

**Flygtningenævnets baggrundsmateriale**

Bilagsnr.:	106
Land:	Bangladesh
Kilde:	Home office
Titel:	"Country of origin information report"
Udgivet:	30. oktober 2006
Optaget på baggrundsmaterialet:	29. november 2006

COUNTRY OF ORIGIN INFORMATION REPORT

BANGLADESH

30 OCTOBER 2006

Contents

Preface

Latest News

EVENTS IN BANGLADESH, 1 OCTOBER TO 30 OCTOBER 2006

REPORTS ON BANGLADESH PUBLISHED OR FIRST ACCESSED SINCE 1 OCTOBER 2006

	Paragraphs
Background Information	
GEOGRAPHY	1.01
Maps	1.05
ECONOMY	2.01
HISTORY	3.01
RECENT DEVELOPMENTS	4.01
CONSTITUTION	5.01
POLITICAL SYSTEM	6.01
Government	6.07
Local government	6.12
Supervision of elections	6.14

Human Rights

INTRODUCTION	7.01
SECURITY SITUATION	8.01
CRIME	9.01
SECURITY FORCES	10.01
Police and paramilitary forces	10.02
Arbitrary arrest and detention	10.04
Torture	10.05
Extra-judicial killings	10.08
Accountability	10.10
Avenues of complaint	10.19
Armed Forces	10.20
MILITARY SERVICE	11.01
ABUSES BY PROSCRIBED MILITANT GROUPS	12.01
Militant Islamist organisations	12.01
Maoist groups	12.08
JUDICIARY	13.01
Organisation	13.05
Special Tribunals	13.09
Speedy Trial Act (STA)	13.10
Family Law	13.12
Informal systems of justice: Village Courts and Shalish	13.13
Independence	13.14
Fair trial	13.17
Corruption in the Judiciary	13.19
ARREST AND DETENTION – LEGAL RIGHTS	14.01
Preventive detention and its legislative framework	14.02
The Special Powers Act (SPA)	14.04
Pre-trial Detention	14.08

Bail.....	14.10
PRISON CONDITIONS	15.01
DEATH PENALTY	16.01
POLITICAL AFFILIATION	17.01
Freedom of political expression	17.03
Freedom of association and assembly	17.04
Opposition groups and political activists	17.07
FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND THE MEDIA	18.01
Treatment of journalists	18.05
HUMAN RIGHTS INSTITUTIONS, ORGANISATIONS AND ACTIVISTS	19.01
Treatment of human rights NGOs.....	19.02
CORRUPTION	20.01
Corruption in the lower Judiciary	20.04
Corruption in the Police Force.....	20.05
FREEDOM OF RELIGION	21.01
Introduction.....	21.01
Hindus	21.11
Buddhists	21.21
Ahmadis (Ahmadiyya/Kadiyanis/Qadianis).....	21.24
Christians	21.36
ETHNIC GROUPS	22.01
The Jumma Peoples of the Chittagong Hill Tracts	22.01
Biharis	22.18
LESBIAN, GAY, BISEXUAL AND TRANSGENDER PERSONS	23.01
DISABILITY	24.01
WOMEN	25.01
Legal rights	25.03
Political rights.....	25.05
Social and economic rights	25.07
Violence against women	25.09
Domestic violence	25.09
Rape	25.14
Acid attacks.....	25.17
Vigilantism.....	25.19
CHILDREN	26.01
General Information.....	26.02
Education	26.07
Child labour.....	26.12
Child care	26.16
Health issues	26.19
TRAFFICKING	27.01
MEDICAL ISSUES	28.01
Overview of availability of medical treatment and drugs.....	28.01
HIV/AIDS	28.06
Kidney dialysis.....	28.07
Mental health.....	28.08
HUMANITARIAN ISSUES.....	29.01
FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT.....	30.01
INTERNALLY DISPLACED PEOPLE (IDPs)	31.01
FOREIGN REFUGEES.....	32.01
Rohingya refugees	32.03
CITIZENSHIP AND NATIONALITY	33.01
EXIT/ ENTRY PROCEDURES.....	34.01
EMPLOYMENT RIGHTS	35.01

Annexes

- Annex A – Chronology of Major Events**
- Annex B – Political Organisations**
- Annex C – Prominent People**
- Annex D – List of Abbreviations**
- Annex E – References to Source Material**

Preface

- i This Country of Origin Information Report (COI Report) has been produced by Research, Development and Statistics (RDS), Home Office, for use by officials involved in the asylum/human rights determination process. The Report provides general background information about the issues most commonly raised in asylum/human rights claims made in the United Kingdom. The main body of the report includes information available up to 30 September 2006. The 'Latest News' section contains further brief information on events and reports accessed from 1 October 2006 to 30 October 2006.
- ii The Report is compiled wholly from material produced by a wide range of recognised external information sources and does not contain any Home Office opinion or policy. All information in the Report is attributed, throughout the text, to the original source material, which is made available to those working in the asylum/human rights determination process.
- iii The Report aims to provide a brief summary of the source material identified, focusing on the main issues raised in asylum and human rights applications. It is not intended to be a detailed or comprehensive survey. For a more detailed account, the relevant source documents should be examined directly.
- iv The structure and format of the COI Report reflects the way it is used by Home Office caseworkers and appeals presenting officers, who require quick electronic access to information on specific issues and use the contents page to go directly to the subject required. Key issues are usually covered in some depth within a dedicated section, but may also be referred to briefly in several other sections. Some repetition is therefore inherent in the structure of the Report.
- v The information included in this COI Report is limited to that which can be identified from source documents. While every effort is made to cover all relevant aspects of a particular topic, it is not always possible to obtain the information concerned. For this reason, it is important to note that information included in the Report should not be taken to imply anything beyond what is actually stated. For example, if it is stated that a particular law has been passed, this should not be taken to imply that it has been effectively implemented unless stated.
- vi As noted above, the Report is a collation of material produced by a number of reliable information sources. In compiling the Report, no attempt has been made to resolve discrepancies between information provided in different source documents. For example, different source documents often contain different versions of names and spellings of individuals, places and political parties etc. COI Reports do not aim to bring consistency of spelling, but to reflect faithfully the spellings used in the original source documents. Similarly, figures given in different source documents sometimes vary and these are simply quoted as per the original text. The term 'sic' has been used in this document only to denote incorrect spellings or typographical errors in quoted text; its use is not intended to imply any comment on the content of the material.

- vii The Report is based substantially upon source documents issued during the previous two years. However, some older source documents may have been included because they contain relevant information not available in more recent documents. All sources contain information considered relevant at the time this Report was issued.
- viii This COI Report and the accompanying source material are public documents. All COI Reports are published on the RDS section of the Home Office website and the great majority of the source material for the Report is readily available in the public domain. Where the source documents identified in the Report are available in electronic form, the relevant web link has been included, together with the date that the link was accessed. Copies of less accessible source documents, such as those provided by government offices or subscription services, are available from the Home Office upon request.
- ix COI Reports are published regularly on the top 20 asylum intake countries. COI Bulletins are produced on lower asylum intake countries according to operational need. Home Office officials also have constant access to an information request service for specific enquiries.
- x In producing this COI Report, the Home Office has sought to provide an accurate, balanced summary of the available source material. Any comments regarding this Report or suggestions for additional source material are very welcome and should be submitted to the Home Office as below.

Country of Origin Information Service

Home Office

Apollo House
36 Wellesley Road
Croydon CR9 3RR
United Kingdom

Email: cois@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk

Website: http://www.homeoffice.gov.uk/rds/country_reports.html

ADVISORY PANEL ON COUNTRY INFORMATION

- xi The independent Advisory Panel on Country Information was established under the Nationality, Immigration and Asylum Act 2002 to make recommendations to the Home Secretary about the content of the Home Office's country of origin information material. The Advisory Panel welcomes all feedback on the Home Office's COI Reports and other country of origin information material. Information about the Panel's work can be found on its website at www.apci.org.uk.
- xii It is not the function of the Advisory Panel to endorse any Home Office material or procedures. In the course of its work, the Advisory Panel directly reviews the content of selected individual Home Office COI Reports, but neither the fact that such a review has been undertaken, nor any comments made, should be taken to imply endorsement of the material. Some of the material examined by the Panel relates to countries designated or proposed for designation for the Non-Suspensive Appeals (NSA) list. In such cases, the Panel's work should not be taken to imply any endorsement of the decision or

proposal to designate a particular country for NSA, nor of the NSA process itself.

Advisory Panel on Country Information

PO Box 1539
Croydon CR9 3WR
United Kingdom

Email: apci@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk

Website: www.apci.org.uk

[Return to contents](#)

Latest News

EVENTS IN BANGLADESH, FROM 1 OCTOBER TO 30 OCTOBER 2006

- 10 October A Channel 4 News (UK) investigation found children who appeared to be aged as young as twelve working in two factories in Bangladesh, manufacturing garments for sale in Tesco stores. There was no suggestion that Tesco knew about child workers at the factories. Both Bangladeshi suppliers denied the existence of child workers in their factories, stating that the ages of all workers are independently verified. BBC News reported on 11 October that the allegations were being investigated by the Bangladesh Garment Manufacturers and Exporters Association (BGMEA).
Channel 4 News website
<http://www.channel4.com/news/special-reports/special-reports-storypage.jsp?id=3554> Date accessed: 12 October 2006
BBC News: 11 October 2006
http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/south_asia/6042002.stm
- 12 October Agence France-Presse reported that thousands of garment workers had staged violent demonstrations since a new minimum wage for the industry was announced on 9 October. Troops were deployed to contain the protests after at least seven factories were set on fire.
Agence France-Presse (via LexisNexis): Bangladeshi garment workers demand army protection: 12 October 2006
The government had formed a Wage Commission in reaction to worker unrest in May 2006. On 9 October the Commission released its recommendation for a minimum wage of Tk1,662.50, up from the current level of TK950; trade unions were demanding at least Tk3,000 (£25) per month. The garment industry generates 75 per cent of the country's exports. (See paragraph 4.02)
Asia Pulse (via LexisNexis): Profile – Bangladesh's Textile Industry: 25 October 2006
- 13 October The Nobel Foundation announced that Grameen Bank and its founder, Dr Muhammad Yunus, had been jointly awarded the Nobel Peace Prize for 2006. (See paragraph 2.03 under Economy for information on micro-credit financing in Bangladesh.)
Nobel Foundation. The Nobel Peace Prize for 2006: 13 October 2006
http://nobelprize.org/nobel_prizes/peace/laureates/2006/index.html
Washington Post. Micro-Credit Pioneer Wins Peace Prize. 14 October 2006
<http://www.washingtonpost.com>
- 27 October The five-year term of office of Khaleda Zia's BNP-led coalition government came to an end at midnight on 27 October. An interim Caretaker Government was due to take office on 28 October for the period leading up to the next general election in January 2007. (See paragraph 6.05 under Government) Thousands of opposition protesters took to the streets as the Government and the opposition Awami League failed to reach agreement on who should lead the Caretaker Government; the opposition was contending that the current eligible candidate, former Chief Justice KM Hasan, was not impartial. Several people were killed in street clashes between BNP and Awami League supporters.
BBC News: Bangladesh pledge on power shift: 27 October 2006
http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/south_asia/6091350.stm

- BBC News: Bangladesh power shift postponed: 28 October 2006
http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/south_asia/6093300.stm
- 28 October The swearing-in ceremony of the Chief Advisor (head) of the Caretaker Government was postponed as Justice KM Hasan withdrew his candidature. Violent clashes between BNP and Awami League supporters continued throughout the country.
BBC News: Bangladesh power shift postponed: 28 October 2006
http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/south_asia/6093300.stm
BBC News: No end to Bangladesh poll crisis: 30 October 2006
http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/south_asia/6097646.stm
- 29 October The President of Bangladesh, Iajuddin Ahmed, announced that he was personally taking on the role of Chief Advisor, having failed to get party leaders to agree on a compromise candidate. Awami League leaders declined to attend his swearing-in ceremony, which took place the same day, and called on him to prove he was truly neutral.
BBC News: No end to Bangladesh poll crisis: 30 October 2006
http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/south_asia/6097646.stm
BBC News: Bangladesh rivals stage rallies: 30 October 2006
http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/south_asia/6097646.stm

[Return to contents](#)

REPORTS ON BANGLADESH PUBLISHED OR FIRST ACCESSED SINCE 1 OCTOBER 2006

UK Foreign & Commonwealth Office (FCO)

Human Rights Annual Report 2006

http://www.fco.gov.uk/Files/kfile/hr_report2006.pdf

Date accessed 17 October 2006

United States Commission on International Religious Freedom

Policy Focus, Fall 2006: Bangladesh Policy Brief

<http://www.uscifr.gov/countries/publications/policyfocus/BangladeshPolicyBrief.pdf>

Date accessed 18 October 2006

[Return to contents](#)

Background information

GEOGRAPHY

- 1.01 The People's Republic of Bangladesh is located in south Asia and is bordered almost entirely by India, except for a small frontier in the southeast with Burma and a coastline along the Bay of Bengal in the south. The capital is Dhaka. The country covers an area of almost 57,000 square miles. (Europa Regional Surveys of the World: South Asia 2005) [1b] (p88)
- 1.02 The country is administratively divided into 6 Divisions, 64 Districts (*Zila*), 507 sub-districts (*Thana* or *Upazila*) and 4,484 *Wards/Unions*. There are over 87,000 villages in Bangladesh, notes the Ministry of Foreign Affairs website. [77a] A particular name might refer to more than one geographical entity; for example, the city of Chittagong is situated in the district of Chittagong, which is in Chittagong Division. The 'Chittagong Hill Tracts' (CHT) area, referred to later in this report, comprises three of the districts within Chittagong Division. [25]
- 1.03 The Preliminary Report of the 2001 Population Census, published in August 2001 by the Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, gave the total population of Bangladesh as 129.2 million (statistically adjusted). [43a] (p4) The CIA World Fact Book, updated 10 January 2006, estimated the population to have reached 144.3 million by July 2005. [62] The 2001 census showed that 76 per cent of the population resided in rural areas. The metropolitan area of Dhaka, in 2001, had a population of 9.9 million; the populations of the other principal cities (as 'statistical metropolitan areas') were as follows in 2001: Chittagong 6.2 million, Khulna 2.6 million, and Rajshahi 1.3 million. [43a] (p6) Apart from territories comprising less than 1,200 sq. km in area, Bangladesh is the most densely populated country in the world. (Europa Regional Surveys of the World: South Asia 2005) [1b] (p88) The 1991 census, as summarised in "Bangladesh: Census Result at a Glance" by the Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, classified 93.9 million people (88.2 per cent of the total 1991 population) as Muslim, 11.2 million as Hindu, 0.6 million as Buddhist and the remainder as Christian or 'other'. [43b]
- 1.04 The state language is Bangla (Bengali) and is spoken by about 95 per cent of the population. (Europa World Year Book 2004) [1a] (p635) A Canadian IRB report of June 1990 stated that Biharis generally speak Urdu, and the tribal populations (Jumma peoples) of the Chittagong Hill Tracts use a variety of dialects. English is also used in commerce and administration. [3a]

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

MAPS

- 1.05 On the following page is a map showing the main cities and towns, and the Divisions of Bangladesh. (United Nations Cartographic Section: Map no. 3711 ref.2, dated January 2004.)

ECONOMY

- 2.01 The Economist Intelligence Unit, in its Bangladesh Country Profile of 2006 (EIU Country Profile 2006), estimated GDP per head in 2005 to have been US\$423, compared with \$728 for India, \$708 for Pakistan and \$1,149 for Sri Lanka. A household income and expenditure survey showed that 44.3 per cent of the population lived below the poverty line in 2000 compared with 58.8 per cent in 1991. (The poverty line is here defined as being able to afford to buy food providing a daily intake of 2,122 calories.) [40a] (p24) During the 1990s, real GDP increased at an average annual rate of 4.9 per cent; GDP growth for the 2004/2005 fiscal year was 5.4 per cent, according to the EIU Country Report for July 2006. [40a] (p25) [40e] (p5) The same report stated, "Economic indicators suggest that the economy performed exceptionally well in fiscal year 2005/6 (July-June), driven by strong industrial output and a robust performance in agriculture." [40e] (p20)
- 2.02 Agriculture (including fisheries) employed more than half of the labour force and contributed around 21 per cent of GDP in 2005/2006, noted the EIU Country Profile 2006. Bangladesh has virtually achieved food self-sufficiency; rice production, in particular, has risen by about 150 per cent since the mid-1970s. Bangladesh is the world's largest exporter of jute; other agricultural exports include tea and frozen foods. The share of manufactured goods in the country's exports has increased since the 1980s as ready-made garments have emerged as the leading export commodity. [40a] (p24-36) A BBC News article of 6 January 2005 had cautioned that the future volume of the country's garment exports had become more uncertain with the final phasing out at the end of 2004 of international export quotas under the Multi-fibre Arrangement (MFA). The article noted that garments accounted for three-quarters of Bangladesh's exports. About 1.8 million people, mainly women, worked in clothing factories and another 15 million jobs depended indirectly on garment manufacturing. [20ar] However, according to the EIU Country Report for January 2006, the knitwear sector of the garment industry continued to show strong growth during the 2004/2005 fiscal year, although the woven garment sector suffered a downturn in the same period. [40d] (p20) The EIU Report for July 2006 has subsequently confirmed "The ready-made garment sector continues to grow rapidly, despite earlier concerns about the adverse effects of the expiry of the Agreement on Textiles and Clothing at end-2004." This industry was still contributing about 75 per cent of export earnings by 2006. [40e] (p20 and 22)
- 2.03 Bangladesh is a world pioneer in micro-credit financing, having first implemented pilot lending projects in the late 1970s. Micro-credit lending has since expanded rapidly and has proved effective in helping to alleviate poverty and empower women. In June 2003, 17 institutions reported that they had disbursed over US\$8 million in micro-credit loans and had a total of 15.1 million outstanding borrowers. (Bangladesh Ministry of Foreign Affairs, 2005) [77b] Grameen Bank – one of the leading loan providers – reported in February 2006 that it had, to date, advanced micro-credit loans to 5.8 million borrowers, 96 per cent of whom are women. The Bank has 1,861 branches and its staff work in 62,089 villages. Total loan disbursement since the Bank's founding in 1976 had reached US\$5.34 billion by February 2006, of which \$4.73 billion had been repaid. Although Grameen Bank does not require any collateral against its micro-credit loans or even require its borrowers to sign a legal instrument, the loan recovery rate is 98.45 per cent. [76a] Other major micro-

credit providers include the Palli Karma-Sahayak Foundation (established by the Government), PDBF, Bangladesh Krishi Bank, Agrani Bank and Sonali Bank, as well as the NGOs BRAC, ASA and Proshika and the Bangladesh Rural Development Board. [77b]

2.04 The EIU Country Profile 2006 observed:

“The number of Bangladeshis working abroad and remittances from those employed abroad have been increasing since the mid-1980s. Whereas only 70,000 skilled and unskilled persons obtained employment abroad in 1985/86, more than 250,000 Bangladeshis now do so each year, bringing the total number working abroad in 2005 to around 3m. Annual remittances from those abroad amounted to US \$4.8billion in 2005/06, according to statistics released by the Bangladesh Bank (the central bank). The importance of remittance inflows to the economy is likely to be far greater than reflected in official data, as large sums of money are thought to enter the country through unofficial channels.” [40a] (p15)

2.05 The unit of currency in Bangladesh is the ‘Taka’ (BDT), which is divided into 100 poisha/paisa, notes the Europa World Year Book 2004. [1a] (p644) The approximate rate of exchange on 15 September 2006 was £1 sterling = 122 Bangladesh taka (xe.com Universal Currency Converter). [22]

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

HISTORY

PRE-INDEPENDENCE: 1947 – 1971

- 3.01 The Europa World Year Book 2004 (Europa 2004) records that present-day Bangladesh was originally one of the five provinces of Pakistan, created following the partition of the Indian sub-continent in August 1947. Known then as East Pakistan, the province comprised the former Indian province of East Bengal and the Sylhet district of Assam. [1a] (p635)
- 3.02 East Pakistan became dissatisfied with the distant central government in West Pakistan, in spite of concessions such as the approval of Bengali as a joint official language with Urdu and the division of the country into two parts (East and West) with equal parliamentary representation. A secessionist movement led by Sheikh Mujibur Rahman and the Awami League (AL) gained increasing support. (EIU Country Profile 2006) [40a] (p4)
- 3.03 A general election in December 1970 gave the Awami League an overwhelming victory in East Pakistan; the AL demanded a loose federation of the two parts of Pakistan. [40a] (p4) On 26 March 1971, Sheikh Mujib proclaimed the independence of the People’s Republic of Bangladesh (‘Bengal Nation’) and a full scale civil war broke out. (Europa 2004) [1a] (p635)
- 3.04 Resistance continued from the Liberation Army of East Bengal (the Mukti Bahini), a group of irregular fighters, who launched a major offensive in November 1971. An estimated 9.5 million refugees crossed into India. On 4 December 1971, Indian forces intervened on the side of the Mukti Bahini. Pakistan surrendered to the combined forces on 16 December 1971 and

Bangladesh achieved its independence, quickly achieving international recognition. (Europa 2004) [1a]

1972 – 1982

- 3.05 The Europa World Year Book 2004 records that Sheikh Mujibur became Bangladesh's first Prime Minister in January 1972. A general election for the country's first parliament ('Jatiya Sangsad') was held in March 1973; the AL won 292 of the 300 directly elective seats. Internal stability was, however threatened by opposition groups resorting to terrorism. [1a] (p635) The economic and political situation deteriorated rapidly. (EIU Profile) [40a] (p4)
- 3.06 Sheikh Mujibur declared a state of emergency in late 1974 and in early 1975 he became President, assuming dictatorial powers through one-party rule. (EIU Profile) [40a] (p4) In August 1975 Mujibur and members of his family were assassinated in a coup (led by Islamist army officers). Martial law was then declared and political parties banned. A subsequent counter-coup on 3 November 1975 brought Khalid Musharaf, a pro-Indian commander of the Dhaka garrison, to power. This proved to be extremely short-lived, as a third coup on 7 November 1975 overthrew Musharaf and power was assumed under a neutral non-party government, with Major General Ziaur Rahman (General Zia) taking precedence. (Europa 2004) [1a] (p635)
- 3.07 Political parties were again legalised in July 1976. General Zia assumed the presidency in April 1977. In the parliamentary elections of February 1979, Zia's Bangladesh Nationalist Party (BNP) won 207 of the 300 directly elective seats in the Jatiya Sangsad. A new Prime Minister was appointed in April 1979, and martial law repealed. The state of emergency was revoked in November 1979. (Europa 2004) [1a] (p635)
- 3.08 Europa 2004 records that Zia was assassinated on 30 May 1981, during an attempted military coup. Political instability ensued and Vice President Abdus Sattar was nominated President. Sattar (finding it difficult to retain civilian control) formed a National Security Council in January 1982, led by Chief of the Army Staff, Lieutenant-General Hossain Mohammad Ershad. On 24 March 1982 Ershad seized power in a bloodless coup. Martial law was again declared, with Ershad as Chief Martial Law Administrator (in October 1982 Ershad changed his title to Prime Minister), aided by a military Council of Advisers. [1a] (p635)

1983 – 1990

- 3.09 The Europa World Year Book 2004 notes that, although the Government's economic policies achieved some success, there were increasing demands for a return to democracy during 1983. The two principal opposition groups that emerged were an eight-party alliance, headed by a faction of the Awami League under Sheikh Hasina (daughter of the late Sheikh Mujibur) and a seven-party group, led by a faction of the Bangladesh Nationalist Party (BNP) under former President Sattar and Begum Khaleda Zia (widow of General Zia). In September 1983 the two groups formed an alliance: the Movement for the Restoration of Democracy. In November 1983, permission was given for the resumption of political activity and a new political party, the Jana Dal

(People's Party) was formed to support Ershad as a presidential candidate. Ershad declared himself President on 11 December 1983. [1a] (p636)

- 3.10 In January 1985 a new Council of Ministers was formed, composed almost entirely of military officers and excluding all members of the Jana Dal (in response to the opposition parties' demands for a neutral government during the pre-election). However, President Ershad refused to relinquish power to an interim government. The National Front (NF), a new five-party political alliance, comprising the Jana Dal, the United People's Party, the Gonotantrik Party, the Bangladesh Muslim League and a breakaway section of the BNP, was established in September 1985. (Europa 2004) [1a] (p636)
- 3.11 Europa 2004 relates that the ten-month ban on political activity was lifted in January 1986, and the NF formally became a single pro-government entity, the Jatiya Party (National Party). Although smaller opposition parties participated in the parliamentary elections in May 1986 the elections were boycotted by the BNP. The Jatiya Party won 153 of the 300 directly elective seats in the Jatiya Sangsad. Mizanur Rahman Chowdhury, the former General-Secretary of the Jatiya Party, was appointed Prime Minister in July 1986. [1a] (p636)
- 3.12 Ershad joined the Jatiya Party in September 1986, being elected as Chairman of the party. In the presidential election of October 1986 (which was boycotted by both the BNP and AL) Ershad received 22 million votes. In November 1986, the Jatiya Sangsad approved indemnity legislation (legalising the military regime's actions since March 1982). Ershad then repealed martial law and formed a new Council of Ministers, which included four MPs from the AL. (Europa 2004) [1a] (p636)
- 3.13 Europa 2004 records that dissension from the opposition continued throughout 1987 and President Ershad declared a state of emergency on 27 November of that year. In December 1987, after 12 opposition members had resigned and the 73 AL members had agreed to do likewise, Ershad dissolved the Jatiya Sangsad. The Jatiya Party won a large majority of seats in the parliamentary elections of 3 March 1988. Ershad repealed the state of emergency in April 1988. [1a] (p636)
- 3.14 Violence, anti-government demonstrations and strikes occurred throughout the country during 1989 and 1990 in response to Ershad's autocratic rule. Ershad re-proclaimed a state of emergency on 27 November 1990 and proceeded to arrest opposition activists. In December 1990 Ershad relinquished power to a neutral caretaker government, which organised a general election to be held on 27 February 1991, thereby re-establishing democracy in Bangladesh. In the week following his resignation, Ershad was placed under house arrest. (Europa 2004) [1a] (p637) (EIU Profile) [40a] (p5)

1991 – 1999

- 3.15 The Europa World Year Book 2004 records that the parliamentary election of February 1991 was won by the Bangladesh Nationalist Party (BNP); Begum Khaleda Zia assumed office as Prime Minister. Abdur Rahman Biswas was elected as the new President on 8 October 1991. [1a] (p637)

- 3.16 All opposition members of the Jatiya Sangsad resigned en masse in December 1994. Nonetheless the Prime Minister, with her party's parliamentary majority, pledged to maintain constitutional government. On 24 November 1995, the Prime Minister requested that the Jatiya Sangsad be dissolved pending the outcome of the next general election. (Europa 2004) [1a] (p637)
- 3.17 Europa 2004 records that the general election, postponed until 15 February 1996, was boycotted by all of the main opposition parties. Consequently, the BNP won 205 of the 207 legislative seats declared. However, the opposition refused to recognise the legitimacy of the polls and announced the launch of a non co-operation movement against the Government. Finally, the Prime Minister agreed to hold fresh elections under neutral auspices. [1a] (637)
- 3.18 Begum Khaleda Zia and her government resigned from their posts on 30 March 1996 after making the 13th amendment to the Constitution, which provides that a non-party caretaker government takes control during the period leading up to a general election. Notwithstanding an unsuccessful military coup on 20 May 1996, a general election was held on 12 June 1996: the Awami League won 146 of the 300 elective seats in the Jatiya Sangsad. (Europa 2004) [1a] (p637). Sheikh Hasina formed a government with support from the Jatiya Party. (EIU Profile) [40a] (p5) The AL government repealed the Indemnity Ordinance, passed in 1975 to protect the assassins of Sheikh Mujibur, and the trial of 20 people accused of involvement in the assassination began in January 1997. In November 1998, 15 former soldiers were sentenced to death, most of them in absentia. (EIU Profile) [40a] (p5)
- 3.19 In December 1997 the AL government signed an historic peace accord to end the insurgency in the Chittagong Hill Tracts. The treaty was opposed by the Bangladesh Nationalist Party. (Europa 2004) [1a] (p638) On 10 February 1998 the Shanti Bahini guerrillas formally surrendered their arms to the Government, marking an end to the 25-year insurgency. (Reuters) [4e]
- 3.20 The ruling coalition split on 15 March 1998 when the minority Jatiya Party announced that it was leaving the 'national consensus' Government. (Keesing's, March 1998) [5b] (p42133) The BNP walked out of the Jatiya Sangsad on 12 April 1998, in protest against four bills concerning the December 1997 Chittagong Hill Tracts Peace Accord. In December 1998 a new anti-government alliance was formed, comprising Begum Khaleda Zia's BNP, Jamaat-e-Islami and the Jatiya Party under Gen. Ershad. (Europa 2004) [1a] (p698) [5c] (p42198)
- 3.21 Keesing's, March 1999, related that two bombs had exploded at a music and culture festival in the town of Jessore on 6 March 1999, killing at least eight people and injuring some 150 others. The festival organisers blamed the bombing on Islamic fundamentalists. [5h] (p42837) BBC News reported on 19 July 2000 that 24 people had been charged with the bombing, including a former opposition MP. [20d]
- 3.22 The Europa South Asia 2005 Regional Survey records that political instability and unrest escalated through 1999; in mid-1999 the BNP and other opposition parties began a boycott of parliamentary proceedings. Opposition-led strikes took place in October and December 1999 and January 2000, leading to

serious economic disruption. In July 2000 an attempt to assassinate Sheikh Hasina was foiled. [1b] (p93)

2000 – MARCH 2006

- 3.23 The Europa South Asia 2005 Regional Survey relates that, in July 2001, Sheikh Hasina and her Government resigned. On 15 July 2001 a caretaker government was sworn in to organise new elections, following a violent two-day transition in which twelve people were killed. [1b] (p93)
- 3.24 BBC News reported on 26 September 2001 that a bomb blast in Dhaka had killed at least eight members of the Awami League (AL) as the hostile atmosphere in the run-up to the general election heightened. In response to the escalating tension the caretaker government deployed more than 50,000 troops to quell the violence. [20h]
- 3.25 The Europa 2004 World Year Book records that the general election went ahead on 1 October 2001, although voting was suspended in several constituencies owing to violence. [1a] (p639) According to Keesing's, October 2001, at least 140 people were killed in feuding between Awami League (AL) and Bangladesh Nationalist Party (BNP) supporters during the run-up to the election. [5f]
- 3.26 The U.S. Department of State Report on Human Rights Practices for 2005 [USSD 2005] noted that domestic and international observers deemed the general election of October 2001 to be generally free and fair. [2f] (introduction) The initial results of the general election, as recorded by the Bangladesh Election Commission, were as follows:

	Seats won	Total votes obtained
Bangladesh Nationalist Party (BNP)**	*193	22,833,978
Bangladesh Awami League	62	22,365,516
Jamaat-e-Islami**	17	2,385,361
Jatiya Party (Ershad) / Islami Jatio Oikya Front	14	4,038,453
Bangladesh Jatiya Party (N-F/Naziur)**	4	621,772
Islamic Oikya Jote (IOJ)**	2	376,343
Jatiya Party (Manju)	1	243,617
Krishak Sramik Janata League	1	261,344
Independents	6	2,262,073
Other	-	348,168
	300	55,736,625

[16a]

* following by-elections to fill two undecided seats

** The governing coalition (the Four-party Alliance), with control of over two-thirds of the seats in parliament, comprises the BNP, Jamaat-e-Islami (which propagates transition to the rule of Islamic law), Bangladesh Jatiya Party N-F (not a religious party) and the Islamic Oikya Jote (an alliance of seven Islamist groups). [1a] [7k]

A round of by-elections was held in on 12 November 2001, mainly necessitated by the fact that certain candidates – including the main party leaders – had stood and won seats in more than one constituency on 1st October. [39ab]

The Bangladesh Election Commission recorded the number of seats held by each party, following these by-elections, as follows:

	Seats held
Governing coalition ('Four-party Alliance'):	
Bangladesh Nationalist Party (BNP)	195
Bangladesh Jatiya Party (N-F/Naziur)	4
Jamaat-e-Islami	17
Islamic Oikya Jote (IOJ)	3
Awami League	58
Jatiya Party (Ershad) / Islami Jatio Oikya Front	14
Jatiya Party (Manju)	1
Krishak Sramik Janata League	1
Independents	7
	300

[16b]

- 3.27 Begum Khaleda Zia was sworn in as Prime Minister on 10 October 2001. At the end of October 2001, the newly elected members of parliament representing the opposition Awami League took the oath of office, but refused to join the opening session of the Jatiya Sangsad (the unicameral legislature) in continuing protest against what they considered a rigged election. (Europa 2004) [1a] (p639)
- 3.28 Keesing's, June 2002, records that on 21 June 2002, President Bardruddoza Chowdhury, who had been elected President on 14 November 2001, resigned under pressure from the ruling BNP after he had failed to visit the grave of Maj-Gen Ziaur Rahman on the anniversary of the latter's assassination in 1981. [5a] (p44843) BBC News reported on 5 September 2002 that Iajuddin Ahmed, a retired professor from Dhaka University, had been elected President. [20s]
- 3.29 The Government of Prime Minister Khaleda Zia, facing criticism for the rising wave of crime and deteriorating law and order in the country, launched "Operation Clean Heart" on 17 October 2002, records an Amnesty International report, "Accountability needed in Operation Clean Heart", dated 23 October 2002. [7e] It was reported in BBC News and *International Herald Tribune* articles of October 2002, January 2003 and March 2003 that Operation Clean Heart involved the deployment of nearly 40,000 soldiers, in all the major cities, to help the authorities restore law and order, arrest "listed criminals" and recover illegal firearms. Several members of the ruling Bangladesh Nationalist Party and the opposition Awami League were detained for their alleged links with criminals. [20w] [20x] [20y] [21c] On 11 January 2003, BBC News reported that Bangladeshi authorities had ordered a partial pull-out of soldiers and ordered the troops to return to their barracks. [20z] The army

was redeployed in six divisional headquarters the following month, but BBC News reported on 18 February 2003 that the operation, this time, was on a smaller scale and the army had been instructed not to arrest any suspects but to hand the criminals over to the police. [20ab]

- 3.30 BBC News articles published in January and February 2003 indicate that more than 11,000 people were arrested during Operation Clean Heart, including 2,500 listed criminals and members of Prime Minister Khaleda Zia's BNP and the opposition, hundreds of weapons were seized, and 40 people died after soldiers detained them. [20z] [20y] [20ab] On 9 January 2003 it was reported that President Iajuddin Ahmed had signed an order granting the soldiers legal immunity with immediate effect. The President said the Government regretted the deaths, but had no alternative to rewarding the soldiers who had helped the authorities restore law and order. (BBC News, 9 January 2003) [20x] [20y] Amid angry protests by the opposition, in February 2003, the Bangladesh Parliament passed the controversial indemnity bill entitled "Joint Drive Force Indemnity Ordinance 2003" to give legal protection to the army-led anti-terrorism operation. The Law Minister Mr Ahmed announced that the indemnity would protect the members of the armed forces from facing the civil justice system. At the same time, they would remain under the purview of their own laws. (Financial Times Information, 27 February 2003) [21b]
- 3.31 Local elections to 4,267 councils took place from late January to 16 March 2003. The elections were officially held on a non-party basis, but political parties indirectly nominated candidates to ensure a foothold at grass roots level. A total of 198,704 candidates contested the local council seats, including 42,250 women vying for 2,684 seats reserved for them. [15]
- 3.32 BBC News reported a number of attacks on Awami League officials in August and September 2003. On 25 August 2003, the president of the AL in the city of Khulna was shot dead; the Janajuddha faction of the banned Purba Banglar Communist Party apparently claimed responsibility. [20n]
- 3.33 A BBC News report on 13 January 2004 stated that Bangladesh police were holding 24 people for questioning following a bomb attack at the Hazrat Shahjalal shrine in the city of Sylhet the previous day, that killed three people and injured about thirty. No one had admitted responsibility for the bombing. [20e]
- 3.34 It was reported in the *Daily Star* on 20, 23 and 27 April 2004 that the Awami League had organised a campaign of public demonstrations during April 2004 in an apparent attempt to force the Government to resign by 30 April. Between 18 and 27 April the police arrested more than 15,000 people, mainly supporters of the Awami League and the NGO *Proshika*, in an attempt to contain the protests. On 27 April the Government called on the police to stop mass arrests and "not to harass the innocent". [38g] [38h] [38i]
- 3.35 On 7 May 2004 a senior Awami League MP, Ahsan Ullah Master, was assassinated by an unknown gunman, reported BBC News. [20ap]
- 3.36 BBC News announced on 16 May 2004 that Parliament had approved a Constitutional amendment to increase the number of seats in the Jatiya Sangsad from 300 to 345 for a period of ten years, with the additional 45 seats being reserved for women. The additional women MPs would initially be

selected in proportion to each party's support at the 2001 general election. [20ae] See section 25: [Women](#).

