastures in Afghanistan and winter pastures in Pakistan. Others were merchants or businessmen with interests in Kabul, Kandahar and Jalalabad in Afghanistan and Peshawar in Pakistan, who moved regularly between the two countries. [11a]

Current Situation

6.54 In early March 2002 Human Rights Watch reported the testimonies of Pashtuns in Northern Afghanistan, which depicted violence, looting, and intimidation at the hands of local commanders.[17d] A full report of the findings of Human Rights Watch researchers who visited northern Afghanistan in February and March 2002 was issued in April 2002. The report detailed abuses suffered by ethnic Pashtuns in northern Afghanistan since the fall of the Taliban in November 2001. The abuses included killings, sexual violence, beatings, extortion, and looting, and targeted Pashtuns as their ethnic group was closely associated with the Taliban. The three political factions active in the north Junbish-i-Milli-yi Islami, Jamiat e-Islami and Hizbi-l Wahdat, are drawn largely from the Uzbek, Tajik, and Hazara ethnic groups respectively. Each of these groups and their militia were directly implicated in many of the abuses as were non-aligned Uzbeks Tajiks and Hazaras taking advantage of the vulnerability of unprotected and selectively disarmed Pashtun communities. Each of these groups was reported to have targeted the Pashtun community in areas under its control, partly in reprisal for the communities' perceived or actual association with the predominately Pashtun Taliban movement. In Faryab province, commanders whose forces were associated with the abuses were removed by General Abdul Rashid Dostum. This appeared to have contributed to a reduction in violence and abuse. However, in other areas, abusive commanders continued to act without restraint or reprimand. Hamid Karzai appointed a three-person independent commission to investigate the claims of discrimination against ethnic minorities in northern Afghanistan.[17e]

6.55 In May 2002 UNHCR Afghanistan and other sources suggested that there would be problems for

Pashtuns returning to northern areas. However UNHCR Afghanistan also said that the number of attacks in northern Afghanistan against Pashtuns had reduced, but Pashtuns were still leaving the area to move to eastern and southern parts of Afghanistan. In contrast the Norwegian Ambassador in Afghanistan said that the attacks, which were suppressed in April 2002 had grown worse again leading to a movement of refugees to the south. He believed that the attacks were associated with a struggle for power as a result of the Loya Jirga process. The director for Cooperation Centre for Afghanistan (CCA) stated in May 2002 that Pashtuns still occupy the trade and transport sector in northern areas. Several thousand Pashtuns are living in Mazar-i-Sharif without any problems.[8b]

Tajiks

6.56 Tajiks - the second largest group - make up about 30% of the population. Their language is a form of Farsi, close to the national language of Iran. [27] Most of them are Sunni Muslims, but Shia Muslim Tajiks are also found in the west of the country (in and around the city of Herat) and in Kabul.[11a] Tajiks generally however are divided between the north, the west and Kabul. Of central Asian origin, there are around 4 million of this group living in neighbouring Tajikistan. Tajiks have had significant political influence due to their level of education and wealth. They have no specific social structure (as the Pashtuns do), adopting the social and cultural patterns of their neighbours.[27]

6.57 Tajikistan - racked by civil war and with a government backed by Russian troops - has been sympathetic to fellow Tajiks led by Rabbani. Many Afghan Tajiks also favour a greater Tajikistan, entailing the merging of Tajik areas of Afghanistan with Tajikistan.[11a]

6.58 Around 2,000 Tajik and Hazara men were rounded up from their homes in Kabul in July 1997 and held in various jails according to Amnesty International. Most of them were believed to be prisoners of conscience. [7d] During 2001 there continued to be reports of harassment, extortion and forced expulsion from the homes of Tajiks by Taliban soldiers. [2b]

Current Situation

6.59 In May 2002 UNHCR Afghanistan and CCA both reported problems between Hazaras and Tajiks in Bamian province and in particular in the city of Bamian. The problems related mainly to Tajiks returning to the area and claiming properties, which had been occupied by Hazaras, and to the power struggle associated with the Loya Jirga. The director of the International Crisis Group (ICG) stated that Tajiks were safe in Kabul but expressed concerns for their safety in northern areas where there had been clashes between Uzbeks and Tajiks.[8b]

Hazaras

6.60 Hazaras number around 16% of the population, living mainly in the central highlands.[27] The Hazara ethnic group is predominantly Shia and they are among the most economically disadvantaged. [2b] Hazaras show their origins both in their physical appearance and in their cultural customs. They speak a variant of Persian and most of them are Imami Shia Muslims. [8a] Economic, social and political repression resulted in Hazaras combining with other Shia minority groups throughout the prolonged civil war. [27]

6.61 Amnesty International reported in May 1997 that the bodies of 12 ethnic Hazaras, reportedly all civilians, were found in a neighbourhood west of Kabul. Taliban soldiers were believed to have been responsible for their deaths. Amnesty also reported in 1997 that thousands of people were held for up to several months on account of their ethnicity. Among these were around 2,000 Tajik and Hazara men rounded up from their homes in Kabul in July 1997 and held in various jails. Most of them were believed to be prisoners of conscience. [7d]

6.62 During a visit to Mazar-i-Sharif in December 1997, the UN Special Rapporteur visited villages where massacres were reported to have occurred in September 1997. The perpetrators were reportedly Taliban forces during their second offensive of Mazar-i-Sharif, against villagers belonging to the Hazara ethnic minority. The UN Special Rapporteur was advised that a group of 14 or 15 young men were taken from the village to the nearby airport where they were tortured and subsequently executed. Some 53 other villagers were killed in another village and around 20 houses were set on fire. The Special Rapporteur was told that the killings were carried out on religious grounds, since the villagers were Hazara Shias, and out of revenge for when villagers fiercely resisted the Taliban during their first offensive in May 1997.[6a]

6.63 In August 1998, the Taliban captured Mazar-i-Sharif. There were reports that as many as 5,000 persons - mostly ethnic Hazara civilians - were massacred by the Taliban after the takeover.[2b] The Taliban were reportedly intent on avenging a massacre of some 2,000 of their own men in 1997, when the Hazaras and other fighters turned against them. The Taliban's recapture of Mazar-i-Sharif has been described as a campaign to exterminate the Hazaras. Men, women and children were reportedly shot in their homes and on the street, with hospital patients murdered in their beds. Witnesses claim that the Taliban also conducted house-to-house searches for Hazara men. Some were shot dead and left with their throats cut. Others not murdered on the spot were stuffed into containers after being badly beaten. Aid

officials regarded the evidence of the atrocities as credible, with the view that the Taliban's Mazar-i-Sharif summer 1998 offensive was an abominable episode of butchery and rape. [12] In a report submitted in October 1998 by the UN Special Rapporteur on Afghanistan, it was alleged that the Hazara ethnic minority was principally, though not exclusively, targeted by the Taliban in its capture of Mazar-i-Sharif. [11b]

6.64 When the Taliban captured Bamian on 9 May 1999 from the Hizb-i-Wahdat (a party with Hazara support), the majority of Hazaras fled to the surrounding mountains. Those who remained were reportedly the victims of systematic killings by Taliban guards. Kuchi Nomads (from Pashtun tribes) were reportedly encouraged to settle in Bamian after the Taliban had assumed control. [71]

6.65 In May 2000 a massacre of primarily Hazaras by the Taliban was reported near the Robatak pass on the border between Baghlan and Samangan provinces in the north central area. A Human Rights Watch report stated that all of those killed had been detained for four months and many had been tortured. Thirty-one bodies were found at the site, 26 were identified as civilians from the Baghlan province. From January 2001 to June 2001 Human Rights Watch and the UN Special Rapporteur of the Commission on Human Rights reported on summary executions and reprisals against local civilians mainly ethnic Hazaras. These were carried out by the Taliban when they fought with Northern Alliance factions for control in and around the town of Yakawlang (Yakawalang). [17b][39a] It was estimated that there were approximately 180 killed in January 2001 and reports of a further 30 in May and June 2001. There were also reports that in June 2001 civilian property was targeted including the burning of bazaars and roadside houses. In particular Nayak town was reported to have suffered large scale destruction with property being looted prior to destruction. [39a] The Special Rapporteur indicated that for three days in January 2001 the killings seemed to be indiscriminate and even prominent local figures who had previously co-operated with the Taliban were killed. [39a]

6.66 In April 2002 three mass graves were discovered in the central Afghan region of Bamiyan. It was reported that the graves contained at least 35 bodies and were thought to contain the bodies of local Hazaras killed a month before the fall of the Taliban in late 2001. [25be] A UN investigative team which visited the area reported later that it had examined two sites in Daudi village, and a UN spokesman reported that they had found at least four people buried. Forensic teams were to be called in to carry out a more thorough examination of the sites and the UN spokesman confirmed there were strong indications of other sites in the area. [25bf]

Current Situation

6.67 In May 2002 several sources confirmed that there are no problems for Hazaras in Kabul where they can move around freely and many are employed in administration in Kabul. There are also no security based problems related to their return to Bamian province. The director of ICG also confirmed that since the fall of the Taliban there is no longer an open war against Shia Muslims although they remain a religious minority in the country. [8b]

Uzbeks and Turkomans

6.68 Uzbeks and Turkomans are followers of the Sunni Muslim tradition. A significant Turkoman population in western Afghanistan has historically been victimised by the Pashtuns. [11a] Forming around 13% of the population, they are ethnically and linguistically Turkic. They are related closely to the people of modern Turkey to the west, and identical to the majority Muslim population of Central Asia across the border to the north. Because of their relative prosperity (through arable land ownership and carpet production) they have traditionally not been dependent on the central government and not attempted to gain political influence. [27]

6.69 Uzbekistan's president had clandestinely supported his fellow Uzbek General Dostum with tanks, aircraft and technical personnel. The expectation was that Uzbek dominated provinces in northern Afghanistan would provide a buffer against the spread of fundamentalism from Afghanistan. [11a]

6.70 In January 2001 it was reported that Taliban forces summarily executed at least 31 ethnic Uzbek citizens while retreating from Khwajaghar in Takhar province, during battles with Northern Alliance forces. [17b]

Baluchis

6.71 Baluchis number around 384,000 - around 2% of the population. Their language is Baluchi. They live in the pastoral lands of the south and south-west, and are Sunni Muslims. The group is divided between Pakistan, Iran and Afghanistan with a tradition of rebellion against their respective central governments. They have had ambitions to form an independent state of Baluchistan, although these demands have faded after political repression in all three countries. The Baluchis' independence struggle has attracted little attention from the outside world. [27]