- 3.37 Two people were killed and at least 25 injured in a second bomb attack at the Muslim Hazrat Shahjalal shrine in Sylhet on 21 May 2004. No parties claimed responsibility. The British High Commissioner to Bangladesh was one of those hurt. (BBC News, 21 May 2004) [20ah] The *Daily Star*, on 24 May 2004, gave the total number injured as seventy. [38e]
- 3.38 In June 2004 Awami League Members of Parliament returned to their seats; almost a year earlier they had declared they would boycott parliamentary sessions on the grounds that they had not been allowed to criticise the Government, according to a BBC News article of 15 June 2004. [20ag] The Economist Intelligence Unit's Bangladesh Country Report of January 2005 (EIU January 2005) noted that AL members did not resume their participation in parliamentary standing committees until mid-October 2004. [40b] (p13)
- 3.39 In July 2004 Bangladesh was hit by devastating floods. A BBC News report of 3 August 2004 stated that about 60 per cent of the country had been under water at one stage and that some 600 people had been killed and at least 30 million displaced or stranded. [20af] The BBC News 'Timeline: Bangladesh', accessed on 26 April 2005, put the final death toll at "nearly 800" and observed that the floods had also left an estimated 20 million people in need of food aid. [20o]
- 3.40 On Saturday 21 August 2004, at least 19 people were killed in a grenade attack at an opposition Awami League party rally in Dhaka which was addressed by former Prime Minister and opposition leader Sheikh Hasina, reported BBC News on 21 and 22 August. There were about 20,000 people in the crowd and 200 were injured in the explosions and the chaos that ensued. [20ai] [20aj] [20ak] The Economist Intelligence Unit, in its Bangladesh Country Report of January 2005, gave the final death toll as 23. [40b] (p16) The *Asian Tribune* confirmed on 22 August 2004 that the casualties included a number of AL party leaders. [44a] BBC News reported subsequent rioting across the country, during which the police arrested more than 200 protesters. The Awami League called a general strike on 24 and 25 August 2004 in protest. A further strike took place on 30 August 2004. There had been a rising trend in bomb attacks in Bangladesh over the previous five years in which more than 140 people had died; the targets had been varied, including a cinema, a Muslim shrine and newspaper editors and journalists. [20i] [20aj] [20ak] [20al] An Agence France-Presse article of 31 August 2004 stated that agents from the United States FBI and from Interpol had, at the request of the Bangladesh Government, arrived in the country to assist with investigations. [23g]
- 3.41 The *Daily Star* reported on 30 September 2004 that the police had been carrying out "blanket arrests" ahead of an Awami League mass rally planned for 3 October. The newspaper estimated that over 5,000 people, mostly AL supporters, had been arrested between 22 and 30 September 2004, primarily under Section 86 of the Dhaka Metropolitan Police (DMP) Ordinance. The authorities claimed, however, that the arrests were part of a routine anti-crime drive. On 29 September the High Court issued an injunction forbidding any arrests under Section 86 until 3 October 2004; the *Daily Star* observed, however, that the police were able to make arrests under other sections of the DMP. [38n] The *Daily Star* reported on 4 October 2004 that the previous day's

rally, attended by “tens of thousands”, had proceeded largely peacefully. [38d] On 10 October 2004, noted the *Daily Star* of 11 October, the AL and other opposition parties called a *hartal* (general strike) to mark the coalition government’s three years in office; there were pitched battles between the police and demonstrators in Dhaka and certain other centres; hundreds of protesters were arrested for short periods. [38af]

- 3.42 Associated Press reported on 20 October 2004 that a Dhaka court had sentenced three former army officers to death in absentia for their roles in the murder of four Awami League leaders in Dhaka Central Jail on 3 November 1975. The killings had taken place soon after the assassination of Sheikh Mujibur Rahman and the overthrow of his government in a military coup in August 1975. Twelve other people were sentenced to life imprisonment and five were acquitted. The Economist Intelligence Unit’s Country Report of January 2005 (EIU January 2005) noted that the case was originally filed in 1975, but could not be heard because of an indemnity ordinance issued by the military government that succeeded Sheikh Mujibur’s Government. [61a]
- 3.43 In mid-November 2004, noted EIU January 2005, the Awami League – together with 11 ‘left-leaning’ opposition parties, Jatiya Samajtantrik Dal (JSD), National Awami Party (NAP) and the Jatiya Janata Party – launched a united movement with the aim of bringing to an end the rule of the BNP-led coalition Government. On 18 November 2004 this AL-led alliance released a list of nine demands, including calls for the immediate resignation of the Government and a general election under a reformed caretaker government. [40b] (p12)
- 3.44 The AL-led opposition alliance organised two successive nation-wide ‘human chains’ in December 2004 as an expression of no confidence in the BNP-led Government. On 11 December the alliance organised a one-hour 1000-km human chain connecting the country’s southern tip (in Cox’s Bazar) and northern tip (in Dinajpur) and running through 18 districts, including the cities of Chittagong and Dhaka. On 30 December another human chain was formed, stretching 800-km across Bangladesh from west to east. The demonstrations were largely peaceful. (EIU January 2005) [40b] (p12-13)
- 3.45 The *Daily Star* reported on 28 January 2005 that former Finance Minister Shah AMS Kibria and four other people had been killed in a grenade attack on an Awami League rally at Boidder Bazar in Habiganj district the previous evening. About 70 others were injured. No party or group was reported at the time to have claimed responsibility. Protests immediately erupted in different parts of the country and the AL called a 60-hour general strike commencing on 29 January 2005, maintaining that the BNP and Jamaat-e-Islami were responsible. [38o] The *Daily Star*, on 31 January 2005, recorded that there had been violent clashes between protesters and the police, as well as damage to property, in various parts of the country. At least 150 demonstrators, including a number of AL politicians, had been injured, many of them in baton charges. [38p] BBC News reported renewed anti-government demonstrations and a general strike on 3 February 2005 in protest at the Habiganj grenade attack. [20as] The *Daily Star* announced on 21 March 2005 that ten persons had been formally charged for their role in the murder of Shah AMS Kibria and others in the 27 January grenade attack. Eight of the accused were in custody, while the other two were charged in absentia. According to the *Daily Star*, all ten had connections with the ruling Bangladesh Nationalist Party (BNP); some of them were local BNP party leaders. [38y] A BBC News article of 21 March

2005, however, quoted police as saying that eight of the ten accused had links with the BNP. [20be]

- 3.46 The Bangladesh *Daily Star* of 25 January 2005 reported that at least 50 people, including eight policemen, had been injured in clashes between the security forces and Jagrata Muslim Janata Bangladesh (JMJB) militants in Bagmara on 24 January, where a large number of JMJB supporters had been protesting the lynching, a few days earlier, of three JMJB cadres by a mob of villagers. [38r] [20av] The *Daily Star*, on 4 February 2005, quoted a police spokesman as warning that JMJB planned to continue bombing cinemas, theatres and *jatra* folk theatres, having deemed these activities to be “un-Islamic”. NGOs were also to be targets. [38w]
- 3.47 Associated Press and Agence France-Presse announced on 23 February 2005 that the Government had officially banned Jama’ul Mujahedin Bangladesh (JMB or JM) and Jagrata Muslim Janata Bangladesh (JMJB) – both militant Islamic groups – blaming them for a recent spate of murders, bombings and related terrorist activities across the country. Police arrested a number of suspected JMB members and said they were intensifying their efforts to find and detain JMJB operations commander Siddiqui Islam, also known as ‘Bangla Bhai’. Jamaatul Mujahedin had been accused of bomb attacks at musical concerts, religious shrines and the offices of certain NGOs. [61b] [23j] JMJB were believed to have been involved in several recent bombings and vigilante killings, including a bomb attack on a *jatra* folk theatre show in Shahjahanpur on 14 January 2005 in which two people were killed and about 70 wounded. [38t] Police, on 23 February 2005, also arrested Dr Muhammad Asadullah al-Galib (al-Ghalib) – Professor of Arabic at Rajshahi University and head of the Islamist organisation, Ahle Hadith Andolon Bangladesh (AHAB) – as well as three other AHAB officials. [61b] [23j]
- 3.48 On 28 February 2005 BBC News reported that 15 “suspected leaders of radical Islamic groups”, including Asadullah al-Galib, had been charged with sedition. Court officials stated that the persons charged were accused of carrying out bomb attacks on rallies and buildings in attempts to destabilise the country. The same BBC News article noted that more than 70 suspected militants had been arrested since the ‘crackdown’ began the previous week (i.e. since 23 February). [20ba] United News of Bangladesh reported on 25 June 2005 that charges against Dr Galib for involvement in the bombings of two offices of BRAC, an NGO, had been dropped, but that he was still facing charges in at least nine other cases. [39t]
- 3.49 BBC News announced on 16 April 2005 that 22 people had been sentenced to death for the murder of an Awami League MP, Ahsan Ullah Master, and another man at a political function near Dhaka on 7 May 2004. This was the highest number ever sentenced to death in a single case in Bangladesh. Six others were given life sentences. The judge described the killing as an act of “political vengeance”. [20bg]
- 3.50 On 18 July 2005 the *Daily Star* reported that the law enforcement agencies – including the police, the Rapid Action Battalion, special police units ‘Cobra’ and ‘Cheetah’ and various joint forces – had killed 378 people in so-called “crossfire” incidents since June 2004. [38aa]

See Section 10: [Police – Extra-judicial killings](#)

- 3.51 United News of Bangladesh, in an article dated 22 July 2005, noted that the Awami League-led 14-party opposition alliance had prepared a number of proposals for reforming both the Election Commission and the leadership and functions of the Caretaker Government which takes office during the period immediately preceding a general election (see paragraph 5.11). This had followed several months of public debate in which the opposition parties argued that such reforms were necessary for these two institutions to be seen as neutral and effective in ensuring the credibility of general elections; in particular, a Government decision to extend the retirement age of judges from 65 to 67 was seen by opposition parties as a move by the Government to ensure that Chief Justice KM Hassan, a former BNP activist, would become the head (Chief Advisor) of the next Caretaker Government. [39v] The Economist Intelligence Unit's (EIU's) Country Report of July 2005 recorded that the Awami League had threatened to boycott the forthcoming general election unless the electoral system and Caretaker Government were reformed; Sheikh Hasina, the Awami League leader, had repeatedly accused the last Caretaker Government of siding with the BNP in the 2001 general election, in which her party was defeated. The EIU report further noted that, under the Constitution, the existing Government would have to hand over power to a Caretaker Government by October 2006. [40c] (p12-13) On 5 August 2005, United News of Bangladesh quoted the Minister of Law, Justice and Parliamentary Affairs as saying there was "no scope" for reforming the Caretaker Government. He indicated, however, that the Government was willing to discuss reforms to the Election Commission, provided that such a debate took place in Parliament. [39w]
- 3.52 BBC News reported on 13 August 2005 that one person had been killed and some 50 others injured in an attack on a Muslim shrine in eastern Bangladesh the previous night (12 August). Several homemade bombs had been thrown during a religious festival at the Hazrat Shah Syed Ahammad shrine at Akhaura, about 100 kilometres from Dhaka. [20bb] According to an Associated Press article of 14 August 2005, two suspects had been arrested in connection with the attack; however, no group had claimed responsibility and police said that the motive for the attack was still unclear. [61d]
- 3.53 BBC News announced on 17 August 2005 that more than 300 bomb explosions had occurred almost simultaneously in cities and towns across the country that day. Most of the bombs were small, rudimentary devices that were set to go off between 10.30 and 11.30 local time. Many of the bombs were set off in the vicinity of government offices, judicial buildings and journalists' clubs. Jamatul Mujahedin Bangladesh (JMB), one of two militant Islamic groups that were banned on 23 February 2005 (see above), were believed to have been responsible. Leaflets bearing JMB's name were found at some of the bombsites; the leaflets called for the implementation of Islamic Law and warned "Bush and Blair" to get out of Muslim countries. [20bc] An Agence France-Presse article of 26 August 2005 provided more specific information on the events of 17 August: 434 small bombs had exploded in 63 of the 64 districts of the country; two people had been killed and more than 100 injured. On 26 August 2005 a Bangladesh court charged (in absentia) the JMB leader, Abdur Rahman, with 'criminal conspiracy' and 'exploding a bomb'. [23i]

- 3.54 In a judgment delivered on 29 August 2005, the High Court found that the country's military takeover in 1975 had been illegal. The Court apparently struck down the fifth amendment to the Constitution which legitimised martial law under former President Ziaur Rahman. The present Government –led by Ziaur Rahman's widow, Prime Minister Khaleda Zia – declared its intention to appeal the High Court's decision. (BBC News, 31 August 2005) [20bd]
- 3.55 BBC News reported on 17 October 2005 that the Government had banned the Islamic group Harkat-ul-Jihad-al-Islami (better known as 'HuJI'), describing it as a terrorist organisation. [20bk]
- 3.56 On 4 October 2005, the *Daily Star* reported a number of bomb attacks inside law court buildings the previous day, in three different districts. In Laxmipur two people were killed and 30 injured, including the judge, in a bomb attack in the Joint District and Sessions court; in Chandpur a bomb went off in the courtroom of the Judge's Court; in Chittagong a bomb was thrown into a Joint District courtroom, but failed to explode; another attack took place at a magistrate's court in Chittagong, causing injuries. A number of suspects were arrested at the three locations, some of whom apparently identified themselves as activists of Jama'tul Mujahedin Bangladesh (JMB). Handwritten leaflets found after the Chandpur incident reportedly bore the words "Establish Islamic rule", followed by "Jama'tul Mujahedin Bangladesh". [38ae] On 18 October, a judge in Sylhet division escaped an assassination attempt unhurt, recorded the Asian Centre for Human Rights (ACHR) on 23 November 2005. [53c] (p4) On 24 October 2005 BBC News reported that a leading member of the BNP in Khulna, Mizanur Rahman, had been killed in a bomb attack; no one claimed responsibility. [20br]
- 3.57 Two judges were killed in a bomb attack in the southern district of Jhalakathi, reported BBC News on 14 November 2005. Four people were injured, including the suspected bomber. [20bi] According to the ACHR report of 23 November 2005, the police recovered hand-written leaflets of JMB at the site of the attack which read "Ban man-made laws and establish Qur'anic laws". [53c] (p4) The ACHR report of 23 November gives details of death threats made against several other judges during October and November 2005, apparently by members of JMB and other Islamist groups. [53c] (p4-6)
- 3.58 On 29 November 2005, BBC News reported that at least nine people had been killed and over 40 injured in two separate attacks – a suicide bombing inside the law court building in Gazipur, a town 30 km north of Dhaka, and a bomb blast in the city of Chittagong. [20bm] The EIU Report of January 2006 recorded that the death toll from the two bombings on 29 November had risen to at least 14; the report noted that suicide bombings marked a new phase in the campaign of terrorist violence and that these attacks were designed to kill as many people as possible; the police believed Jama'tul Mujahedin Bangladesh (JMB) to be responsible. [40d] Another bomb blast in Gazipur, on 1 December 2005, killed one person and injured at least 27, according to a BBC News article of that date. [20bn] On 8 December 2005 at least seven people were killed in a bombing in the northern town of Netrokona. (EIU Country Report of January 2006) [40d]
- 3.59 The 14-party opposition alliance, dominated by the Awami League, held a mass rally in Dhaka on 23 November 2005 and announced a 23-point common minimum national programme which, in effect, may constitute the

opposition's manifesto for the general election due to be held in late-2006 or at the beginning of 2007, relates EIU January 2006. [40d] The 14-party opposition alliance held another mass rally in Dhaka on Sunday 5 February 2006; at least 40,000 opposition supporters converged at the Paltan Maidan after a three-day 'Long March' from different parts of the country, reported Agence France-Presse and ATN Television. [23o] [21g] A large number of opposition activists were arrested during the three-day protest march. National police chief Abdul Kaiyum said that the authorities had taken the "highest security steps to prevent any act of violence". He specified that 4,500 people had been arrested during the three day period, but asserted that many of those arrests were for reasons not linked to the protest. Awami League leader Sheikh Hasina was quoted as saying that at least 10,000 activists and leaders had been rounded up since the previous Thursday. An opposition spokesman accused the Government of resorting to mass arrests and of preventing people from all over the country from joining the 'Long March'. [23o] Sheikh Hasina announced at the rally that her party would return to parliament after a boycott of over a year. [23o] [21g] BBC News quoted Hasina as saying that the party's return to parliament was only to table some key proposals to ensure free and fair elections, but that the opposition would continue with anti-government demonstrations. [20bs] She called for a dawn-to-dusk general strike on 15 February, according to ATN. [21g] It was announced on 20 February 2006 that a leader of the Awami League in the city of Khulna was in a critical condition after two bombs were thrown at him. No one had claimed responsibility for the attack, according to BBC News. [20bp]

- 3.60 According to the EIU Report of January 2006, police arrested over 800 people between 30 November 2005 and early January 2006 on suspicion of being involved in terrorist activity. The police were said to be hunting a 2,000-strong 'suicide squad' drawn from members of Jama'tul Mujahedin Bangladesh (JMB) and other militant Islamist groups. On 5 January 2006 the cabinet approved a bill extending the Government's power to tap telephones. [40d] BBC News announced on 9 February 2006 that three men had been convicted in a Barisal court for the assassination of the two judges on 14 November 2005. Two of the men received 30-year prison sentences; the would-be suicide bomber, who was injured and arrested at the scene of the attack, is to serve a 40-year sentence. [20bo] On 20 February 2006 the special court in Barisal sentenced four other individuals, in absentia, to prison terms of 40 years each for their roles in the killing of the two judges on 14 November; they included JMB leaders Sheikh Abdur Rahman and Siddiqui Islam, alias 'Bangla Bhai' (see paragraphs 4.56 and 4.72). The four fugitives were sentenced under the Explosive Substances Act, but were still liable to murder charges for the same incident. [20bt] On 28 February 2006, a court in Jhenidah district sentenced 21 men to death – three of them in absentia – for their part in the co-ordinated detonation of over 400 bombs throughout the country on 17 August 2005. All 21 were reported to be members of JMB. (Agence France-Presse) [23p]
- 3.61 BBC News announced on 2 March 2006 that Shaikh Abdur Rahman, the leader of Jama'tul Mujahedin Bangladesh (JMB), had surrendered to police in the district of Sylhet after a 30-hour siege. [20bq] On 6 March 2006, United News of Bangladesh (UNB) reported that Siddiqui Islam, alias 'Bangla Bhai', had also been arrested following an encounter with the Rapid Action Battalion at his hideout in Mymensingh district. The UNB article described 'Bangla Bhai'

as “chieftain of the vigilante group Jagrata Muslim Janata Bangladesh (JMJB) and Majlish-e-Shura member of Jama’tul Mujahedin Bangladesh (JMB)”. [39ac]

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

- 4.01 Keesing’s recorded that, on 29 May 2006, a court in the southern town of Jhalakathi convicted and sentenced to death Abdur Rahman and Siddiqui Islam (also known as ‘Bangla Bhai’), the leader and second-in-command of Jama’tul Mujahedin Bangladesh (JMB), for the murder by bombing of two judges in November 2005. ‘Bangla Bhai’ has also been identified as a principle leader of Jagrata Muslim Janata Bangladesh (JMJB) (see paragraph 3.57). Five other JMB militants were also sentenced to death for the crime, including three members of the group’s ruling council, the Majlish-e-Shura. Rahman and ‘Bangla Bhai’, who were captured in March 2006, had already been sentenced in absentia to life imprisonment under the Explosive Substances Act for abetting the bombing (see paragraphs 3.60 and 3.61). Keesing’s notes that, in furtherance of its aim of establishing an Islamic state with a *Sharia* legal system, JMB had been conducting a bombing campaign targeting judges, court buildings, and government offices. A court in the northern district of Rajshahi, on 15 May 2006, sentenced ten JMB militants to life imprisonment for their role in organising part of the country-wide chain of bombings on 17 August 2005 (see paragraph 3.53). Three others were sentenced to 20-year prison terms for seven bombings in the town of Joypurhat. (Keesing’s May 2006) [5j]

Amnesty International (AI) noted in a statement of 28 September 2006 that the High Court, on 31 August 2006, rejected the appeals of the JMB leaders sentenced to death on 29 May. According to Amnesty International, the Law Minister told journalists that the government wished to carry out these death sentences before it handed over power to a caretaker government in late-October 2006; AI notes, however, that there is usually a period of four to five years before a condemned prisoner is executed and that there are rights of appeal to higher courts and then to the President. [7p]

- 4.02 Keesing’s records that labour unrest on an unprecedented scale took place in the garment sector in May and June 2006. A dispute over dismissals in a single factory in Dhaka led to widespread strikes and riots by garment workers on 20-23 May, citing low pay and long hours. Some 250 factories were damaged, one demonstrator died and over 100 people were injured before police and troops intervened. The Government announced on 25 May that it would establish a commission to set a minimum wage in the garment industry. [5i] On 4 June, some 3000 garment workers in and around Dhaka renewed protests against low wages, poor working conditions and lack of union rights. On 17 June, several thousand workers demonstrated following the indefinite closure of some factories. Police used tear gas and baton charges to disperse the workers. (Keesing’s, June 2006) [5k]
- 4.03 The Economist Intelligence Unit (EIU) Country Report of July 2006 observed that the domestic political scene during the second quarter of 2006 was dominated by a legal dispute over the voter list for the next general election, due to be held in January 2007 at the latest. The opposition parties, led by the Awami League, accused the BNP-led government of manipulating the voter

list to its own advantage, and threatened to boycott the general election unless the head of the Election Commission resigned. The dispute over the system for appointing the Caretaker Government also continued; the AL called for an impartial person, acceptable to all parties, to be appointed to head the interim government, contending that the current eligible candidate (former Chief Justice KM Hasan) has strong links with the BNP - see paragraph 3.51 [40e] (p12) BBC News reported that a 36-hour general strike (*hartal*), called by the Awami League to demand electoral reforms, commenced on 13 June 2006; there were violent clashes in Dhaka between the police and protesters. [20bx]

- 4.04 On 17 August 2006, a court acquitted General Ershad, the Jatiya Party leader and former President, of corruption charges dating back 14 years. (Keesing's August 2006) [51]
- 4.05 BBC News reported on 18 September 2006 that tens of thousands of opposition supporters were protesting in Dhaka to demand electoral reforms. The '*hartal*' had been called by the alliance of 14 opposition parties, who again threatened to boycott the general election if their demands were not met. [20bz] According to a BBC News report of 21 September, dozens of people were injured in Dhaka when police used batons to disperse stone-throwing protesters. [20ca]

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

CONSTITUTION

- 5.01 The Europa World Year Book 2004 (Europa 2004) records that a new Constitution for the People's Republic of Bangladesh came into effect on 16 December 1972. Following the military coup of 24 March 1982 the Constitution was suspended and the country placed under martial law. On 10 November 1986, martial law was repealed and the Constitution reinstated. [1a] (p647) The Economist Intelligence Unit (EIU) Country Profile 2005 notes that amendments to the Constitution require a two-thirds majority of parliament. [40a] (p8)
- 5.02 Europa 2004 notes that the 1972 Constitution based its fundamental principles on nationalism, socialism, democracy and secularism. The Constitution aimed to establish a society free from exploitation in which the rule of law, fundamental human rights and freedoms, justice and equality were to be secured by all citizens. All citizens are equal before the law and have a right to its protection. Arbitrary arrest or detention, discrimination based on race, age, sex, birth, caste or religion, and also forced labour are all prohibited under the Constitution. Subject to the law, public order and morality, every citizen has a right to freedom of movement, of assembly and of association. The Constitution also aims to guarantee freedom of conscience, speech, press and religious worship. [1a] (p647) Europa 2004 relates that the Constitution was amended in 1977 to increase the influence of Islam in its text; the word 'secularism' was also deleted from the preamble. A further amendment in 1988 established Islam as the state religion. [1a] (647)
- 5.03 As noted in a report of September 2002 on behalf of the United Nations Development Programme, entitled "Human Security in Bangladesh" (UNDP 2002): "The Constitution states that all existing laws that are inconsistent with

fundamental rights shall be declared void, and the State is forbidden to make any law inconsistent with fundamental rights ... However, the enjoyment of any right is subject to 'reasonable' restrictions imposed by law in the interest of the State, public order, public health, morality or decency." The UNDP report points out that "reasonable" is a relative term, and what is reasonable in one given set of circumstances may be unreasonable in another. [8b] (p15)

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

POLITICAL SYSTEM

GOVERNMENT

- 6.01 As recorded in the US State Department Country Report on Human Rights Practices for 2005 (USSD 2004): "The country is a multiparty, parliamentary democracy in which elections by secret ballot are held on the basis of universal suffrage." [2d] (section 3) USSD 2005 adds: "The law provides citizens with the right to change their government peacefully, and citizens exercised this right in practice through periodic, free, and fair elections held on the basis of universal suffrage, albeit with significant instances of violence." [2f] (section 3) Europa 2004 notes that the Jatiya Sangsad (Parliament) is a unicameral legislature; members are directly elected for a five-year term on the basis of universal adult franchise from single territorial constituencies i.e. a member of parliament for each constituency is elected by simple majority, on a 'first-past-the-post' basis. Persons aged eighteen and over are entitled to vote. [1a] (p647) BBC News reported on 16 May 2004 that a Constitutional amendment, approved by Parliament on that day, increased the number of seats in the Jatiya Sangsad from 300 to 345 for a period of ten years, with the additional 45 seats reserved for women. [20ae]
- 6.02 Europa 2004 notes that the President is the constitutional Head of State and is elected by Parliament (Jatiya Sangsad) for a period of five years; Professor Iajuddin Ahmed was elected unopposed as President on 5 September 2002. Executive power is held by the Prime Minister, who heads the Council of Ministers. [1a] (pp647 & 640)
- 6.03 The US State Department Report on Human Rights Practices for 2005 (USSD 2005), published on 8 March 2006, notes that Prime Minister Khaleda Zia, head of the Bangladesh Nationalist Party (BNP), assumed power in October 2001 following multiparty parliamentary elections deemed to be free and fair by international and domestic observers. The 2001 elections, supervised by a non-party Caretaker Government, took place in a climate of sporadic violence and isolated irregularities. The BNP formed a four-party alliance Government with Jamaat-e-Islami (JI), Bangladesh Jatiya Party - Naziur faction (BJP N-F), and Islami Oikkya Jote (IOJ). Two major parties dominate the political scene, the BNP and the Awami League (AL). [2f] (section 3)
- 6.04 The author of the Freedom House report of June 2005, "Countries at the Crossroads 2005: A Survey of Democratic Governance", commented:
- "Although Bangladesh has had a parliamentary system since 1991, in practice, parliament hardly functions as an effective accountability mechanism.

Regardless of which party is in power, the main opposition party has boycotted most parliamentary sessions, alleging Government repression and impediments in parliament to voicing its views. The year 2004 saw no exception to this practice; the AL [Awami League] for the most part refrained from participating in parliament. The AL also boycotted parliamentary committees due to controversies over their composition.” [65a] (p69)

- 6.05 The Economist Intelligence Unit’s Bangladesh Country Profile 2005 (EIU Country Profile 2005) notes that, under the 13th amendment to the Constitution passed in March 1996, a Caretaker Government takes office for a period of up to three months preceding a general election. This administration assumes office within 15 days of the dissolution of parliament and must hold the general election within 90 days of the dissolution; it is led by a Chief Advisor – who holds the status of a Prime Minister – and who runs the Government with not more than ten other advisors appointed by the President on the advice of the Chief Advisor. The Caretaker Government is responsible for giving the Election Commission “all possible aid and assistance that may be required for holding the general election of Members of Parliament peacefully, fairly and impartially”. [40a] (p9) The Chief Advisor, who heads the Caretaker Government, is normally the most recently retired Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, states the EIU Country Report of July 2005. [40c] (p12)

See also paragraphs 3.51 and 4.03

LOCAL GOVERNMENT

- 6.06 The EIU Country Profile 2005 states as follows:

“Bangladesh is divided into 64 districts, each with its own district council. Beneath the districts are 460 sub-districts and 4,488 union councils [union *parishad*], which are currently the lowest tier of government in Bangladesh. In late 2003 the Government formed 40,392 village governments (*gram sarkar*) as a fourth layer of government. *Gram sarkars* are non-elected bodies at the grassroots level, and were introduced by a former president, General Zia, in late 1970s. When he was president, General Ershad introduced *upazila* (local councils) in the mid-1980s, as an elected local government body. The village governments are aimed at local development by local people. Although the constitution provides for elected bodies at all tiers of local government, only the third tier – union councils and municipalities (mostly sub-district and district administrative centres) – is elected; all others are administratively controlled. Bangladesh has six administrative divisions – Dhaka, Chittagong, Khulna, Barisal, Rajshahi and Sylhet – and four major municipal corporations – Dhaka, Chittagong, Rajshahi and Khulna. The mayors of the municipal corporations are directly elected and wield considerable political power.” [40a] (p8)

- 6.07 On 2 August 2005, United News of Bangladesh and BBC News reported that the High Court had declared *Gram Sarkar* – village Governments composed of nominated members – illegal and unconstitutional, on the basis that they violated the basic principles of democracy based on elections, as provided for in the Constitution. The Court was responding to a petition filed by a local rights group, Bangladesh Legal Aids and Services Trust (BLAST), which challenged the legality of the Gram Sarkar Act 2003. The Government announced its intention to appeal the verdict. [20bf] [39x] United News announced on 7 August 2005 that the Supreme Court had stayed for six

weeks the operation of the High Court verdict on *Gram Sarkar*, and had directed the Government to file a regular leave-to-appeal petition. [39y]

SUPERVISION OF ELECTIONS

6.08 Europa 2004 notes that the Election Commission, a constitutional body, supervises parliamentary and presidential elections. The Commission also delimits constituencies and prepares electoral rolls. It consists of a Chief Election Commissioner and other commissioners, as appointed by the President. The Election Commission is independent in the exercise of its functions. [1a] (647) The Freedom House report of June 2005 noted, however: "The EC's autonomy is compromised by its dependence on the Government for funding, recruitment and posting of officers, and control over the machinery of law enforcement during elections." [65a] (p68)

6.09 The United Nations Electoral Assistance Secretariat issued a statement on 2 October 2001 which concluded that the parliamentary elections on 1 October had been generally free, fair, peaceful and orderly, but it was also noted that irregularities during voting had been observed and that there had been sporadic, sometimes serious, incidents of violence on the day. The UN delegation also noted that violence and threats of violence had occurred during the period leading up to the election. [41]

6.10 BBC News reported on 9 October 2001 that, following the October 2001 general election, the defeated Awami League had boycotted the parliamentary swearing-in ceremony, claiming that the election was "rigged". [20j] It was noted in the EIU Country Profile 2004 that Awami League leader Sheikh Hasina has refused to recognise the 2001 general election as legitimate, claiming that the Caretaker Government which had administered the country in the run-up to the election had conspired with the Election Commission to "oust" the AL. [40a] (p6) Freedom House commented in their report of June 2005:

"Since 1991, three national parliamentary elections have been held at regular five-year intervals; the elections were judged to be largely free and fair by national and international election monitors. The losing party in each election complained of vote rigging, but in all cases it finally accepted the election and agreed to serve as the opposition in parliament. The elections resulted in rotation of power between the two major political parties: The BNP won the 1991 and 2001 elections and the AL won in 1996...Each of the three elections was organized under a neutral non-party CG [Caretaker Government], and all political parties enjoyed equal campaigning opportunities. Voter turnout has sharply increased from 56 percent in 1991 to 75 percent in 1996 and 2001." [65a] (p66-67)

6.11 As detailed in Section 4 (Recent Developments), there was a legal dispute in 2006 over the voter list for the general election due to be held in January 2007. The opposition parties, led by the Awami League, accused the BNP-led government of manipulating the voter list to its own advantage, and threatened to boycott the general election unless the head of the Election Commission resigned. (Economist Intelligence Unit, July 2006) [40e]

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

Human Rights

INTRODUCTION

7.01 Bangladesh is party to most of the principal United Nations international human rights treaties. The United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights website (accessed 10 March 2006) notes that these include: the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (CESCR), date of accession 5 January 1999; the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (CCPR), date of accession 6 December 2000; the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (CERD), date of accession 11 July 1979; the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW), date of accession 6 December 1984; the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW-OP), date of ratification 22 December 2000; the Convention Against Torture and other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CAT), date of accession 4 November 1998; the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), date of ratification 2 September 1990; the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child on the involvement of children in armed conflict (CRC-OP-AC), date of ratification 12 February 2002; the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child on the sale of children, child prostitution and child pornography (CRC-OP-SC), date of ratification 18 January 2002; and the International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families (MWC), signatory only 7 October 1998. [8a]

7.02 According to the US State Department Country Report on Human Rights Practices for 2005 (USSD 2005), published on 8 March 2006:

“The Government’s poor human rights record remained poor, and the Government continued to commit numerous serious abuses. [2f] (introduction) ... Security forces committed numerous extrajudicial killings. The police, Bangladesh Rifles (BDR), and the Rapid Action Battalion (RAB) used unwarranted lethal force ... Nearly all abuses went uninvestigated and unpunished. (section 1a) ... Violence, often resulting in deaths, was a pervasive element in the country’s politics. Supporters of different political parties, and often supporters of different factions within one party, frequently clashed with each other and with police during rallies and demonstrations. (section 1a) ... While the law prohibits torture and cruel, inhuman, or degrading punishment, security forces, the RAB, and police routinely employed physical and psychological torture as well as cruel, inhuman, and degrading treatment during arrests and interrogations. (section 1c) ... The law prohibits arbitrary arrest and detention; however, authorities frequently violated these provisions, even in non-preventive detention cases. (section 1d) ... There was widespread police corruption and a severe lack of resources, training, and discipline. (section 1d) ... The government used Sections 54 and 86 to harass and intimidate members of the political opposition and their families. Police detained opposition activists prior to and during demonstrations without citing any legal authority, holding them until the event was over. (section 1d) ... Arbitrary and lengthy pre-trial detention remained a problem. (section 1d) ... Corruption, judicial inefficiency, targeted violence against judges, and a large backlog were serious problems [in the Judiciary]. (section 1e) ... The law allowed intelligence and law enforcement agencies to tap phones. (section 1f)

... The law provides for freedom of speech and press; however, in practice the government limited these rights. (section 2a)... Discrimination against Ahmadiyyas, Hindus, and Christians occurred during the year [including serious acts of intimidation against the Ahmadiyya community]. (section 2c) ... Corruption remained a problem throughout the government. (section 3) ... Domestic violence was widespread... Incidents of vigilantism against women - sometimes led by religious leaders (by means of fatwas) - at times occurred, particularly in rural areas. (section 5) ... Child labor remained a problem and frequently resulted in the abuse of children. (section 5) ... The law prohibits trafficking in persons; however, trafficking remained a serious problem. (section 5).”

The same report quotes as follows: “According to a local human rights organization, 310 persons died and 8,997 were wounded in incidents of political violence [during 2005]. Police arrested 1,216 persons for political reasons during the year, most of whom were held for a short time prior to their release.” [2f] (section 1d)

- 7.03 As stated in a Freedom House report of June 2005, “Countries at the Crossroads 2005: A Survey of Democratic Governance”:

“The Bangladesh constitution guarantees fundamental rights and civil liberties. Political, cultural, and religious freedoms for all groups are protected. All citizens are recognized as equal irrespective of their ethnicity, gender, or religion. The constitution also mandates affirmative action measures to promote gender, racial, and social equality and eliminate discrimination. Notwithstanding the law, in practice women and ethnic and religious minorities often face discrimination. Over the years Bangladesh has also formulated some laws that limit civil liberties.” [65a]

- 7.04 The USSD 2005 report notes:

“A wide variety of domestic and international human rights groups generally operated independently and without government restriction, investigating and publishing their findings on human rights cases. While human rights groups were often sharply critical of the government, they also practiced [sic] self-censorship, particularly on politically sensitive cases and subjects. Unlike in previous years, the government did not pressure individual human rights advocates by filing false allegations against them or by delaying re-entry visas for international human rights activists.” [2f] (section 4)

According to USSD 2005 report, the Government co-operated with international organisations such as UNHRC and the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC). [2f] (section 4)

See also Section 19: [Treatment of Human Rights NGOs](#)

- 7.05 An Amnesty International Report, entitled “Urgent need for legal and other reforms to protect human rights”, had observed in May 2003: “The failure of successive governments to address human rights violations in a consistent and effective manner points to the desperate need for an independent, impartial and competent human rights watchdog in the country – such as a National Human Rights Commission (NHRC). Human rights defenders and the international community have been urging Bangladeshi governments to set up

a NHRC. Both the previous Awami League government and the present BNP government have acknowledged the necessity for its formation, but neither have taken the appropriate action to establish it.” [7a] (p11) An article of 26 July 2004, from United News of Bangladesh, quoted the Minister of Law, Justice and Parliamentary Affairs as saying that a bill to facilitate the establishment of an independent Human Rights Commission was currently with the relevant cabinet committee for vetting. [39c]

USSD 2005 confirmed, however: “Despite its election pledge and repeated public announcements, the government did not enact legislation establishing an independent National Human Rights Commission. Previous legislation authorizing the establishment of a Human Rights Ombudsman’s Office continued to remain dormant.” [2f] (section 4)

- 7.06 On 5 January 2006 the cabinet approved a bill extending the Government’s power to tap telephones, records the EIU report of January 2006. [40d] Notes the USSD 2005 report:

“The law allowed intelligence and law enforcement agencies to tap phones with the permission of the chief executive of MOHA [Ministry of Home Affairs]. The ordinance also gives the government the authority to prevent phone operators from delivering messages, in the interest of national security. In cases of national emergency, the government can revoke any permit to provide communications services, without providing compensation to the holder of the license. The ordinance went into effect [on 12 December 2005] during a recess in parliament, but must be approved as soon as parliament returns to become permanent law.” [2f] (section 1f)

A BBC News article of 12 December 2005 quoted the Minister of Home Affairs as saying that the Islamist militant network is “maintained” through mobile telephones. He said: “We know Islamist leaders use 20 to 30 different cell phone numbers to guide the bombers...” A Supreme Court lawyer told the BBC: “If the law is applied on a wholesale basis, it will certainly curtail the people’s privacy and violate their fundamental rights.” [20bu]

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

SECURITY SITUATION

- 8.01 Jane’s Terrorism and Security Monitor, in a report of April 2006, cautioned that, despite the high-profile arrests of Sheikh Abdur Rahman and Siddiqui Islam (alias ‘Bangla Bhai’) and other leading Islamist militants, “...security officials fear the militant group Jama’tul Mujahedin Bangladesh (JMB) could prove numerous and resilient enough to pose a significant short-term threat in the run-up to the January 2007 parliamentary election”. The report explains:

“Bangladeshi intelligence officials believe that the arrests are unlikely to undermine the militant groups entirely, as even without suspected key leaders their structures are sufficiently well developed and their numbers large enough to continue operations ... During previous interviews, both Rahman and Bangla Bhai have claimed that the organisation has well over 10,000 members trained in various types of covert activities and the use of weapons, and that the organisation has more than a million supporters. Even if these

figures are greatly exaggerated, the co-ordinated bomb attacks in August 2005 would suggest a geographically-dispersed network capable of highly co-ordinated operations.” [83d]

Jane’s added: “What gives some observers deeper cause for concern is the apparent lack of probing investigations into possible militant links with members of the ruling BNP and its coalition ally, the Jamaat-e-Islami, despite some suspected connections surfacing in the area of financing and sourcing of funds. There have also been allegations, not entirely substantiated, that the JMB and its groupings have enjoyed patronage by individuals directly connected to the ruling political alliance.” [83d]

- 8.02 An article dated 28 February 2005, on the website of Time (Asia edition), noted the Government’s long delay in taking effective action against militant Islamic groups who, for three years, had perpetrated a wave of bombings, assassinations and religious violence across the country. The article observed, “Until very recently, Bangladeshi officials flatly denied that the country was a hotbed of militancy and violence. ‘We have no official knowledge of the existence of J.M.J.B.’, State Minister for Home Affairs Lutfozzaman Babar told reporters on Jan. 26 [2005].” In February 2005, however, the Government dramatically changed its strategy. Police announced the arrest of scores of suspected militants and took possession of explosives and bomb-making equipment. The militant organisations, Jamatul Mujahedin Bangladesh (JMB) and Jagrata Muslim Janata of Bangladesh (JMJB) were banned the same month. [54b]

(See also Section 3: [History](#) and Section 12: [Abuses by Proscribed Militant Groups](#))

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

CRIME

- 9.01 Time (Asia Edition), in an article dated 5 April 2004, stated as follows:

“A wave of extortion, murder and kidnapping that is washing over the country of 140 million has many worried that the nation may be sliding into anarchy. The Bureau of Human Rights Bangladesh says 971 people have been killed since the start of the year. Says Badruddoza Chowdhury, former President of Bangladesh: ‘Never have crime and extortion taken place on such a big scale’.”

The Time article noted that Transparency International had ranked Bangladesh as the most corrupt country of those surveyed in its Corruption Perceptions Index, and commented that every rung of society was affected by corruption and extortion – from shopkeepers who are killed if they refuse to pay protection money, to truck drivers who are forced to pay “tolls” to extortionists, to leading businessmen who have been kidnapped for ransom, to journalists who have been tortured and murdered. The article noted also the high incidence of corruption within the Bangladesh police force. [54a]

- 9.02 According to Time, a wide cross-section of Bangladeshis, from prominent businessmen to shopkeepers, complained that the government had failed to ‘crack down’ on lawlessness, notwithstanding a statement by the police commissioner of Dhaka who said that local media were “sensationalising” the

crime problem, and that official records indicated that the murder rate was actually declining in the capital. [54a]

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

SECURITY FORCES

- 10.01 A report of the Canadian Immigration & Refugee Board (IRB), published in September 1998, noted that the internal security establishment in Bangladesh consisted of the Police and four auxiliary forces: the paramilitary Bangladesh Rifles (BDR), the Armed Police, the Ansars and the Village Defence Party. The police and two paramilitary forces, the BDR and Ansars, were primarily responsible for maintaining law and order. [3f] USSD 2004 noted that a new police unit, the Rapid Action Battalion (RAB), was created in 2004 with the capacity to deal with armed criminal groups. It is composed of personnel from different law enforcement and security agencies, including the military. USSD 2004 stated also: "The Home Affairs Ministry controls the police and paramilitary forces, which have primary responsibility for internal security... The army is responsible for external security but also occasionally has been given domestic security responsibilities." [2d] (introduction & section 1d) Noted USSD 2005, "The civilian authorities generally maintained effective control of the security forces." [2f] (introduction)

POLICE AND PARAMILITARY FORCES

- 10.02 The Bangladesh Police is made up of 120,000 personnel serving under police divisions across the country and responsible to the Ministry of Home Affairs, according to the 'Bangladesh Military Forces' website (accessed 7 October 2006). [71] The 1998 Canadian IRB report, referred to above, noted that the police force is divided into gazetted and subordinate ranks, roughly analogous to commissioned and non-commissioned officers in the military. While the gazetted officers were said to be relatively well trained, well paid and occupying important positions within the bureaucracy, the lower ranks were relatively poorly trained, poorly equipped, poorly paid and overworked. [3f] According to the website of the Rapid Action Battalion (accessed 7 October 2006), Bangladesh has one police officer per 1,200 population, compared with ratios of 1:728 for India and 1:625 for Pakistan. [70]
- 10.03 The following information on the various auxiliary forces was obtained from the websites of the Rapid Action Battalion [70], the Bangladesh Rifles [72] and the non-governmental website, Bangladesh Military Forces [71] (all accessed in October 2006), Jane's Information Group [83] and the US State Department's "Background Note: Bangladesh", as updated in September 2006 [2e]:

Rapid Action Battalion (RAB): The Rapid Action Battalion was established in March 2004 as a special anti-crime strike force capable of dealing with armed criminal organisations. It functions under the Ministry of Home Affairs and consists of personnel drawn mainly from the police and the armed forces. By May 2006 there were 10 RAB battalions, stationed in the main urban centres of the country, with a total strength of 8,000 personnel. RAB troops have reportedly received intensive commando training. [70] [71] [83a] A Freedom House report of June 2005 stated that the RAB has, since its inception,

pursued an aggressive strategy against criminal gang members that has led to a large number of killings in so-called “crossfire” incidents. [65a] (p78)

Bangladesh Ansar: Originally formed in 1948, the Ansars are a lightly armed force under the direction of the Ministry of Home Affairs which renders assistance to the police in maintaining law and order, participates in civic action projects in rural areas and acts in conjunction with the armed forces in the event of war. There are about 23,000 Ansars in battalions across the country. [71]

Bangladesh Rifles (BDR): The primary role of this paramilitary force is border control, including anti-smuggling and anti-trafficking activities. [71] The BDR has also been called upon to assist the police in various “internal” operations, such as recovering illegal firearms and guarding election polling stations. [72] The BDR is reported to have 40,000 personnel, is under the authority of the Home Ministry and is mainly commanded by officers seconded from the army. [2e] [72]

Village Defence Parties (VDP): Established in 1976, the VDP is intended to consist of one platoon of male and one platoon of female members in each village of Bangladesh (32 members in each platoon). An urban version of the VDP, called “Town Defence Party” (TDP), consists of a platoon in each urban ‘ward’. The roles of a VDP include assisting the police and auxiliary units in maintaining law and order, co-operating with Government agencies in social and economic reconstruction, and supporting the civil administration in the event of a natural disaster. [71]

(Note: ‘Cobra’ and ‘Cheetah’, sometimes referred to as ‘Kobra’ and ‘Chita’, are units within the Bangladesh Police. [38aa])

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

Arbitrary Arrest and Detention

See also [Section 14: Arrest and Detention – Legal Rights](#) and [Section 17: Opposition Groups and Political Activists](#)

- 10.04 According to USSD 2005 report: “Arbitrary arrests were common ... Police detained opposition activists prior to and during demonstrations without citing any legal authority, holding them until the event was over ... Police arrested 1,216 persons for political reasons during the year [2005], most of whom were held for a short time prior to their release.” [2f] (section 1d)

Torture

- 10.05 The USSD 2005 report states:

“While the law prohibits torture and cruel, inhuman, or degrading punishment, security forces, the RAB, and police routinely employed physical and psychological torture as well as cruel, inhuman, and degrading treatment during arrests and interrogations. Torture consisted of threats and beatings and the use of electric shock. According to the Bangladesh Rehabilitation Center for Trauma Victims, there were 2,297 victims of torture and 15 deaths due to torture by security forces during the year [2005] ... The government

rarely charged, convicted, or punished those responsible, and a climate of impunity allowed such police abuses to continue.” [2f] (section 1c)

According to an Amnesty International Report entitled “Torture and impunity”, dated November 2000:

“Torture has been widespread under successive governments. Neither governments nor the opposition parties past and present have shown serious determination to confront the practice and prevent it.” [7c] (introduction)

“There is a shared consensus amongst human rights defenders in Bangladesh that torture is a product of political corruption, illiteracy, underdevelopment and poverty...Political parties are hardly interested in the violation of the human rights of the people who are not their members.” [7c] (section 9)

“Governments in Bangladesh have been keen to maintain old legislation that facilitate torture or to enact new laws which effectively serve the same function. One such legislation is Section 54 of Bangladesh Code of Criminal Procedure (BCCP) 1898, which allows the police to arrest anyone without a warrant of arrest and keep them in detention for 24 hours.” [7c] (section 7.2)

Amnesty International’s 2004 Annual Report (covering events of 2003) commented: “Torture remained widespread...The government failed to implement safeguards against torture. Victims included suspected criminals, children and people detained on politically motivated grounds. At least 13 people died in police custody. The police reportedly denied allegations that their deaths were the result of torture.” [7j] (p1)

- 10.06 The Bangladesh Rehabilitation Centre for Trauma Victims (BRCT), in their report “Human Rights Situation of Bangladesh 2003”, reported that law enforcement agencies (including the police, paramilitaries and the Army) in Bangladesh tortured 1,296 people, in 419 “occurrences”, during 2003. Police personnel were responsible for most of these incidents. The report specified: “As method of torture they used sticks, rifle butts, bullet, tear shell, verbal abuses, slapping and kicking”(sic). [63]
- 10.07 The Redress Trust, a UK-based NGO, produced a report in August 2004 entitled “Torture in Bangladesh 1971-2004”. The report observed, *inter alia*, that:

Bangladesh had ratified the United Nations Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CAT) and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (CCPR), but numerous reports suggested that the practice of torture continued unabated and that there was nearly complete impunity for perpetrators. [34] (Introduction)

The main perpetrators of torture and other forms of ill-treatment appeared to be the law-enforcement agencies, and the police in particular. The Army and paramilitaries, notably the Bangladesh Rifles (BDR), have also reportedly employed torture in the course of operations. Armed groups associated with political parties, as well as dissident groups from the Chittagong Hill Tract, have used torture in some instances. It appeared that the practice of torture had perpetuated since 1971, regardless of which government was in power. [34] (section II C)

Ill-treatment, which may amount to torture, was frequently used by the police in the course of criminal investigations, and also as a tool to extract money from detained suspects and their families. Political opponents have reportedly been subjected to ill-treatment and torture under various governments; during times of unrest there has been a marked increase in institutional violence against journalists, demonstrators, opposition members, etc. Members of religious minorities have been subjected to ill-treatment and have been targeted by extremist groups. There was a high incidence of violence against women. [34] (section II C)

Reasons for the prevalence of torture included high levels of corruption, a long practice of using violence for political ends, poor training of police, and inadequate legal safeguards. [34] (section II C)

Torture is expressly prohibited in Article 35(5) of the Constitution. Public officials (including police officers) who committed certain acts amounting to torture could be prosecuted – and imprisoned – under various sections of the Penal Code or, where applicable, under the Dhaka Metropolitan Police Ordinance or the Police Act. (Offences of rape or sexual harassment are tried by the Suppression of Violence against Woman and Children Tribunals.) [34] (section III B ii)

There were no comprehensive official statistics on the number of torture-related complaints filed with magistrates (or the police) and subsequent action taken. A large number of cases remained unreported. Some complaints were withdrawn due to police pressure, including offers of money to victims to drop their claims. Only a few prosecutions of perpetrators had been successful; inadequate investigations and difficulty in finding witnesses and obtaining medical evidence were cited as problems. There had, apparently, been several instances of out-of-court settlements in torture cases. [34] (section IV B)

The High Court was competent to award compensation or reparation to citizens whose Constitutional rights had been violated – including victims of torture – and to initiate criminal proceedings against the perpetrators. [34] (section III B ii)

Bangladeshi laws provided certain groups of public officials with immunity from prosecution for certain offences committed in discharge of their duties. There was also specific legislation [the Joint Drive Indemnity Act] which provided immunity to members of the security forces for human rights violations committed in the course of 'Operation Clean Heart' (16 October 2002 to 9 January 2003). [34] (section IV B i)

Specialist treatment was available to torture victims through non-governmental rehabilitation centres, including the Bangladesh Rehabilitation Centre for Trauma Victims (BRCT) and the Centre for Rehabilitation of Torture Survivors, Bangladesh (CRTS.B). [34] (section III B ii)

Extra-Judicial Killings

10.08 According to the USSD 2005 report:

“Security forces committed numerous extrajudicial killings. The police, Bangladesh Rifles (BDR), and the Rapid Action Battalion (RAB) used unwarranted lethal force ... There was an increased number of killings by security personnel ... Nearly all abuses went uninvestigated and unpunished. The resulting climate of impunity remained a serious obstacle to ending abuse and killings. In the few instances where charges were levied, punishment of those found guilty was predominantly administrative. According to press reports, law enforcement agencies, including the RAB, a paramilitary group composed of personnel from different law enforcement agencies, including the military, killed 396 persons this year. The deaths, all under unusual circumstances, occurred while an accused was in custody or during police operations; however, the government described the deaths of some identified criminals as occurring in crossfire between the RAB or police and criminal gangs. Of these 396 cases, 340 deaths were attributed to crossfire, of which the RAB was responsible for 107, the police 212, and other security forces 21. There were also a number of cases of deaths due to beatings or excessive force while in custody.” [2f] (section 1a)

EIU January 2005 relates that, in the second half of 2004, an estimated 147 people were killed by the Rapid Action Battalion in ‘cross-fire’ during operations to recover illegal firearms. According to the report, it was widely believed (by the public) that most of those killed were notorious or wanted criminals. The EIU report notes: “These extra-judicial killings routinely made headlines, drawing widespread criticism from civil society organisations, as well as the opposition Awami League. However, the government remained indifferent to the criticism as the law-and-order situation seemed to improve as a result of force of arms.” [40b] (p15)

- 10.09 On 18 July 2005 the *Daily Star* reported that the law enforcement agencies had killed 378 people in so-called “crossfire” (or “encounter” or “shootout”) incidents since June 2004. Of these, 245 people had died in police actions, 116 were killed by the Rapid Action Battalion (RAB), 12 by Cobra and Cheetah (special police units) and five by joint forces. While the authorities had referred to those killed as known criminals (who had fired first or were attempting to flee), the *Daily Star* asserted that the victims of “crossfire” also included several people who had no police record. The article stated “The law enforcers...have been relentless in their attempts to show the innocent victims of crossfire as criminals by coming up with false criminal records against them. But investigations by newspapers have nullified the claims by the law enforcers while corroborated those of the victims’ families.” [38aa] Amnesty International, in its Annual Report 2005 (covering events of 2004) stated:

“At least 147 people reportedly died during the year [2004] in what the government portrayed as deaths in crossfire between the special security force known as the Rapid Action Battalion (RAB) and suspected criminals. There were concerns that the deaths, which usually occurred in desolate locations after the arrest of suspects, were deliberate killings by the RAB. Opposition parties alleged their members were most frequently targeted, but the government denied this.” [7n]

United News of Bangladesh and BBC News, on 11 May 2005, quoted the Minister for Home Affairs as saying that there would be an ‘executive inquiry’ into every ‘encounter’ incident involving the Rapid Action Battalion or the police and that legal action would be taken if there was found to have been

any wrongdoing. The BBC News article noted that US and European Union officials had expressed serious concern over what they feared may be extra-judicial killings. [20bi] [39aa] In comments submitted to the Advisory Panel on Country Information on 8 September 2005, UNHCR referred to an article in the *Prothom Alo* newspaper on 17 July 2005, which stated that the executive authority had, by then, investigated 65 incidents involving deaths in “crossfire” and had found justification for such action by the Rapid Action Battalion. This investigation by the executive authority had been severely criticised by human rights groups. [67b] The Asian Human Rights Commission, an independent NGO, commented in a statement issued on 19 July 2005 that impartiality in the official enquiry remained at issue if the law enforcement agencies who were involved in many of the incidents were now designated to investigate those incidents. [66a]

Accountability

10.10 According to the USSD 2005 report:

“Police were organized nationally, under the Ministry of Home Affairs (MOHA), and had a mandate to maintain internal security and general law and order. Police were generally ineffective, reluctant to investigate persons affiliated with the ruling party, and were used frequently for political purposes by the government ... The RAB [Rapid Action Battalion], a better-equipped police unit drawing personnel from various police units and security agencies, including the military, developed plans for overall police reform, but few concrete steps were taken to address human rights problems. The RAB committed serious human rights violations ... There was widespread police corruption and a severe lack of resources, training, and discipline. Victims of police abuse were reluctant to file charges, as there was no independent body charged with investigation of criminal allegations against members of the police force. There were no developments during the year regarding the legality of the Joint Drive Indemnity Act, which barred persons from seeking remuneration for human rights violations that occurred during Operation Clean Heart in 2003 ... Plaintiffs rarely accused police in criminal cases due to lengthy trial procedures, and out of fear of retribution against them or their families. This often created a climate of impunity for police.” [2f] (section 1d)

10.11 A study published in 2002 by Transparency International (TI), entitled “Corruption in Bangladesh: A Household Survey”, found that 84 per cent of those respondents who had dealings with the police claimed to have encountered corruption; in most cases this pertained to bribery. [42a] (pp52-58) According to the Summary Findings of a 2005 TI Household Survey: in relation to the Police department, 92 per cent of respondent households who had lodged an FIR (First Information Report) at a police station had to pay an average of 2,430 taka in bribes; 91 per cent of households who registered a GD (General Diary) complaint at a police station had to pay 939 taka on average as bribes; 80 per cent of households who needed a clearance certificate from police had to pay an average amount of 881 taka as a bribe; 71 per cent of the ‘accused’ had to pay bribes at the rate of 5,718 taka. [42c] [42d] (p129)

10.12 An article in *The Hindu* newspaper of 27 February 2003 noted that the Bangladesh Parliament had passed a controversial indemnity bill called the Joint Drive Force Indemnity Ordinance 2003. The law gives members of the

joint security forces immunity from legal proceedings in civil courts for their actions during the countrywide 'Operation Clean Heart' anti-crime drive between 16 October 2002 and 9 January 2003. [21b]

- 10.13 The *Daily Star* of Bangladesh reported in November 2003: "After 25 years in service, 302 police officers from inspectors down were forced into retirement on October 7 in the latest in a spate of massive layoffs and transfers in the department since the government changeover in October 2001 ... Home Ministry sources said some 12,000 policemen will be recruited – 5,000 to fill the vacancies and rest for the 7,000 new posts to be created ... According to police records, 19,622 policemen were punished for corruption and other crimes last year, up from 16,913 in 2001 ... By contrast 14,069 policemen were rewarded for good performance last year." [38a] The human rights NGO, *Odhikar*, in their 2003 report "Police Reform in Bangladesh – An Agenda for Action", confirmed that 19,620 police officers had been subject to disciplinary action in 2002 – of those, 1,776 cases were listed under "major punishment" and 17,844 under "minor punishment". [46a]
- 10.14 Agence France-Presse reported in September 2004 that three policemen had been hanged for the rape and murder of a 14-year-old girl in 1995. [23h] [23i] In October 2004 a Dhaka court sentenced three former army officers to death for their roles in the murder of four senior Awami League politicians in Dhaka Central Jail on 3 November 1975. (EIU January 2005) [40b] (p14) An article in the *Daily Star* of 10 March 2005 indicated that 107 officers of the Rapid Action Battalion had faced criminal or disciplinary action for various offences, such as bribe-taking, since June 2004. [38ab]
- 10.15 BBC News reported on 14 December 2004 that the Inspector General of Police [head of police in Bangladesh] had left his job after he had been found guilty on a charge of contempt of court. Home Ministry officials said that he had "lost the right to function as police chief after the court verdict" – under Bangladeshi law, a public servant automatically loses their job if found to have committed certain criminal offences. [20au]
- 10.16 An article dated 12 January 2005, on the website of the Bangladesh National Women Lawyers Association (BNWLA), quoted United News of Bangladesh as follows:

"A far-reaching police reform project titled 'Strengthening Bangladesh Police' has been launched to improve the law and order situation. The Ministry of Home Affairs launched the project yesterday in co-operation with the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) and the UK Department for International Development (DFID). The three-year project, involving [US]\$13 million, aims at improving performance and professionalism at all levels of the police force. It will focus on crime prevention through better engagement with the community, investigation, operation and prosecution, human resource management, training and strategy and oversight, including clear performance target. Of the 115,500 police in Bangladesh, only 12 percent are women, said a UNDP press release." [39p]
- 10.17 The Freedom House report of June 2005, entitled "Countries at the Crossroads 2005: A Survey of Democratic Governance", noted:

“A recent and disturbing manifestation of law enforcement without accountability has been the creation of the RAB [Rapid Action Battalion]. The RAB’s main task is to track down and apprehend criminal elements who have created an atmosphere of insecurity throughout the country. The RAB since its inception has pursued an aggressive strategy against criminal gang members that has led to a large number of killings in so-called crossfire after people have been arrested.”

The report continued:

“These crossfire custodial deaths are viewed by human rights groups as a form of extrajudicial execution arising from lack of civilian oversight of the RAB. These extrajudicial executions have generated serious disquiet within the political opposition as well as among civil society and have now drawn the attention of the international community as well. However, arbitrary action by law enforcement agencies can still be subject to the rule of law through reference to the higher judiciary, who have frequently intervened to curb arbitrary behavior.” [65a] (p78)

Avenues of Complaint

- 10.18 The British High Commission in Dhaka, in a letter dated 1 October 2004, described how citizens can proceed if the police refuse/decline to investigate a particular complaint or to file a criminal case: “Lawyers working for the respected Human Rights NGO, Odhikar, have advised that in such a case an individual can petition a magistrate. If the magistrate agrees with his [or her] claim, the magistrate can then direct the police to accept the case. [The British High Commission has also] heard the same from another Human Rights NGO, the ‘Human Rights Congress for Bangladeshi Minorities’.” [11h]

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

ARMED FORCES

- 10.19 The US Department of State’s Background Note on Bangladesh, updated in September 2006, notes that the army – with 110,000 troops – and the air force and navy – with about 7,000 members each – are composed of regular (professional) personnel. There are no conscripted forces at present. [2e]
- 10.20 The Economist Intelligence Unit’s Bangladesh Country Profile 2006 observes:
- “The army has played a prominent role in Bangladeshi politics, starting with the war of liberation in 1971, but especially following the military coup in mid-1975. After the fall of General Ershad in 1990, however, the army withdrew from politics. In the run-up to the general election in June 1996, the armed forces chief of staff, Abu Saleh Mohammad, led a failed military revolt against the caretaker government. Since then, the army has refrained from seeking a direct role in politics, and the government has steered the army towards playing a role in UN peacekeeping operations. However, the military continues to play an important role in the background. Many leading politicians in both major parties are former soldiers, and in the event of an extremely serious breakdown in law and order, military intervention cannot be ruled out.” [40a] (p11)

10.21 According to the June 2005 Freedom House report:

“The military, by and large, tend to be free of the influence of nonstate actors and have in the post-1991 situation attempted to avoid being drawn into the political disputes of the major political parties. The internal security services also tend to be immune from outside political influence.” [65a] (p80)

10.22 The EIU Country Profile 2006 further records that, in June 2006, there were some 10,000 Bangladeshi nationals serving in United Nations peacekeeping forces around the world, making the country the largest contributor to the UN in terms of troop numbers. [40a] (p14)

MILITARY SERVICE

11.01 War Resisters’ International, published in 1998, noted that conscription has never existed in Bangladesh, although the 1952 Bangladesh Army Act does provide for a possible introduction of compulsory military service. [13] A State Party report, dated 14 July 2005, to the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (UN CRC) states: “There is no provision for compulsory recruitment into the armed forces of Bangladesh.” [52b] According to the website of the Coalition to Stop the Use of Child Soldiers (accessed 13 September 2004) the minimum age for voluntary recruitment in the army is 16 and there are indications that three per cent of government armed forces are under 18. [35] However, the UN CRC report of July 2005 indicates that the minimum age for voluntary recruitment in the Army and the Navy is 17 years, and 16 years for the Air Force. Because recruits initially undergo a period of basic training, there is no scope for any person to be employed for actual service or combat duty before attaining the age of 18. The minimum age for recruitment in the Bangladesh Rifles or the Ansar paramilitary force is 18 years. [52b]

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

ABUSES BY PROSCRIBED MILITANT GROUPS

See [Annex B](#) for further information on each of these organisations.

MILITANT ISLAMIST ORGANISATIONS

Jamatul Mujahedin Bangladesh (JMB or JM) (alternatively Jama’atul Mujahideen)

12.01 JMB is reported to have been founded in 1994 in the northern district of Jamalpur. Its founder and current leader is Sheikh Abdur Rahman. Siddiqui Islam – also known as ‘Bangla Bhai’ – is said to be the deputy leader of JMB, as well as being ‘chieftain’ of JMB’s ‘sister’ organisation, Jagrata Muslim Janata of Bangladesh (JMJB). Both organisations were banned by the Government on 23 February 2005. According to an internal JMB document dating from 2004 and made available to Jane’s, JMB then had 6,739 active members and a further 4,250 ‘sympathisers’. [83c] A Jane’s Terrorism and Security Monitor report of January 2006 stated, “The group calls for the imposition of Islamic Sharia law in Bangladesh and has been targeting

organisations and individuals that it accuses of advancing a secular, anti-Islamic agenda. The judiciary and media have been the primary targets, although threatening letters have also been sent to schools, universities and government offices.” [83b]

- 12.02 According to the Jane’s report, JMB’s terrorist activity began in 2002 and was then mainly limited to the northern districts of the country. Incidents included bombings at cinemas in Mymensingh on 7 December 2002 that killed 21 people and wounded over 200, as well as bomb blasts at a Muslim shrine in Tangail in January 2003 that killed eight people. [83c] However, JMB had, by 2005, developed a national organisational structure. On 17 August 2005, JMB activists detonated 434 small explosive devices virtually simultaneously across 63 of the country’s 64 districts. Fifty-one other devices failed to explode. Government offices, courts, press clubs and other public places were targeted in the attacks. Two people were killed and 104 injured. [83c] On 3 October 2005 a number of bomb attacks inside law court buildings in three different districts killed two and injured over 30. Suspects arrested at the three locations apparently identified themselves as activists of JMB. (*Daily Star*) [38ae] BBC News reported on 14 November 2005 that two judges had been assassinated in a bomb attack in the southern district of Jhalakathi. [20bi] According to an ACHR report, the police recovered hand-written leaflets of JMB at the site of the attack which read “Ban man-made laws and establish Qur’anic laws”. Death threats were made against several other judges during October and November 2005, apparently by members of JMB and other Islamist groups. [53c] (p4-6) On 29 November 2005, at least 14 people were killed and over 40 injured in two attacks – a suicide bombing inside the law court building in Gazipur, a town 30 km north of Dhaka, and a bomb blast in the city of Chittagong. [20bm] [83c] An EIU Report of January 2006 noted that suicide bombings marked a new phase in the campaign of terrorist violence and that these attacks were designed to kill as many people as possible; the police believed JMB to be responsible. [40d]
- 12.03 On 28 February 2006, a court in Jhenidah district sentenced 21 men to death – three of them in absentia – for their part in the co-ordinated detonation of over 400 bombs throughout the country on 17 August 2005. All 21 were reported to be members of JMB. (Agence France-Presse) [23p] BBC News announced on 2 March 2006 that Abdur Rahman had surrendered to police in the district of Sylhet. [20bq]. Siddiqui Islam, alias ‘Bangla Bhai’, was arrested four days later after an encounter with the Rapid Action Battalion. (UNB) [39ac] On 29 May 2006, a court in the southern town of Jhalakathi convicted and sentenced to death Abdur Rahman and Siddiqui Islam for the murder of the two judges in November 2005. Five other JMB militants were also sentenced to death for the crime, including three members of the ruling council, the Majlish-e-Shura. (Keesing’s May 2006) [5j]

Jagrata Muslim Janata of Bangladesh (JMJB)

- 12.04 In a special report of 28 August 2005, the *Daily Star* described JMJB as a fundamentalist ‘vigilante’ group whose aim is Islamic revolution through jihad. It is claimed that the group was first founded in 1998; the present name (JMJB) first became apparent in April 2004. Shaikh Abdur Rahman is said to be *amir* (‘spiritual leader’) of JMJB – as well as being the leader of Jamatul Mujahedin Bangladesh (JMB), with which JMJB has close links – see above.