Nuristanis

6.72 Nuristanis have a population of around 100,000, residing mainly in the east and the north. Their scattered settlement was a result of late 19th Century turbulence, when the land that was then Kafirstan (located in the middle of the Hindu Kush mountain range in four valleys) was converted to Nuristan ('Land of Light') through forced Islamisation of the tribe. Even in fairly recent times other ethnic groups have been suspicious of them for still being 'kafirs' - a word which can be interpreted as 'infidel'. Few Nuristanis have had access to education, although those who travelled to Kabul did gain access to schools and subsequently became well-known figures in the army and government.[27]

Panjsheris

6.73 The Panjsheris are a sub-group of Tajiks who also practise Sunni Islam, and speak a language known as Panjeri, a dialect of Dari. [11a] They number around 100,000, and live in the mountainous areas north of Kabul.[27]

Kuchis

6.74 The Kuchi tribes are Pashto speaking nomads who are reported to make up 10% of Afghanistan's population.[25ag][35b] Kuchis had an allocation of 26 seats at the Loya Jirga in June 2002.[25ag] In May 2002 it was reported that the Taliban guaranteed grazing areas for these nomads who they used as a form of intelligence service. Since the fall of the Taliban they have been driven from the northern and central areas of Afghanistan threatening their survival as a nomadic population.[8b]

WOMEN

22 December 2001 to date

6.75 The Bonn Agreement reinstated part of the 1964 Constitution including, amongst others, the provision prohibiting discrimination based on sex.[2b] Following the Taliban's fall from power and the signing of the Bonn Agreement some Afghan women made tentative steps towards the resumption of a public life however lack of education and unavailability of jobs remained significant problems.[2b] The Interim Administration included two women Ministers Dr Sima Samar, Vice Chair and Minister for Women's Affairs, and Dr Suhaila Seddiqi, Minister for Public Health.[25ab]

6.76 In January 2002 Hamid Karzai demonstrated his support for women's rights by signing the "Declaration of the Essential Human Rights of Afghan Women" which affirmed the right to equality between Afghan men and women.[6c] In February 2002 about 500 women sat entrance examinations at Kabul University. There were about seven times as many male students attending, but as women had previously been banned from study and could only receive an education clandestinely this marked significant progress.[25a] In March 2002, the beginning of the new school year, girls returned to school and women teachers who had been prevented from working were rehired.[25ak]

6.77 On 25 March 2002 the UN Commission on the Status of Women approved a resolution on the status of women and girls in Afghanistan. The resolution urges the Afghan Interim Authority and the future Transitional Authority to:

- fully respect the equal human rights and fundamental freedoms of women and girls in accordance with international human rights law;
- give high priority to the issue of ratification of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women;
- consider signing its Optional Protocol which gives individuals the right to bring their concerns to the Conventions monitoring body;
- repeal all legislative and other measures that discriminate against women and girls as well as those that impede the realisation of their human rights and fundamental freedoms;
- enable the full, equal, and effective participation of women and girls in civil, cultural, economic, political and social life throughout the country at all levels;
- ensure the equal rights of women and girls to education.

[40c]

6.78 A Human Rights Watch (HRW) Briefing Paper issued in May 2002 stated that since the fall of the Taliban in November 2001, women and girls in Afghanistan have gained greater freedom to participate in public life and access to education, health care and employment. This is the case particularly in Kabul where ISAF has helped to bring much needed security. However even in Kabul women faced constant

threats to their personal security from other civilians as well as from armed men belonging to various political factions. Whilst there were no reports of physical attacks in Kabul there were reports of instances of harassment. The HRW report indicated that outside Kabul (where the ISAF does not operate) women and girls continue to face serious threats to their personal security as a result of general lawlessness and insecurity.[17g]

6.79 HRW reported that the Afghan Interim Administration had publicly endorsed women's rights to obtain education and employment, but had not officially repealed Taliban edicts. Women interviewed by HRW felt that a public rescinding of all Taliban edicts would foster their confidence in the government and provide them with the legal ability to challenge gender-specific discriminatory edicts. In Mazar-i-Sharif HRW reported that the general insecurity restricted Afghan women so that they felt compelled to abide by Taliban edicts or risk abuse or even assault. Even in Kabul women were reportedly hesitant about participating in public life, their fears stemming mainly from their personal experience of violence and discrimination since 1992.[17g]

6.80 In late May 2002, election observers attended a successful meeting for the election of women representatives in Kandahar. An international representative for the Loya Jirga confirmed there had been no irregularities.[36c] It was reported that 200 women candidates out of 1,551 were selected for the Loya Jirga and the deputy Minister for Women's Affairs described this as a more than acceptable proportion.[40k] The Transitional cabinet announced following the Loya Jirga contains three women, Dr Suhaila Seddiqi, Minister for Public Health, Habiba Surabi, Minister for Women's Affairs, and Mahbooba Huqooqmal deputy Women's Minister.[48b]

6.81 In May 2002 a Danish Fact Finding Mission reported that women can now move around without fear of the Taliban religious police. However generally women continue to wear the burka for their own safety.[8b] In June 2002, journalists commenting on the changes in Kabul since the fall of the Taliban, reported some women on the streets of Kabul in dresses either with headscarves or with their heads uncovered.[25an] [13b]

6.82 In an interview in September 2002, Sima Samar, the chairwoman of Afghanistan's Human Rights Commission said that the Commission would work on the advancement of women. She said that women did not have many rights in Afghanistan, despite the presence of two women in the cabinet.[36o]

6.83 Women forced to give up employment under the oppressive regime of the Taliban have returned to their previous employment. For example in Kandahar in June 2002 the chief of police said there were 12 women working in the police force with others eager to join.[40j] Women teachers forbidden to work under the Taliban have been rehired and resumed work.[25ak][44a] and there are 17 female teachers at Herat University.[36n]

1996 to 22 December 2001

6.84 As lawlessness and interfactional fighting continued in some areas, violence against women occurred frequently including beatings, rapes, forced marriages, disappearances, kidnappings and killings. Such incidents generally went unreported and most information was anecdotal. Although the stability brought by the Taliban acted in general to reduce violence against women -notably rapes and kidnappings - Taliban members threatened or beat women to enforce the Taliban's dress code and imposed wide-ranging and even life-threatening restrictions on women's mobility and their ability to obtain gainful employment. The enforced seclusion of women within the home greatly limited the information available on domestic violence and marital rape.[2b]

6.85 All factions have used the status of women as a political tool to claim legitimacy or gain popularity. Cultural constraints emanating from tradition and religion have been raised to the political level, but the most consistent and stringent in the enforcement of these demands were the Taliban.[7j]

6.86 Ideologically, the Taliban believed that the state should preserve the dignity and honour of the family and guarantee the personal security of women.[11d] The treatment of women under Taliban rule was however particularly harsh. The Taliban initially excluded women from all employment outside the home, except the traditional work of women in agriculture. In July 2000 the Taliban issued a decree which banned women's employment (except in the health care sector) by UN agencies and NGOs. Implementation remained erratic, but the UN and NGOs kept their female staff at home to avoid open confrontation with the Taliban.[2b]

6.87 In urban areas, and particularly after the Taliban took Kabul in 1996, the Taliban forced almost all women to concede their jobs as professionals and clerical workers, including teachers, doctors, nurses, bank tellers and aid workers. In a few cases, the Taliban allowed women to work in health care occupations under restricted circumstances.[2b]

6.88 An international aid agency expressed concern in August 2000 about the fate of widows and their children, after a Taliban ruling forbade women from being employed by foreign aid agencies. An agency

concerned provided food to 7,000 of Kabul's poorest widows, and stated that it needed to employ Afghan women for distribution of the food. [25d]

6.89 Taliban actions significantly reduced women's access to health care. In practice women were excluded from treatment by male physicians in most hospitals. When treatment by a male physician was permitted the doctor was prohibited from examining her unless she was dressed in the Taliban approved burqa and was also prohibited from touching her. The possibility of meaningful diagnosis was therefore limited. There were credible reports that the restrictions on women's health care were not applied in practice and improvements in women's medical care were reported in 1999 and 2000. In 2001 the process of improvement was reported to have slowed.[2b]

6.90 The Taliban decreed what women could wear in public. Women in public spaces were required to wear the burqa - a loose head to toe garment that has a small cloth screen for vision. While in many rural areas of the country the burqa was the customary women's outer garment, the requirement for all women to wear the burqa represented a significant change in practice for many other women, particularly in urban areas. According to a decree announced by the religious police in 1997, women found outside the home who were not covered properly would be punished severely along with their family elders. In Kabul and elsewhere women found in public who were not wearing the burqa, or whose burqas did not cover their ankles properly, were beaten by Taliban militiamen. Some women could not afford the cost of a burqa, and thus were forced to remain at home or risk beatings if they went out without one. [2b]

6.91 There were reports of inconsistencies in the enforcement of the requirement for women to wear the burqa. Enforcement was reportedly relatively lax in rural and non-Pashtun areas, and there were reports that some women in Herat and in rural areas covered their heads with large scarves that left the face uncovered and did not face reprisals. The Taliban's dress code for women was apparently not enforced strictly upon the nomad population of several hundred thousand, or upon the few female foreigners who must still cover their hair, arms and legs. Women in their homes must not be visible from the street, and the Taliban required that homes with female occupants have their windows painted over.[2b]

6.92 Women were expected to leave their homes only while escorted by a male relative, or run the risk of beatings by the Taliban. Women were not allowed to drive and taxi drivers were reportedly beaten for taking unescorted women as passengers.[2b]

6.93 Many of these extreme restrictions on women were not however invented by the Taliban. For centuries they have governed the lives of ethnic Pashtuns in border areas with Pakistan. Such customs have nonetheless been slowly subsiding in cities, although they remain prominent in the villages. The Taliban chose to adopt the most uncompromising Pashtun customs, and then attempted to enforce such concepts of family honour on an entire society. Hence in the villages where these customs had hitherto already existed, there were no great protests at the Taliban's demands. In Kabul however, where modernity and tradition have competed for most of the 20th Century, these ultra-conservative demands posed a much greater problem. [18b]

6.94 The Taliban's restrictions regarding the social behavior of men and women were communicated by edicts and enforced mainly by the Religious Police. The edicts were enforced with varying degrees of rigor throughout the country. The restrictions were enforced most strictly in urban areas, where women had enjoyed wider access to education and employment opportunities before the Taliban gained control. [2b]

6.95 Following the September 1999 visit of the UN Special Rapporteur on Violence Against Women, some improvements in the status of women were noted. These included the existence of home schools as well as limited primary educational institutions for girls run by the Religious Ministry in Kabul; increased access of women to health care; and the permission given for widows to work. The Special Rapporteur however also noted continuing violations of the physical security of women. These included lashings and public beatings; violations of the rights to education, health, employment, freedom of movement, and freedom of association, and of family rights - including the existence of polygamy and forced marriage. It was also noted that minority women were sometimes subject to forced displacement, and that there were some cases of trafficking in women and children [11b]