Siddiqui Islam, also known as 'Bangla Bhai', is a senior member who assumed command of JMJB 'operations'. [38ac] [59b]

- 12.05 JMJB activists are reported to have carried out over 100 operations, including murders and attacks on people they believe to be 'un-Islamic' or 'criminal'. (Daily Star) [38ac] [38w] There have been violent clashes between JMJB and the maoist Purba Bangla Communist Party (PBCP) since April 2004; for example, in May 2004 JMJB operatives killed six members of the PBCP; the PBCP retaliated by killing two JMJB men and injuring six others. On 22 May 2004 several thousand JMJB activists armed with bamboo and hockey sticks staged a rally in Rajshahi city, under police escort, threatening journalists with death for reporting against them. In May 2004 the Government issued a warrant for the arrest of 'Bangla Bhai'. [19a] [59b] [38ac] JMJB is believed to have been involved in a number of bombings and vigilante killings, including a bomb attack on a *jatra* folk theatre show in Shahjahanpur on 14 January 2005 in which two people were killed and 70 wounded. [38t] The *Daily Star* of 25 January 2005 reported that at least 50 people, including eight policemen, were injured in clashes between the security forces and JMJB militants in Bagmara the previous day. [38r] A police spokesman, on 4 February 2005, warned that JMJB planned to continue with bomb attacks on cinemas, theatres and *jatra*, which they have deemed to be "un-Islamic". NGOs were also to be targets. [38w]
- 12.06 It was announced on 23 February 2005 that the Government had officially banned Jagrata Muslim Janata Bangladesh (JMJB) – as well as its associated group, Jumatul Mujahedin. [61b] [23j] However, the newspaper *Prothom Alo* reported on 21 July 2005 that JMJB had secretly continued with fund raising and recruitment since being banned. [21f] United News of Bangladesh announced on 6 March 2006 that 'Bangla Bhai', had been arrested after an encounter with the Rapid Action Battalion in Mymensingh district. [39ac] Both he and Abdur Rahman were sentenced to death on 29 May 2006 for their role in the murder of the two judges in November 2005. (Keesing's May 2006) [5j]

Harkat-ul-Jihad-al-Islami (alternatively known as HuJI or Harkatul Jihad)

- 12.07 According to a *Daily Star* report of 28 August 2005, Harkatul Jihad was established in the early-1990s apparently with assistance from Osama bin Laden; its ideals were also inspired by the Taliban in Afghanistan. Many of its founders fought with the Mujahideen in Afghanistan in the 1980s. [38ac] Current leader is Shawkat Osman, alias 'Sheikh Farid'. HuJI mainly operates in the southern coastal belt and apparently has training camps in Chittagong division. In June 2004 police raided a training camp in the Pori-Kup Mulatoli area in Chittagong district and seized de-activated AK-47 rifles and various sharp weapons. (South Asia Terrorism Portal – SATP). [59c] HuJI has been accused of plotting to assassinate Sheikh Hasina when she was Prime Minister. [38ac] On 28 April 2006 a HuJI leader was charged with involvement in this assassination plot. [59c] Police interrogations of arrested HuJI cadres in 2000 reportedly revealed plans to kill 28 prominent intellectuals. [38ac] On 17 October 2005 the Government banned Harkat-ul-Jihad-al-Islami, describing it as a "self-confessed terrorist group". (SATP) [59c]

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

MAOIST GROUPS

Purba Banglar Communist Party (PBCP)

- 12.08 The PBCP is a proscribed radical Maoist movement, founded in 1968 following a split in the Bangladesh Communist Party. It seeks communist revolution by violent means. PBCP cadres have reportedly been involved in acts of murder, robbery, extortion, land grabbing and abduction for ransom. According to the South Asia Terrorism Portal (accessed on 9 October 2006), the current leader is Mofakkar Chowdhury. [59a] [11a]
- 12.09 As stated in paragraph 12.05, there have been violent clashes between the PBCP and Jagrata Muslim Janata of Bangladesh (JMJB) since 2004. There have also been several gun battles between the security forces and PBCP. The Janajuddha faction of the PBCP claimed responsibility for the assassination of the Khulna president of the Awami League in August 2003. [20n] PBCP has also reportedly claimed responsibility for a number of attacks on journalists, including a bombing outside the Khulna Press Club on 5 February 2005 in which a journalist was killed and others injured. PBCP then threatened that it had “many more journalists in its sights”. [9f] On 26 and 27 October 2005, Janajuddha cadres killed five workers of the ruling BNP in four different districts. Two persons were killed and four others injured on 12 April 2006 when suspected Janajuddha members lobbed bombs into a gathering at Paka village in the Chuadanga district. On 13 May 2006, police arrested two suspected PBCP activists following the discovery of bomb-making materials in Jhenidah district. Several PBCP cadres have been killed in encounters with the police and the Rapid Action Battalion during 2005 and 2006. (SATP) [59a]

New Biplobi Communist Party (NBCP)

- 12.10 Formed in 1999 after the Biplobi Communist Party split, NBCP has mainly been active in the Khulna, Jessore, Bagerhat and Satkhira districts. The group is financed through racketeering. [38x] The Bangladesh *Daily Star* reported on 22 September 2004 that the leader of the NBCP, known as ‘Mrinal’, had been shot dead the previous day by unidentified assailants. He had been wanted by the police in connection with 103 cases of murder, 43 abductions for ransom and various other crimes. [38x]

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

JUDICIARY

- 13.01 Article 35(3) of the Constitution states “Every person accused of a criminal offence shall have the right to a speedy and public trial by an independent and impartial court or tribunal established by law.” Article 27 provides: “All citizens are equal before the law and are entitled to equal protection of law.” [4]
- 13.02 According to the USSD 2005 report: “The court system was plagued by corruption and a substantial backlog of cases, and trials were typically marked by extended continuances while the accused remained in prison. These conditions effectively prevented many persons from obtaining a fair trial.” [2f] (section 1e)

- 13.03 A September 2002 report on behalf of the United Nations Development Programme, "Human Security in Bangladesh, In Search of Justice and Dignity" (UNDP 2002), gave the following reasons for the delayed processing of criminal cases and the subsequent backlog of cases in the courts: (a) the number of cases in which bail is not granted; (b) non-attendance of witnesses on the date of the hearing; (c) unnecessary adjournments; (d) delays in completing investigations; (e) acute shortage of judges and magistrates; (f) tendency of lawyers and parties to delay trials; and (g) lack of vigilance on the part of judges and magistrates. [8b] (p82)
- 13.04 As detailed in Section 3 (History) there were, during October and November 2005, serious attacks on the Judiciary by Islamist militants. On 4 October there were bomb attacks inside court buildings in three districts. In Laxmipur two people were killed and 30 injured in a bomb attack in the Joint District and Sessions court; in Chandpur a bomb went off in the courtroom of the Judge's Court; in Chittagong a bomb was thrown into a Joint District courtroom, but failed to explode; another attack took place at a magistrate's court in Chittagong, causing injuries. Jama'tul Mujahedin Bangladesh (JMB) were believed responsible; handwritten leaflets found after the Chandpur incident reportedly bore the words "Establish Islamic rule", followed by "Jama'tul Mujahedin Bangladesh". [38ae] Two judges were assassinated in Jhalakathi district on 14 November; JMB leaflets found at the scene read "Ban man-made laws and establish Qur'anic laws". [53c] On 29 November at least 14 people were killed and over 40 injured in two separate attacks – a suicide bombing inside a court building in Gazipur and a bomb blast in Chittagong. [20bm] [40d] A BBC article of 29 November 2005 noted that the courts and judges may have been targeted because they represent the secular laws of the country. [20bm]

ORGANISATION

- 13.05 Notes the USSD 2005 report:

"The court system has two levels: the lower courts and the Supreme Court. Both hear civil and criminal cases. The lower courts consist of magistrates, who are part of the executive branch, and session and district judges, who belong to the judicial branch. The Supreme Court is divided into two sections: the High Court and the Appellate Court. The High Court hears original cases mostly dealing with constitutional issues and reviews cases from the lower courts. The Appellate Court has jurisdiction to hear appeals of judgments, decrees, orders, or sentences of the high court." [2f] (section 1e) Decisions of the Appellate Court are binding on all other courts, including the High Court. The judges of both divisions of the Supreme Court are appointed by the President, according to the terms of the Constitution. [4]

- 13.06 It was pointed out in the UNDP 2002 report that the High Court Division of the Supreme Court is responsible for enforcing the fundamental rights guaranteed by the Constitution, including the right to equality before the law. Thus, it stated, for enforcement of rights pertaining to human security under the Constitution one has to go to the High Court. But because of the high costs involved, the poor and the vulnerable sections of society seldom access the legal process and thus ultimately the benefits of the fundamental rights conferred by the Constitution. [8b] (p16)

- 13.07 The Civil Justice system in Bangladesh is based on the British model and continues to operate under the 1908 Civil Procedure Code. Some amendments have been incorporated to try to speed up the process and avoid long and unnecessary delays. (*Daily Star*, 16 July 2005) [38ag]
- 13.08 The Law Commission was formed in 1976; its functions include recommending the repeal or amendment of existing laws which are obsolete or inconsistent with fundamental rights; recommending the enactment of new laws; and recommending reforms to modernise the judicial system. The Commission is headed by a retired Chief Justice of Supreme Court. [84]

SPECIAL TRIBUNALS

- 13.09 USSD 2005 records that, under the provisions of the Public Safety Act, the Law and Order Disruption Crimes Speedy Trial Act (see below), and the Women and Children Repression Prevention Act (see section 25: [Women](#)), special tribunals hear cases and issue verdicts. Cases under these laws must be investigated and tried within specific time limits, although the law is unclear regarding the disposition of a case if it is not finished within an allotted time period. [2f] (section 1e)

THE LAW AND ORDER DISRUPTION CRIMES SPEEDY TRIAL ACT (STA)

- 13.10 It was noted in the USSD 2003 report that:

“In 2002, Parliament rescinded the Public Safety Act (PSA) enacted by the AL Government in 2000. A week after the repeal of PSA, Parliament passed the Law and Order Disruption Crimes Speedy Trial Act (STA) to remain in force for 2 years if not extended. It contains a provision for the trial in special courts of those accused of certain crimes from 30 to 60 days after arrest. Unlike the PSA, the STA has a bail provision with mandatory recording of the grounds for granting bail. As a safeguard against misuse of the law, it provided punishment for bringing false charges with jail terms from 2 to 5 years. In June 2002, in response to a writ filed by Lalmonirhat Bar Association President Matiur Rahman, charged under the STA, the High Court requested the Government to explain why the STA should not be declared unconstitutional. The case remained pending in the High Court [in 2003]. In general, there were no allegations of widespread misuse of the STA.” [2b] (section 1d)

On 16 March 2004 Parliament passed the Disruption of Law and Order Offences (Speedy Trial) Act 2004 to extend the term of the 2002 Act for another two years following its expiry on 9 April 2004, recorded the NGO ‘Hands Off Cain’ in a report of January 2006. [73a]

- 13.11 *The Independent* (Bangladesh), on 16 October 2004, quoted the Law, Justice and Parliamentary Affairs Ministry as saying that a total of 5,143 cases had been filed with the courts under the Speedy Trial Act and that 3,890 of these cases had been disposed of between 10 April 2002 and 31 July 2004; altogether 4,940 people had been convicted in 2,065 of the cases filed under this Act. Speedy Trial Tribunals had sentenced 208 persons to death in the two years preceding this press article. [60a]

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

FAMILY LAW

- 13.12 As noted in the USSD 2005 report, the Muslim Family Ordinance codifies traditional Islamic law concerning inheritance, marriage and divorce for registered marriages of members of the Muslim community. There are similar sets of laws in place for the Hindu and Christian communities. [2f] (section 1e) The US State Department's International Religious Freedom Report 2006 confirmed that *Sharia* law was not implemented formally and was not imposed on non-Muslims during the period covered by the report. Marriage proceedings are governed by the family law of the religion of the parties concerned and marriages are also registered with the state. There are separate family laws in Bangladesh for Muslims, Hindus and Christians, based on their respective traditions. [2c] (section II)

INFORMAL SYSTEMS OF JUSTICE: VILLAGE COURTS AND *SHALISH*

- 13.13 The UNDP 2002 report noted that about two-thirds of all disputes do not enter the formal court process, instead they are either settled at a local level by local leaders or a village court, or they remain unsettled. *Shalish* (*Salish*) local mediation councils provide a traditional alternative to dispute resolution and comprise local community leaders who either individually or in groups provide a forum for arbitration and dispute resolution. A study of *Shalish* in two districts in 1996 indicated that the majority of disputes dealt with related to family law, maintenance, second marriage, dowry and land ownership. According to UNDP 2002, the option of conciliation through mediation is particularly favoured by women and the poor. Village courts deal with both civil and criminal matters; they have the power to summon witnesses and can impose a fine on contempt charges. The officials of village courts are usually chairmen and members of 'union parishads' (the local government authorities, of which there are 4,448 in Bangladesh) and are generally powerful members of the local community. Village courts can, however, be open to outside influences. The main sources of influence are said to be local political leaders, community leaders, wealthy people and other influential individuals in the village. Village courts generally function in co-operation with the local police. [8b] (p91-100)

INDEPENDENCE

- 13.14 Article 94(4) of the Constitution states "Subject to the provisions of this Constitution the Chief Justice and the other Judges shall be independent in the exercise of their judicial functions." Article 96 provides that a judge cannot be removed from office for reasons other than those set out in the Constitution. [4]
- 13.15 The USSD 2005 report comments: "The law provides for an independent judiciary; however, in practice a longstanding temporary provision of the constitution places the lower courts under the executive, and the courts were subject to executive influence largely because judges' appointments and their pay were dependent on the executive. The higher levels of the judiciary displayed some independence and often ruled against the government in criminal, civil, and politically controversial cases." [2f] (section 1e)
- 13.16 The USSD Country Report of 2003 had recorded: "In 2001, the Supreme Court reaffirmed a 1997 High Court order to separate the judiciary from the

executive. The ruling declared which elements of the 1997 order could be implemented without constitutional amendment and ordered the Government to implement those elements within 8 weeks.” [2b] (section 1e) USSD 2005 provides an update: “The government continued to delay action on the Supreme Court order asking that administrative measures be put in place separating the judiciary from the executive. In April [2005] the Supreme Court gave the government its 20th extension to comply with the order, setting a deadline for October. On October 20, the Supreme Court refused to entertain the government’s 21st appeal seeking another extension; however, at year’s [2005’s] end the judiciary was not separated from the executive.” [2f] (section 1e) USSD 2003 quoted Law Minister Moudud Ahmed as saying that the full process of separating the judiciary from the executive branch would take at least six to seven years. [2b] (section 1e)

FAIR TRIAL

- 13.17 USSD 2005 states: “The law provides the accused with the right to be represented by counsel, to review accusatory material, to call witnesses, and to appeal verdicts. Trials were public, and defendants had the right to an attorney; however, state-funded attorneys were rarely provided. ... Defendants were presumed innocent, had the right to appeal, and had the right to access government-held evidence.” [2f] (section 1e)
- 13.18 The UNDP 2002 report provided details of the Government legal aid fund which has been in operation since 1994. [8b] (p42-44) The report also stated that more than 300 NGOs in Bangladesh then listed “human rights and legal aid” as one of their activities – though only a few of these NGOs provided legal aid on a large scale. Two organisations, the Bangladesh Legal Aid and Services Trust (BLAST) and the Madaripur Legal Aid Association (MLAA) had, by 2002, each provided legal aid for litigation in more than 2,000 court cases; BLAST has offices in all the Divisions of Bangladesh. Four other NGOs had each provided legal aid in over 500 court cases. [8b] (p44-47) According to the website of the Bangladesh Embassy in Washington DC (accessed on 15 October 2006), “The Government has constituted Legal Aid Committees, headed by District Judges in 61 districts, to provide legal assistance to the poor and destitute litigants. These district level committees have been working under the National Legal Aid Committee.” [85]

CORRUPTION IN THE JUDICIARY

- 13.19 Reports from Transparency International (TI) suggest a high level of corruption in the lower judiciary, in particular amongst court officials (clerks and administrators). In the Household Survey conducted in 2002 by TI, 7.6 per cent of respondents – representing 231 out of a total of 3,030 households – claimed to have had dealings with the judiciary (94 per cent of those respondents had been to the lower courts and 3.5 per cent to the High Court). A majority (75 per cent) said that they had encountered corruption; 66 per cent reported corruption by court officials/employees, 13 per cent claimed corruption by public prosecutors, 10 per cent by lawyers representing the opposition and 9 per cent reported corruption by magistrates. [42a] (p59-63) According to the Summary Findings of the 2005 TI Household Survey, 66 per cent of plaintiffs and 65 per cent of accused persons claimed that they had to pay bribes in their dealings with the lower judiciary. [42c]

- 13.20 In April 2004 it was reported in the press that a High Court judge, Syed Shahidur Rahman, had been removed from his post by the President on the recommendation of the Supreme Judicial Council; he had been accused of accepting money to fix bail for a former client. [20ac] [39a]

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

ARREST AND DETENTION – LEGAL RIGHTS

See also Section 10: [Arbitrary Arrest and Detention](#) and Section 17: [Opposition Groups and Political Activists](#)

- 14.01 The USSD 2005 report states: “The law prohibits arbitrary arrest and detention; however, authorities frequently violated these provisions, even in non-preventive detention cases. The law specifically allows preventive detention, with specified safeguards, and provides for the detention of individuals on suspicion of criminal activity without an order from a magistrate or a warrant.” [2f] (section 1d)

PREVENTIVE DETENTION AND ITS LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORK

- 14.02 As noted in the USSD 2005 report: “The government arrested and detained persons arbitrarily and used national security legislation such as the Special Powers Act (SPA) of 1974 to detain citizens without filing formal charges or specific complaints.” [2f] (section 1d) The report continues:

“The law does not provide for the use of warrants in all cases. Section 54 of the Criminal Procedure Code and Section 86 of the DMP Ordinance provide for the detention of persons on the suspicion of criminal activity without an order from a magistrate or a warrant, and the government regularly arrested persons without formal charges or specific complaints. Authorities misused ordinances during the year, and mass arrests, often politically motivated, continued to occur. According to Odhikar, a local human rights NGO, police arrested a total of 3,912 persons from January through August of the year under Section 54 and in the Dhaka metropolitan area another 25,374 under Sections 86 and 100 of the DMP Ordinance.” [2f] (section 1d)

“Authorities used Sections 54 and 86 to detain persons on false charges as punishment for the expression of views critical of or different from the government. In September 2004 in Dhaka, police arrested large numbers of opposition party members prior to the opposition's planned public rallies in October 2004. The high court, following the filing of a petition from human rights NGOs, barred police from arresting any citizen under Section 86 until October 2004; however, police continued to arrest persons under section 54. The law provides for the right to a prompt judicial determination; however, this was rarely enforced.” [2f] (section 1d)

The USSD 2004 report had recorded that, in April 2003, the High Court issued a directive that allowed legal representatives to visit those arrested under Section 54. [2d] (section 1d) However, according to USSD 2005, “Legal representatives are granted access to their clients arrested under Section 54, but in practice police rarely allowed lawyers to confer with their clients arrested under these sections of the law.” [2f] (section 1d)

- 14.03 A Canadian Immigration and Refugee Board (IRB) report of September 1998, "Bangladesh: State Protection", informed that Section 107 of Code of Criminal Procedure (CrPC) permits preventive detention when the authorities deem there is strong likelihood of public disorder. Section 54 of CrPC authorises any police officer to arrest "without an order from a magistrate or without a warrant.... any personconcerned in any cognisable offence, or against whom a reasonable complaint has been made or credible information has been received or a reasonable suspicion exists of his having been so concerned". Section 54 of the CrPC lays down certain procedures to be observed once an arrest has been made. This includes that the accused must be produced before a magistrate within 24 hours, and that a magistrate must give prior permission if police want to hold a prisoner for longer. However, it is reported that despite these safeguards, Section 54 effectively allows the police to arrest anyone at any time for almost any reason, and is one of the most easily abused provisions in the Bangladesh legal system. [3f] (p4)

THE SPECIAL POWERS ACT (SPA)

- 14.04 The Special Powers Act (SPA) of 1974, as described in the September 1998 Canadian IRB report, gives the Government powers to detain any person for an initial period of up to 30 days without a formal charge or specific complaint, to prevent him or her performing a 'prejudicial act'. A prejudicial act is broadly defined as "any act... likely to prejudice... the sovereignty and defence of the country, national security, public order or the economic or financial interests of the state". [3f] (p5) UNDP 2002 commented that the definition of 'prejudicial act', as provided in the Act, is vague and open to wide interpretation. Detention under SPA precludes the possibility of bail. [8b] (p17)

- 14.05 An Amnesty International Report entitled "Urgent need for legal and other reforms to protect human rights", dated May 2003 stated:

"Each year, thousands of people are arbitrarily detained under administrative detention laws which deny them access to judicial remedies. The most commonly used of these laws is the Special Powers Act, 1974 (SPA). The SPA overrides safeguards against arbitrary detention in excess of 24 hours in Bangladeshi laws. It allows the Government not only to detain anyone without having to justify the detention before a court, but also to keep the detainee in prison initially for up to four months or, in certain cases, indefinitely, without charge." [7a] (p2)

- 14.06 The USSD 2003 report had noted:

"The magistrate must inform the detainee of the grounds for detention within 15 days, and the Ministry of Home Affairs must agree with the grounds presented for detention within 30 days or release the detainee. The Government does not have to charge the detainee with a statutory crime. In practice, detainees sometimes were held for longer periods. Detainees may appeal their detention, and the Government may grant early release... Detainees are allowed to consult with lawyers, although usually not until a charge is filed; however, they are not entitled to be represented by a lawyer before an advisory board. Detainees may receive visitors. In the past, the Government has held incommunicado prominent prisoners for extended periods of time. There were no such reports during the year [2003]. Historically, the vast majority of SPA detainees were released on orders from

the High Court because the SPA cases were so weak and vague that the court had no alternative but to grant bail.” [2b] (section 1d)

The USSD 2005 report adds: “Under the SPA, the government or a district magistrate may order a person detained for 30 days to prevent the commission of an act that could threaten national security; however, detainees were held for longer periods. In SPA cases, the magistrate must, by the 15th day, inform the detainee of the grounds of his detention, and an advisory board is supposed to examine the cases of SPA detainees after four months. Detainees have the right to appeal.” [2f] (section 1d)

- 14.07 According to the UNDP report of 2002, some 90 per cent of the preventative detention cases that came before the High Court between 1974 and 1995 were determined to have been made either “illegally” or “without lawful authority”; these detentions were challenged on the basis of *habeas corpus* petitions moved before the High Court under Article 102 of the Constitution and under Section 491 of CrPC. [8b] (pp1 and 18)

PRE-TRIAL DETENTION

- 14.08 The USSD 2005 report records: “The backlog of criminal cases stood at approximately 40 thousand. In addition, a recent survey by the Ministry of Law stated that 1,013 prisoners have made no court appearance in at least 6 months and many have served longer in pretrial detention than they would have had they been convicted and given the maximum sentences for their alleged crimes. According to Odhikar [a human rights NGO], approximately 75 percent of prison inmates were in pretrial detention.” [2f] (section 1d)
- 14.09 BBC News reported on 5 January 2004 that the High Court had ordered the Government to reveal how many persons had been in prison for more than a year, awaiting trial. [20aq] USSD 2004 had stated: “During the year [2004], the Government submitted to the [High Court] a list that included 16 persons who had been in prison without trial for more than 11 years, 10 [for] over 10 years, 29 more than 9 years, 51 more than 8 years, 111 for more than 7 years, 238 for more than 6 years, 502 more than 5 years, 917 more than 4 years, 1,592 more than 3 years and 3,673 more than 2 years.” On 3 August 2004, a High Court panel ordered the Government to free on bail over 7,400 detainees who had been in prison, awaiting trial, for more than 360 days. [2d] (section 1d) According to USSD 2005, those prisoners had not been released by the end of 2005. [2f] (section 1d)

BAIL

- 14.10 The USSD 2005 report has confirmed that there is a functioning bail system in the regular courts; under certain security and crime law, a non-bailable period of detention exists. [2f] (section 1d)

‘SAFE CUSTODY’

- 14.11 The UNDP report of 2002 noted: “Women and girls who are victims of, or witnesses to, violent offences are imprisoned in many cases on the grounds that they will be in ‘safe custody’ for their own protection. However, orders to place women in ‘safe custody’ are issued by magistrates solely exercising

their judicial discretion, and do not have a basis in law. ...Thus, the practice of placing women and girls in 'safe custody', against their will, is illegal, having no basis in any law, including the provisions of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1898". [8b] (p25)

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

PRISON CONDITIONS

15.01 According to the USSD 2005 report:

"Prison conditions were abysmal and were a contributing factor to custodial deaths. According to press reports, 76 persons died in prison and 210 died while in the custody of police and other security forces ... All prisons were overcrowded and lacked adequate facilities. Government figures indicated that the existing prison population of 76,328 was nearly 300 percent of the official prison capacity of 27,545. Of the entire prison population, 51,801 were awaiting trial and 24,317 had been convicted, according to figures received by a human rights organization. In most cases, cells were so crowded that prisoners slept in shifts ... Juveniles were required by law to be detained separately from adults; however, in practice, due to a lack of facilities, many juveniles were incarcerated with adults ... Pretrial detainees were not held separately from convicted prisoners ... Although the law prohibits women in safe custody from being housed with criminals, in practice, no separate facilities existed." [2f] (section 1c)

15.02 The International Centre for Prison Studies at King's College, London, recorded the total prison population as being 71,200 in March 2006, of whom 67.7 per cent were pre-trial detainees/remand prisoners; these figures were attributed to the Bangladesh Ministry of Law. [78a]

15.03 The UNDP 2002 report specified that there were then 80 prisons in the country, of which 16 were not currently functioning. The Ministry of Home Affairs, through the directorate of prisons, is responsible for their management. Overcrowding had already worsened significantly by 2002, due mainly to the large number of prisoners awaiting trial. Prisoners/detainees were accommodated either in separate cells or in *association wards*, which are dormitories accommodating about 100 to 150 individuals. Under dormitory rules, each prisoner is entitled to 36 sq. ft of floor space; however, overcrowding had reduced the space available per prisoner. In certain wards prisoners had to sleep in shifts owing to lack of space. Ordinary prisoners received 2,800 to 3,000 calories of food per day, considered satisfactory by the Institute of Public Health Nutrition; so-called "classified prisoners" received more. However, prisoners were often required to eat their meals sitting on the ground under the open sky, in all weathers. The striped, coarse uniform worn by ordinary prisoners was considered demoralising. Bedding, consisting only of two blankets, was inadequate, degrading and detrimental to physical and mental health. Prison authorities still followed statutes framed by the British colonial authorities in the nineteenth century, the main objective of which was the confinement and safe custody of prisoners through suppressive and punitive measures. There was an absence of programmes for the reform and rehabilitation of offenders and vocational training programmes did not cater for all classes of prisoners. The recruitment and training procedures of prison officers was inadequate to facilitate the reform of prisoners. The number of

medical doctors was disproportionate to the size of the prison population, and women prisoners were attended to by male doctors. There were no paid nurses in prison hospitals; literate convicts worked as hospital attendants. There were no trained social welfare officers or psychologists. Handcuffing and the use of fetters were used as punishment for breaches of prison rules. [8b] (p79-89)

- 15.04 The USSD 2004 report had commented that women were detained separately from men but faced the same extremely poor conditions. [2d] (section 1c) United News of Bangladesh reported on 10 February 2004 that a new women's prison was being constructed at Kashimpur, near Dhaka. It will eventually accommodate 2,550 inmates. [39f] A United News article of 29 September 2004 noted also that a new prison was due to be opened in the district of Habiganj on 12 October 2004 – it has a separate accommodation building and separate hospital for women, as well as separate facilities for juveniles. [39g]
- 15.05 It is stated in the USSD 2005 report: "In general, the government did not permit prison visits by independent human rights monitors, including the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC). Government-appointed committees of prominent private citizens in each prison locality monitored prisons monthly but did not release their findings. District judges occasionally visited prisons but rarely disclosed their findings." [2f] (section 1c)

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

DEATH PENALTY

- 16.01 The Amnesty International (AI) Annual Report of 2005 (events of 2004) noted that Bangladesh retains the death penalty. [7n] The 2002 AI Annual Report recorded that after more than three years, the Government had resumed executions by hanging two men in February 2001. [7g] The 2003 AI Annual Report indicated that at least 87 people were sentenced to death in 2002, although no executions were reported to have been carried out. [7i] The 2004 AI Annual Report recorded that more than 130 men and women were sentenced to death in 2003 and that two men were hanged on 10 July 2003. [7j] As related in the 2005 AI Annual Report, over 120 people were sentenced to death in 2004; seven people, including three policemen, were actually executed. [7n] An AI statement of 28 September 2006 stated that at least 217 men and women were sentenced to death in 2005; at least three individuals were actually executed. [7p]
- 16.02 Hands Off Cain, an Italian NGO which campaigns for an end to the death penalty worldwide, stated as follows in a report of January 2006:
- "The number of death sentences passed in Bangladesh increased significantly with the introduction of [Speedy Trial Tribunals established under the Disruption of Law and Order Offences Act of 2002]. According to official sources in the Ministry of Law, Justice and Parliamentary Affairs, the nine Speedy Trial Tribunals of the country dealt with 650 cases between October 2002, when they were set up, up to June 30, 2005. The tribunals passed death sentences on 311 people. On the other hand, Sessions Judges' courts of the country sentenced 123 people with death punishment from 2001 until June 30, 2005. A total of 221 people were sentenced to death by different

courts of the country until 2001 since independence. On the other hand, 80 people were given death sentence in 2002, 162 in 2003, 112 in 2004 and 80 in 2005 (up to June 30), the sources said... The Ministry of Law, Justice and Parliamentary Affairs, on June 30, 2005, said there were 655 prisoners condemned to death in prisons scattered across the country. The government had only 53 cells for condemned prisoners with each cell originally made to accommodate only one convict. Bangladesh resumed executions in 2001, after a de facto three-year suspension. Two men were hanged between February and March and another in November [2001]. One execution was recorded in 2002 and two people were put to death in 2003 ... At least 13 people were sent to gallows in 2004 and four in 2005." [73a]

- 16.03 Bangladesh applies the death penalty for such crimes as murder, sedition and drug-trafficking, notes Hands Off Cain. In March 1998 the Bangladesh Cabinet approved the death penalty for crimes against women and children, including trafficking and rape. Skyjacking and sabotage became capital offences in 1997. [73a] In 2002 the death penalty was introduced for acid attacks. (State party report to CEDAW, dated 3 January 2003.) [47a] (p20)
- 16.04 Amnesty International noted in a statement of 28 September 2006 "Prisoners sentenced to death in Bangladesh have an automatic appeal hearing before the High Court. They can also lodge additional appeals with the High Court. They can then appeal to the Supreme Court, and after that they have the right to appeal to the President for mercy. Executions are carried out after all these appeals have been exhausted." [7p]

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

POLITICAL AFFILIATION

- 17.01 The Human Rights Watch 'World Report 2006' noted "Tensions between the two main political parties, the Bangladesh National Party (BNP) and the Awami League (AL), continued, with frequent clashes between [their supporters], as well as with police." [10b] Freedom House, in their 2006 report 'Freedom in the World', stated "In recent years, political violence during demonstrations and general strikes has killed hundreds of people in major cities and injured thousands, and police often use excessive force against opposition protesters. Party leaders are also targeted, and several died during the year after being attacked. Odhikar, a local nongovernmental organization (NGO), reported that there were 526 people killed in political violence throughout 2004. Student wings of political parties continue to be embroiled in violent campus conflicts." [65b]
- 17.02 Violence involving members of student political organisations occurs frequently in Bangladesh. This is not co-ordinated on a nationwide basis, but typically involves small groups of students/youths in a specific university or college or area who are vying for control of 'local turf'. In most instances over the past few years, clashes have been between activists of the Awami League-affiliated student organisation 'Bangladesh Chhatra League (BCL)' on the one side, and the BNP's 'Jatiyabadi Chhatra Dal (JCD)' and/or Jamaat-e-Islami's 'Islami Chhatra Shibir' (ICS) on the opposing side. However, this is not always the case. For example, whereas the BNP and the Jamaat-e-Islami parties are both members of the coalition government which came into power

in October 2001, it was reported that at least 50 persons are injured during a clash between armed cadres of the Chhatra Shibir (Jamaat) and Chhatra Dal (BNP) at the Rajshahi University campus on 13 January 2004. On 9 December 2003, a Chhatra Shibir (Jamaat) member was killed and seven others injured in a gunfight with members of Chhatra Dal (BNP) at Moulvibazar Government College. [59d] The Economist Intelligence Unit had observed in a report of May 1998 “The ‘capturing’ of halls (dormitories) on university campuses – effective control by a student organisation affiliated to a major political party – has a long history...” [40g]