CHILDREN

6.96 Approximately 45% of the population are children aged 14 or under. The situation of children is very poor. Infant mortality is 250 in 1,000 births and Medecins Sans Frontieres reported in 2000 that 250,000 children a year die of malnutrition and 25% of children die before the age of five. The Taliban's restrictions on medical treatment have had a detrimental effect on children. A UNICEF study reported that the majority of children are highly traumatized and expect to die before reaching adulthood. According to the study, some 90% have nightmares and suffer from acute anxiety, while 70% have seen acts of violence, including the killing of parents or relatives.[2b] All factions involved in the conflict were reported to have been responsible for direct abuses of children's rights.[7g]

6.97 Afghanistan's ratification of the Convention on the Rights of the Child amounted to no more than a paper promise according to Amnesty International (report dated November 1999) as Afghan children were denied the most basic rights. Female and sometimes male children suffered rape and sexual abuse, and were abducted by local warring commanders. Others have been sold into prostitution. Such atrocities are an endemic characteristic of a long war-torn country like Afghanistan and were occurring long before the Taliban swept to power.[7g]

6.98 Amnesty International has reported that the Taliban took children hostage whose fathers were reported to be political opponents of the Taliban. The families of these children were told that the children would be released when their fathers surrendered to the Taliban.[2b]

6.99 UNESCO reported in 2000 that as few as three per cent of Afghan girls were receiving primary education, and the figure for boys was 39%. It was also reported that students were banned from travelling abroad even to Islamic countries although this was not always enforced in practice and a number of children of the Taliban leadership, including girls, were reported to have been able to obtain foreign education.[2b]

6.100 The Taliban banned certain recreational activities, such as kite flying and playing chess. In October 2000 the Taliban banned youths from playing soccer in Kabul on Fridays. Dolls and stuffed animals are prohibited due to the Taliban's interpretation of religious injunctions against representations of living beings. The Interim Administration had lifted these restrictions by the end of 2001.[2b]

6.101 There have been unconfirmed reports that the Taliban used child soldiers. There have also previously been reports of trafficking in children. [2b] Children were also forced to adapt to the horrors of war. Young boys became the main family breadwinners after their fathers were killed, and criminal gangs involved in drug trafficking preyed on their vulnerability. [7g]

6.102 There have been alarming rates of malnutrition among children in northern Afghanistan. A survey by the UN World Food Programme found that almost half the children under five in Mazar-i-Sharif suffered from chronic malnutrition. In other areas the situation was worse, with the potential to deteriorate further. [25h]

6.103 The UN Secretary General's Special Representative for Children and Armed Conflict carried out a mission to Afghanistan in August 2002. He called for major investment in the children and youth of the country in the areas of education, nutrition and basic medical care. He stated that during the years of conflict one out of three children had lost one or both parents, some 20% had died before their first birthday, 50% had suffered chronic malnutrition and children made up about half the country's landmine victims. He said that it was known that all fighting groups had enlisted young people under the age of 18, but following the end of the war many had been demobilised. He had sought commitments that no person below the age of 18 would be enrolled, and that the Government would move rapidly to ratifying the Optional Protocol on the participation of children in armed conflict.[40v]

For information on Education System see paragraphs 5.78 - 5.81

Child Care Arrangements

6.104 Prior to 1981 there were no state orphanages in Afghanistan. The orphanage system was a product of Sovietisation during the 1980's when two decades of war produced an abundance of genuine orphans. In Kabul there are two state-run orphanages home to over 400 children between the ages of three and 14. [25y] According to UNICEF there are hundreds of orphanage and similar projects in Afghanistan most of them catering to children from poor families rather than orphans.[44c]

KhAD (FORMER STATE SECURITY SERVICES)

Background

6.105 The Khadimat-e Atal'at-e Dowlati (meaning State Intelligence Service in Dari) was set up in 1980 and soon came to embody the highly repressive communist regime. The secret service became notorious and feared under its acronym "KhAD". The first head of the KhAD was Dr Najibullah one of the former leaders of the Parcham faction of the Communist People's Democratic Party of Afghanistan (PDPA). As a result of his post in KhAD he rose rapidly in the hierarchy of PDPA. In November 1985 he left to devote himself to work for the party and handed over responsibility to Major-General Ghulam Faruq Yaqubi. Dr Najibullah shortly afterwards became Secretary General of the party and President of the country a post he retained until 1992. On 9 January 1986 the KhAD was transformed into a separate ministry under the name of "Wazarat-e Amani-ye Dowlati or Ministry of State Security". Although the official abbreviation was then WAD the secret service continued to be popularly known as KhAD.[34]

6.106 The Security Services played a crucial role in the survival of the Communist regime, which had very little support amongst the population. The KhAD ensured the existence of the regime and operated by means of tracking down and fighting enemies as they saw fit. The slightest sign of disloyalty or opposition provided a pretext for such action. KhAD subordinates were instructed to carry out arrests, detentions, judicial sentencing, exile, torture or extra-judicial execution of perceived opponents of the regime. Adopting brutal methods, their notorious ruthlessness induced a climate of terror due to the level of autonomy that they were given.[34]

6.107 All KhAD and WAD NCO's and officers were guilty of human rights violations, however they could not operate within the organisation until they had given proof of their unconditional loyalty to the Communist regime. During their trial periods officers had to pass a severe loyalty test. On first assignment NCO's and officers were transferred to KhAD and WAD sections actively engaged in tracking down subversive elements. Only those who proved their worth were transferred to sections with more administrative or technical activities. According to report produced by the Netherlands delegation to the European Union, in practice this means that all KhAD and WAD NCO's and officers took part in interrogation and torture of real and alleged opponents of the Communist regime.[34]

Treatment of former KhAD members

Current Situation

6.108 In a paper dated February 2002 UNHCR advised that serious problems *could* continue to be faced by some individuals or groups, and those who were associated with or are perceived to have been associated with pre 1992 Communist regimes *might* face serious problems on return.[11e] In May 2002 UNHCR stated that the level of risk to those affiliated to the former communist regime would depend on the area from which they came and whether family relationships could provide them with protection. UNHCR also stated there would be problems for high-ranking former communist military officers (including former communist regime security service-KhAD members) and their families.[8b]

1992 to 22 December 2001

6.109 After the fall of the Communist regime in 1992 many KhAD and WAD agents went to work for the new ruler's intelligence services. The Taliban intelligence service Estikhabarat too was partly manned by former KhAD and WAD agents. Despite their reputation, former members of the Communist security services and their relatives were not by definition at risk of Taliban persecution. The Taliban even accepted some former KhAD members within their own intelligence apparatus, regarding their specific experience as more important than their political past. However others who made too many enemies in the past, or were unwilling to work for the Taliban risked persecution by the Taliban, although the level of risk depended on the individual concerned.[34]

6.110 In 1997 it was reported that the Taliban had detained former members of KhAD. They were particularly interested in apprehending former KhAD members who had been guilty of widespread torture and killings. However many had left the country or changed their place of residence and possibly their appearance. There was also very little archive material available on the KhAD, much having been destroyed at the end of the Communist regime.[8a]

FORMER MEMBERS OF THE PDPA

Current Situation

6.111 In a report dated 13 February 2002 UNHCR advised that certain groups or individuals could face serious problems were they to return. Amongst these groups they identified persons associated or deemed to have been associated with the Communist regime overthrown in 1992, and warned that they might be at risk of violence, harassment or discrimination.[11e]

6.112 UNHCR stated in May 2002 that conditions are not safe for the return of former members of the communist central committee and their families and members of the communist committees structures at district and province level. UNHCR also considered it was not safe to return for those involved in the former communist party's social organisations including those who worked at district and province level.[8b]

6.113 In May 2002 UNHCR Afghanistan, OXFAM in Afghanistan, and United Nations Assistance Mission Afghanistan (UNAMA) confirmed that former members of People's Democratic Party of Afghanistan (PDPA) regime were working in the interim administration, the security service and the military. UNAMA and Oxfam believed there would be no problems for low-profile former members of PDPA in returning to Afghanistan. DACAAR further confirmed that the situation in May 2002 was unchanged from that under the Taliban,

when there was hardly any persecution of those at a low level whilst higher profile members faced problems.[8b]

1996 - 22 December 2002

6.114 A UNHCR report issued in April 2001 stated that those affiliated to the former communist government through membership of the PDPA - or as a result of their functions or profession - continued to be at risk of human rights violations by the Taliban. The degree of risk was dependent on several factors, such as the level of communist ideology the individual identifies with, human rights violations committed during the communist era, the rank or position held and the context in which they operated. Family links (including extended family), education and stay abroad may also be relevant factors. [11] Many former PDPA members were nonetheless amongst the Taliban's ranks. [8a]

6.115 In 1997 it was reported that the Taliban had detained people from the PDPA and former members of the KhAD. They were particularly interested in apprehending former KhAD members who had been guilty of widespread torture and killings. Most high-ranking PDPA and especially KhAD personnel had nonetheless already left the country. [8a]

6.116 PDPA membership in itself might have attracted harassment and persecution by the Taliban. However the question of the risk faced by those associated with the PDPA regime is full of contradictions, with a number of senior members of the Taliban movement, including various military commanders, having previously been communists and members of the PDPA. These are mainly individuals with especially valuable qualifications, in particular people having undergone lengthy training in the use of military technology. [8a]

6.117 The protection enjoyed by some apparently stemmed from their clan membership and links with influential ethnically and family-based groupings ('qawms'). Members of influential 'qawms' were thus be able to achieve 'rehabilitation' in Afghanistan under the Taliban to a greater extent than others. Those lacking such cultural and social protection risked harassment and persecution by the Taliban merely on account of membership of the PDPA. The pattern of Taliban reactions was so arbitrary, unsystematic and unpredictable that social power structures and private links with the Taliban may have been the decisive factor as regards the risk of ill treatment. One Afghan NGO expressed no specific knowledge of ill treatment of low ranking PDPA members. [8a]

6.118 The Ministry of Foreign Affairs for the Netherlands suggested in December 1998 that people belonging to the Khalq factions of the Communist Party were reported to run less of a risk than those belonging to the Parcham faction. This is connected with dissension between the various Pashtun tribes, which were also present in the PDPA. The Ministry also reported that prominent communists, such as former Ministers, certain judges, law officers, high-ranking soldiers, intellectuals and other well-known personalities, may also be at risk. Because of their previous activities such individuals generally had something to fear from the authorities in 1998, and sometimes in neighbouring Pakistan.[14]

6.119 Amnesty International reported that in May 1998 the Taliban announced that "communists" would be detected and if found to be "committing heinous deeds and crimes against the people, they would be heavily punished". This raised fears that Pashtun nationalists opposing Taliban policies, particularly some members of the former Khalq communist faction, might be targeted for human rights abuses. [7f]

6.120 A Pakistani daily reported that in December 1999 Mullah Omar ordered the identification of government employees who won awards during the Soviet occupation. The report stated that ministries were drawing up lists of officials, which were to be sent to the Taliban's ruling council for discussion.[20a]

HOMOSEXUALS

6.121 Homosexual relationships for both men and women are forbidden by Sharia law, which applies in Afghanistan.[41] Under the Taliban the stipulated punishment for those found guilty of homosexual acts was to have walls toppled on them. Although there were no known instances of such punishment in 2001, it was carried out on at least one occasion in 1999 and seven times in 1998.[2b] In particular in February 1998 a wall was felled by a battle tank on three men convicted by Sharia court of committing sodomy with young boys. The punishment took place in front of thousands of spectators including the Taliban leader Mullah Omar who ordered the they remain buried for 30 minutes. Two men died in hospital the next day and the third survived.[41] News reports however indicate that sexual activity between Afghan men is far from uncommon. It has been reported that between 18% and 45% of Afghan men may engage in homosexual acts.[31b]

INTELLECTUALS

6.122 The Afghan intelligentsia (consisting of various religious and political groups) are scattered around the world. Some supported the Taliban, while others backed the Northern Alliance. Others generally favoured an end to the conflict and a negotiated settlement. It is this particular group that was the particular target of assassination attempts both inside Afghanistan and in Pakistani refugee camps.[7h] UNHCR confirmed that among the most targeted were educated Afghans not associated with the Taliban regime or intellectuals seeking an end to the war. [11d]

6.123 Escalation of power and influence from radical Islamic elements result in the targeting of educated people. This particular group may be perceived as a threat to the power base of the radicals, and due to their often moderate or liberal views they could also be interpreted as being secular or insufficiently Islamic.[32] Rumour or behaviour (such as absence from mosques at prayer time) may have induced suspicion of Taliban opposition. This applied more to ethnic Pashtuns who may be perceived as contributing to the disunity of the movement. The risk was greater to the educated, especially those educated abroad.[11d]

6.124 Many Afghan intellectuals had been suffering persecution and murder at the hands of armed Mujahidin groups since the late 1980s. Political personalities including intellectuals, community leaders and former army officers were also subsequently targeted and arrested by the Taliban in 1998 and 1999 due to their peaceful opposition to the continued civil war. Some were reportedly tortured and others killed in custody.[7h]

6.125 Amnesty International reported that from 1999 to 2000 dozens of Afghan leaders and intellectuals living in Pakistan received death threats, and several had have been killed. A number of moderate activists relocated out of Pakistan to other countries, in part as a reaction to killings in Pakistan.[2b]

6.126 According to Amnesty International death threats were made against many Afghan citizens based in Pakistan. The identity and political links of the assassins were not known, but those targeted include prominent Afghan personalities (intellectuals, human rights defenders and women's rights campaigners) actively opposed to Taliban policies. Most of them were Afghan intellectuals of Pashtun ethnic background. In some cases, individuals claiming to represent the Taliban reportedly delivered warnings in person, seeking an end to what they have termed as "anti-Taliban activity". The Taliban claimed no responsibility for such attacks;[7e] therefore there was an anarchic nature to the threats, intimidation and attacks on Afghans resident in Pakistan. Afghan intellectuals and professionals (amongst other political, ethnic and religious groups) as a result felt insecure in Pakistan.[32] Certain Afghan refugees who do not feel safe in Pakistan (due to links with civilian activities in Afghanistan or more notably educated urban women without traditional family support) have been repatriated to third countries with UNHCR assistance, or when appropriate have been relocated within Pakistan.[11c]

6C. HUMAN RIGHTS - OTHER ISSUES

MINES AND UNEXPLODED ORDNANCE

6.127 Afghanistan is the most heavily land-mined country in the world, according to UN mine-clearing experts. It is estimated that there are 5 to 7 million landmines and over 750,000 pieces of unexploded ordnance (UXO) throughout the country, sown mainly during the Soviet occupation. The landmines and UXO cause deaths and injuries, restrict areas available for cultivation and slow the return of refugees.[2b] The mine and UXO problem in Afghanistan has been exacerbated by recent events with new areas being contaminated by coalition UXOs. Ammunition depots in major towns when hit have spread UXOs over as much as a 5km radius and injuries have escalated due to new contaminations and increased population movement.[4]

6.128 In July 2002 the Government of Afghanistan acceded to the Ottawa Convention banning land mines. UNICEF Executive Director Carol Bellamy said that; Afghanistan contains about 10% of the 60 to 70 million land mines laid world-wide; close to 5% of Afghan households have at least one person who has been affected by a landmine or UXO injury; children are the most vulnerable victims and represent half of all deaths and injuries in Afghanistan; growing numbers of returning populations are at risk as they resettle across the country.[44b]

HUMANITARIAN SITUATION

6.129 In January 2002 the Asian Development Bank, the United Nations Development Bank and the World Bank prepared a Needs Assessment Report on Afghanistan. The report judged that more than two decades of conflict and three years of drought had led to widespread human suffering and massive

displacement of people in Afghanistan. The infrastructure base had been destroyed or degraded, and human resources depleted. State institutions had become largely non-functional and the economy increasingly fragmented.[4] The report was presented to an international meeting in Tokyo jointly chaired by the United States, the European Union, Japan and Saudi Arabia.[25aw] Overall donors pledged \$4.5 billion over the next 5 years \$1.8 billion of which is to be committed within 2002. At the Tokyo conference the UK Department for International Development (DiFD) committed £200 million over the next five years for reconstruction and humanitarian assistance.[46] In early June 2002 Deputy Special Representative of the Secretary General Nigel Fisher reported that between \$1.3 billion and \$1.4 billion of the aid promised for 2002 had been received.[40x]

6.130 The United Nations Development Programme set up a special fund The Afghan Interim Authority Fund (AIAF) to support government activities in Afghanistan and the fund received more than \$26 million from international donors during its first three months. This has enabled the Interim Authority to pay civil service salaries and cover crucial administrative costs.[47]

6.131 In a report issued in April 2002 covering the period October 2001 to April 2002 Action Against Hunger (AAH) warned that Afghanistan is in ruins. The infrastructure is destroyed, public services minimal and consecutive droughts have lead to serious food shortages.[40e] A report by Tufts University based on interviews with over 1,100 households in Afghanistan suggested that the number of households with a secure source of food and water had recently plummeted.[53] Speaking at the end of May 2002 Andrew Natsios a top official for the United States Agency for International Development reported that a wide-scale famine had been averted in Afghanistan and relief had been extended to 1 million homes.[40h]

6.132 In August 2002 a joint report by the World Food Programme and the UN's Food and Agriculture Organisation said that humanitarian concerns were raised by a number of factors, drought, military and political upheavals, devastating earthquakes in the north, and devastating locust infestation during the 2002 crop year. However despite these factors overall cereal production has recovered strongly, mainly due to increased and timely rainfall. In particular the Afghan staple wheat production is estimated at 2.69 million tonnes an increase of some 67% over the previous year.[36i]

See also Refugees outside Afghanistan paragraphs 6.145 - 6.147 for details of recent refugee returns.

Humanitarian Situation Kabul

6.133 The situation in Kabul has greatly improved. News reports in June 2002 suggested the return of normal life to the city of Kabul, with reconstruction underway in many districts and new businesses opening.[40i] In June 2002 journalists reported on the general return to normal life within the city including traffic jams in the rush hour, the reopening of restaurants, and the availability of food, drink and luxury commercial items, including DVD's in Kabul.[13b][25an]

6.134 A report in June 2002 has confirmed the availability of food and water in Kabul. Most people rely on wells, many of which are running dry with the water table still low after four years of drought. There is no urban sanitation system, no sewage treatment, and pit latrines are the norm.[51] Work is being undertaken to improve water and sanitation in Kabul, over 50 aid organisations are working on water and sanitation projects in Afghanistan.[52b] The CARE International Organisation in particular has a project dealing with water supply in Kabul.[52b] The International Committee for the Red Cross(ICRC) have carried out some work on water supply systems and sewage systems within hospitals, orphanages and apartment blocks in Kabul and other areas and have carried out emergency repairs on the water network in Kabul and Herat. In Kabul they also maintain over 300 hand pumps for water.[40f] In September 2002 it was reported that international organisations were struggling to stem a local water crisis resulting from decades of war and drought. The water table has been lowered by many years of drought forcing residents to dig deeper wells, which prevent shallower springs from refilling. Water is also lost through cracked and broken pipes. As a result many residents had to carry water huge distances from public fountains. However an official from the sanitation department confirmed that funding to undertake the repairs was available and it was only a matter of time before supply to all houses in Kabul will be restored.[40aa]

6.135 Accommodation is available in Kabul although housing is increasingly limited as more families arrive.[51] Aid officials in Kabul have raised concerns about the huge influx of returning refugees which have placed strains on the city's housing and infrastructure.[25ah] However reconstruction is under way in Kabul [40i] and in addition over 30 aid agencies are currently working within Afghanistan on shelter and housing projects.[52b] In late July 2002 it was reported that land was at a premium in Kabul, officials estimated that they had about 3,000 to 4,000 plots in various stages of rehabilitation to allocate for family houses. This was not sufficient to meet the demands of residents and those returning. As a result thousands get by in tents, inside commercial containers with holes cut for windows, but mostly through extended family networks.[40t]

6.136 Skilled labour is currently in demand in Kabul.[51] The Recovery and Employment Afghanistan Programme working on projects in the employment, infrastructure and environment sectors has employed 12,000 people in Kabul since February and is now expanding to Kandahar and Jalalabad where it expects to assist some 30,000 unemployed Afghans.[40r]

TALIBAN - CURRENT SITUATION

6.137 A number of sources interviewed in the course of a Danish fact finding mission, undertaken in May 2002, confirmed that the Taliban, as a political movement no longer exists. Former members were reported to be in the southern and south-eastern areas of Afghanistan particularly but also were present all over the country. It was generally reported that they do not constitute a political threat or a threat to civilian life. Pashtun leaders who had allied themselves with the Taliban are now known as independent local leaders. Several sources interviewed in May 2002 confirmed that the Taliban generally do not constitute a risk for people who fled from their forced recruitment of soldiers.[8b]

6.138 In December 2001 former members of the Taliban formed a new party Khudamul Furqan Jamiat - Association of Servants of the Koran Society, under the leadership of Chief Ahmad Amin Mujaddedi.[1]