FREEDOM OF POLITICAL EXPRESSION

See also Section 18: Freedom of Speech and the Media and Section 6: Political System

- 17.03 The USSD 2005 report states “Individuals were not always able to criticize the government publicly without fear of reprisal, and the government often attempted to impede criticism by prohibiting or dispersing political gatherings.” [2f] (section 2a) The same report records that the 2001 parliamentary elections were supervised by a nonparty caretaker government and were deemed to be free and fair by international and domestic observers, though they took place in a climate of sporadic violence. [2f] (section 3)

FREEDOM OF ASSOCIATION AND ASSEMBLY

- 17.04 As noted in the USSD 2005 report:

“The law provides for freedom of assembly and association, subject to restrictions in the interest of public order and public health; however, the government frequently limited these rights. The law allows the government to ban assemblies of more than four persons, and, according to Ain O Shalish Kendro (ASK), a local human rights NGO, the government imposed 73 such bans from January to mid-August [2005]. The government sometimes used bans to prohibit rallies for security reasons.” [2f] (section 2b)

According to the USSD 2004 report, police rarely interfered with ruling party processions in 2004, but often used force to disrupt and discourage opposition processions. [2d] (section 2b) On 22 November 2005, according to the USSD 2005 report, both Bangladesh Nationalist Party activists and police disrupted the free movement of Awami League supporters on their way to a Grand Rally in Dhaka. These obstructions took place in at least three sites within an hour's travel of Dhaka: Dhamrai, Keraniganj and Manikganj. [2f] (section 2b)

The USSD 2005 report states “The law provides for the right of every citizen to form associations, subject to ‘reasonable restrictions’ in the interest of morality or public order, and the government generally respected this right. Individuals were free to join private groups.” [2f] (section 2b)

- 17.05 According to a Freedom House report of June 2005:

“The Bangladesh constitution guarantees freedom of association and assembly, yet these rights were repeatedly violated by the state in 2004. Partisan supporters of the ruling coalition disrupted the meetings of the newly formed political party, BDB [Bikalpa Dhara Bangladesh], and rallies and

protest marches of the AL [Awami League]. In addition, law enforcement agencies tended to apply excessive force in dealing with peaceful demonstrations and public protests.” [65a] (p73)

The Freedom House report ‘Freedom in the World 2006’ states “The constitution provides for freedom of assembly, but the government frequently limits this right in practice. Demonstrators are occasionally killed or injured during clashes with police.” [65b]

- 17.06 Amnesty International, in a statement dated 18 August 2005, reported attacks on Awami League gatherings by BNP supporters on 15 August 2005. Hundreds of Awami League (AL) supporters were reportedly injured, including an MP and a local AL leader. According to reports received by Amnesty International, police who were present failed to stop the attackers and AL members reacted angrily, getting involved in physical clashes with the attackers. The Awami League had held gatherings and processions throughout the country on 15 August to observe the thirtieth anniversary of the assassination of Sheikh Mujibur Rahman, Bangladesh’s first president. Amnesty International expressed concern about comments attributed to the Communications Minister which may have encouraged the attackers. [70] The *Daily Star* reported on 17 August 2005 that the Communications Minister had categorically denied reports of his involvement in an attack on an Awami League rally in Dohar on 15 August. [38ad]

OPPOSITION GROUPS AND POLITICAL ACTIVISTS

Politically-Motivated Detentions

- 17.07 The USSD 2005 report notes, “The government stated that it held no political prisoners; however, opposition parties and human rights monitors claimed the government arrested many political activists and convicted them on unfounded criminal charges.” [2f] (section 1e)
- 17.08 The same report adds:
- “Arbitrary arrests were common. The government used serial detentions to prevent the release of political activists.” [2f] (section 1d)
- “The government used Sections 54 and 86 to harass and intimidate members of the political opposition and their families. Police detained opposition activists prior to and during demonstrations without citing any legal authority, holding them until the event was over ... It was difficult to estimate the total number of persons detained for political reasons. Many activists were charged with crimes, and many criminals claimed to be political activists. Most such detentions lasted for several days or weeks, and defendants in most cases received bail; however, dismissal of wrongful charges or acquittal took years ... Police arrested 1,216 persons for political reasons during the year, most of whom were held for a short time prior to their release.” [2f] (section 1d)
- 17.09 The USSD report for 2003 had noted that “In March 2002, Home Minister Altaf Hossain Chowdhury said the Government had released 11,706 persons in politically motivated cases since the BNP came to power in 2001. In April 2002, the PSA Repeal Law came into effect and gave the Government

authority to determine which cases filed under the SPA law would be withdrawn and which ones would be pursued.” [2b] (section 1e)

- 17.10 The *Daily Star* of Bangladesh reported on 20 April 2004: “Police and paramilitary Bangladesh Rifles (BDR) yesterday cracked down on the activists of Awami league (AL) and workers of Proshika, a non-government organisation, arresting at least 1,363 of them to foil the AL’s programme to lay siege to Hawa Bhaban tomorrow.” The arrests took place during a concerted Awami League programme of public demonstrations to attempt to unseat the Government; *Hawa Bhaban* is where the offices of the Bangladesh National Party (BNP) are situated. The Commissioner of the Dhaka Metropolitan Police was quoted as saying: ‘It is nothing new. We are conducting routine raids to keep law and order under control’. [38g] On 23 April, the *Daily Star* informed: “Blanket arrests continued to smother Dhaka yesterday ahead of the Awami League’s April 30 deadline for unseating the government, while anxious relatives thronged jail gates with bail documents for the release of the ‘victims of mindless political manoeuvring’.” Hundreds more people had been arrested since 20 April; police were said to have “picked up” at least 5,000 people arriving at bus, train and launch terminals and sent 2,910 of them to the already overcrowded Dhaka Central Jail. At the same time, 815 people had been released from the prison by the evening of 22 April. [38h] The *Daily Star* then reported on 27 April 2004: “The government yesterday apparently stopped mass arrests and asked the police not to harass the innocent, after more than 15,000 people were arrested in an eight-day dragnet.” [38i]

See also Section 14: [Arrest and Detention – Legal Rights](#)

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND THE MEDIA

- 18.01 According to the USSD 2005 report, the law provides for freedom of speech and press; however, in practice, the Government limited these rights during 2005. The USSD 2004 report had observed: “The Constitution provides for freedom of speech and press, subject to what it deemed reasonable restrictions in the interest of security, friendly relations with foreign states, public order, decency and morality, or to prohibit defamation or incitement to an offense; however, in practice, the Government limited these rights.” [2d] (section 2a) The New York-based Committee to Protect Journalists commented in its report “Attacks on the Press 2005”: “The Bangladeshi press operates largely without direct government interference, and it routinely exposes government corruption.” But the report further stated that retaliatory physical attacks on journalists (by various Islamist and other militant groups) had occurred frequently and with impunity and little had been done by the state authorities to track down and punish those responsible. [51a]
- 18.02 The BBC News ‘Country Profile: Bangladesh’ (updated 28 December 2005) notes that “The main broadcast media in Bangladesh – Radio Bangladesh and Bangladesh Television – are state-owned and favourable to the government. Little coverage is given to the political opposition, except in the run-up to general elections when a caretaker Government takes control. ...Although Bangladesh Television remains the country’s sole terrestrial TV channel, private satellite-delivered TV stations [such as ‘ATN Bangla’ and ‘Channel i’]

have established a presence.” [20am] The website of Population Concern informs that there were, in 1995, only seven television sets per thousand people in Bangladesh (compared with 612 per thousand people in the UK). [49] According to Country-Data com, statistics from the early 1980s indicated that about 29 per cent of the country’s urban households had radios at that time. [48]

18.03 The USSD 2005 report notes:

“There were hundreds of daily and weekly independent publications. Many newspapers criticized government policies and activities, including those of the prime minister.” [2f] (section 2a)

“Newspaper ownership and content were not subject to direct government restriction. The government owned or significantly influenced one radio and some television stations; however, unlike in previous years, these stations did not focus the bulk of their coverage on the government. While four private television stations were in operation, the government shut down one private radio station in May [2005], ostensibly for failing to pay bills on time. The government issued four new private television and three new radio station licenses, giving the licenses allegedly to persons with close political connections. Cable operators generally functioned without government interference; however, all private stations were required to broadcast, without charge, some government news programs and speeches by the prime minister and the president as a condition of operation.” [2f] (section 2a)

“The government applied indirect pressure to coerce journalists into self-censorship. For example, in July 2004 an official of the prime minister’s press wing threatened to limit a private television reporter’s access to ruling party functions if he did not stop covering an opposition candidate’s campaign. The reporter was withdrawn from election coverage by his supervisors for failing to comply.” [2f] (section 2a)

“Foreign publications and films were subject to review and censorship. A government-run film censor board reviewed local and foreign films and had the authority to censor or ban films on the grounds of state security, law and order, religious sentiment, obscenity, foreign relations, defamation, or plagiarism.” [2f] (section 2a)

“The government exercised censorship most often in cases of immodest or obscene photographs, perceived misrepresentation or defamation of Islam, and for objectionable comments regarding national leaders.” [2f] (section 2a)

“The government did not directly restrict citizens’ access to the Internet.” [2f] (section 2a)

“The government did not limit academic freedom; however, authorities discouraged research on sensitive religious and political topics.” [2f] (section 2a)

18.04 The International Federation for Human Rights (FIDH), in a report on their fact-finding mission of December 2004, described a number of means by which the Bangladeshi authorities were said to indirectly limit freedom of expression in the media:

- Legislative: The FIDH report stated that “Although the Constitution enshrines the right to freedom of expression, Bangladesh presents the worrying peculiarity of multiplying seemingly overlapping pieces of legislation which all converge to impose serious restrictions on freedom of expression, as well as to access to information. Furthermore, an unfortunate practice has developed, whereby defamation cases are filed immediately, allowing for the immediate detention of the journalist concerned, irrespective of the veracity of his/her report. This creates tremendous pressure on both media outlets and individual journalists.”
- Commercial: For example, the authorities could limit the volume of public sector advertising placed in certain newspapers.
- Administrative: For example, the Act which regulates the licensing of printers, publishers and editors barred the publication of material ‘which is objectionable for, or offensive against, the interests of the People’s Republic of Bangladesh or its government’. [68a] (pp7-11 and 15)

TREATMENT OF JOURNALISTS

- 18.05 The Committee to Protect Journalists, in their report “Attacks on the Press 2005” [CPJ 2005], stated:

“Bangladesh was already [prior to 2005] one of the most dangerous countries for the press in Asia, according to CPJ research. Even by that poor standard, death threats and physical attacks against journalists spiked in 2005. Traditional enemies of the press such as criminal gangs, underground leftist groups, police, politicians, and student activists continued to lash out at journalists. The newer and potentially graver threat from radical Islamist groups exacerbated the treacherous landscape ... In May [2005], CPJ named Bangladesh one of the world's five most murderous countries for journalists. Nine journalists were killed over five years, eight of them in the lawless southwestern Khulna district, which is rife with criminal gangs, outlawed political groups, and drug traffickers. Seven of the victims received death threats beforehand. Investigations into the murders have yielded no convictions ... Journalists in rural provinces faced threats from the growing number of illegal groups... [R]etaliatory physical attacks and threats occur frequently and with impunity. Despite promises from officials to track down those responsible for the attacks, little is done to punish offenders – even in high-profile murder cases.” [51a]

The CPJ 2004 report noted that Islamic extremist groups had threatened journalists throughout the country for reporting on their activities, branding them ‘enemies of Islam’. [51d] CPJ 2005 noted that journalists continued to receive death threats from Islamist militants during 2005 and, in the series of bomb attacks across Bangladesh on 17 August 2005 for which the group Jamatul Mujahedin Bangladesh are believed responsible (see Section 4: History), at least seven press clubs were targeted. [51a]

- 18.06 Reporters Without Borders (Reporters sans frontières – RSF) 2005 Annual Report noted: “For the third year running, Bangladesh was [in 2004] the country with the largest number of journalists physically attacked or threatened with death. Four reporters were killed and 10 were arrested. The conservative

government showed no interest in combating the scourges of corruption and violence against the press. Protected by the authorities, Islamist groups stepped up their intimidation of independent news media.” [9i] The report continued: “Violence against journalists, especially in the provinces, has continued to limit the possibility of freely covering key issues such as corruption, human rights violations and the collusion between politicians and organised crime. The police and courts were unable to put an end to the impunity enjoyed by the activists of the ruling parties, especially the BNP youth, who attack journalists ... Physical attacks became increasingly common in 2004.” [9i]

18.07 According to the USSD 2005 report:

“Attacks on journalists and newspapers, and government efforts to intimidate them, political party activists, and others, occurred frequently. Attacks against journalists by political activists were common during times of political violence, and some journalists were injured in police actions. According to a local human rights organization, 142 journalists were injured, 2 killed, 11 arrested, 4 kidnapped, 53 assaulted, and 249 threatened during the year [2005].” [2f] (section 2a)

18.08 BBC News and the Committee to Protect Journalists announced on 28 June 2004 that Humayun Kabir Balu, editor of the Bengali newspaper *Dainik Janmabhumi* and president of the Khulna Press Club, had been killed in a bomb attack in Khulna the previous day. An underground group known as Janajuddha (Peoples’ War), a faction of the Purba Banglar Communist Party, claimed responsibility. Kabir was the sixth journalist to be murdered in the division of Khulna since 2000. [20a] [51b] Associated Press reported on 27 April 2005 that the police had charged eight persons, all believed to be members of the Purba Banglar Communist Party, with Humayun Kabir’s murder. [61e] According to CPJ 2005, Kabir’s family did not believe that the “masterminds” behind the killing had been apprehended. [51a]

18.09 Reporters Without Borders (RSF) Annual Report for 2005 related that Maoist armed groups had “sowed terror” in the south-western Khulna region during 2004. More than 50 journalists were threatened with death and three were killed. RSF had stated in a press release on 27 January 2004:

“An underground Maoist organisation has admitted responsibility for the murder of a BBC stringer and in a letter, apparently from its leader, threatened to kill nine more named journalists in the region. Manik Saha [who was also a correspondent for the newspaper *New Age*] died instantly when a bomb was thrown at his head in a street in Khulna in the country’s south-west on 15 January [2004]. Reporters Without Borders (Reporters sans frontières) called on the authorities, in particular the interior minister, to continue to explore every avenue to track down and punish Saha’s killers...The journalist’s murder prompted a two-day general strike in Khulna on 16 and 17 January [2004]. Information minister Tariqul Islam, who went to the town, promised to leave no stone unturned to find and punish those responsible”. [9c]

CPJ 2004 recorded that, in June 2004, police charged 12 people with Saha’s murder; their trial was scheduled to begin in early 2005. [51d]

- 18.10 CPJ 2005 noted that, in September 2005, nine journalists received pieces of white cloth, symbolizing funeral shrouds, accompanied by letters co-signed by the outlawed Islamic militant 'Bangla Bhai' and the radical movement Ahle Hadith. These letters warned journalists not to write about their groups' activities and also threatened to kill ethnic Hindu reporters. In February 2005 Sheikh Belaluddin, a journalist with the national daily *Sangbad*, died after a homemade bomb detonated outside the Khulna Press Club. In July 2005 a former leader of the Islami Chhatra Shibir, the student wing of the Islamic fundamentalist political party Jamaat-e-Islami, reportedly confessed to taking part in the bombing. But three weeks later, the suspect was freed on bail and apparently absconded. A journalist was attacked in Rajshahi in June 2005 by members of the Islamist group JMJB, after providing information about the group's activities. [51a]
- 18.11 According to CPJ 2005: "Police brutality was a continuing problem, particularly for photographers covering the country's growing political tensions. In May [2005], baton-wielding riot police on the Dhaka University campus beat seven photographers and camera operators who were covering protests. When journalists staged their own demonstration [on 7 July 2005] to protest the mistreatment, intelligence officers assaulted nine photojournalists in full view of police." [51a] According to a Reporters Without Borders press release of 8 July 2005, the nine press photographers were assailed when one of them tried to take photos of graffiti on the outside of the NSI building. [9h]
- 18.12 A Committee to Protect Journalists (CPJ) press release of 3 March 2006 recorded that Salah Uddin Shoaib Choudhury, the editor of the tabloid weekly *Blitz*, was shortly to be tried in a Dhaka court on a charge of sedition. He had initially been arrested for a passport violation in November 2003 for attempting to travel to Israel to attend a conference and had spent 17 months in prison until his release in May 2005 after the passport charge was dropped; it is illegal for Bangladeshi citizens to travel to Israel. Choudhury was formally charged with sedition in February 2004; he told CPJ that he believed the sedition charge related to his journalistic work to improve relations between Israel and Muslim countries and to promote interfaith dialogue. [51f] A CPJ News Alert of 7 July 2006 reported that two small bombs had exploded outside the *Blitz* offices in Dhaka on 5 July, causing minor damage; two other unexploded bombs were found inside the office. No one was injured. The sedition trial of the editor was due to resume on 13 July 2006. [51g]
- 18.13 The Reporters Without Borders 2006 Annual Report stated that three journalists were killed and at least 95 were physically attacked during 2005. According to this report, "Elsewhere, 55 news correspondents were singled out for harassment for writing articles considered "non Islamic" by armed groups. Militants of ruling parties were also not to be outdone. Engaging in threats, beatings, burnings and abusive judicial complaints, deputies and ministers will go to any lengths to silence the press. Threats forced more than 70 journalists to flee their local areas during the year." [9j]

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

HUMAN RIGHTS INSTITUTIONS, ORGANISATIONS AND ACTIVISTS

- 19.01 The United Nations Common Country Assessment for 2004 observed:

“The growth of civil society and in particular nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) has been one of the great success stories in Bangladesh. Though the country has a long tradition of social activism throughout its history – the language movement being one example – the emergence of the NGO sector has been a relatively new phenomenon that began in the late 1970s. Today, NGOs are a significant provider of social services, in particular health and education, to the rural poor. Specialized microfinance institutions (MFIs) such as the Grameen Bank, pioneered the micro-credit model that has been replicated all around the world and MFIs have had considerable success in helping to provide alternative income-generating opportunities for poor women in Bangladesh. The emergence of NGOs has also played a significant role in the improvement of human development indicators and compensated, in part, for weak market and state institutions. Within the context of a rights-based approach, it should be noted that local NGOs have also played a significant role in terms of helping poor and marginalized groups to make claims for the fulfilment of their rights to education and health and secure and sustainable livelihoods. Today there are well over a thousand NGOs registered with the Government. From village cooperatives and women’s groups on the one hand to large internationally recognized institutions with staff running into the thousands, civil society in Bangladesh has thrived since the restoration of democracy.” [8d] (p 69)

The report added:

“Legitimate questions have been raised on the accountability and representation of (foreign funded) NGOs and there have been several attempts to limit the work of NGOs to basic service provision. In a couple of notable cases, prominent NGOs have come under fire from within and outside the sector for allegedly crossing the line into direct partisan activities. Legislation is under consideration for tightening the regulatory environment for NGOs including issues relating to registration and taxation.” [8d] (p70)

TREATMENT OF HUMAN RIGHTS NGOS

19.02 The USSD 2005 report states as follows:

“A wide variety of domestic and international human rights groups generally operated independently and without government restriction, investigating and publishing their findings on human rights cases. While human rights groups were often sharply critical of the government, they also practiced self-censorship, particularly on politically sensitive cases and subjects. Unlike in previous years, the government did not pressure individual human rights advocates by filing false allegations against them or by delaying re-entry visas for international human rights activists. Missionaries who advocated on behalf of human rights faced problems regarding visas. A few human rights activists reported harassment by the intelligence agencies. For example the government blocked foreign funding to the PRIP [Private Rural Initiatives Project] Trust NGO because the organization's executive director, Aroma Dutta, championed minority rights during the 2001 general election. The government released part of the foreign funding to the PRIP Trust during the year ... In February several offices of leading NGOs, such as the Grameen Bank and Bangladesh Rural Advancement Committee (BRAC), came under attack in northern areas of the country. Authorities charged Dr. Asudullah Al-

Gailb, the leader of Ahle Hadith, a local Islamic group for the bombings of the Grameen and BRAC offices and for targeting a series of cultural events and organizations for attack. On March 1, an office of CARITAS in Dinajpur caught fire which, according to some press accounts, was caused by the explosion of two bombs. [2f] (section 4)

The report continues:

“On April 19, Rafiq Ali, president of the country's chapter of Non-Violence International, was acquitted for his alleged involvement in an arms act case. Authorities arrested Mr. Ali on suspicion of arms smuggling because he, in collaboration with Forum Asia, was providing community education seminars on small arms smuggling ... The government cooperated with international organizations such as the UNHRC and the ICRC; however, the ICRC did not visit the country during the year. In December 2004 the Asia Pacific director of the UNHCR visited the country to investigate the status of the Rohingyas. Despite its election pledge and repeated public announcements, the government did not enact legislation establishing an independent National Human Rights Commission. Previous legislation authorizing the establishment of a Human Rights Ombudsman's Office continued to remain dormant.” [2f] (section 4)

- 19.03 The Amnesty International (AI) Annual Report 2005 (covering 2004) stated: “Human rights defenders continued to receive death threats and to be at risk of attacks [in 2004]. Perpetrators were believed to be linked to Islamist groups or armed criminal gangs whose conduct the defenders had criticized.” AI cited a serious attack on Dr Humayun Azad of Dhaka University by unknown assailants in February 2004, following the publication of his novel about Islamist groups. AI also noted the stabbing of a correspondent for the magazine *Weekly 2000* who had been investigating the involvement of politicians and Islamist groups in attacks on Hindus. [7n] The AI Annual Report 2005 added: “Non-governmental organizations (NGOs) perceived to oppose government policies were at risk of harassment.” The report mentioned the arrest in May 2004 of the president and vice-president of the NGO Proshika, which was alleged to have been politically motivated. [7o]
- 19.04 An article in the *Daily Star* of 29 June 2004 noted that the president of the NGO Proshika, Qazi Faruque Ahmed, had been released on bail by the High Court in connection with several cases of graft. [38b] Dr Ahmed and six other Proshika officials had, according to a BBC News article of 21 June 2004, also been charged with sedition (see above). His lawyers argued that none of the charges against him were concrete and that he was being harassed by the authorities. BBC News described Proshika as one of the largest NGOs in the world, employing thousands of people in poverty alleviation, education and development projects. [20ax]
- 19.05 The International Federation for Human Rights (FIDH) report on its fact-finding mission of December 2004 commented:

“The harassment against PROSHIKA began almost as soon as the elections of 2001 were completed and the BNP [Bangladesh Nationalist Party] coalition government came into power. Directing its powerful political wand directly at the organization and its leadership, the last year has seen their offices raided, their leaders arrested with charges of unlawful activities, mismanagement of

funds etc, foreign funding to most of their programs blocked and even to the extent of threatening its registration to be cancelled.” [68a] (p19)

The same report contains details of alleged Government harassment of certain other NGOs, including the Private Rural Initiatives Project (PRIP) Trust and the International Voluntary Service (IVS), and the umbrella organisation ADAB (Association of Development Agencies of Bangladesh). [68a] (p19-23)

- 19.06 BBC News, on 17 February 2005, reported bomb attacks on the offices of two development aid organisations, the Bangladesh Rural Advancement Committee (BRAC) and Grameen Bank. At least eight of their workers were injured. The Executive Director of BRAC blamed the attack on Islamic extremists, noting BRAC’s work for the empowerment of women. [20at] A BBC News article of 25 February 2005 quoted the Bangladeshi authorities as saying that at least 20 suspects who were arrested after the BRAC and Grameen bombings had confessed to links with the militant Islamic groups Jamatul Mujahideen Bangladesh (JMB) and Jagrata Muslim Janata Bangladesh (JMJB). [20aw]

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

CORRUPTION

- 20.01 The NGO Transparency International (TI) ranked Bangladesh and Chad as the most ‘corrupt’ countries among 159 surveyed countries in its 2005 Corruption Perceptions Index. [42b] A BBC News article of 18 October 2005 noted that this was the fifth consecutive year that Bangladesh had been ranked in this position. The TI survey relates to perceptions of the degree of corruption in different countries, as seen by business people, academics and risk analysts. [20bj]
- 20.02 The Government formally constituted an Anti-Corruption Commission (ACC) on 21 November 2004. The ACC absorbed most of the 950 staff of the dissolved Bureau of Anti-Corruption and is headed by a retired High Court judge. The EIU report stated: “The commission will conduct independent enquiries into cases of corruption. It is endowed with the powers to issue warrants and summons, interrogate witnesses and collect depositions under oath, review the existing anti-corruption arrangements and make recommendations to the President of the country”. (EIU January 2005) [40b] (p14) According to a United News of Bangladesh article of 2 December 2004, the Awami League described the appointment of the Chairman of the ACC, by the President, as politically partisan and unconstitutional. [39e] Transparency International’s ‘Global Corruption Report 2006’ notes that public interest litigation challenging the Chairman’s appointment was filed in the High Court in March 2005. The report also records that there have been disputes over staffing in the ACC and that the Commission had annulled its decision to rehire the former staff of the defunct Bureau of Anti-Corruption. [42d] (p127)
- 20.03 The Global Corruption Report 2006 states “Despite the powers bestowed on it, the commission has failed to take specific policy measures in the past five months, or to convey to the public any sense of its strategy for fighting corruption. Instead, it has limited its mandate to a number of ad hoc decisions that demonstrate lack of vision and poor performance.” [42d] (p128)

Corruption in the lower Judiciary

- 20.04 Transparency International, in a Household Survey in 2002, found that 7.6 per cent of respondents – representing 231 out of a total of 3030 households – claimed to have had dealings with the Judiciary (94 per cent of those respondents had been to the lower courts and 3.5 per cent to the high court). A majority (75%) of these said that they had encountered corruption; 66 per cent reported corruption by court officials/employees, 13 per cent claimed corruption by public prosecutors, 10 per cent by lawyers representing the opposition and 9 per cent reported corruption by magistrates. [42a] (p59-63) According to the ‘Summary Findings’ of the 2005 TI Household Survey, 66 per cent of plaintiffs and 65 per cent of accused persons claimed that they had to pay bribes in their dealings with the lower judiciary. [42c]

Corruption in the Police Force

- 20.05 As stated in Section 10, a study published in 2002 by Transparency International (TI), entitled “Corruption in Bangladesh: A Household Survey”, found that 84 per cent of those respondents who had dealings with the police claimed to have encountered corruption; in most cases this pertained to bribery. [42a] (pp52-58)

See Section 10: [Police and Auxiliary Paramilitary Forces: Accountability](#)

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

FREEDOM OF RELIGION

INTRODUCTION

- 21.01 The U.S. State Department’s International Religious Freedom Report published in September 2006 (2006 Religious Freedom Report) records:

“Sunni Muslims constituted 88 percent of the population. Approximately 10 percent of the population was Hindu. The remainder was mainly Christian (mostly Roman Catholic) and Theravada-Hinayana Buddhist. Ethnic and religious minority communities often overlapped and were concentrated in the Chittagong Hill Tracts and northern regions. Buddhists were found predominantly among the indigenous (non-Bengali) populations of the Chittagong Hill Tracts. Bengali and ethnic minority Christians could be found in many communities across the country ... There also were small populations of Shi’a Muslims, Sikhs, Baha’is, animists, and Ahmadis. Estimates of their numbers varied from a few thousand to 100,000 adherents for each faith ... Religion was an important part of community identity for citizens, including those who do not participate actively in religious prayers or services A national survey in late 2003 confirmed that religion was the first choice by a citizen for self-identification; atheism was extremely rare.” [2c] (section 1)

The 1991 Census reported that there were then over 11 million Hindus, 623,000 Buddhists and 346,000 Christians in the country. [43b]

- 21.02 The 2006 Religious Freedom Report states “The Constitution establishes Islam as the state religion but provides for the right to profess, practice, or propagate – subject to law, public order, and morality – the religion of one’s choice. It also states that every religious community or denomination has the right to establish, maintain, and manage its religious institutions.” [2c] (introduction) The report continues:

“While the Government publicly supported freedom of religion, attacks on religious and ethnic minorities continued to be a problem. Protests demanding that Ahmadis be declared non-Muslims and instances of harassment continued sporadically, but the Government generally acted in an effective manner to protect Ahmadis and their property and refused to give in to any of the protesters’ demands ... Citizens were generally free to practice the religion of their choice; however, government officials, including the police, were often ineffective in upholding law and order and were sometimes slow to assist religious minority victims of harassment and violence. The Government and many civil society leaders stated that violence against religious minorities normally had political or economic motivations and could not be attributed only to religion ... The generally amicable relationships among religious groups in society contributed to religious freedom; however, Hindu, Christian, and Buddhist minorities experienced discrimination and sometimes violence by the Muslim majority. Harassment of Ahmadis continued along with protests demanding that Ahmadis be declared non-Muslims.” [2c] (introduction)

- 21.03 The USSD 2005 report notes “The government allowed various religions to establish places of worship, train clergy, travel for religious purposes, and maintain links with co-religionists abroad.” The law permits citizens to proselytise. However there is strong social resistance to conversion from Islam. [2f] (section 2c) The 2006 Religious Freedom Report states “Shari’a (Islamic law) was not implemented formally and was not imposed on non-Muslims but played an influential role in civil matters pertaining to the Muslim community ... Family laws concerning marriage, divorce, and adoption differed slightly depending on the religion of the persons involved. Each religion had its own set of family laws ... There were no legal restrictions on marriage between members of different faiths.” The report further notes that “Religion was taught in government schools, and parents had the right to have their children taught in their own religion; however, some claimed that many government-employed religious teachers of minority religious groups were neither members of the religion they taught nor qualified to teach it.” [2c] (section II) A BBC News article of 25 February 2005 noted that thousands of *madrassas* – or Islamic schools – have opened across the country. “In 1970 there were 1,500 madrassas registered with the government. Today there are nearly 8,000. Tens of thousands more have been set up unofficially and are outside official control.” Critics of madrassas claim that some could be exploiting the zeal of students to recruit them to extremist groups. [20aw] The 2005 Religious Freedom Report quoted a “recent” US Government study as stating that there are “at least 25,000” madrassas in Bangladesh, some government funded and some privately funded and run. The report added: “There are no known government-run Hindu, Buddhist or Christian schools.” [2i] (section II) An Asian Centre for Human Rights (ACHR) report of November 2005 carried an estimate that there were 64,000 madrassas in Bangladesh. [53c] (p8) [\(See section on Children: Education\)](#)

- 21.04 The 2006 Religious Freedom Report comments “Religion exerts a powerful influence on politics, and the Government was sensitive to the Muslim consciousness of its political allies [the Jamaat-e-Islami and Islami Okiyya Jote political parties] and the majority of its citizens.” [2c] (introduction) According to the report, “The Government took steps to promote interfaith understanding. For example, government leaders issued statements on the eve of religious holidays calling for peace and warned that action would be taken against those attempting to disrupt the celebrations. Through additional security deployments and public statements, the Government promoted the peaceful celebration of Christian and Hindu festivals, including Durga Puja, Christmas, and Easter.” [2c] (section II)
- 21.05 An article in *The Guardian* (UK) of 21 July 2003 stated, *inter alia*:
- “Evidence is emerging that the oppression of minorities is becoming systematic. Bangladesh, which is 85 per cent Muslim but has a long tradition of tolerance to religious minorities, is, say local organisations, being pushed towards fundamentalism by the Jamaat-e-Islami, which is growing rapidly in rural areas with the deepest poverty and runs two key ministries.” [55a]
- “‘This is like a silent revolution. We are returning to the dark ages’, a leading lawyer said, asking not to be named ... ‘I think the backdrop is being created for the introduction of strict sharia laws. You see extremist rightwing fundamentalists infiltrating every professional area, in the appointment of the judiciary, the law, medicine and in education. They are capturing key positions in government, the universities and institutions’.” [55a]
- “Thousands of Bangladeshis are thought to have crossed the border to India in the past two years. It is impossible to verify numbers because New Delhi will not release records, but Dhaka’s statistics show the Muslim majority increasing dramatically and the Hindu, Buddhist, Christian and other minorities declining.” [55a]
- “Leading Islamic scholars are appalled by the repression and the rise of fundamentalism. ‘What we are seeing is the Talibanisation of Bangladesh,’ Maolama Abdul Awal, former director of the Bangladesh Islamic Foundation, said. ‘If we allow them to continue ... [minorities] will be eliminated. Bangladesh will become a fascist country’.” [55a]
- 21.06 A *Time Magazine* (Asia edition) article, in the 12 April 2004 issue, described the extent of corruption and criminal violence in the country and commented: “Making the violence more toxic is the spread of a brand of intolerant Islamic fundamentalism in a country with a history of religious tolerance. Bangladesh’s Hindus, who constitute about 10 per cent of the population of the predominantly Muslim nation, say they are increasingly being intimidated by gangs of Islamic fundamentalists, who attack them in their homes, warn them to pack up and leave for India and, for good measure, extort ransom from them.” [54a]
- 21.07 The 2006 Religious Freedom Report notes:
- “Since the 2001 elections, attacks on religious minorities have led to the routine posting of law enforcement personnel during major religious festivals and events, since festivals tend to attract large congregations that make easy

and more attractive targets. Reported incidents included killings, rape, torture, attacks on places of worship, destruction of homes, forced evictions, and desecration of items of worship. These claims continued during the period covered by this report [July 2005 to June 2006]; however, many such reports could not be verified independently, and there were incidents of members of the Muslim community attacking each other on holidays as well, due to a perception that some events were un-Islamic. The Government sometimes failed to investigate the crimes and prosecute the perpetrators, who were often local gang leaders ... There were no reported abuses targeted at specific religious groups by terrorist organizations during the period covered by this report. However, the banned extremist group Jama'atul Mujahideen Bangladesh (JMB) attacked a variety of government and civil society targets [in 2005] on the grounds they supported secular governance [or promoted 'un-Islamic' practices]." [2c] (section II)

- 21.08 The Human Rights Watch (HRW) World Report 2006 has stated "Throughout 2005, there were persistent reports of abductions and forced conversions of minorities, and destruction and desecration of religious sites." [10b]
- 21.09 The UK Bangladesh Hindu Baudha Christian Unity Council (BHBCUC), an independent human rights organisation, has provided a series of reports listing a total of 613 incidents of violent and other crime or acts of intimidation which occurred in Bangladesh during the twelve-month period August 2005 to July 2006 – in which the victims were members of minority religious communities, or in which sacred images or property belonging to religious minorities was destroyed or damaged. It is not clear from the reports how many of these criminal incidents were religiously motivated. In most cases the perpetrators were said to be either "fundamentalists" or "miscreants". Most of these incidents had been reported in the press in Bangladesh. [57a] (These data were supplied to the UK BHBCUC by the Bangladesh Hindu Bouddha Christian Oikya Parishad (BHBCOP) in Dhaka. Copies of monthly reports, which detail each specific incident, are enclosed with the source material [57a].)