FORMER TALIBAN MEMBERS

6.139 Thousands of Taliban fighters were captured during the US led coalition military action against Al Qa'ida and their Taliban supporters, which started in October 2001. Many continue to be at large.[25au] The military action aimed at routing out the remaining Al Qa'ida members and Taliban supporters continues. [5i][5j] The situation for other former Taliban members is different. In early January 2002 more than 260 Taliban prisoners were freed from Kabul prison. Hamid Karzai leader of the Interim Administration stated his intention to free all prisoners who held no senior position, and said the Taliban rank and file would not be punished. [25au] A further 300 captured Taliban soldiers released in February 2002 were described by Hamid Karzai as innocent. In southern Afghanistan the former Taliban foreign minister Wakil Ahmad Mutawakil surrendered and was being questioned by US officials.[25av]

6.140 In a paper in February 2002 UNHCR advised that serious problems *could* continue to be faced by some individuals or groups, and those who were associated with or are perceived to have been associated with the former Taliban *might* face serious problems on return.[11e] In May 2002 UNHCR-Afghanistan and Oxfam representatives in Afghanistan said that ordinary members of the Taliban movement in southern Afghanistan had been able to integrate themselves into the general Pashtun population. But UNHCR emphasised that in other areas there is a serious risk that former Taliban or those suspected of affiliation with the Taliban movement, may be exposed to infringements of human rights. In particular those people who have worked for the religious police will have problems.[8b] According to UNHCR it is expected that an amnesty will be declared for Taliban members who have not committed war crimes. However at present there is a legal vacuum.[8b]

REFUGEES OUTSIDE AFGHANISTAN

6.141 In June 2001 UNHCR regional spokesman Yusuf Hassan stated that Afghan refugees constituted the world's largest refugee population, with some 2 million in Pakistan, 1.5 million in Iran and thousands of others scattered around neighbouring central Asian republics, India, Russia and many other parts of the world. He estimated a total of nearly four million Afghan refugees around the world.[36a] Prior to the 11 September 2001 attack on the US and subsequent US action in Afghanistan, a UNHCR source stated that despite their objective of facilitating returns, they would not assist returns to areas affected by conflict or drought.[36a] By July 2001 39,600 Afghans had voluntarily returned home from Iran and Pakistan in the year, with only 3,000 seeking UNHCR repatriation. With Iran and Pakistan also affected by serious drought however, many Afghans opting to return decided that they could live at least as well back home as they could in these two asylum countries. A UNHCR survey revealed that only 21% had opted to return due to improved security conditions, with the majority fearing huge economic difficulties or deportation. In the first six months of 2001, 82,000 had been deported from Iran and 3,400 from Pakistan (although the true figure could be much higher). [36b]

6.142 After considerable resistance, the UNHCR reached an agreement in August 2001 with the Pakistani government on the process of screening unregistered Afghan refugees who had arrived in the last couple of years. Under the agreement, joint UNHCR and Pakistani teams would screen Afghans in the Jalozai, Nasir Bagh and Shamshato camps (comprising an estimated 180,000 Afghans). Those opting to return would receive a voluntary repatriation grant. Those opting to join the screening programme would be interviewed, and if found to be in need of protection would be relocated to other settlements with UNHCR assistance. Others whose cases were rejected would have the right of appeal, but would have to return home once a final decision had been taken. [20d]

6.143 Following the 11 September 2001 terrorist attacks in New York and Washington and the subsequent US targeting of Usama bin Laden sheltered by the Taliban, there was an expected increase in the influx of Afghans into Pakistan. The Pakistani government announced in late September that the border would remain closed to Afghans without proper travel documents. Those managing to cross illegally would nonetheless still be assisted in the camps. It was acknowledged that Afghan refugees trickling through unfrequented routes along the porous border could not be ruled out. Some refugees claimed that those with enough money were bribing their way through the border. [36g] The Pakistan government also warned that it would deport any Afghans found to be involved in pro-Taliban demonstrations, although observers believed that most of these demonstrators were Pakistani religious hard-liners rather than Afghans. [25q]

6.144 After the events of 11 September 2001 an estimated 250,000 Afghans fled to Pakistan and tens of thousands more may have been smuggled to Iran. Following the fall of the Taliban refugees continued to flee mostly from food shortages. But large numbers are also returning home. By March 2002 an estimated 250,000 had returned since November 2001. [25as] In February 2002, UNHCR emphasised that peace within Afghanistan was the overriding factor in motivating refugees to return and estimated that in January 2002 107,000 had returned from Pakistan and 20,000 from Iran. [36j]

6.145 Assisted repatriation from Pakistan began in March 2002 with about 5,800 returning in the first three days. [11f] By early April a total of 160,000 had returned from Pakistan. On 3 April 2001 UNHCR, Iran and Afghanistan signed a repatriation agreement for the assisted voluntary return of Afghan refugees from Iran and it was estimated that if repatriation continued at the rate already seen the number returning during 2002 could possibly rise to over one million. [11g] By the middle of April 2002 it was estimated that 40,000 Afghans per week were returning from Pakistan bringing the total number of returns from Pakistan since the start of the repatriation programme to 285,000. [25bg]

6.146 The UNHCR estimated on 30 May 2002 that over 800,000 Afghans had returned since they began facilitating voluntary returns on 1 March 2002, with a further 100,000 returning outside UN channels. [11j] By 29 June 2002 these figures had increased to over 1.1 million returning under the UNHCR scheme with an estimated additional 200,000 returning spontaneously. [11i] In September 2002 UNHCR reported that UNHCR assisted returns from Iran, Pakistan, and the Central Asian states had reached 1.6 million. In addition more than 230,000 internally displaced persons (IDP's) had been assisted to return home, and some 400,000 refugees abroad and IDP's returned without UNHCR assistance. Of the more than 1.4 million Afghans returning from Pakistan ethnic Pashtuns were the majority, followed by ethnic Tajiks, Hazaras, Uzbeks, and Turkmen. UNHCR stated, however, that the security and living conditions were not yet wholly satisfactory to encourage all refugees to repatriate. [11i]

6.147 The UNHCR emphasised in May and June 2002 that it did not promote return to Afghanistan but only assisted those who themselves opt to return. [11j][11k] UNHCR emphasised in May and June 2002 that the security situation in many parts of the country remained fragile. Access to food, health care, shelter and water remained limited in many regions. [11j] In a note issued on 10 July 2002, UNHCR stated that the majority of refugees had freely opted to return and had done so safely, mostly to Kabul and Nangarhar provinces. UNHCR noted that the situation is now generally conducive to the safe return of a broad spectrum of asylum seekers. [11h]

AL QA'IDA

6.148 Usama bin Laden's Al Qa'ida organisation ran a number of training bases in Afghanistan. The organisation was widely assumed to be responsible for the September 2001 terrorist suicide attacks in the USA. A composition of Arabs and Pakistanis were reportedly being trained in the camps. It had its own private army known as the 055 brigade, numbering perhaps 2,000 - 3,000 who fought on behalf of the Taliban against the Northern Alliance. Al Qa'ida differed immensely from the Taliban in terms of its aims and vision. The Taliban's concern had been the establishment of an independent Islamic State in Afghanistan. Al Qa'ida's 055 brigade on the other hand have more of an international outlook and contrast to the Taliban in terms of language, habit, interpretation of Islam and vision of Afghanistan's future. [13a]

6.149 In October 2001 (updated November 2001) a paper was issued by the British Government detailing in full the background of Usama bin Laden and the Al Qa'ida terrorist network, its links with the Taliban and evidence of its involvement in terrorist atrocities. The paper concludes that the attacks on 11 September 2001 were carried out by the Al Qa'ida organisation, which is headed by Usama bin Laden. [48a]

6.150 Despite the fall of the Taliban, Usama bin Laden remains a fugitive and US military operations continue in an effort to capture and detain him and remaining Al Qa'ida fighters. [2b] In early April 2002 a senior member of the network Abu Zubaydah (bin Laden's senior field commander) was captured in Pakistan and was described as the highest ranking member to fall into American hands since 11 September 2001. [25bi] The organisation is proscribed in the UK under the Terrorism Act 2000 (Proscribed Organisations) (Amendment) Order 2001. [21]

ANNEX A - CHRONOLOGY [25b]

1964 - Constitutional monarchy introduced, which leads to political polarisation and power struggles.

1973 - Mohammed Daud seizes power in a coup and declares a republic. Tries to play off the USSR against Western powers. His style alienates left-wing factions who join forces against him.

1978 - General Daud is overthrown and killed in a coup by the leftist People's Democratic Party. The party's Khalq and Parcham factions fall out, leading to purging of ex-king and Parcham leaders. At the same time, conservative Islamic and ethnic leaders who objected to social changes begin armed revolt in the countryside.

1979 - The Power struggle between leftist leaders Hafizullah Amin and Nur Mohammed Taraki in Kabul is won by Amin. Revolts in the countryside continue and the Afghan army faces collapse. The Soviet Union finally sends in troops to help remove Amin, who is executed.

1980 - Babrak Karmal, leader of the People's Democratic Party Parcham faction, is installed as ruler, backed by Soviet troops. Anti-regime resistance nonetheless intensifies with various mujahedin groups fighting Soviet forces. The US, Pakistan, China, Iran and Saudi Arabia supply money and arms.

1985 - Mujahedin come together in Pakistan to form an alliance against Soviet forces. Half of the Afghan population now estimated to be displaced by war, with many fleeing to neighbouring Iran or Pakistan. Soviet leader Mikhail Gorbachev says he will withdraw troops from Afghanistan.

1986 - The US begins supplying the mujahedin with Stinger missiles, enabling them to shoot down Soviet helicopter gunships. Babrak Karmal is replaced by Najibullah as head of the Soviet-backed regime.

1988 - Afghanistan, the USSR, the US and Pakistan sign peace accords and the Soviet Union begins pulling out troops.

1989 - The last Soviet troops leave, but civil war continues as the mujahedin push to overthrow Najibullah.

1991 - The US and USSR agree to end military aid to both sides.

1992 - Resistance closes in on Kabul and Najibullah falls from power. Rival militias vie for influence.

1993 - Mujahedin factions agree on formation of a government with the ethnic Tajik, Burhanuddin Rabbani, proclaimed president.

1994 - Factional contests continue and the Pashtun-dominated Taliban emerge as a major challenge to the Rabbani government.

1996 - The Taliban seize control of Kabul and introduce a hard-line version of Islam, banning women from work and introducing Islamic punishments which include stoning to death and amputations. Rabbani flees to join the anti-Taliban Northern Alliance.

1997 - The Taliban is recognised as the legitimate rulers by Pakistan and Saudi Arabia. Most other countries continue to regard Rabbani as Head of State. The Taliban now control about two-thirds of the country.

1998 - Earthquakes kill thousands of people. The US launch missile strikes at suspected bases of militant Usama bin Laden, accused of bombing US embassies in Africa.

1999 - The UN imposes an air embargo and financial sanctions to force the Taliban to hand over Usama bin Laden for trial.

2001 January - The UN imposes further sanctions on the Taliban to force them to hand over Usama bin Laden.

2001 March - Taliban blow up giant Buddha statues in defiance of international efforts to save them.

2001 May - Taliban order religious minorities to wear tags identifying themselves as non-Muslims, and Hindu women to veil themselves like other Afghan women.

2001 September - Eight foreign aid workers on trial in the Supreme Court for promoting Christianity. This follows of tension between Taliban and aid agencies. Ahmad Shah Masood, guerrilla and leader of the main opposition to the Taliban, is killed, apparently by assassins posing as journalists.

2001 October - USA and Britain launch air strikes against Afghanistan after Taliban refuse to hand over Usama bin Laden, held responsible for the 11 September attacks on America.

2001 November - Opposition forces seize Mazar-i Sharif and within days march into Kabul and other cities.

2001 5 December - Afghan groups agree deal in Bonn for interim gov.

2001 7 December - Taliban finally give up last stronghold of Kandahar. Mullah Omar remains at large.

2001 22 December - Pashtun royalist Hamid Karzai is sworn in as head of 30 member interim power-sharing government.

2002 January - First contingent of International Security Assistance Force in place

2002 April - Former king Zahir Sha returns but says he makes no claim to the throne.

2002- May - UN Security Council extends mandate of the International Security Assistance Force in Afghanistan until December 2002. Allied forces continue their military campaign to find remnants of Al Qaeda and Taliban forces in the South East.

2002 June - Loya Jirga elects Hamid Karzai as interim head of state. Karzai picks members of his administration, which is to serve until 2004. Turkey takes over as lead nation in ISAF.[5k]

2002 July - Vice President Haji Abdul Qadir assassinated.[25t] President Karzai concerned for his own safety asks for American body guards to reinforce his security.[25p]

2002 September - Assassination attempt on Hamid Karzai in Kandahar fails to injure the President. On the same day a bomb in Kabul close to the Ministry of Information and Culture kills at least 10 and injures dozens.[25k]

ANNEX B - POLITICAL ORGANISATIONS AND OTHER GROUPS

Harakat-i Aslami-yi (Islamic Movement of Afghanistan)

Shia party leader Ayatollah Mohammed Asef Mohseni.[1][17b]

Hizb-i Islami Gulbuddin (Islamic Party Gulbuddin)

Pashtun/Turkmen/Tajik; led by Gulbuddin Hekmatyar. [1] Radical Islamist, controlled few military or political resources. [33]

Hizb-i Islami Khalis (Islamic Party Khalis)

Pashtun; led by Maulvi Muhammed Yunus Khalis. [1]

Hizb-i Wahdat (Islamic Unity Party)

Formed in June 1987 by eight Afghan Islamic Shi'ite factions. Based in Tehran, Iran. Secretary General is Abdol Karim Khalili. [1]

Hizb-i Watan (Homeland Party)

Formerly PDPA (changed its name in 1988), party dissolved 1991/2. [11a]

Ittihad -i Islami Bara-yi Azadi (Islamic Union)

Pashtun leader Abdul Rasul Sayef [1][17b]

Jamiat-i Islami (Islamic Society)

Turkmen/Uzbek/Tajik; led by Burhanuddin Rabbani and by General Ahmad Shah Masoud until his death. [1] Shura-i-Nazar faction consists of Panshir Tajiks and is reported to have acquired special power recently as a result of having three ministers in the Interim Administration, Fahim, defence minister, Abdullah, foreign minister, and Qanooni, home affairs.[8b]

Jonbesh-e Melli-e-Islami (National Islamic Movement)

Formed 1992, mainly from troops of the former Northern Command of the Afghan Army. Predominantly Uzbek/Tajik/Turkmen/Ismaili/Hazara Shi'ite; led by General Abdul Rasool Dostum. [1] Also comprises former militias of the old Communist regime. [33]

Khudamul Furqan Jamiat (Association of Servants of the Koran Society): Kabul; Pashtun; former members of the Taliban; revived December 2001; Chief : Ahmad Amin Mujaddedi.[1]

Northern Alliance

See UIFSA. [1]

People's Democratic Party of Afghanistan (PDPA)

Communist party founded 1965. Split in 1967 into two factions: **Khalqi** ("The People") led by Nur Muhammad Taraki and Hafizullah Amin; and **Parcham** ("The Banner") led by Babrak Karmal. Ruling regime 1978 - 1992. [11a]

Taliban

Militant fundamentalist group, mainly Sunni Pashtuns. [1] Formed in 1994 by a group of graduates from Pakistani 'madrasahs' (Islamic colleges) bordering Afghanistan. [11a] Taliban being the plural of 'Talib', meaning 'seeker of religious knowledge'. [1] Controlled 90% of the country from end of August 1998 [5a] until ousted by US led coalition in November/December 2001. Largely disbanded.[1]

United Front

See UIFSA

United Islamic Front for the Salvation of Afghanistan Jabha-yi Muttahid-i Islami-yi Milli bara-yi Nijat-i Afghanistan (UIFSA) /United Front/Northern Alliance

Established June 1997, comprising various anti-Taliban groups. Also known as the **Northern Alliance** or the **United Front**[17b][1] Loose coalition whose membership has varied but includes **Jamiat-i Islami**, **Hizb-i Watan**, **Jonbesh-e Melli-e-Islami**, **Harakat-i Aslami-yi** and **Ittihad -i Islami Bara-yi Azadi**. [17b]

ANNEX C - PROMINENT PEOPLE**Dr ABDULLAH ABDULLAH**

Northern Alliance Foreign Minister[25o] Appointed Minister for Foreign Affairs in the Afghan Interim Administration, 22 December 2001.[25ab]

DOSTUM (General) Abdul Rashid

Leader of the National Islamic Movement (Jonbesh-e-Melli-e-Islami), Uzbek. [1] Returned in April 2001 after a three-year exile. Defected to the Mujahideen in 1992, bringing about the fall of the Communist government. Later joined forces with other factions to fight Commander Ahmed Masoud in an attempt to oust him and his government from power. After his return however engaged Masoud due to a common hostility to the Taliban. [25i]

KHAN (General) Mohammed Fahim

Appointed successor of Ahmed Shah Masoud following. [1] Appointed Vice Chair and Minister for Defence in the Afghan Interim Administration 22 December 2001.[25ab]

HEKMATYAR (Engineer) Gulbuddin

Leader of Hizb-i-Islami Gulbuddin. Prime Minister 1996.[1] Fled to Iran after Taliban captured Kabul in 1996 offices in Iran closed by Iranians in early 2002 reported to be back in Afghanistan.[25ax]

KARZAI Hamid

Pashtun leader of Interim Administration from 22 December 2001.[1] Leader of Transitional Administration and President of the Islamic Transitional Administration from June 2002 to June 2003. Chief of Popalzai tribe. Describes himself as moderate Muslim. Initially supported Taliban but later opposed overt Pakistani influence over the regime. Returned from exile in Pakistan shortly after start of US led military action.[25bb]

KHALILI (General) Abdol Karim

Leader of the Hizb-i-Wahdat faction of the anti-Taliban alliance. [18g]

KHAN Ismail

Opposition leader. Escaped from Taliban prison in March 2000. [20b] Was the governor of Herat [11d] following Soviet withdrawal, but was forced to flee when the Taliban took control of the area in 1995. In 2001 was amassing forces in the Ghor and Badghis provinces. [18g]

MALIK (General) Abdul

Former leader of the National Islamic Movement. [1]

MASOUD (General) Ahmed Shah

Commander allied to the Jamiat-i-Islami. Tajik. Assassinated in September 2001. [1]

OMAR (Mullah) Mohammad

Supreme Taliban leader. Carried the title "Commander of the Faithful". [2b] Sought by US led coalition forces following fall of Taliban whereabouts unknown.[29b]

RABBANI Burhanuddin

Leader of Jamiat-i-Islami. "President" from 1992.[1]

SAMAR Sima

Vice Chair of Interim Administration and Minister for Women's Affairs from December 2001 to June 2002. [25ab] Hazara Doctor who runs an organisation in Quetta Pakistan providing health and education services for Afghan woman and children.[25bc] In June 2002 appointed Chair of the Independent Afghan Human Rights Commission.[40p]

SEDDIQI Suhaila

Health Minister in Interim Administration. Tajik doctor and army general, never left Afghanistan where she played a key role in keeping Kabul military hospital running.[25bd]

REFERENCES TO SOURCE MATERIAL**Part I****Part II****ANNEX D - REFERENCES TO SOURCE MATERIAL**

[1] Europa World Year Book 2001, 42nd Edition, Volume I, April 2001

[2] US Department of State

[a] Annual Report on International Religious Freedom for 2002: Afghanistan, 7 October 2002

[b] Country Report on Human Rights Practices 2001: Afghanistan, 4 March 2002

[c] The Taliban's War Against Women 17 November 2001

[3] Immigration and Refugee Board of Canada

[a] Afghanistan: Chronology of Events: January 1995 - February 1997, February 1997

[b] REFINFO, AFG29861.E, Afghanistan: Treatment of Hindus, 18 August 1998

[c] REFINFO, AFG31339E, Afghanistan: Treatment of Sikhs by the Taliban (1996-1999), 12 March 2000

[4] Afghanistan: Preliminary needs assessment for recovery and reconstruction. January 2002, Asian Development Bank, UNDP and the World Bank

[5] Keessing's Record of World Events

[a] August 1998

[b] News Digest for September 2001

[c] News Digest for October 2001

[d] News Digest for November 2001

[e] News Digest for December 2001

[f] News Digest for January 2002

[g] News Digest for February 2002

[h] News Digest for March 2002

[i] News Digest for April 2002

[j] News Digest for May 2002

[k] News Digest for June 2002

[6] United Nations Economic and Social Council

[a] Commission on Human Rights, Situation of Human Rights in Afghanistan, Report of the Secretary-General, 12 March 1998

[b] Commission on Human Rights, Report of the situation on human rights in Afghanistan submitted by Kamal Hossain, Special Rapporteur in accordance with Commission resolution 2000/18 9 March 2001

[c] Report to the Secretary-General Discrimination against women and girls in Afghanistan 28 January 2002

[7] Amnesty International

[a] Women in Afghanistan: The Violations Continue, June 1997

[b] Afghanistan: Making human rights the agenda, 1 November 2001

[c] Public Statement, 1 February 2002, Afghanistan: Urgent action needed on prison conditions, accessed 12 February 2002

[d] Annual Report, 1998

[e] Urgent Action: Pakistan (Afghanistan): Fear for Safety/Assassination of Afghan Intellectuals Abroad, 22 December 1998

[f] Annual Report, 1999

[g] Children Devastated by War: Afghanistan's Lost Generations, November 1999

[h] Human Rights Defenders in Afghanistan: Civil Society Destroyed, November 1999