Fatwas

- 21.10 As was stated in the USSD 2004 report "In 2001, the High Court ruled illegal all fatwas, or expert opinions on Islamic law. While the Court's intention was to end the extrajudicial enforcement of penalties by religious leaders, the 2001 ruling, which generated violent protests, declared all fatwas illegal. Several weeks later, the Appellate Court stayed the High Court's ruling. No date was set for rehearing the issue." Only those Muftis (religious scholars) who have expertise in Islamic law can legitimately issue a fatwa. In practice, however, village religious leaders sometimes make rulings in individual cases and call the ruling a fatwa. Fatwas commonly deal with marriage and divorce, or mete out punishments for perceived moral transgressions. [2b] (section 2c) A BBC News article of 13 February 2001 noted that punishments could vary from public naming and shaming to physical mutilation. [20g] USSD 2005 recorded: "Human rights groups and press reports indicated that vigilantism against women for perceived moral transgressions occurred in rural areas, often under a fatwa... and included punishments such as whipping. A local human rights organization recorded 35 incidents of fatwas calling for physical violence and social ostracization." [2f] (section 1c)

HINDUS

- 21.11 The Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre (IDMC), in their 'Profile of the internal displacement: situation' dated 28 March 2006, quoted various primary sources as follows:

"In the weeks following the 1 October [2001] general elections, Bangladesh witnessed an outburst of systematic attacks on the minority Hindu community across the country, in addition to attacks on activists of the freshly ousted Awami League... By 8 October 2001, at least 30 people had been killed and more than 1,000 others injured. Their houses were torched, ransacked and in many cases seized, women were raped, and temples were desecrated... The Hindu-dominated areas in Barisal, Bhola, Pirojpur, Satkhira, Jessore, Khulna, Kushtia, Jhenidah, Bagerhat, Feni, Tangail, Noakhali, Natore, Bogra, Sirajganj, Munshiganj, Narayanganj, Narsingdi, Brahmanbaria, Gazipur and Chittagong were the worst hit... Many Hindu families reportedly fled their homes and sought refuge in areas considered 'safe'. The Bangladesh Observer reported that at least 10,000 people of the minority community from Barisal district ran away from their homes following attacks by activists of the fundamentalist Jamaat-e-Islami party and took shelter in neighbouring Gopalganj district, the electorate of the former Prime Minister Sheikh Hasina. Many others fled to the Indian State of Tripura and West Bengal. (HRF March 2002)... Post election violence and oppression against minority [sic] has displaced more than 15 thousand minority families in Barishal and Bagerhat districts."..."Islamic fundamentalists have initiated a rain [sic] of terror forcing minorities to endure living in a nightmare condition in those areas. (HRCBM)" [45c] (p19-20)

The report added:

"Women were particularly targeted – in many cases rape of female family members made it impossible for families to stay in their villages ... By a letter dated 10 December 2002, the Special Rapporteur informed the Government that she had received information that more than 2,000 women in Bangladesh between the ages of 7 and 80 had been raped, gang-raped, beaten and subjected to degrading treatment by fundamentalist groups following the 1 October 2001 elections. Much of the violence allegedly took place in small villages, and the perpetrators in many cases were identified as activists of the ruling Bangladesh Nationalist Party (BNP) or the group Jamaat-e-Islami... (CHR, Report of the Special Rapporteur on violence against women 14 January 2003)" [45c] (p20-21)

- 21.12 The 2006 Religious Freedom Report states:

"Reports of BNP harassment, violence and rape of Hindus, who many believed supported the AL, preceded and followed the 2001 election. The high court ordered the Government to report on these attacks and to demonstrate that it was taking adequate steps to protect religious minorities. The Government submitted its report to the high court in 2002, claiming that incidents of post-election violence were not connected to communal relations and that some reports of violence were fabricated or exaggerated. Since then, neither the high court nor the Government has taken further action." [2c] (section II)

21.13 As was outlined in the 2003 Religious Freedom Report:

“Inter-communal violence caused many Hindus to emigrate to India between 1947 and 1971 and continued on a smaller scale throughout the 1980s. Since the 1991 return to democracy, emigration of Hindus has decreased significantly, which generally can be attributed to the significant reduction in the Hindu population over the last 30 years. In recent years, emigration has been primarily motivated by economic and family reasons. Nevertheless, incidents of communal violence continue to occur.” [2g] (p4)

IDMC’s 2006 report entitled ‘Bangladesh: Minorities increasingly at risk of displacement’ noted that the Hindu population comprised approximately 10.5 per cent of the total population of Bangladesh in 1991, compared with about 25 per cent in 1947. It is estimated that 5.3 million Hindus left Bangladesh between 1964 and 1991. [45b] (p21)

21.14 According to the 2006 Religious Freedom Report:

“Many Hindus have been unable to recover landholdings lost because of discrimination under the now-defunct Vested Property Act. The act was an East Pakistan-era law that allowed ‘enemy’ (in practice Hindu) lands to be expropriated by the Government. Approximately 2.5 million acres of land were seized from Hindus, and almost all of the 10 million Hindus in the country were affected. In April 2001, parliament passed the Vested Property Return Act, stipulating that land remaining under government control that was seized under the Vested Property Act be returned to its original owners, provided that the original owners or their heirs remained resident citizens. The Government was required to prepare a list of vested property holdings by October 2001, and claims were to have been filed within ninety days of the publication date. In 2002, parliament passed an amendment to the Vested Property Return Act, which allowed the Government unlimited time to return the vested properties and gave control of the properties, including the right to lease them, to local government employees. By the end of the period covered by this report [June 2006], the Government had not prepared a list of such properties.” [2c] (section II)

The USSD 2005 report notes “As in previous years the government failed to prepare a list of property that was expropriated by the government from Hindus following the 1965 India-Pakistan War.” [2f] (section 2c)

21.15 A particularly serious attack took place on 19 November 2003. The 2005 Religious Freedom Report referred to this incident as follows:

“In 2003, 11 members of a Hindu family burned to death after assailants set fire to their home near the port city of Chittagong. BDG officials ascribed the crime to robbers following a failed robbery attempt, but the opposition Awami League alleged that BNP members attacked the family as part of a local anti-Hindu cleansing effort. A local human rights NGO claimed that the attack was a planned assault on the family because of its Hindu faith. Government ministers visited the home within a few days of the incident and promised action against the perpetrators. Within a month of the attack police arrested 5 persons, 3 of whom confessed to the magistrate and claimed that 14 persons were involved in what they said was an attempted robbery. At the conclusion of the period covered by this report [30 June 2005], police have submitted

their investigations to court twice but the Public Prosecutor has declared the investigations 'faulty', so a third round of investigation was in process." [2i] (section II)

The 2006 Religious Freedom Report noted that, as of June 2006, no charges had yet been filed in this case and a third round of investigation was in progress. [2c] (section II)

- 21.16 The Press Trust of India, on 2 January 2004, relayed a report in the newspaper *The Daily Janakantha* that 30 Hindu people had been injured and 20 houses burnt down in an attack on a village in Natore district. The attackers, numbering about 50, were said to have been led by Moslemuddin, a local BNP leader. Victims said the attack had centred around a property dispute. [56a] The same article recorded that the Government had given Taka 4 lakhs (Tk 400,000) to relatives of the victims of the 19 November 2003 attack near Chittagong, towards their rehabilitation. [56a]
- 21.17 The 2004 Religious Freedom Report stated: "In January [2004] a Hindu temple and three houses belonging to Hindus in Chittagong were burned. According to a prominent human rights NGO, the temple was on disputed ground, and the temple priest sought to expand temple lands. Subsequently, there was conflict between the police, the local fire brigade, and Hindu devotees, who accused the police of destroying the temple. They attacked the police and fire brigade personnel with stones and incendiary devices. There has been no subsequent legal action." [2h] (section II) The *Daily Star* reported on 25 August 2004 that 22 houses belonging to Hindus had been set ablaze in a remote village in Pargachha upazila, apparently by 30 to 40 armed "mobsters" with alleged links to the ruling BNP. The perpetrators left with a "booty" of 18 cows and about Tk 60,000 in cash. [38k]
- 21.18 Freedom House, in a report of June 2005, noted:

"As with the Ahmadiyya mosques, the government also took steps to provide police protection for the religious festivals of other minorities, most notably the Hindus. No major incident of Hindu-Muslim communal violence was reported in the media in 2004. However, over the past few decades, Hindus have faced continual discrimination. For example, immediately following the 2001 elections, the Hindus were subjected to various forms of violence including killing, assault, rape, ransom-seeking, and loss of property." [65a] (p73)
- 21.19 According to the HRW World Report 2006 "There were (also) many reports of forced evictions of Hindus from their properties [during 2005]. In some cases of reported rape of Hindu girls, the police refused to pursue investigations." [10b] A report from the Immigration and Refugee Board (IRB) of Canada, dated 4 August 2006, quoted various other sources in stating that, in 2005 and 2006, reported incidents in which Hindus were the victims have included rape, "torture" [sic], kidnappings, land grabbing and forced evictions, as well as the destruction of Hindu temples and/or religious icons. Some of these incidents were reportedly carried out by BNP supporters and Islamic "extremists". According to the IRB document, the *Daily Star* reported in January 2006 that "land grabbers" had killed two Hindus and injured several others; the authorities appeared to be "turning a blind eye" to the incident. [3u]

- 21.20 As mentioned in paragraph 21.09 above, the UK Bangladesh Hindu Baudha Christian Unity Council (BHBCUC), an independent human rights organisation, has provided a listing of numerous incidents of violent and other crime and acts of intimidation which occurred in Bangladesh during the period August 2005 to July 2006 – in which the victims were members of the Hindu (or another religious minority) community, or in which Hindu sacred images or property were destroyed or damaged. (Copies of monthly reports, which detail each specific incident, are enclosed with the source material.) [57a]

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

BUDDHISTS

- 21.21 As stated in a report of the Canadian Immigration and Refugee Board (IRB) dated 16 August 2005, the majority of the Jumma people of the Chittagong Hill Tracts practice Buddhism. [3v] For further information on the treatment of Buddhists, therefore, refer to Section 22: The Indigenous Jumma Peoples of the Chittagong Hill Tracts.
- 21.22 The 1991 Census showed that there were then 623,000 Buddhists in Bangladesh, of whom 574,000 were living in the Division of Chittagong. [43b] According to an estimate quoted by BuddhaNet, the Australian-based website of the Buddha Dharma Education Association, there were about 1 million Buddhists in Bangladesh by 2004, living mainly in the area of the city of Chittagong, the Chittagong Hill Tracts (CHT), Comilla, Noakhali, Cox's Bazar and in Barisal. The Buddhists of Bangladesh belong to four groups of nations who have been gradually mixed together; the groups are the Austic, the Tibeto-Burman, the Dravians and the Aryans. According to historians the Tibeto-Burman consists of three tribes – the Pyu, the Kanyan and the Thet (Chakma). The Chakma tribes primarily reside in the Chittagong Hill Tracts. The Kanyan tribe is known as the Rakhine (Arakanese) group who still live in the South-Eastern part of Chittagong district. The plain Buddhists of Bangladesh, known as the Burua-Buddhist, are the ancient peoples of Bangladesh who have lived there for five thousand years, according to Arakanese chronology. [87]
- 21.23 United News of Bangladesh announced on 29 August 2004 that six persons had been found guilty and sentenced to death for the April 2002 murder of a Buddhist monk, Gyan Jyoti Mohasthobir, in Raojan upazila. The murder was attributed to a property dispute. [39d] However, reports obtained from the UK Bangladesh Hindu Baudha Christian Unity Council (BHBCUC) indicate that there have, on occasion, been religiously motivated attacks against the Buddhist community. For example, it was reported on 16 June 2006 that a Buddhist temple (Bihar) in the village of Maischari, in Khakdachari District, had been set on fire by Islamic fundamentalists. [57a]

AHMADIS (ALTERNATIVELY AHMADIYYAS OR KADIYANIS OR QADIANIS)

- 21.24 The Ahmadiyya community was founded in the 1880s by Mirza Ghulam Ahmad, who was born in the Punjab town of Qadiyan, according to a report of the Canadian IRB dated June 1991. It later split into two groups, of which Qadiani is the larger; the other is the Lahore branch. While they identify as a Muslim community, Ahmadiyyas are considered heretics by mainstream Islam.

[3m] [20ay] The Human Rights Watch report of June 2005, “Breach of Faith: Persecution of the Ahmadiyya Community in Bangladesh” (HRW 2005 Ahmadiyya report), relates that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad declared himself to be the expected *mahdi*, or messiah of the latter days. According to this HRW report: “Virtually all mainstream Muslim sects believe that Ahmad proclaimed himself as a prophet, thereby rejecting a fundamental tenet of Islam: *Khatme Nabuwat* (literally, the belief in the ‘finality of prophethood’ – that the Prophet Mohammed was the last of the line of prophets leading back through Jesus, Moses, and Abraham).” [10a] (p7) In an Amnesty International (AI) report of 23 April 2004, entitled “The Ahmadiyya Community – their rights must be protected”, it was estimated that there are about 100,000 Ahmadiyyas in Bangladesh. [7k] The HRW 2005 Ahmadiyya report notes that the Ahmadiyya community is also derogatorily referred to by some as the “Qadiani” (or “Kadiyani”) community, a term derived from Ahmad’s birthplace. [10a] (p7)

21.25 According to the 2004 Religious Freedom Report: “In the latter part of 2003, [Ahmadis] were the targets of attacks and harassment prompted by clerics and the rhetoric of leaders of the Islami Okkiya Jote, an Islamic party and coalition partner of the ruling BNP. Many mainstream Muslims view Ahmadis as heretics.” [2h] (section III) The report continued: “Following demands for the ban of Ahmadiyya publications and that Ahmadis be declared non-Muslims, the Government announced such a ban [on publications] on January 8 [2004]. However, several days later...the Prime Minister announced that the Government would not declare Ahmadis to be non-Muslims.” [2h] (section IV) USSD 2005 relates: “Discrimination against Ahmadiyyas continued during the year [2005].” [2f] (section 2c)

21.26 The Amnesty International report of 23 April 2004 had observed:

“Members of the ‘Ahmadiyya Muslim Jamaat’, a religious community which considers itself a sect of Islam, has been the target of a campaign of hate speech organized by a number of Islamist groups in the country in recent months.

“These groups have mobilised crowds to chant anti-Ahmadiyya slogans, have sought confiscation of Ahmadi mosques, and have demanded that the government declare the sect non-Muslim. Members of the Ahmadiyya community in Bangladesh, about 100,000 in number, have been living in fear of attack, looting and killing since around October 2003 when the Anti-Ahmadi agitations began...The agitators have been involved in ‘excommunication’ and illegal house arrest of Ahmadis, the killing of an Ahmadi Imam (preacher), beating of Ahmadis, and marches to occupy Ahmadi mosques... While the Government of Bangladesh has acted to prevent the crowds from entering Ahmadi mosques, it has taken no action against the perpetrators of the hate campaign. Fundamental rights of the Ahmadis have been further violated by a government ban on their publications.” [7k] (p1)

The same AI report records that on 31 October 2003, the Imam of an Ahmadi mosque in Jessore district was beaten to death after he refused to recant his faith; no charges had been brought against his attackers by the time the AI report was published. [7k] (p1)

21.27 On 9 January 2004 Agence France-Presse reported: “Bangladesh banned publications of the Ahmadiyyas, a minority Muslim movement, from Friday

after pressure from rival Islamic hardliners, officials said. The Home Ministry banned the sale, distribution and possession of publications by the Ahmadiyyas, estimated to number 100,000 in Bangladesh ...'The ban was imposed in view of objectionable materials in such publications that hurt or might hurt the sentiments of the majority Muslim population', a Home Ministry statement said late Thursday [8 January]." [23f] The AI report of 23 April 2004 detailed that the ban on Ahmadiyya publications included any translations, with interpretations, of the Koran. The report commented: "The ban highlighted the possibility that the government had yielded to pressure from anti-Ahmadi Islamist groups. According to reports in Bangladeshi newspapers, it had been imposed at the instigation of Islami Oikya Jote, a political party and junior partner in the coalition government." [7k] (p2) BBC News announced on 21 December 2004 that the High Court had temporarily suspended the Government's ban on Ahmadiyya publications. [20ay] The HRW 2005 Ahmadiyya report provides the following detail:

"On December 21, 2004, while not in session, Bangladesh's High Court temporarily suspended the order of January 8, 2004 banning the Ahmadiyya publications in response to a legal challenge launched by human rights groups in the country. The court issued an interim stay order suspending the ban pending the reopening of the High Court. It also directed that the ban not be notified in the official Bangladesh gazette. In January 2005, the High Court extended the stay order and it remained in effect at this writing [mid-2005]." [10a] (p31-32)

The HRW report noted that any order banning Ahmadiyya publications would have to be published in the Bangladesh government gazette in order to have legal effect. [10a] (p30)

The USSD 2005 report observed: "The government ban on the publishing of Ahmadiya literature continued to be stayed by the high court, and the government did not appeal the stay to the appellate court, effectively allowing Ahmadiyas, for the time being, to publish their materials." [2f] (section 2c) The 2006 Religious Freedom Report has confirmed that, by June 2006, the ban on Ahmadiyya publications was still not being enforced; with few exceptions, the police had respected the High Court's ruling of January 2004. [2c] (section II)

21.28 The HRW 2005 Ahmadiyya report states:

"Throughout 2004 and into 2005, the Khatme Nabuwat (K.N.), an umbrella organization of Islamist groups dedicated to the preservation of 'the finality of the prophethood' of Mohammad, has threatened the Ahmadiyya community with attacks on their mosques and campaigned for Ahmadis to be declared non-Muslim. The K.N. enjoys links to the governing Bangladesh National Party (BNP) through the BNP's coalition partners, the Jama'at-e-Islami (J.I.) and the Islami Oikya Jote (IOJ)." [10a] (p2)

The report adds: "Since the government ban on Ahmadiyya publications was introduced [see 6.59 above], anti-Ahmadi activities have continued and intensified across Bangladesh. These incidents have included massive anti-Ahmadi rallies, threats against members of the group, attacks on mosques, the refusal to allow Ahmadi children to go to school, and the confiscation of Ahmadiyya publications." [10a] (p3)

The HRW report comments: “In the overheated, sectarian atmosphere of contemporary Bangladesh, with the ruling government more religiously intolerant than any government since the country’s founding, Ahmadiis fear that even a tiny spark could unleash a serious and perhaps uncontrollable wave of violence against members of their community.” [10a] (p4)

- 21.29 The USSD 2004 report related “In April [2004], police failed to prevent Muslim demonstrators from destroying 12 houses belonging to Ahmadiyas and harassing 15 converted Ahmadiya men and women in a village in Rangpur. The converts were held against their will for several hours and pressured to renounce their new faith by some local Muslims.” [2d] (section 2c) The 2004 Religious Freedom Report recorded that no legal action had been taken against their assailants. [2h] (section III)
- 21.30 The *Daily Star* of 29 August 2004 reported that the police had “foiled” plans by religious extremists to lay siege to the Ahmadiyya central complex in Dhaka on Friday 27 August. [38j] (An Amnesty International release of 25 August 2004 had stated that Islamist leaders had threatened to attack the Ahmadiyya complex on 27 August unless the Government declared the sect to be ‘non-Muslim’. [7i] A Financial Times Information report of 28 August 2004 noted that fourteen platoons of police had been deployed to protect the Ahmadiyya complex. [21d] USSD 2004 indicated that the threats against the Ahmadiyya community were coming primarily from members of the groups ‘Khatme Nabuwat Movement/Committee’ and ‘Aamra Dhakabashi’. On August 27 [2004] the police arrested four leaders of Aamra Dhakabashi prior to the planned siege of the Ahmadiyya complex in Dhaka. [2d] (section 2c)
- 21.31 The *Daily Star* announced on 9 October 2004 that, on 7 October, hundreds of Islamist “zealots” under the banner of the Khatme Nabuwat Committee had attempted to “capture” an Ahmadiyya mosque in Narayanganj, but that they had been prevented from doing so by the security forces and by eleven cultural and religious bodies who staged a counter-demonstration. [38u] However, the *Daily Star* reported on 30 October 2004 that “orthodox Muslim fanatics” had razed an Ahmadiyya mosque at Bhadughar in Brahmanbaria on 29 October, minutes before the start of Juma (Friday) prayers. The mob forced their way into the mosque and went on a rampage inside it; they then broke away the bamboo walls, while hundreds chanted anti-Ahmadiyya slogans outside. At least 11 people were injured; the Imam of the mosque was in a critical condition after being hit with an axe. [The Imam reportedly died from his injuries en route to hospital.] The mob then vandalised the nearby homes of 12 Ahmadi families. Police arrived at the scene an hour after the incident but did not make any arrests; they apparently only cautioned the leaders of the anti-Ahmadiyya groups and some influential local people against any further attacks. [38v]
- 21.32 The Human Rights Watch (HRW) World Report 2006 notes “Attacks on Ahmadiyya homes and places of worship continued in 2005. Although human rights groups and journalists documented these attacks, the government to date has not prosecuted any of the responsible individuals and has not disciplined police who failed to protect victims.” [10b] The HRW 2005 Ahmadiyya report recorded that, on 11 March 2005 at Seuzgari in the northern district of Bogra, around ten thousand supporters of the Khatme Nabuwat (KN) movement gathered and, with the active participation of the local police, hung a signboard on the local Ahmadiyya mosque which read: “A place of worship

of the Qadianis in Bogra Town; no Muslim should be deceived into considering it a mosque". [10a] (p38) The HRW 2005 Ahmadiyya report also details an attack on the Ahmadiyya community on 17 April 2005 in Joytidrianagar, a remote village in the southwestern Satkhira district. A mob led by Khatme Nabuwat sought to place on the Ahmadi mosque a signboard reading: "This is a place of worship for Kadianis; no Muslim should mistake it for a mosque". When the mob met with resistance from members of the local Ahmadiyya community it retaliated, injuring at least 25 people. The police, instead of preventing the incident from occurring, apparently sought to contain the situation by taking possession of the signboard and hanging it themselves on the Ahmadi mosque. Afterwards, KN activists went on the rampage, looting nearby Ahmadiyya homes and injuring many Ahmadis in the process, some of them seriously. [10a] (p2)

21.33 On 22 June 2005, an Ahmadiyya mosque in Nator was set ablaze. (USSD 2005) Two days later, several bombs were detonated at an Ahmadiyya mosque in Brahmanbaria and four bombs at an Ahmadiyya mosque in Bhadugarh in the Branmanbaria area. Eight persons were arrested in connection with these attacks. [2f] (section 2c)

21.34 The *Daily Star* reported on 19 July 2005: "Local zealots yesterday vandalised an under-construction Ahmadiyya mosque in the presence of police at Dakshin Khan in city's Uttara [in Dhaka district], causing panic among the sect members. The Ahmadiyyas alleged that the religious bigots have been obstructing the construction work since it began in February this year." A local BNP leader was quoted as saying: "We have never asked them [Ahmadiyyas] not to build any mosque on their land ... They have a mosque on their land for years." [38z] BBC News reported on 23 December 2005 that hundreds of Khatme Nabuwat (KN) supporters had marched on an Ahmadiyya mosque in Dhaka the previous day and attempted to hang a sign saying that that the Ahmadiyya mosque was not a mosque. Police used batons and teargas to disperse the KN supporters; at least ten people, including some policemen, were injured in the clash. The Junior Religious Affairs Minister reportedly described as "not acceptable" the demand that the Government enact a law to declare the Ahmadiyya non-Muslim. [20bv]

21.35 The 2006 Religious Freedom Report recorded that, in June 2006, Khatme Nabuwat Andolon Bangladesh (KNAB), a splinter group of Khatme Nabuwat Bangladesh, again issued demands that the Government declare Ahmadis to be non-Muslims. On 23 June 2006 around 1,500 to 2,000 KNAB-led marchers attempted to seize an Ahmadiyya mosque near Dhaka, but were prevented from doing so by some 3,000 police who had been rapidly deployed to the scene. [2c] (section III) The 2006 Religious Freedom Report noted further that, during the year July 2005 to June 2006:

"The government continued not to enforce the ban on Ahmaddiya [sic] publications. Furthermore, protesters were generally stopped from hanging signs outside of Ahmaddiya mosques declaring them nonmosques or threatening the lives or property of Ahmadis. This contrasted sharply from previous years, when police sometimes facilitated the hanging of such signs." [2c] (section II)

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

CHRISTIANS

- 21.36 A report from the Immigration and Refugee Board of Canada, dated 9 August 2006, has quoted from various other sources as follows:

“There are an estimated 350,000 to 500,000 Christians living in Bangladesh, the majority of whom are Catholic. The [2005 Religious Freedom Report] indicates that Bengali Christians are spread across Bangladesh, and that some indigenous (non-Bengali) groups are also Christian... Reported incidents against religious minorities, including Christians, have included killings, sexual assaults, extortion, intimidation, forced eviction, and attacks on places of worship... According to Open Doors (OD), an evangelical Christian organization that provides religious materials, training and support to Christians around the world, Christians, and particularly Muslims who convert to Christianity, are not safe in Bangladesh. According to the International Coalition for Religious Freedom, a US-based NGO, Muslim converts to Christianity generally do not openly practice their religion. Sources consulted indicate that Muslims who convert to Christianity could face rejection by their families and society and physical ‘danger’. A May 2005 U.S. Newswire article reports that Christian Freedom International (CFI), a US based human rights organization, found evidence of ‘persecution’ of Muslims who had converted to Christianity during a fact-finding mission to Bangladesh. Cited in the same article, the president of CFI indicated that Muslim women who convert to Christianity may be subject to beatings, abduction, rape, forced marriage and forced reconversion to Islam. Specific reports of Muslim women who converted to Christianity being subject to such treatment could not be found among the sources consulted by the Research Directorate [IRB]... The government has, however, taken measures to provide security at places of worship of religious minorities around the country. During Christmas celebrations in 2005, the government reportedly tightened security at churches across the country following a series of Islamic militant bombings earlier that year.” [3t]

The Canadian IRB report of 5 August 2003 had noted that proselytising is permitted under the law, but strong social resistance to conversion from Islam means most proselytising tends to be aimed at Hindus and tribal groups. [3n]

- 21.37 The 2004 Religious Freedom Report recorded that, in June 2001, a bomb exploded inside a Catholic church in Gopalganj District during Sunday Mass, killing 10 persons and injuring 20 others. A judicial commission was formed in 2001 to investigate the bombing, but its findings have subsequently been discredited. The Government has taken no action on the commission's report and the police are reportedly not pursuing the case actively. [2h] (section II)
- 21.38 According to an article in *The Guardian* (UK) of 21 July 2003: “In the village of Fhainjana, a mob of 200 fundamentalists recently looted 10 Christian houses, allegedly assaulting many women and children. Christians were seriously beaten and others molested after refusing to give money to thugs in the village of Kamalapur, near Dhaka. [55a]
- 21.39 USSD 2005 notes that, on 18 September 2004, unidentified assailants killed Dr. Joseph Gomes, a Christian convert, near his home in Jamalpur district. Police arrested a local madrassah teacher, Maulana Abdus Sobhan Munshi, alias Michha Munshi, for the killing, held him for two weeks, and released him.

By the end of 2004 no one else had been charged in connection with the crime. [2f] (section 2c) On 28 July 2005, unknown assailants in Faridpur district killed two employees of the NGO Christian Life Bangladesh, allegedly because they showed an evangelical film. Police arrested several suspects for the killing, but by the end of 2005 police had released all suspects and no charges had been filed. [2f] (section 2c)

- 21.40 The 2006 Religious Freedom Report records certain incidents of harassment and violence in which the victims were Christian. In July 2005, two Christian NGO workers were killed in Boalmari, Faridpur, reportedly after receiving threats from local leaders angry at their attempts to convert persons to Christianity. Two men were arrested for the killings. In April 2006 it was reported that arsonists had burned down a church in a remote village in the Chittagong Hill Tracts, apparently in retaliation for the conversion of local Buddhists to Christianity. Unconfirmed reports indicated that, in July 2005, the Grace Presbyterian Bible College in Khulna was moved to a different location after the school had been attacked on three occasions. [2c] (section III)

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

ETHNIC GROUPS

THE INDIGENOUS JUMMA PEOPLES OF THE CHITTAGONG HILL TRACTS

[The Chittagong Hill Tracts (CHT) covers about 10 per cent of the total land area of Bangladesh; it includes the districts of Khagrachhari, Rangamati and Bandarban within the Division of Chittagong. [25]]

- 22.01 Amnesty International (AI), in a report of 1 March 2004, informed as follows:

“The Chittagong Hill Tracts (CHT) is a hilly, forested area in southeastern Bangladesh which for many hundreds of years has been home to people from 13 indigenous tribes [collectively known as the *Jumma* people]. These tribal people differ significantly from the rest of the population of Bangladesh in terms of their appearance, language, religion and social organisation.” [7m]

“Pressure for land to cultivate and encouragement from successive governments have led to the migration of large numbers of non-tribal Bengali people to the CHT. Tribal people have viewed the movement of Bengali settlers to the CHT as a threat to their way of life and their customs and traditions.” [7m]

“Armed rebellion in the Chittagong Hill Tracts began in mid-1970s. A peace accord signed in 1997 ended the armed conflict, but human rights violations against the tribal people which began during the armed conflict have continued on a smaller scale.” [7m]

- 22.02 The Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre ‘Profile of the internal displacement situation’ dated 28 March 2006 (IDMC 2006 Profile) quotes from various primary sources as follows:

“Prior to the creation of Bangladesh in 1971, the population of the [CHT] area consisted almost entirely of people from 13 different indigenous tribes. The

tribal people who differ significantly from the majority population of Bangladesh are of Sino-Tibetan descent, have a distinctive appearance with Mongoloid features and are predominantly Buddhists, with small numbers of Hindus. They differ linguistically and in their social organization, marriage customs, birth and death rites, food, agriculture techniques and other social and cultural customs from the people of the rest of the country. (AI February 2000, section 2) ...The three largest groups are the Chakma, the Marma and the Tripura. The total population of the CHT, in the 1991 census, was 974,445 of which 51.43 per cent were indigenous Jumma people and 48.57 per cent were non-indigenous Bengalis. At the time of the independence of India in 1947, only 9 per cent of the population of the CHT was non-indigenous. (UNPO 1997)" [45c] (p26)

- 22.03 The Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre, in a special report of 28 March 2006 entitled 'Minorities increasingly at risk of displacement', recorded as follows:

"Tensions intensified after the independence of Bangladesh in 1971, when tribal demands for constitutional safeguards and recognition as a separate community were rejected (Amena Mohsin, 2003, p. 22). The tribal population reacted by creating the Parbatya Chattagram Jana Samhati Samiti or Chittagong Hill Tracts People's Solidarity Association (PCJSS) in 1972. Its armed wing, the Shanti Bahini, was formed in January 1973...In 1976, Shanti Bahini started an armed insurgency with the support of India, which in turn led to a sharp increase of government forces in the Hill Tracts. Thus began a 25-year-long armed conflict...As the conflict escalated, the government began relocating Bengalis in the Chittagong Hill Tracts as a counter-insurgency strategy. Between 1979 and 1983, over 400,000 poor and landless Bengalis from the plains were settled in the region and provided with land, cash, rations and other incentives (AITPN, April 1998, p. 20-21). At the height of the conflict, almost one third of the Bangladesh army was deployed in the region and Bengali settlers were also mobilised against the tribal population. Official figures indicate that more than 8,500 people were killed during two decades of insurgency, including some 2,500 civilians (AI, February 2000)." [45b] (p9)

"Forced evictions, atrocities in the conflict between the Shanti Bahini and government forces, confiscation of land to establish military camps, the population transfer programme and clashes between tribals and new settlers compelled tens of thousands of [Jumma] to leave their homes. After 1980, ten major massacres by Bengali settlers and the security forces led to a refugee exodus of about 65,000 tribals to the neighbouring Indian state of Tripura (AI 2000, UN GA, August 2000, para. 69). An even larger number were internally displaced." [45b] (p9)

- 22.04 The Europa World Year Book 2004, Volume 1, notes:

"In December 1997 the Bangladesh Government signed a peace agreement with the political wing of the Shanti Bahini [the Parbatya Chattagram Jana Sanghati Samity – PCJSS], ending the insurgency in the Chittagong Hill Tracts. The treaty offered the [PCJSS] a general amnesty in return for the surrender of their weapons and gave the [Jumma] people greater powers of self-governance through the establishment of three new elected district councils (to control the area's land management and policing) and a Regional Council (the chairman of which was to have the rank of a state minister). The

peace agreement, which was strongly criticized by the opposition [BNP] for representing a 'sell-out' of the area to India and a threat to Bangladesh's sovereignty, was expected to accelerate the process of repatriating the remaining refugees from Tripura (who totalled about 31,000 at the end of December 1997). According to official Indian sources, only about 5,500 refugees remained in Tripura [in India] by early February 1998. By the end of 2000 most of the Chakma refugees had been repatriated, the district and regional councils were in operation, and a land commission had been established." [1a] (p640)

A general amnesty was granted to PCJSS members who surrendered their arms within the time frame set out in the Peace Accord. The Bangladesh High Commission in London advised in March 2006 that 71 members of PCJSS who surrendered their arms under the terms of the amnesty have since been reinstated to their previous jobs in government and autonomous bodies, and a total of 715 PCJSS members have been appointed to various posts in the Bangladesh Police Force. [79a]

According to the IDMC report of 28 March 2006, most returning refugees were provided with some economic rehabilitation and food rations, but many did not recover their lands which were now occupied by Bengali settlers. [45b](p11)

- 22.05 The IDMC 2006 Profile observes that the issue of tribal land ownership has remained at the core of the conflict in the CHT:

"...the situation of more than 60,000 internally displaced Chakma remained unresolved at the end of 2002, despite provisions in the 'accord' for the 'rehabilitation' of both the refugees and the internally displaced. (USCR 2003)...The settlers confiscated their land and in many instances obtained official certificates of ownership." (AI February 2000) [45c] (p49-50)

"A major problem is to determine the ownership of tribal land. Among the tribal population many did not possess any documentation of land ownership [tribal communities owned land on a communal basis and little documentation was deemed necessary], while Bengali settlers taking over their land obtained official certificates ...The Land Commission, which was supposed to resolve land disputes, has not been functioning for two years..." [45c] (p63)

The IDMC report of 28 March 2006 noted:

"The Land Commission was to function as a special tribunal for property restitution for the tribal people. By May 2003, some 35,000 cases had been filed involving land disputes between indigenous people and state-sponsored settlers (Daily Star, 5 May 2003). However, it had not even started its work as of March 2006." After years of delay, the Commission had met for the first time on 8 June 2005. (Daily Star, 9 June 2005). [45b] (p12)

- 22.06 As stated in USSD 2005:

"Tribal people have had a marginal ability to influence decisions concerning the use of their lands. Despite the 1997 Chittagong Hill Tracts (CHT) Peace Accord, which ended 25 years of insurgency in the CHT, law and order problems and alleged human rights violations continued, as did dissatisfaction

with the implementation of the Peace Accord. The Land Commission dealing with land disputes between tribal individuals and Bengali settlers did not function effectively in addressing critical land disputes. Tribal leaders remained disappointed with the lack of assistance provided to those who left the area during the insurgency.” [2f] (section 5)

- 22.07 The AI report of 1 March 2004 recorded: “More than six years after the signing of the Chittagong Hill Tracts Peace Accord, the tribal inhabitants of the area continue to live in fear of attacks from Bengali settlers often carried out with the apparent connivance of army personnel.” [7m] One such attack took place in August 2003 in the Mahalchari area of the Khagrachari District. Eyewitnesses reported that nine women were sexually assaulted, a man was killed in front of his family, a nine-month-old baby was strangled to death and several people sustained serious injuries; hundreds of houses were burnt down and dozens were looted. [7m] A report of 25 August 2004 from the Asian Centre for Human Rights (ACHR) stated that 10 Jumma villages were destroyed in the August 2003 attack. Hundreds of Jumma people reportedly fled and became displaced. Two parliamentary teams – one from the ruling BNP and one from the Awami League – visited the area... “Yet, both justice and effective rehabilitation eluded the victims.” [53a]
- 22.08 The Asian Centre for Human Rights report of August 2004 detailed an incident on 3 August 2004 in which about 50 Bengali settlers attacked and killed a Jumma man and his wife in the Rangamati hill district. The report comments: “In the post Chittagong Hill Tracts Peace Accord period since December 1997, such attacks on indigenous Jumma peoples have replaced the organised massacres that characterised the repression on the Jummas between 1976 and 1992. The attacks are aimed to terrorise indigenous Jummas to grab their land. ...The root of the CHT’s crisis lies in the policies of the Government of Bangladesh which seek to establish homogeneous Bengali Muslim society by destroying the district identity of the indigenous Jumma peoples. About 500,000 illegal plain settlers were implanted into the CHT during 1979-1983 by providing inducements. The CHT Accord not only failed to address the [problem] of the implanted illegal settlers, but settlement of illegal settlers intensified.” [53a]
- 22.09 The IDMC 2006 Profile quotes an article in the Bangladesh *Daily Star* of 4 September 2003:
- “According to The *Daily Star*..., over 1,500 indigenous people have been displaced by recent ethnic violence in the southeastern district of Khagrachhari. The IDPs (internally displaced persons) have been living in the open and in forests 7 days after Bengali settlers burned and looted 8 villages in revenge for the recent kidnapping of a Bengali businessman in the area. Army and police personnel have been reportedly deployed to the raided villages, however, the IDPs have not yet returned due to security fears. In addition, 5 villages were reportedly completely burnt down. The indigenous people claim that both Hindu and Muslim Bengali settlers torched and looted about 350 houses, killing two and raping at least 10 women. In addition, they allege that police stood by during the attacks. Police claim they could not control the attacking mobs.” [45c] (p33)

- 22.10 United News of Bangladesh reported on 29 August 2004 that six persons had been found guilty and sentenced to death for the April 2002 murder of a Buddhist monk, Gyan Jyoti Mohasthobir, in Raojan upazila. [39d]
- 22.11 The Global IDP Report of February 2005 reported that, during 2004, both UPDF and PCJSS supporters attacked villages and forced several hundred to flee. For example, the IDMC 2006 Profile quotes the Centre of Excellence in Disaster Management & Humanitarian Assistance as follows:
- “[In September 2004] at least 300 indigenous people were reportedly displaced in the CHT ... after armed members of the United People’s Democratic Front (UPDF), another tribal group that opposes the treaty, attacked their villages in Rangamati district. About 300 people [were] taking refuge in a community centre, while 500 others [were] reportedly hiding in the jungle. More than 200 people have reportedly died in violence in CHT since 1997. (COE-DMHA, 21 September 2004).” [45c] (p33)
- 22.12 The IDMC report of 28 March 2006 observed that tensions between Jumma and Bengali settlers, political and criminal attacks, abductions, “anti-terrorist” military operations and clashes between militants of the Parbatya Chattagram Jana Samhati Samiti (PCJSS) and the antiaccord tribal group, United People’s Democratic Forum (UPDF) continued unabated in 2005 and contributed towards a general climate of insecurity, although no major episodes of violence leading to displacement were reported during the year. [45c] (p17) The USSD 2005 report quotes a human rights organisation as saying that 25 persons died and 71 were injured in violence in the CHT during 2005. During the same period, 81 persons were kidnapped, 2 women were raped, and 35 persons were arrested. The PCJSS and UPDF blamed each other for most of the abductions in Khagrachhari and Rangamati in 2004. [2f] (section 5)
- 22.13 The USSD 2005 report notes also that there were also reports in 2005 of violence in Rangamati involving Bengalis and tribal people and in other areas there were reports of tribal people losing land to Bengali Muslims. USSD 2005 states that Government-initiated ‘ecoparks’ and national park projects on land traditionally owned by indigenous communities continued to progress in the Moulvibazar and Modhupur forest area despite resistance efforts of indigenous groups. [2d] (section 5)
- 22.14 The USSD 2004 report recorded that the army withdrew an estimated two dozen camps from the CHT in 2004, in partial fulfilment of the PCJSS demand for withdrawal of all army camps as required in the Peace Accord. Police have replaced the army in some of the camps. [2d] (section 5) The Asian Centre for Human Rights (ACHR), in a report of May 2005, quoted the PCJSS as saying that only 35 out of about 500 security forces camps had by then been withdrawn, while some new camps had been established. [53b] However, the Bangladesh High Commission in London stated in a report in March 2006: “The temporary Security Forces Camps of the government are being withdrawn phase by phase according to the provision of the Accord (Art\17A, Part 4 of the Accord). Already 152 security forces camps have been withdrawn.” [79a]
- 22.15 The Asian Centre for Human Rights (ACHR) report of May 2005 claimed that members of the United People’s Democratic Forum (UPDF) had been facing

repression from the state; hundreds of its activists had reportedly been arrested on false charges to “weaken their protests against the policies of the government of Bangladesh”. On 23 May 2005 police reportedly raided a UPDF office at Swanirbhar Bazar and arrested 16 of its members, ahead of a UPDF demonstration planned to take place on 7 June. [53b] According to the IDMC report of 28 March 2006, communities in the CHT faced new restrictions and harassment by government officials aimed at limiting their freedom of expression. The authorities stopped at least two PCJSS meetings in 2005, but also prevented a meeting of the Permanent Bengali Welfare Council from taking place. Tribal representatives reportedly faced intimidation due to statements they made to the UN Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues in New York in May 2005. [45b] (p17-18)

22.16 The United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) announced on 15 December 2005 that the UNDP and the Government of Bangladesh had agreed a US\$50 million joint investment programme in the Chittagong Hill Tracts for the period 2006 to 2009 [8e] The European Commission, in August 2005, allocated 7.5 million euros to the Chittagong Hill Tracts Development Facility Programme. [75] Several other foreign donors and agencies have also been involved in development projects in the CHT since 2001. [79a]

22.17 The IDMC report of 28 March 2006 states that “The tribal population of the Chittagong Hill Tracts remains under serious threat of displacement as a result of evictions from existing reserve forests, acquisition of land by government agencies for the creation of additional reserve forests, expansion of military facilities and lease of land by the government for commercial plantations.” The report quotes allegations that the government plans to move several thousand Bengali families to the Kassalong forest reserve. [45b] (p18-19)

See also Section 31: [Internally Displaced People](#)

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

BIHARIS

22.18 The US State Department Report 2005 (USSD 2005), published on 8 March 2006, records:

“Approximately 300,000 non-Bengali Bihari Muslims who emigrated to the former East Pakistan during the 1947 partition of British India and who supported Pakistan during the 1971 War of Independence continued to live in camps throughout the country. According to NGO Refugees International, they lived in camps in the country with little access to education, medical attention, and in unsanitary conditions. Some Biharis declined citizenship in 1972 and were awaiting repatriation to Pakistan, where the Government was reluctant to accept them. Many of the stranded Biharis born after 1971 have assimilated into the mainstream Bengali-speaking environment and likely would accept citizenship if it was offered.” [2f] (section 2d)

22.19 As stated in the 1998 article “Fifty Years in Exile: The Biharis Remain in India”, on the US Committee for Refugees and Immigrants (USCR) website: “The Biharis are Muslims who originated in what is now India’s state of Bihar. In 1947, at the time of partition, they, along with millions of other Muslims, moved

to East Pakistan (today's Bangladesh). Unlike the majority of those other Muslims, however, the Biharis were not Bengali-speakers, but Urdu-speakers with closer links to Muslims who moved to West Pakistan (today's Pakistan). Between 1947 and 1971, as citizens of greater Pakistan, the Biharis enjoyed the same rights as other residents of East Pakistan and lived amicably alongside the Bengali speaking majority." [37d]

22.20 The US Committee for Refugees and Immigrants World Refugee Survey 2005 (USCRI 2005) noted that Pakistan had accepted some 170,000 Biharis for resettlement by 1973. An article in the *Dhaka Courier* of 5 May 2000 stated: "During an official visit of Begum Zia to Pakistan in August 1992, an agreement was signed between the two governments to take back [a further] 3,000 stranded Pakistani families from Bangladesh to Pakistan. The repatriation process began in early 1993. But after the repatriation of only 325 families, Pakistan on the plea of fund constraint suspended the process." [12c]

22.21 An undated report entitled "A Forsaken Minority: The Camp Based Bihari Community in Bangladesh", issued by the Refugee and Migratory Movements Research Unit, Dhaka, relates:

"The legal status of the Biharis has been the subject of a major controversy. Although there is a general perception that Biharis are Pakistanis, Biharis appear to be eligible under the laws of citizenship of Bangladesh. Article 3(d) of the Bangladesh Citizenship Act, 1951 provides citizenship eligibility criterion. It states that 'who before the commencement of this Act migrated to the territories now included in Bangladesh from any territory in the Indo-Pakistan sub-continent outside those territories with the intention of residing permanently in those territories'. Article 2 of the Bangladesh Citizenship (Temporary Provisions) Order, 1972 stipulates: 'who or whose father or grandfather was born in the territories now comprised in Bangladesh and who was a permanent resident of the territories now comprised in Bangladesh on the 25th March 1971, and continues to be so resident;' or 'who was a permanent resident of the territories now comprised in Bangladesh on the 25th day of March 1971, and continues to be so resident and is not otherwise disqualified for being a citizen by or under any law, for the time being in force.' Under such broad sweep of these laws everyone residing permanently before 25 March 1971, including the Biharis, is entitled to Bangladesh citizenship. Article 2B of the Bangladesh Citizenship (Temporary Provisions) Amendment Ordinance 1978, however, contains a disqualification clause which states that a person shall not be qualified to be a citizen of Bangladesh if he 'owes, affirms or acknowledges, expressly or by conduct, allegiance to a foreign state...' [31] (p12-14)

22.22 The USCR World Refugee Survey 2004 noted:

"The Bangladeshi High Court [in 2003] recognized 10 Biharis as citizens of Bangladesh, after they sued to vote in the 2001 elections arguing that all Biharis born in the camps and residing in Bangladesh since 1947 were citizens, and that their citizenship could not be taken away simply because they lived in a camp or wished to go to Pakistan. The Bangladeshi Minister for Law, Justice, and Parliamentary Affairs said that the government would comply with the court judgment on Bangladesh-born Bihari. Legal experts said the landmark judgment would help other Bihari gain citizenship. However, the

government appealed the case and it was pending at the end of the year [2003].” [37b] (p2)

A Canadian IRB document of 1 April 2005 noted that there was, by then, still no news on the outcome of an appeal. [3o] The USCRI World Refugee Survey 2006 (USCRI 2006) has confirmed as follows:

“In 2003, the Bangladesh High Court recognized ten Biharis, most of them born after 1971, as citizens, and the National Election Commission enrolled them as voters. The Government refused to recognize the community as a whole, however, citing a bar in the Citizenship Law to those who acknowledged allegiance to a foreign state and the fact that they had sought resettlement there in the 1970s...Hundreds of Biharis demanding resettlement marched on the Pakistani embassy in February 2006, where clashes with the police resulted in 30 injuries.” [37f]

22.23 According to a Canadian IRB report of 9 April 2003, between 240,000 and 300,000 Biharis were estimated to be living in Bangladesh in 2001/02. [3i] USCR 2005 estimated that, by 2004/05, around half of the Biharis in Bangladesh – some 126,000 to 159,000 people – were still living in 66 camps situated throughout the country; the remainder were living outside of the camps. These camps had been established after the country’s independence by the International Committee of the Red Cross to accommodate Biharis pending their return to Pakistan. [37e] The Canadian IRB report notes further: “Although Biharis are not mandated to live in the camps, a lack of resources means many cannot afford to live anywhere else (New York Times 13 May 2000; USCR 1998). Some also remain in the camps because they ‘feel more secure living among other Biharis’ (ibid).” [3i]

22.24 The NGO Refugees International (RI), in a report dated January 2006, described living conditions in the Bihari camps. The following are excerpts:

“All camps have one thing in common—they are severely overcrowded. In Rangpur, there are several instances in which 12 or more family members sleep huddled together in a single room no larger than eight by ten feet ...Over the years, numerous families have been threatened with and reportedly suffered forced evictions. Lack of clean water, co-habitation with animals, and poor drainage and sanitation systems, contribute to a variety of medical problems including skin disease, water-borne illness, upper respiratory infections and gastro-intestinal disorders. In one camp, only two working wells supplied water to 650 families. In Mirpur’s Millat Camp, there was only one latrine for 6,000 people. Few medical clinics exist, and several camps have no healthcare at all ...The right to a basic education has become a luxury for Bihari children. The school in Saardar Bahardur Camp closed last year due to lack of funding. In Adamgee Camp, only six boys from an entire camp progressed to secondary school. Teachers go unpaid, students study in shifts, and requests to the Minister of Education for new books have been turned down. This lack of education, combined with an already impoverished economy, provides little opportunity for employment either inside or outside the camps. Those able to find employment often face discrimination and harassment ...Poverty is widespread in Bangladesh, and the basic situation for the Biharis resembles that of the poorest citizens of the country. Denial of citizenship, however, creates additional disadvantages for the Bihari. Having no official government recognition and identification papers, prohibits a person

from holding a government job and other professions which require higher education. Lack of status also restricts the Biharis' chances to develop their own economic opportunities and prohibits access to processes that would enable them to safeguard their rights." [74] (p7-8)

- 22.25 Agence France-Press reported on 21 December 2004 that 300 Biharis had held a symbolic six-hour hunger strike in Dhaka. Shoukat Ali, general secretary of the Stranded Pakistani General Repatriation Committee, was quoted as saying: "We want to discuss the issue of repatriation with the Pakistani prime minister during the SAARC [South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation] summit as we are being deprived of all [kinds] of facilities here." [23k]
- 22.26 According to the US Committee for Refugees and Immigrants World Refugee Survey 2005, "By 2004...half of the Biharis lived outside of camps, were integrated into the local community, were eligible to receive passports, to vote, and to attend college, and were able to exercise most of the rights of citizens." [37e] The USCRI 2006 report confirmed that half of the Biharis lived outside of the camps, had been integrated into the local community and were eligible to receive passports, but noted that "The Government allowed camp-based Biharis to travel freely throughout the country but did not issue them international travel documents. Upon UNHCR request, ICRC could issue travel documents to refugees but there were no such requests during the year [2005]." [37f]

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

LESBIAN, GAY, BISEXUAL AND TRANSGENDER PERSONS

- 23.01 In a BBC News report of 21 June 2005, Roland Buerk wrote: "Non-traditional sexuality of any kind is deeply frowned upon in Bangladesh which, although a relatively tolerant Muslim country, remains conservative in sexual matters. Laws dating from the British Raj era making sodomy a crime punishable by life in prison are still on the statute books. In reality they are rarely enforced. The condemnation from society of anyone found to be gay is deterrent enough for most to remain very firmly shut in the closet." [20q] Afsan Chowdhury of Himal Magazine commented as follows in an article in 2004:

"Being gay in Bangladesh isn't easy because society responds differently to sexuality in public and in private ... People involved with gay issues say that between 5 to 10 percent of the population is homosexual. That would mean at least 6 to 12 million Bangladeshis, more than the total population of many countries, prefer the same sex. Even if that estimate is considered to be on the higher side and is reduced by half, the number left would still be significant ... One of the reasons that homosexuality is treated so gingerly is that the country's Criminal Code decrees sodomy (homosexuality or advocacy of the same) a crime which is punishable with a jail sentence ... Demonstration of homosexual tendencies for short periods is quite common in Bangladeshi society. Those practising it are not ostracised, although if caught, are ridiculed ... Male homosexuality is tolerated despite religious sanction. Yet divorce citing gay behaviour by any partner is not known." [12a]

- 23.02 According to the International Lesbian and Gay Association (ILGA) website, accessed on 4 October 2006, same-sex male and same-sex female relationships are both deemed to be illegal. Section 377 of the Penal (Criminal) Code provides: "Whoever voluntarily has carnal intercourse against the order of nature with any man, woman or animal, shall be punished with imprisonment for life, or with imprisonment of either description for a term which may be extended to ten years, and shall also be liable to fine". ILGA has quoted the following from a statement made by a Bangladeshi lawyer to the Swedish Embassy in Dhaka: "You will notice that the words 'homosexual' or 'homosexuality' have not been used in the statute. The instances of prosecution under this section [are] extremely rare. In my twenty years of law practise, I have not known or heard of a case where a person has been prosecuted for or convicted of homosexuality under the aforesaid section. Such a prosecution in fact would be extremely difficult, if not impossible, for lack of witness or evidence." [24]
- 23.03 Commenting on the situation of lesbians in Bangladesh, journalist Richard Ammon noted in June 2006: "The fate of virtually all Islamic women here is marriage and motherhood. Anyone stepping outside that frame by expressing independence or, far worse, as a lesbian, renders herself un-marriageable and sets her on a likely course of rejection and social derision." (GlobalGayz.com) [44a] Afsan Chowdhury of Himal Magazine had observed in 2004 that lesbianism "is kept a secret fearing loss of marriage prospects. And marriage, after all, is society's idea of a woman's ultimate nirvana ... Society frowns upon single women, and the social pressure to marry – doesn't matter who to – is intense. Most succumb to it, despite their sexual preferences, and end up miserably knotted ... The tolerance level for lesbians is very low in Bengali society." [12a]

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

DISABILITY

- 24.01 The USSD 2005 report advises as follows:
- "The law provides for equal treatment and freedom from discrimination for persons with disabilities; however, in practice, persons with disabilities faced social and economic discrimination. The law focuses on prevention of disability, treatment, education, rehabilitation and employment, transport accessibility, and advocacy ... The Ministry of Social Welfare, the Department of Social Services, and the National Foundation for the Development of the Disabled are the government agencies responsible for protecting the rights of persons with disabilities. The Ministry of Social Welfare set up a task force, composed of government officials and members of NGOs, who adopted an action plan in 2004 to improve the overall welfare of the disabled. The plan awaits cabinet approval. Government facilities for treating persons with mental handicaps were inadequate. Several private initiatives existed in the areas of medical and vocational rehabilitation, as well as employment of persons with disabilities." [21] (section 5)
- 24.02 The UN Common Country Assessment for 2004 stated:

“According to the National Forum of Organisations Working With the Disabled [an umbrella organization consisting of more than 80 NGOs working in various fields of disability] approximately 14 percent of the country's population has some form of disability. The economic condition of most families limits their ability to assist with the special needs of the disabled, and superstition and fear of persons with disabilities sometimes results in their isolation.” [8d] (p68-69)

24.03 A report from the Immigration and Refugee Board (IRB) of Canada, dated 1 June 2004, quoted the NGO Bangladesh Protibandhi Kallyan Somity (BPKS), a regional affiliate of Disabled Peoples International, as saying that the major difficulties faced by persons with disabilities (PWDs) in Bangladesh included the following:

- Access to medical services is deficient; facilities are inadequate and there is a lack of specialists to address PWDs;
- Access to education is poor; there is a lack of facilities and teachers; tuition is very costly. Disabled students face discrimination and are ‘ridiculed’ by other students, and many parents do not want their children to study alongside disabled students;
- Access to employment in both the public and private sector is generally not available for PWDs; potential employers lack confidence regarding the ability of PWDs ability to fulfil work duties;
- Access to transportation is deficient; despite three ‘accessible’ buses made available in the capital city by a private transportation company in 2003, the overall transportation system in the country is totally inaccessible to PWDs;
- Children with disabilities (CWDs) are susceptible to diseases and sicknesses such as tetanus and acute respiratory infections, and malnutrition; they tend to suffer from discrimination by their own families in terms of food and clothing provided, and abuse by others; CWDs are among the most vulnerable groups in the country;
- Women with disabilities (WWDs) are deemed victims of ‘double disability’ because of their status as women in Bangladesh and due to their disability; the needs of WWDs are generally ignored and social service facilities for these women are practically non-existent. [3x]

International and domestic NGOs have estimated that disabled persons make up between 10 and 14 per cent of the population. Of the total number of disabled persons recorded in 1998 by the Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, 31.3 per cent were visually disabled, 27.5 per cent were physically disabled, 28 per cent were hearing and speech disabled, 4.9 per cent were mentally disabled, and 8.3 per cent were impaired with Leprosy or Goiter. There are about 7.6 million deaf people in the country, according to the reference source Ethnologue. [3x]

24.04 The Canadian IRB report of 1 June 2004 also listed various steps taken by the Government to address the needs and rights of disabled persons. These have included the establishment of a National Coordination Committee on Disability; the introduction of a National Policy on Disabilities in 1995; and the Disability Welfare Act of 2001, which focuses on prevention of disability and on treatment, education, rehabilitation and employment, transport accessibility and advocacy for disabled people. In 2004 the government declared that disabled persons would be granted access to loan services and that 10 per

cent of public service positions would be allocated to disabled persons. The IRB report confirmed that there were also a large number of NGOs that have been established to assist disabled persons in Bangladesh. The National Forum of Organizations Working with the Disabled (NFOWD) is an umbrella group that consists of between 80 and 144 NGOs which assist disabled persons. [3x]

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

WOMEN

- 25.01 A State party report to the United Nations Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW), dated 3 January 2003, commented: "Bangladesh is a gradually changing society where the position, status and roles of men and women have primarily been shaped by the stereotype of male predominance and authority over women." [47a] (p16) The report notes: "Traditional socio-cultural values and practices work against raising the status of women. Women still have limited opportunities for education, technical and vocational training, employment and activities." (p5-6) ... According to the Constitution, women enjoy the same status and rights as men in terms of education, health, political process, employment, development processes and social welfare. However, in practice, they do not enjoy the fundamental rights and freedom to the extent as men do. The unequal status of women in society and in public life is largely due to the fact of having unequal status in the family life. Women's lower socio-economic status, lower literacy, lesser mobility are some of the practical obstacles to the establishment of their fundamental rights." (p10) The same report details recent initiatives both by the Government and by NGOs to reduce discrimination and gender-based oppression. [47a] (pp7, 10-18) CEDAW, in its Concluding Comments dated 26 July 2004, urged the Bangladesh Government to implement comprehensive awareness-raising programmes to change stereotypical attitudes and norms about the roles of women; CEDAW also expressed concern over the unequal status of Bangladeshi women within the family and the fact that personal laws, derived from religious precepts which are discriminatory to women, continued to exist in the country. [47b] (p5)
- 25.02 The United Nations Common Country Assessment for 2004 recorded:
- "The UNDP Gender Development Index (GDI) for 2004 ranked Bangladesh 110 among 144, which represents an increase of 13 positions since 1999. This improvement can be tied in part to a number of factors relating to Bangladesh's ascendancy into the ranks of those countries considered to be of medium human development. It also reflects a reduction of the gender gap in key indicators such as life expectancy and school enrolment. Bangladesh was also one of the first developing countries to establish a Ministry of Women's Affairs in 1978, three years after the Mexico Conference. Concerted efforts by national and international development agencies, and the Government's own commitment to both national and international pledges, paved the way for the enhancement of women's position and status in society. The Government has already prepared a National Policy for Advancement of Women and made some noteworthy progress in implementing the National Action Plan, prepared in response to the Beijing Platform for Action (PFA) ... However, the relatively low score reflects a continued inequality with respect to literacy rates (31.4% compared to 50.3% for men) and real GDP which was

approximately 56 percent that of men. Of the 78 countries for which a Gender Empowerment Measure (GEM) was calculated, Bangladesh ranked 76th. This reflects the continued low levels of female representation in government, in decision-making roles and in ownership of economic assets, which translates into a significant gender disparity in both income and human poverty, especially at the lower end of income distribution ... Overall, Bangladesh's performance with regard to achieving gender equality and women's empowerment (MDG 3) remains mixed. There has been a narrowing of the gender gap in most MDG social indicators especially in the education sector, where as a result of targeted government policies, female enrolment rates in primary and secondary schools exceeds those for males. However, in other areas such as economic and political participation and adult literacy, much work still remains to be done." [8d] (p15)

LEGAL RIGHTS

25.03 The USSD 2005 report notes:

"Laws specifically prohibit certain forms of discrimination against women, provide for special procedures for persons accused of violence against women and children, call for harsher penalties, provide compensation to victims, and require action against investigating officers for negligence or wilful failure of duty; however, enforcement of these laws was weak. In July 2003, an amendment to the current law was passed, weakening provisions for dowry crimes and addressing the issue of suicide committed by female victims of acts of 'dishonor'." [2f] (section5)

25.04 Dr Nusrat Ameen, in her book *Wife Abuse in Bangladesh* published in 2005, notes that a "patriarchal interpretation of the law" by society is common. "Despite Constitutional guarantees that women shall have equal rights with men in all spheres of the State and of public life...Jahan points out that many aspects of the legal system reflect the continuing dominance of patriarchal attitudes in society." Dr Ameen argues that both substantive and procedural law is not gender-neutral and she notes that the laws governing women's private lives are discriminatory; for example, there is differential treatment of women in divorce proceedings. There is also discrimination between women from different religious groups, since there are different sets of family laws in place for the Muslim, Hindu and Christian communities (*see paragraph 13.11*). Dr Ameen states that the legal solutions made available to women are often constrained by practical factors, such as the weak economic situation of women, the reluctance of the police to become involved in marital disputes, the difficulty of enforcing and sometimes obtaining injunctions, the emphasis on mediation, arbitration and *shalish* by legal aid workers and professionals, as well as illiteracy and family pressures. [80] (p7-14) According to the USSD 2003 report, "Strong social stigmas and lack of means to obtain legal assistance frequently kept women from seeking redress in the courts." [2b] (section 5)

POLITICAL RIGHTS

25.05 The State party report to CEDAW, dated 3 January 2003, noted that the Constitution guarantees equal opportunities for women in politics and public life. Six women were elected to general seats in Parliament in 2001 and, currently, the Prime Minister and the Leader of the Opposition are both

women. At the local government level, three seats on each of the 4,479 Union Parishad councils and three seats on each Zila (district) council are reserved for women. [47a] (pp5, 22, 23)

- 25.06 BBC News reported on 16 May 2004 that Parliament had approved a Constitutional amendment to increase the number of seats in the Jatiya Sangsad from 300 to 345 for a period of ten years, with the additional 45 seats being reserved for women. [20ae] The Economist Intelligence Unit's Bangladesh Country Report of January 2005 (EIU January 2005) noted that the enabling Reserved Women Seats Election Bill was passed in November 2004, but had drawn sharp criticism from women's rights activists and others who argued that the provision of reserved seats violated women's fundamental rights, since no woman can contest such a seat unless nominated by a political party or alliance represented in parliament. Opposition parties criticised the measure as being 'very complex and impractical'. [40b] (p14)

SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC RIGHTS

- 25.07 The USSD 2005 report notes that "Employment opportunities increased at a greater rate for women than for men in the last decade, largely due to the growth of the export garment industry. Women made up 80 percent of garment factory staff. Programs run by the Government and NGOs extending microcredit to rural women improved their economic power. Pay was generally comparable for men and women." [2f] (section 5)

- 25.08 The USSD 2003 report had stated:

"In recent years, female school enrolment has improved. Approximately 50 percent of primary and secondary school students were female. Women often were ignorant of their rights because of continued high illiteracy rates and unequal educational opportunities... Many NGOs operated programs to raise women's awareness of their rights, and to encourage and assist them in exercising those rights. The Government also expanded incentives for female education by making education free for girls up to grade 12 (approximately age 18) and using a stipend system from grades 6 to 12. By comparison, boys received free education up to grade five." [2b] (section 5)

A Freedom House report of June 2005 recorded: "The state continued [in 2004] to take some proactive measures, such as an employment quota and free education for girls up to the secondary level, to promote gender equity." [65a] (p74)

VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN

Domestic Violence

- 25.09 The USSD 2005 report notes also that domestic violence in Bangladesh is widespread, but difficult to quantify. Much of the reported violence against women is related to disputes over dowries; during 2005 the NGO Odhikar found 227 reports of dowry-related killings. The law prohibits rape and physical spousal abuse, but makes no specific provision for spousal rape as a crime. [2f] (section 5)

For a World Health Organisation (WHO) report covering ten countries, 1,603 women were interviewed in Dhaka and 1,527 were interviewed in the rural area of Matlab in Bangladesh between 2000 and 2003. Among ever-married women, 40 per cent in Dhaka and 42 per cent in Matlab reported physical violence by their husband at some point in their lives; 37 per cent in Dhaka and 50 per cent in Matlab reported sexual violence by their husband. Of ever-married women, 19 per cent in Dhaka and 16 per cent in Matlab had been physically abused within the past year. In both areas, 66 per cent of the women who had been physically abused did not tell anyone about the violence and over half did not seek help. Over 50 per cent of those said they did not seek help because they did not think the violence was very serious, while 31 per cent in Dhaka and 43 per cent in Matlab remained silent because of feelings of shame or because they feared they would not be believed. [14e] (Bangladesh fact sheet)

A report of the Canadian Immigration and Refugee Board, dated 12 January 2004, referred to a study quoted by UNFPA's "The State of the World Population" for 2000 – which found that 47 per cent of women surveyed in Bangladeshi villages had, at some time, experienced physical abuse by male partners. [3i]

- 25.10 In her book published for the BNWLA in 2005, entitled *Wife Abuse in Bangladesh*, Dr Nusrat Ameen comments that "Wife abuse is endemic and is overtly or covertly sanctioned [80] (p20) ... Research shows that violence in the family occurs at all levels of society (p22) ... However, the practice of wife abuse is one about which there is least social awareness or outcry in Bangladesh (p27)." Dr Ameen observes that the patriarchal nature of society and of the household, especially in rural areas, permits socially acceptable violence against women in the form of physical chastisement by a husband. A misinterpretation of religious teaching reinforces this social sanction. (p27-36) Women often face domestic violence not only from their husbands, but also from their in-laws. (p49) Dowry abuse has also continued to lead to cases of serious physical abuse or murder and to the suicides of young married women, though the Dowry Prohibition Act came into force in 1980. (p39-45) A UNFPA report in 1997 quoted a study by the NGO Ain-O-Shalish Kendra (ASK) in saying that up to 50 per cent of all murders in Bangladesh have been attributed to marital violence. Evidence suggests that only a minor proportion of such cases reach the courts and result in a conviction. (p49-51)
- 25.11 Dr Ameen observes that the law in Bangladesh is far more involved with 'stranger' violence against women, for example murder, rape or trafficking; there is no specific law on wife abuse, although there are several special laws to deal with violence against women. [80] (pp7&62) Dr Ameen's book examines in detail the various legal remedies available to victims of domestic violence. For example:
- The Penal Code provides sanction: All forms of physical violence, some forms of psychological violence and threats of physical injury constitute criminal offences. In practice, however, when such an offence is committed by a husband against his wife, it is not considered as an offence punishable in the same way. (p47-48)
 - The Women and Children Repression Prevention Act (2000), as Amended in 2003, lays down severe penalties for violent offences against women; it also provides for the speedy trial of offenders in special tribunals situated

throughout the country. The Act specifies deterrent punishment for dowry-related crimes and also covers such 'stranger' offences as rape, trafficking and abduction; however, Dr Ameen states that it "is silent regarding punishment for husbands for abusing wives, except in dowry offences". (p60-61)

- The Dowry Prohibition Act, passed in 1980, also makes giving, taking or demanding dowry a punishable offence. (p58)
- There are no specific civil law remedies to which victims of wife abuse can resort, other than divorce and claims for dower, maintenance and custody. A wife can seek an injunction under the Civil Procedure Code, the Specific Relief Act or the Family Court Ordinance of 1985, but these are ancillary to other proceedings. (p53-54)

The various special laws to protect women from abuse have not proved as effective as they were designed to be; their deterrent value has been diminished by low conviction rates. (pp48 & 58) Dr Ameen adds: "Owing to the prevalent patriarchal attitude towards women, in most cases complaints are not recorded properly by the police, evidence is hard to produce or establish, there is a very slim chance of the perpetrator being punished. A study by the Family Court in Dhaka shows that husbands rarely appear and thus suits are dismissed *ex parte* and wives are denied justice." (p8)