[i] Afghanistan: The Rights of Minorities, November 1999

[j] Women in Afghanistan: Pawns in Men's Power Struggles, November 1999

[k] Afghanistan: Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, November 1999

[l] Afghanistan: Executions in Panjshir, February 2001

[m] Afghanistan: Open letter to President Karzai regarding Amnesty International's recommendations for the rebuilding of the criminal justice system. 12 July 2002

[8] Danish Immigration Service,

[a] Report on the Fact-Finding Mission to Afghanistan: 19 November 1997, 20 March 1998

[b] 5-19 May 2002 Political Conditions, Security and Human Rights Situation in Afghanistan

[9] War Resisters' International 1998

[10] 25 July 2002, International Resources Group Afghan Law: From the Hill to Kabul Library Helps Reconstruct Laws to Torn Country, accessed 3 October 2002

[11] United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees

[a] Background Paper on Refugees and Asylum Seekers from Afghanistan, June 1997

[b] Update to the Background Paper on Refugees and Asylum Seekers from Afghanistan, January 1999

[c] Return of Refugees from Neighbouring Countries - Background Note, Afghanistan, February 2001

[d] Background Paper on Refugees and Asylum Seekers from Afghanistan, April 2001

[e] Preliminary Position Paper Considerations relating to the return of Afghan nationals...13 February 2002

[f] Afghanistan Humanitarian Update No. 56 5 March 2002

[g] Key repatriation scheme signed between Iran, Afghanistan and UNHCR, 3 April 2002

[h] Note on Basic Considerations Regarding Returns to Afghanistan from Non-Neighbouring States 10 July 2002

[i] UNHCR Afghanistan Humanitarian Update no 65 10 September 2002

[j] Afghanistan Humanitarian Update no 61 30 May 2002

[k] Afghanistan Humanitarian Update no 62 7 June 2002

[i] 2 July 2002, UNHCR concerned about rising insecurity in northern and central Afghanistan, accessed 4 July 2002.

[12] The Sunday Times, 1 November 1998

[13] The Times Newspaper

[a] 20 September 2001

[b] 15 June 2002 Afghan capital embraces hope and Mr Bean

[14] Situation in Afghanistan, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, The Netherlands, 14 December 1998

[15] Foreign and Commonwealth Advice

[a] 6 October 1997

[b] 5 January 1999

[16] Part of the Ethnologue, 13th Edition, Barbara F Grimes, Summer Institute of Linguistics, 1996

[17] Human Rights Watch

[a] Massacres of Hazaras in Afghanistan Report, 19 February 2001

[b] Afghanistan Crisis of Impunity July 2001

[c] 15 November 2001, Unfolding Events in Afghanistan, accessed 16 November 2001

[d] 3 March 2002 Anti-Pashtun Violence Widespread In Afghanistan accessed 4 March 2002

[e] Afghanistan: Paying for the Taliban's Crimes: Abuses Against Ethnic Pashtuns in Northern Afghanistan. April 2002

[f] Briefing Paper on the Precipice: Insecurity in Northern Afghanistan June 2002

[g] Taking Cover: Women in Post-Taliban Afghanistan May 2002

[h] Afghanistan: Rise in Factional Fighting Threatens Fragile Peace, 7 May 2002 accessed 27 May 2002

[18] The Guardian

[a] 2 December 1999 A nation on its knees.

[b] 21 December 1999 Veiled threats.

[c] 27 August 2001 Taliban forces stretched as they face fresh attack.

[d] 25 September 2001 10,000 a day flee press gangs in Kabul.

[19] UN News Centre

[a] 14 May 2002, Press briefing by Manoel de Almeida e Silva, accessed 16 May 2002

[b] 6 June 2002, Press briefing by Manoel de Almeida e Silva, accessed 11 June 2002

[c] 2 May 2002, Press briefing by Manoel de Almeida e Silva, accessed 11 June 2002

[20] Dawn: Pakistan English-Language Daily Online,

[a] 13 December 1999 Taliban chief seeks list of ex-communists

[b] 30 March 2000 Afghan opposition leader Ismail Khan in Iran

[c] 28 March 2001 Firing by Taliban on DPs alleged

[d] 3 August 2001 Joint screening of Afghan refugees: Accord signed with UNHCR

[e] 24 May 2002 Afghan clashes claim 6 lives, accessed 24 May 2002

[f] 6 May 2002 400 Pakistanis to be freed, accessed 29 October 2002

[21] The Terrorism Act 2000 (Proscribed Organisations) (Amendment) Order 2001

[22] British Refugee Council, Afghanistan Review

[a] August 2000

[b] February 2001

[23] CIA World Factbook, 1999

[24] Reuters News Service

[a] 24 January 2002 Afghan Chief Justice vows Islamic Law for Criminals.

[b] 15 August 2002 Afghanistan: Afghanistan revives religious police

[c] 1 June 2002 Afghanistan: Afghanistan frees prisoners from overcrowded jails

[25] BBC News Online

[a] 3 August 1998 World: South Asia Analysis: Who are the Taleban?, accessed 11 October 1999

[b] 20 June 2002 Timeline: Afghanistan, accessed 27 September 2002

[c] 31 May 2000 Afghan drought spreading

[d] 21 August 2000 Food aid for desperate Afghans

[e] 6 September 2000 Taliban capture key northern town

[f] 12 September 2000 Afghan refugees head for Tajikistan

[g] 3 January 2001 Appeal for Afghans in Pakistan

[h] 23 January 2001 UN alarm at Afghan child malnutrition

[i] 6 February 2001 Afghan refugees' plight under scrutiny

[j] 29 July 2002 "Assassination plot" revealed in Kabul, accessed 30 July 2002

[k] 5 September 2002 Karzai survives attempt on his life, accessed 5 September 2002

[l] 17 April 2001 Anti-Talban leaders plan strategy

[m] 27 September 2002 Afghanistan "faces cash-crunch", accessed 28 October 2002

[n] 18 August 2002 Afghan murder probe draws blank, accessed 19 August 2002

- [o] 24 September 2001 Taleban retreat in heavy fighting
- [p] 23 July 2002 Afghan leader asks for US bodyguards, accessed 8 August 2002
- [q] 11 October 2001 Afghans threatened with deportation
- [r] 13 November 2001 Kabul falls to Northern Alliance accessed 19 February 2002
- [s] 16 October 2001 Taleban face civil unrest
- [t] 8 July 2002 Al-Qaeda "may have killed minister", accessed 9 July 2002
- [u] 15 June 2002 UN tells Afghan warlord to end violence, accessed 17 June 2002
- [v] 20 November 2001 Pakistan closes Taliban consulates accessed 21 November 2001
- [w] 20 June 2002 Afghan police block new minister, accessed 9 July 2002
- [x] 5 December 2001 Guide to Afghan deal accessed 11 December 2001
- [y] 1 February 2002 Kabul's unwanted children, accessed 22 August 2002
- [z] 31 March 2002, Date set for key Afghan forum, accessed 2 April 2002
- [aa] 22 December 2001 Karzai takes power in Kabul accessed 2 January 2002
- [ab] 27 December 2001 Afghanistan's interim cabinet accessed 30 January 2002
- [ac] 4 January 2002 Deal signed on Afghan peace force accessed 7 January 2002
- [ad] 10 January 2002 US flies suspects to Cuba base accessed 11 March 2003
- [ae] 23 January 2002 US halts transfers to Cuba camp accessed 11 March 2002
- [af] 15 April 2002, Afghans elect first representatives, accessed 16 April 2002
- [ag] 9 May 2002 Afghan nomads feel the cold, accessed 13 May 2002
- [ah] 14 May 2002, Afghan returnees "swamp" Kabul, accessed 14 May 2002
- [ai] 13 May 2002 EU "horror" at Afghan jail conditions, accessed 14 May 2002
- [aj] 25 February 2002 Afghan national army begins training accessed 25 February 2002
- [ak] 23 March 2002 Afghan joy as schools reopen accessed 25 March 2002
- [al] 6 February 2002 Afghan women return to study accessed 7 February 2002
- [am] 21 March 2002 Afghanistan's new economic start accessed 21 March 2002
- [an] 8 June 2002, Kabul's streets come back to life, accessed 3 July 2002
- [ao] 9 February 2002, Afghanistan gets new press law, accessed 26 March 2002
- [ap] 17 January 2002. UN sanctions target Al Qa'ida, accessed 26 March 2002
- [aq] 6 March 2002, Afghan warlords forge new army, accessed 7 March 2002
- [ar] 11 June 2002, Troubled Afghan loya jirga opens, accessed 13 June 2002

- [as] 10 March 2002, New UN scheme for Afghan refugees, accessed 11 March 2002
- [at] 28 November 2001 Carnage after revolt, accessed 25 April 2002
- [au] 3 January 2002, Taliban prisoners released, accessed 7 January 2002
- [av] 9 February 2002, Karzai frees 300 Taliban soldiers, accessed 19 February 2002
- [aw] 20 January 2002, Aid lifeline for Afghanistan, accessed 27 March 2002
- [ax] 4 April 2002, Mass arrests of Kabul 'plotters' accessed 5 April 2002
- [ay] 20 June 2002, Turkey takes charge of Kabul security, accessed 25 June 2002
- [az] 24 June 2002, New Afghan cabinet sworn in, accessed 25 June 2002
- [ba] 11 March 2002, Fairness urged for Afghan rights commission, accessed 25 March 2002
- [bb] Afghanistan's Powerbrokers Hamid Karzai, accessed 8 April 2002
- [bc] Afghanistan's Powerbrokers Sima Samar, accessed 8 April 2002
- [bd] Afghanistan's Powerbrokers Suhaila Seddiqi, accessed 8 April 2002
- [be] 7 April 2002 Mass graves found in Afghanistan, accessed 8 April 2002
- [bf] 11 April 2002 Human remains in Bamiyan graves, accessed 12 April 2002
- [bg] 19 April 2002 Aid plea as Afghan refugees pour back, accessed 22 April 2002
- [bh] 20 April 2002 Last Marines arrive in Afghanistan, accessed 22 April 2002
- [bi] 3 April 2002 Key al-Qaeda recruiter captured, accessed 26 April 2002
- [bj] 28 August 2002 Kabul bans Indian films, accessed 4 October 2002
- [bk] 3 September 2002 Karzai supports independent media, accessed 4 September 2002
- [26] International Crisis Group Afghanistan Briefing Kabul/Brussels, 30 July 2002 The Afghan Transitional Administration Prospects and Perils
- [27] World Directory of Minorities, Edited by Minority Rights Group International, London, 1997.
- [28] The Observer, 13 February 2000
- [29] The Telegraph
- [a] 13 June 2001 Taliban destroy town that was rebel stronghold
- [b] 6 January 2002 Omar flees by motorcycle to escape troops, accessed 19 February 2002
- [30] Washington Post
- [a], 4 August 2000 Ambassadors Ride into Philly on the Rails
- [b] 1 April 2001 Religious Minorities Tread Carefully Under Taliban Rule
- [c] 3 April 2002, Afghans form a Multi-Ethnic National Army, accessed 4 April 2002
- [31] Los Angeles Times

[a] 14 August 2000 Afghanistan: In the villages, the Taliban's absolute hold on power begins to slip, via Reuters Business Briefing

[b] 3 April 2002, Kandahar's Lightly Veiled Homosexual Habits, accessed 11 October 2002, via www.sodomylaws.org

[32] Presentation to the European Council on Refugees and Exiles (ECRE), EU Tampere Summit Parallel Meeting, 'Draft Action Plan for Afghanistan and the Neighbouring Region', Peter Marsden, October 1999

[33] Afghanistan: Reconstruction and Peacemaking in a Regional Framework (Barnett R. Rubin et al), KOFF Centre for Peacebuilding Reports, Bern, June 2001

[34] Security Services in Communist Afghanistan (1978-1992), Report from the Netherlands Delegation at the Council of the European Union CIREA meeting, Brussels, April 2001

[35] The Christian Science Monitor

[a] 13 April 2001

[b] 4 April 2002 Afghan nomads cloaked Al Qaeda, accessed 8 April 2002

[36] Integrated Regional Information Networks (IRIN)

[a] 20 June 2001 Afghanistan: The plight of Afghans on World Refugee Day

[b] 20 July 2001 Afghanistan: Majority of repatriated going back to original homes

[c] 27 May 2002, Afghanistan: Successful turnout for women's election, accessed 28 May 2002

[d] 8 February 2002 Afghanistan: Partial restoration of 1964 constitution praised accessed 11 February 2002

[e] 27 January 2002 Afghanistan: Commission to appoint interim government formed accessed 29 January 2002

[f] 3 September 2001 Afghanistan: IRIN focus on Sikh community

[g] 27 September 2001 Afghanistan: Pakistan: Border still officially closed but refugee may be offered

[h] 8 October 2002 Afghanistan: New currency launched with UN support, accessed 8 October 2002

[i] 1 July 2002 Afghanistan: Programmes continue despite insecurity in the north, accessed 1 July 2002

[j] 4 February 2002, Afghanistan: Return of Afghans hinges on peace, accessed 4 February 2002

[k] 28 January 2002, Afghanistan: Focus on maternal health care, accessed 30 January 2002

[l] 22 August 2002 Afghanistan: Focus on food security, accessed 22 August 2002

[m] 9 September 2002 Afghanistan: Focus on introduction on new currency, accessed 9 September 2002

[n] 14 August 2002 Afghanistan: Herat University comes to life again, accessed 14 August 2002

[o] 4 September 2002 Afghanistan: Interview with the head of Human Rights Commission, accessed 4 September 2002

[37] The Tribune India, 1 May 2002 Afghan Hindus, Sikhs invited to return, accessed 5 October 2002

[38] ABC News.com, 31 May 2001

[39] United Nations

[a] General Assembly Situation of human rights in Afghanistan, 26 September 2001

[b] Agreement on provisional arrangements in Afghanistan pending the re-establishment of permanent government institutions 5 December 2001 Bonn Germany

[c] Security Council, Resolution 1386 (2001)

[d] Security Council 4461st Meeting Chair of Afghanistan's Interim Administration Addresses Security Council: Requests Extension, Expansion of International Security Force

[e] Press release, Security Council 4479th Meeting 27 February 2002

[f] 19 July 2002 Special Representative of the Secretary-General for Afghanistan Briefing to the Security Council, accessed 4 October 2002

[40] Reliefweb

[a] 18 March 2002 UN Security Council The situation in Afghanistan and its implications for international peace and security: Report to the Secretary General accessed 28 March 2002

[b] 1 April 2002 UN Security Council Procedures for Afghanistan's Loya Jirga accessed 2 April 2002

[c] 25 March 2002 UN Commission on the status of women. Consensus resolution on women and girls in Afghanistan approved by Women's Commission.

[d] 25 April 2002, UN Security Council, Second report on the activities of the International Security Assistance Force, accessed 27 May 2002

[e] 30 April 2002, Action Against Hunger, Afghanistan Oct 2001 -Apr 2002: Six months after the fall of the Taliban: an analysis of Afghanistan's humanitarian situation, accessed 28 May 2002

[f] 23 May 2002, ICRC, Afghanistan: Facts and Figures on the current ICRC [b4g] 25 May 2002, Agence France-Presse, Afghan capital's curfew shortened: police, accessed 28 May 2002

[g] 26 May 2002, UN Assistance Mission in Afghanistan, Press briefing by David Singh Public Information Officer UNAMA, accessed 28 May 2002

[h] 30 May 2002, US Department of State, USAID's Nasios sees success but remaining hurdles in Afghan relief, accessed 7 June 2002

[i] 2 June 2002, The Frontier Post Afghan capital struggles to recover, accessed 6 June 2002

[j] 5 June 2002 UN OCHA Integrated Regional Information Network Afghanistan: Women rejoin police force, accessed 6 June 2002

[k] 9 June 2002, Agence France-Presse, 200 women chosen to Afghanistan destiny-making tribal gathering, accessed 10 June 2002

[l] 17 June 2002 UN OCHA Integrated Regional Information Network Afghanistan: Focus on Hindus and Sikhs in Kandahar, accessed 18 June 2002

[m] 23 June 2002, UN Assistance Mission in Afghanistan Press Briefing by Manoel de Almeida e Silva Spokesman for the SRSG for Afghanistan 23 June 2002

[n] 27 June 2002 UN Assistance Mission in Afghanistan Press Briefing by Manoel de Almeida e Silva Spokesman for the SRSG for Afghanistan, accessed 4 October 2002

[o] 27 June 2002, Agence France-Presse, Warlords clash in northern Afghanistan leave 150 homeless: UN, accessed 1 July 2002

[p] 30 June 2002, UN Assistance Mission in Afghanistan, Press briefing by Manoel de Almeida e Silva, accessed 1 July 2002

[q] 1 July 2002, Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty, Afghanistan: Karzai sends delegation to investigate factional fighting, accessed 3 July 2002.

[r] 2 July 2002, UN Assistance Mission in Afghanistan, Press briefing by Manoel de Almeida e Silva, accessed 2 July 2002

[s] 11 July 2002, UN Security Council The situation in Afghanistan and its implications for international peace and security: Report of the Secretary-General, accessed 30 July 2002

[t] 25 July 2002 Institute for War and Peace Reporting Kabul property, accessed 30 July 2002

[u] 26 July 2002 IFRC "We, like the country now have hope" accessed 30 July 2002

[v] 6 August 2002 UN Department of Public Information Press Briefing by Secretary-General's Special Representative for Children and Armed Conflict, accessed 8 August 2002

[w] 8 August 2002, Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty, War lord threats continue to grow, accessed 9 August 2002

[x] 2 June 2002 UN Assistance Mission in Afghanistan Press Briefing by Mr Nigel Fisher SRSG for Afghanistan 2 June 2002

[y] 16 August 2002, UN Security Council Monthly report on the operations of the International Security Assistance Force in Afghanistan, accessed 4 September 2002

[z] 6 October 2002 UN Assistance Mission in Afghanistan Press Briefing by Manoel de Almeida e Silva UNAMA Spokesman, accessed 7 October 2002

[aa] 27 September 2002 Institute for War and Peace Reporting Water crisis hits Kabul, accessed 7 October 2002

[ab] 28 September 2002 UN Assistance Mission in Afghanistan. Afghanistan weekly situation report Kabul 22 - 28 September 2002

[ac] 25 May 2002 Agence France-Presse. Afghan capital's curfew shortened: police, accessed 28 May 2002

[ad] 25 August 2002 UN Assistance Mission in Afghanistan, Press briefing by David Singh Public Information Officer, Office of Communication and Public Information UNAMA, accessed 29 October 2002

[41] The International Gay and Lesbian Association World Legal Survey Afghanistan, accessed 27 March 2002

[42] International Committee of the Red Cross

[a] 11 January 2002, Afghanistan: Detainees released from Panjshir prison, accessed 4 April 2002

[b] 18 January 2002, First ICRC visit to Guantanamo Bay prison camp, accessed 4 April 2002

[c] 18 January 2002, Afghanistan: rebuilding essential structures to meet urgent needs, accessed 4 April 2002

[d] 7 February 2002, Afghanistan: Surgical Operations resume at Bamiyan hospital

[e] 21 March 2002, Afghanistan: ICRC continues visits to Shibergan prison, accessed 4 April 2002

[f] Activities in Afghanistan, facts and figures, 31 August 2002, accessed 4 October 2002

[43] World Health Organisation,

[a] Press Release, Agencies Seek Major Investment in Afghan Health Sector, accessed 4 April 2002

[b] Afghanistan Health Update, 5 April 2002

[44] United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF)

[a] Press Release, 23 March 2002 Afghanistan's Schools Triumphantly Open; UNICEF Calls It "Reminder to all of us", accessed 3 April 2002

[b] Press Release 29 July 2002 UNICEF praises Afghanistan for accepting treaty on land mines, accessed 5 October 2002

[c] Letter dated 17 October 2002

[45] Physicians for Human Rights, 28 January 2002, Appalling Conditions in Sherbarghan Prison in Afghanistan, accessed 4 April 2002

[46] Department for International Development, 22 January 2002, Afghanistan Crisis: Situation Report, accessed 5 April 2002

[47] United Nations Development Programme, 19 March 2002, Special fund boosts civil administration in Afghanistan, accessed 5 April 2002

[48] Foreign and Commonwealth Office,

[a] Responsibility for the terrorist atrocities (updated 14 November 2001)

[b] List of Members of Transitional Administration.

[49] Tehran Times 10 June 2002, Afghanistan's Hindus, Sikhs hope to re-emerge in Post-Taleban era, accessed 18 September 2002

[50] Center for Defense Information, Afghanistan: A return to warlordism? Updated February 19 2002.

[51] DFID Report of Humanitarian Advisor 11 June 2002

[52] Afghanistan Information Management Service (AIMS)

[a] Who's doing What Where Report 8

[b] Who's doing What Where Report 4

[53] Associated Press via NewsEdge Corporation 22 May 2002 Survey of hunger in Afghanistan paints grim picture as drought continues accessed 27 May 2002

[54] Political Parties of the World 5th Edition 2002, John Harper publishing

[55] Reuters Alertnet. 15 October 2002 Death sentence for Afghan "Zardad's Dog" commander, accessed 15 October 2002