- 25.12 There are several agencies working to assist women in abused situations, notes Dr Ameen. Typically, an agency would serve a notice to the husband to appear at the agency for mediation, following a written complaint made by the wife. If the husband does not attend, the agency has a right to issue a warrant and to seek help from the police. If mediation fails to work, the case may be taken up in court by family lawyers provided by the agency, who would normally handle the case free of charge. [80] (p83-84) Research suggests that most abuse victims are reluctant to report their cases directly to the police and, of those who do, most are not given adequate assistance. There is a widely-held belief that police officers do not like to become involved in 'family matters' and are likely to ask the parties to reconcile matters between themselves. (pp84 & 100) There is often also a reluctance by victims to inform doctors of the injuries they have sustained. (p84-85) Most women in Bangladesh cannot afford to approach lawyers directly. (p84) Women in villages commonly seek arbitration through *Shalish* (local mediation councils) – even though the arbiters are usually the 'local male elite' and a *Shalish* decision is not binding. (p85-86) However, there is, in general, a reluctance among abused women to seek relief against their husbands, often due to social stigma, or economic insecurity, or fear of retribution, or acceptance of violence as a social norm. (p86-88)

- 25.13 The USSD 2005 report records that the Women Affairs Department runs six shelters, one each in the six divisional headquarters, for abused women and children, with a total capacity of 2,300 individuals. The report continues:

"NGOs, such as the Bangladesh National Women Lawyers' Associations (BNWLA), also ran facilities to provide shelter to destitute persons and distressed women and children; however, this was insufficient to meet victims' shelter needs. As a result, the government often held women who filed rape complaints in safe custody, usually in prison. Safe custody frequently resulted in further abuses against victims, discouraged the filing of complaints by other women, and often continued for extended periods during which women were

unable to gain release. In September 2004 there were 184 women in safe custody with 320 children accompanying them.” [2f] (section 5)

Rape

- 25.14 The USSD 2005 report observes that “During the year local NGOs found 907 reported incidents of rape and 91 of attempted rape. The press reported that 126 of the rape victims were killed and that another 14 committed suicide after being raped. Human rights monitors insisted that the actual number of rapes was higher, as many rape victims did not report the incidents in order to avoid social disgrace. Prosecution of rapists was uneven. On January 19 at a workshop organized by BSEHR [Bangladesh Society for the Enforcement of Human Rights], then attorney general A.F. Hassan Ariff said that judges “consider rape like theft, robbery and other crimes.” [2f] (section 5) As noted in the State Party report dated 14 March 2003 to the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), the Suppression of Violence against Women and Children Act 2000 carries the death penalty or life imprisonment for rape if death or injury results or is intended. Attempted rape is subject to a penalty of five to ten years’ imprisonment. [52a] (p31) Amnesty International’s 2004 Annual Report (covering events of 2003) stated: “Women’s rights groups blamed the low rate of convictions for violence against women on a lack of government institutions to support the victims and a lack of trained police officers to investigate the cases.” [7j] (p2)
- 25.15 Dr Nusrat Ameen has noted that the Women and Children Repression Prevention Act (2000) provides for trials to be *in camera*, for non-publication of the victim’s identity and for pecuniary compensation to the victim. [80] (p60-61) An article dated 26 January 2004 from United News of Bangladesh revealed that there were then 2,200 cases pending in the Women and Children Repression Prevention Tribunal. [39h]
- 25.16 The USSD 2004 report had noted:
- “According to BSEHR, there were 11 incidents of rape by law enforcement personnel or other officials during the year [2004]. On December 18 [2004], in Chuadanga, police took Dolly Khatun to a police camp for questioning, where 14 police officers subsequently raped her. Responding to public outcry, the Government withdrew all 14 policemen from duty and arrested 5 of them. On December 21, Khatun filed criminal charges against the policemen. The case remained open at year’s end. In most cases, law enforcement personnel accused of rape and torture were not investigated; however, in some instances the Government took action. In September, three policemen convicted of raping and killing a teenage girl in Dinajpur in 1995 were hanged inside Rangpur prison. In some cases, women were often detained in ‘safe custody’ after reporting a rape (in reality, confined in jail cells), where they endured poor conditions and were sometimes abused and raped again.” [2d] (section 1c)

Acid Attacks

- 25.17 The USSD 2005 report states that “Rejected suitors, angry husbands, or those seeking revenge sometimes threw acid on a woman’s face as an act of retribution.” [2f] (section 1c) “Acid attacks remained a serious problem. Assailants threw acid in the faces of women and a growing number of men,

leaving victims disfigured and often blind... Few perpetrators of the acid attacks were prosecuted.” [2f] (section 5)

- 25.18 The State party report to the UN Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW), dated 3 January 2003, confirmed that two new laws had been introduced in 2002 – the Acid Crime Prevention Act 2002 and the Acid Control Act 2002 – to restrict the import and sale of acid in open markets, allow for trials in acid-throwing cases by a special tribunal (with a right of appeal to a higher court) to make the maximum punishment for acid-throwing offences the death penalty and to provide for the treatment and rehabilitation of victims. [47a] (p20) The Canadian Immigration and Refugee Board, in a report of 12 January 2004, quoted NGO representatives to a 2003 meeting on violence against women as alleging that police, in return for money, had been charging perpetrators under the Women and Children Repression Prevention Act instead of the more severe Acid Control Act. [3i] In a statement by the Asian Legal Resource Centre to the UN Economic and Social Council, dated 10 March 2003, it was stated that the Bangladesh National Women Lawyers Association and the Bangladesh Acid Survivor’s Foundation estimated that only ten per cent of attackers were ever brought to trial. It was also stated that the total number of acid attacks against women was difficult to document because many cases went unreported for fear of reprisals. [8c]

A BBC News article of 29 April 2005 confirmed a continued decrease in the incidence of acid-related attacks in Bangladesh. [20bh] Statistics provided by the Acid Survivors Foundation (ASF) – as updated January 2006 – showed that 211 acid attack incidents were recorded in 2005, with a total of 267 victims; in 2004, 266 incidents were recorded, with 322 victims; in 2003 there had been 335 recorded incidents involving 412 victims. Of the 267 victims in 2005, there were 145 women, 69 men and 53 children under 18 years. Case conviction rates increased after the introduction of the two new acid-related laws in 2002; there was then a lower number of convictions in 2004 and 2005. In 2003, 86 cases led to a conviction, compared with 52 in 2002 and 17 in 2001. During 2005, 32 cases resulted in a conviction. The motives for acid attacks are not always gender-related. For example, 45 per cent of recorded acid attacks in 2005 were, according to the ASF, in connection with land or property or money disputes; 17 per cent of attacks related to marital or dowry disputes and 15 per cent of attacks were categorised as “refusal/rejection of love/marriage/ sex”. [64]

Vigilantism

- 25.19 The USSD 2005 report relates: “Incidents of vigilantism against women – sometimes led by religious leaders (by means of fatwas) – at times occurred, particularly in rural areas.” [2f] (section 5) USSD 2004 stated that such incidents included punishments such as the whipping of women accused of moral offences. ASK [an NGO] reported 35 such cases during 2004. [2d] (section 5)

See also Section 13: [Fatwas](#)

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

CHILDREN

- 26.01 According to the USSD 2005 report: "The government was generally responsive to children's rights and welfare. Many of these efforts were supplemented by local and foreign NGOs, and these joint efforts allowed the country to make significant progress in improving health, nutrition, and education; however, slightly more than one-half of all children were chronically malnourished... According to human rights groups, 205 children were abducted, nearly 314 suffered unnatural deaths, and more than 486 children fell victim to serious abuses such as rape, sexual harassment, torture, and acid attack during the year [2005]. According to child rights activists, violence against children declined due to growing awareness regarding child rights." [2f] (section 5)

GENERAL INFORMATION

- 26.02 The State Party report to the UN Committee on the Rights of the Child (CRC), dated 14 March 2003, noted as follows:

"The age of majority in Bangladesh is set at 18 years under the Majority Act 1875, although the Act has no effect on the capacity of any person in relation to marriage, dowry, divorce and adoption or on the religion and religious customs of any citizen ...Other legislation relating to children does not adopt a uniform definition of the child. This anomalous situation is compounded by the lack of documentary proof of children's ages due to the low level of birth registration, which is a major obstacle to ensuring the rights of children under both the Convention and domestic legislation. The Government is working to arrive at a uniform definition of a child." [52a] (paragraphs 45, 46)

The State Party report of March 2003 gives examples of minimum legal age requirements set by various pieces of national legislation; for example:

- End of compulsory education – 10 years;
- Admission to employment – various ages between 12 and 21 years;
- Marriage – 18 years for girls and 21 years for boys under the Child Marriage Restraint Act 1929, but religious personal laws permit marriage at an earlier age;
- Sexual consent – 14 years;
- Criminal responsibility – full criminal responsibility from the age of 12 years; rebuttable presumption of capacity to infringe the criminal law between the ages of 7 and 11 years;
- Deprivation of liberty including by arrest, detention and imprisonment: Juvenile justice cases – linked to age of criminal responsibility (see above); care and protection cases – no minimum age;
- Capital punishment – 17 years. Life imprisonment in certain exceptional circumstances – 7 years if presumption of capacity not rebutted, otherwise 12 years;
- Giving testimony in court – no minimum age but a witness must be capable of understanding questions put to him or her and of giving intelligible and sensible answers. [52a] (paragraph 47)

- 26.03 The High Court confirmed on 9 July 2006 that all children must be tried in juvenile courts. The Court ruled that "When the accused is a child under the

Children Act 1974, irrespective of the offence alleged, that child must be tried by a juvenile court and not by any other court.” (Save the Children UK – Bangladesh Office, via CRIN.) [30b]

- 26.04 The State Party report to the CRC dated 23 December 2005 notes that the Suppression of Violence against Women and Children Act 2000 lays down severe penalties for various kinds of offences against children (up to 14 years) including rape, sexual harassment, kidnapping and detention for ransom. The same report mentions that it is an offence under the Children Act for a person who has custody, care or charge of a child to assault, ill-treat, neglect, abandon or expose the child or to cause such things to happen to him or her in a way likely to cause the child unnecessary suffering or injury to their health. [52c] (p14-15)
- 26.05 Agence France-Presse confirmed on 8 March 2005 that the Muslim Marriages and Divorces (Registration)(Amendment) Bill 2005 had received presidential consent. [23n]. The Act provides for the registration of all marriages to be made compulsory and has introduced stiffer penalties for under-age marriages; the legal minimum age for marriage remains 18 years for a woman and 21 for a man. (United News of Bangladesh: 16 February 2005) [39q]
- 26.06 The USSD 2005 report quotes a 2002 news release from the government news agency Bangladesh Shongbad Shongsta in saying that there were then approximately 400,000 homeless children in the country, of whom as many as 150,000 had no knowledge of their parents. [2f] (section 5)

EDUCATION

- 26.07 After independence in 1971, the Bangladesh Constitution recognised the need for basic education as a fundamental human right. Provision of such education was thought to be a state responsibility and the state nationalised 36,000 private schools, according to a paper prepared for the European Network of Bangladesh Studies Workshop (ENBSW) in May 2000. [33]
- 26.08 The Bangla2000 website informs that education is divided into four levels: Primary (from grades 1 to 5), Secondary (from grades 6 to 10), Higher Secondary (grades 11 to 12) and Tertiary. In 1998 there were about 52,000 primary schools and 11,000 secondary institutions. The language of tuition in state schools is Bangla. A number of private schools provide an English medium education and offer ‘O’ and ‘A’ level courses. [26a]
- 26.09 The Government provides free schooling for children of both sexes for eight years, states Europa South Asia 2005. Primary education is compulsory and begins at six years of age and lasts for five years. Secondary education begins at the age of eleven and lasts for seven years. [1b] (p119)
- 26.10 There is also a Madrassah system which emphasises an Islamic religious education. A report published by the Asia-Pacific Centre for Security Studies (APCSS) in 2004 estimated that there were, in 2000/1, some 13,400 madrassas in Bangladesh, of which about 6,900 were state-funded. Approximately 3,340,000 pupils then attended madrassas. [27a] (p105 and 107) A BBC News article of 25 February 2005 noted that there were then nearly 8,000 madrassas registered with the Government and perhaps “tens of thousands” of others set up unofficially and outside government control. [20aw]

United News of Bangladesh, in a report of 4 March 2005, stated: "There are 2.5 lakh (250,000) teachers in around 27,000 Ebtedayi, Dakhil, Alim and Kamil madrassas, with 40 lakh (4,000,000) students across the country." [39z] An Asian Centre for Human Rights (ACHR) report of November 2005, entitled "Judges under the attacks of the Jihadis", stated as follows:

"There are presently an estimated 64,000 madrasas in Bangladesh. [The ACHR report quoted the estimate of 64,000 from the Mukhtadhara website: <http://muktadhara.net>] The state support to madrasas, which are increasingly being held responsible for fomenting extremism across the world, has increased exponentially during the current BNP-Jamaat rule. It is not only Saudi funds. The Government of Bangladesh has been using assistance for education from UN agencies, western donors and other multilateral financial institutions to fund the madrasas. According to Bangladesh Economic Review, from 2001 to 2005, the number of madrasas increased by 22.22 per cent in comparison to the 9.74 per cent growth of the general educational institutions. Teachers in the general schools and colleges increased by 12.27 per cent against 16.52 per cent in the madrasas during the same period. The number of students in general educational institutions rose by 8.64 per cent while the madrasas saw 10.12 per cent rise. These figures relate to about 9,000 government-registered madrasas. There are about 15,000 Qawmi madrasas under the Bangladesh Qawmi Madrasa Education Board which are totally out of government control and have their own curriculum ... There are thousands of other madrasas which are not registered under any organisation ... Madrasas have been consistently used as training centres by the Jihadis." [53c] (p8)

- 26.11 The EIU Country Profile 2005 records that the level of enrolment in primary schools increased substantially in the 1990s; the number of primary school children increased from 12.0 million in 1990 to 17.7 million in 2001, and the proportion of female students rose from 44.7 per cent to 49.1 per cent over the same period. Secondary education is provided largely by the private sector; in 2004 there were 16,171 secondary schools with 7.9 million students, about half of whom were female. This EIU report noted, however, that fewer than half of all children complete five years of primary education. It attributes a poor quality of elementary education to badly trained or absentee teachers, large classes and a shortage of books. [40a] (p16)

CHILD LABOUR

- 26.12 As noted in the USSD 2005 report, "Because of widespread poverty, many children began to work at a very young age. According to the Government's National Child Labor Survey published in 2003, the Government estimated that approximately 3.2 million children between the ages of 5 and 14 years worked." The report observes that this has frequently resulted in abuse of children, mainly through mistreatment by employers during domestic service and occasionally including servitude and prostitution. [2f] (section 6d) USSD 2004 recorded that there had been a significant reduction in child labour in the garment industry. In 2004 about 4,000 garment factories were inspected and those found to be employing children were fined. Outside of the garment sector, however, there was virtually no enforcement of child labour laws during 2004. The Government sometimes brought criminal charges against employers who abused domestic servants. [2d] (section 6d) USSD 2005 reports also: "The Government has been a member of ILO-IPEC [the ILO's

International Programme for the Elimination of Child Labour] since 1994. ILO-IPEC programs include a \$6 million project to eliminate the worst forms of child labor in five targeted industries: beedi [cigarette] production, matchmaking, tanneries, construction, and child domestic workers. As of December 2003, 19,874 children had been removed from hazardous work, 19,508 were attending non-formal education training, 7,623 had been admitted to formal schooling, and 3,060 were receiving pre-vocational training.” [2f] (section 6d)

- 26.13 The State Party report to the UN Committee on the Rights of the Child (CRC), published on 14 March 2003, states:

“In 1995-1996, the Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics carried out the first ever comprehensive national household survey on child labour, covering children aged 5 to 14 years. According to the survey, there are 6.6 million child workers in Bangladesh (including those looking for work but excluding students). Of these, 14 per cent work as child domestics. A higher proportion of boys (22 per cent) than girls (16 per cent) work, and the proportion of child workers in rural areas (20 per cent) is higher than in urban areas (15 per cent). More than 90 per cent of working children operate in the informal sector. Two thirds of children work in agriculture, the other main occupations being domestic service, selling, collecting waste, construction work and work in small workshops and factories.”

The report details various statutes which stipulate the minimum ages at which children can legally work in certain sectors: Mines, 15 years (with medical certificate); shops and other commercial establishments, 12 years; factories, 14 years (with medical certificate); workshops where hazardous work is performed, 12 years; tea plantations, 15 years. [52a] (p73-76)

- 26.14 According to the International Labour Organization website:

“In the field of labour legislation, the various minimum ages, fixed by different labour laws regarding children’s admission to work makes it difficult to implement and enforce the labour laws. The Factories Act of 1965, for instance, set the minimum age at 14 years for admission to work in any factory. The Employment of Children Act of 1938 set the minimum age at 15 years while the Shops and Establishment Act of 1965 set the minimum age at 12 years for admission to work. Thus, to bring uniformity in the laws, the Government has prepared a new Labour Code, which currently awaits approval by the Parliament. The draft Labour Code has prescribed a uniform minimum age of 14 years for admission to work which is in conformity with ILO Minimum Age Convention, 1973 (No.138).” [32]

- 26.15 As noted in the USSD 2005 report, “The law prohibits forced or bonded labor, including by children; however, the government did not enforce this prohibition effectively. The Factories Act and Shops and Establishments Act created inspection mechanisms to strengthen laws against forced labor, but these laws were not enforced rigorously, partly because resources were scarce.” [2f] (section 6c)

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

CHILD CARE

- 26.16 The Child Rights Information Network (CRIN) website has noted that Bangladesh is a signatory to the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child and the state is thus obliged to ensure proper care, protection, mental and physical treatment of children and regular review of treatment for the child victims of armed conflict, torture, neglect, maltreatment or exploitation. [30a]
- 26.17 According to research carried out by the Bangladeshi human rights group Odhikar in 2001:
- “The Department of Social Services, under the Ministry of Social Welfare has a major programme named Child Welfare and Child Development in order to provide access to food, shelter, basic education, health services and other basic opportunities for hapless children. There are 73 state orphanages for approximately 9,500 orphan children, three Baby Homes for Abandoned Children with the capacity for 250 babies, one Destitute Children’s Rehabilitation Centre for 400 children, one Vocational Training Centre for Orphans and Destitute Children for 100 children, sixty-five Welfare and Rehabilitation Programmes for children with disability for about 1400 children...In many Government run orphanages children are deprived of government grant allocation.” [46b]
- 26.18 The State Party report of 14 March 2003 to the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) confirmed that there were, in 2001, 73 state-run orphanages and also three homes for abandoned children aged one to 5 years. The report added that there were another 950 orphanages run by NGOs, some with Government funding. These included institutions linked to various religions: over 300 Muslim orphanages attached to madrassah schools, nine Hindu, five Buddhist and four Christian orphanages. [52a] (p29)

HEALTH ISSUES

- 26.19 UNICEF (website accessed 28 March 2005) has observed: “The level of malnutrition in children is very high and micro-nutrient deficiency is common. Prevalence of wasting and anaemia in children is estimated to be high.” The under-five mortality rate is still considered very high and about 325,000 children die each year due to various diseases, malnutrition, accidents and, in particular, drowning. [58a] The same website, accessed on 19 September 2005, noted also: “The country receives development assistance of approximately US\$1.6 to \$1.8 billion per year and this support has enabled Bangladesh to make great progress, especially in child survival and development through providing safe drinking water, immunization, primary education and sanitation, and in promoting and defending children’s rights.” [58b]

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

TRAFFICKING

- 27.01 The USSD 2005 report records:

“The law prohibits trafficking in persons; however, trafficking remained a serious problem. Trafficking in children for immoral or illegal purposes carries

the death penalty or life imprisonment, and the government took measures for the expeditious prosecution of traffickers. During the year 65 cases were disposed of by the special courts dealing with incidents of repression against women and children. Courts convicted 28 persons and ordered sentences ranging from death to 10 years in prison. Besides police, the coast guard, BDR, the RAB, and a number of NGOs recovered and assisted victims of trafficking... There was extensive trafficking in both women and children, primarily to India, Pakistan, Bahrain, the United Arab Emirates (UAE), Kuwait, and destinations within the country, mainly for prostitution and in some instances for labor servitude. Some boys were trafficked to the Middle East to be used as camel jockeys ... According to government sources, law enforcement personnel recovered 139 victims of trafficking during the year. A cooperative effort between NGOs, the government, and the UAE, resulted in the repatriation of 164 camel jockeys, 159 of whom were reunited with their biological parents.” [2f] (section 5)

- 27.02 According to the USSD 2005 report, “Police and local government officials often ignored trafficking in women and children for prostitution and were easily bribed.” [2f] (section 5) USSD 2004 had commented that there were, in previous years, reports that police corruption facilitated trafficking; however, there had been no reports of this occurring during 2004.” [2d] (section 5)

The USSD 2005 report continues:

“The government continued its efforts to combat trafficking in persons through the trafficking monitoring cell at police headquarters, a monthly inter-ministerial committee headed by the secretary of the Home Ministry. The cell monitored the activities of the police and assisted in prosecuting relevant cases. The monitoring units formed in each of the 64 district headquarters sent updated statistics to the police headquarters. Arrests and prosecutions continued steadily. Nevertheless, the government's capacity to address this issue remained limited. Government projects included conducting awareness campaigns, research, lobbying, and rescue and rehabilitation programs. Additionally the secretary of the Home Ministry met monthly with NGOs working on anti-trafficking issues to facilitate coordination and cooperation between the government and civil society.” [2f] (section 5)

- 27.03 The USSD 2005 report further notes that, besides law enforcement agencies, a number of NGOs recover and assist victims of trafficking and are also engaged in research, advocacy and legislative reform. The Bangladesh National Women Lawyers’ Association (BNWLA) rescued 314 trafficking victims from within the country and repatriated 32 others from the UAE and India during 2004. Over a three-year period, NGOs and the Government had co-operated to establish a common, unified umbrella programme to address the trafficking problem. [2f] (section 5)
- 27.04 According to a Freedom House report of June 2005, there was a blacklisting of Bangladesh by the U.S. Department of State on 15 June 2004, on the grounds that the Government had failed to take adequate steps to curb the high rate of trafficking in women and children. The Freedom House report provided the following details:

“The State Department report maintained that an estimated 10,000 to 20,000 women and young girls are trafficked annually from Bangladesh. The

Bangladesh government contradicted this figure, claiming that only 708 women and children had been trafficked in 2004. The U.S. government warned Bangladesh of economic sanctions if it failed to take measures to improve the situation within 60 days. After the U.S. threat, the Bangladesh government moved quickly to introduce several concrete measures to constrain trafficking: revival of the police anti-trafficking unit, appointment of a special prosecutor for dealing with trafficking cases in expedited courts, institution of a referral mechanism for the victims to avail themselves of services offered by NGOs, speedy disposal of 17 pending cases relating to trafficking, and a listing of traffickers. Once the government of Bangladesh made public announcement of these specific steps, the U.S. government withdrew the threat of economic sanctions.” [65a] (p74-75)

- 27.05 The Freedom House report ‘Freedom in the World 2006’ stated “Trafficking in both women and children remains extensive, though the government has taken steps to raise awareness and to prosecute traffickers somewhat more vigorously.” [65b]

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

MEDICAL ISSUES

OVERVIEW OF AVAILABILITY OF MEDICAL TREATMENT AND DRUGS

- 28.01 The EIU Country Profile 2005 states that medical facilities in the country are ‘extremely scarce’; in 2001 there were 32,022 hospital beds, 32,498 registered doctors, 18,135 registered nurses and 15,794 midwives in the public sector. This translates to approximately 24 doctors and 13 registered nurses per 100,000 population. [40a] (p17) A World Bank report of May 2004 estimated the worldwide average to be about 146 physicians and 334 nurses per 100,000 population. [6] According to the United Nations Common Country Assessment for 2004, the private sector provides the major proportion of outpatient curative care, especially among the poor, while the public sector serves the larger proportion of inpatient care. [8d] (p30) The EIU Country Profile notes that only about 12 per cent of all “serious cases” are referred to public health services. [40a] (p17) The World Health Organisation (WHO) World Health Report 2005 estimated total per-capita healthcare expenditure in 2002 to have been US \$11. [14c]
- 28.02 The Government of Bangladesh has been operating a National Integrated Population and Health Programme (NIPHP), or Health and Population Sector Programme (HPSP), informs WHO [14a] The health policy is directed at improving equity and accessibility to the Essential Services Package (ESP). [14a] Since 1997, USAID has funded the NIPHP, employing a network of technical assistance organisations and local NGOs to deliver the Government’s ESP. The USAID website, accessed on 2 March 2006, states: “The NGO Service Delivery Program (NSDP) supports 41 local NGOs to deliver an essential package of health services (ESP) including child health, maternal health care, reproductive health care, clinical and non-clinical family planning services, communicable disease control, tuberculosis, safe delivery including first aid emergency obstetric care, post-abortion care, and limited curative care. This network of NGOs works through 346 urban and rural clinics, nearly 8000 satellite clinics and almost 7000 female depot holders

nationwide, serving approximately 17 per cent of the national population. Over 1.5 million customers are served each month.” [17]

- 28.03 A State Party report of 3 January 2003 to the UN Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW) notes that life expectancy at birth increased from 56 during 1990-1995 to 58 during 1995-2000 for both males and females. The Infant Mortality rate declined from 92 per thousand in 1991 to 62 per thousand in 2000. [47a] (p4) The WHO World Health Report 2005 estimated life expectancy for both males and females to have been 63 years in 2003. [14c]
- 28.04 Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF) offers basic medical care to certain parts of the Chittagong Hill Tracts which are difficult to access and where health care has been almost nonexistent; some of these areas are so remote that they are accessible only on foot or by boat. There is a high incidence of malaria in the Chittagong Hill Tracts; MSF and others have been providing the effective artemisinin-based combination therapy (ACT) in Bangladesh since 2004, according to MSF articles of 19 July 2004 and 5 December 2005. [29b] [29c]
- 28.05 The database of the Directorate General of Health Services provides a comprehensive listing of medical institutions based in Dhaka: <http://www.angelfire.com/ak/medinet/database.html> [82]

HIV/AIDS

- 28.06 According to the UNAIDS website, when accessed on 13 September 2004, Bangladesh is a country with low HIV prevalence but high “vulnerability”. Bangladesh has documented the lowest condom use, very high numbers of clients of sex workers, low knowledge of HIV/AIDS, and extensive needle/syringe sharing by drug users in the region. In spite of this, national commitment to HIV/AIDS prevention and care was high. UNAIDS commented that Bangladesh had the key ingredients for a successful response, a nationwide network of NGOs implementing effective interventions, effective examples of government organisation/NGO collaboration, a sector-wide approach to health with mechanisms for donor collaboration, an enabling multi-sectoral policy, and a strong commitment from the Government as well as civil society. [36a] The same website, in October 2006, noted that the Government’s National Strategic Plan on HIV/AIDS for the period 2004 through 2010 had been formally launched in May 2005. A prevention project, jointly funded by the Government, the World Bank and DfID, had been running from 2003 until June 2006; USAID were providing funding for targeted interventions for the period 2005-2008. It was estimated that between 6,400 and 18,000 adults in Bangladesh were living with HIV. Over 70 per cent of people in “most-at-risk” categories were believed to have been reached by prevention programmes; however, only one per cent of HIV-infected men and women were receiving anti-retroviral therapy. [36b] It was announced in October 2003 that Beximco Pharmaceuticals Limited, one of the country’s leading pharmaceutical manufacturers, had launched five high specification anti-retroviral drugs. (Espicom Business Intelligence) [28] CARE International, which provides aid to poor and vulnerable people throughout the country, has estimated that some 90 per cent of intravenous drug users in Bangladesh share needles. CARE runs 52 ‘drop in’ centres in Dhaka, some of them through local NGOs, which provide a needle exchange programme, free condoms and other services to groups at high risk of HIV infection. [86]

KIDNEY DIALYSIS

- 28.07 The website of the National Kidney Foundation of Bangladesh gives details of hospital- and clinic-based dialysis centres in the principal cities, as well as renal transplant facilities in Dhaka: <http://www.kidneybangla.org/> [81]

MENTAL HEALTH

- 28.08 Prior to 1957 there were no psychiatric services in Bangladesh, notes the WHO Project Atlas report of 2005. The first mental hospital opened in 1957. At present, mental healthcare is provided at the primary level by primary care physicians and health workers, at the secondary level by district hospitals, though only one hospital is equipped to provide the services, and at tertiary level by teaching hospitals. Of the 14 drugs for psychiatric treatment listed in the WHO Project Atlas survey for 2005, only three were not available in Bangladesh. [14b] The British High Commission in Dhaka commented in November 2003: "As requested we have made enquiries into the provision of psychiatric care in Bangladesh. We have been advised by doctors working here that there are practising psychiatrists here who trained in the UK. While that standard of care provided in government hospitals is not necessarily fully up to UK standards, most doctors also run high quality private practices where fees are minimal compared with the UK." [11f]

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

HUMANITARIAN ISSUES

- 29.01 The United Nations Common Country Assessment for 2004 observed:
- "Roughly half the country's rural households can be considered food insecure. Millions of children and women in Bangladesh suffer from one or more forms of malnutrition, including low birth weight, childhood growth failure (stunting), vitamin A deficiency, iodine deficiency disorders and anaemia. The most recent data indicate that 43 percent of children under five are stunted (short-for-age) and 48 percent are under-weight [quoting BDHS 2004]... Bangladesh made substantial progress in reducing child malnutrition between 1990 and 2000, with the percentage of underweight children falling from 67 to 48 percent, and child stunting falling from 66 to 43 per cent. Nevertheless, in 2004 according to WHO criteria the prevalence of child underweight and stunting was still among the highest in the world and more severe than in most other developing countries, including sub-Saharan Africa." [8d] (p31)
- 29.02 Much of the country lies within the basins and flood plains of the Ganges (Padma), the Jamuna (Brahmaputra) and the Meghna river systems and flooding can have devastating consequences. A BBC News report of 3 August 2004 stated that the unusually heavy monsoon floods of July-August 2004, which covered up to 60 per cent of the country, had caused the deaths of some 600 people and left at least 30 million displaced or stranded. [20af]
- 29.03 The United Nations Common Country Assessment for 2004 observed:

“Today, NGOs are a significant provider of social services, in particular health and education, to the rural poor. Specialized microfinance institutions...have had considerable success in helping to provide alternative income-generating opportunities for poor women in Bangladesh. The emergence of NGOs has also played a significant role in the improvement of human development indicators and compensated, in part, for weak market and state institutions. Within the context of a rights-based approach, it should be noted that local NGOs have also played a significant role in terms of helping poor and marginalized groups to make claims for the fulfilment of their rights to education and health and secure and sustainable livelihoods. Today there are well over a thousand NGOs registered with the Government. From village cooperatives and women’s groups on the one hand to large internationally recognized institutions with staff running into the thousands, civil society in Bangladesh has thrived since the restoration of democracy.” [8d] (p 69)

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT

- 30.01 The USSD 2005 report notes that the Constitution provides for the rights of free movement within the country, foreign travel, emigration, and repatriation. The Government, during 2005, generally respected these rights in practice; however, there were instances in which these rights were restricted. [2f] (section 2d) The USSD 2004 report had recorded that, on 6 February 2004, immigration officials at Zia International Airport in Dhaka initially barred Jatiya Party chairman and former president Hossain Muhammad Ershad from travelling to the Maldives, but he was subsequently allowed to proceed. In May 2004, the Government refused permission for Shantu Larma, chairman of the CHT Regional Council, to leave the country to attend the third session of the UN Permanent Forum on Indigenous issues. [2d] (section 2d)

INTERNALLY DISPLACED PEOPLE (IDPs)

- 31.01 The Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre (IDMC), in a special report of 28 March 2006 entitled ‘Minorities increasingly at risk of displacement’, has recorded that civil war and persecution of minorities have displaced hundreds of thousands of people in Bangladesh since 1971. [45b] (p3)
- 31.02 The largest occurrence of conflict-induced displacement is found in the Chittagong Hill Tracts (CHT) in south-east Bangladesh, notes the above IDMC report. No recent information exists about the current number of people displaced; estimates of the number of IDPs in the CHT have varied between 60,000 (Amnesty International, 2000) and 500,000 (Government task force, 2000), although there is apparently a consensus between tribal representatives, NGO workers and academics that the task force list is inaccurate. There is also a debate about the definition of an IDP. [45b] (p13-14) According to the IDMC, “The government of Bangladesh has done little to assess and respond to the rights and needs of people who have been forced to flee their homes due to conflict. A national strategy and political will are lacking to address the needs of the internally displaced in Bangladesh.” [45b] (p23) Various NGOs have started programmes in such fields as health,

education, water and sanitation, and certain international donors have recently announced major development projects in the CHT in co-operation with the Government. [45b] (p23-25) [8e] [75] [79a]

- 31.03 The IDMC report of March 2006 has cautioned that “The tribal population of the Chittagong Hill Tracts remains under serious threat of displacement as a result of evictions from existing reserve forests, acquisition of land by government agencies for the creation of additional reserve forests, expansion of military facilities and lease of land by the government for commercial plantations.” [45b] (p18)

See also Section 22: [The indigenous Jumma peoples of the Chittagong Hill Tracts](#)

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

FOREIGN REFUGEES

- 32.01 The USSD 2005 report records:

“The Constitution does not provide for the granting of asylum or refugee status in accordance with the 1951 U.S. Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees or its 1967 Protocol, and the Government has not established a system for providing protection to refugees. In practice, the Government provided some protection against *refoulement*, the return of persons to a country where they feared persecution. Working with the UNHCR, the Government provided temporary protection to individual asylum seekers whom the UNHCR interviewed and recognized as refugees on a case-by-case basis.” [2f] (section 2d)

- 32.02 The US Committee for Refugees ‘World Refugee Survey 2004’ had noted:

“At the end of 2003, Bangladesh hosted nearly 119,900 refugees and asylum seekers. These included nearly 19,800 Myanmarese Rohingya, most recognized as *prima facie* refugees by Bangladesh and the UN High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR); over 100,000 other Rohingya who have fled to Bangladesh since 1993, and who are considered illegal immigrants by the Bangladeshi government not assisted by UNHCR; 49 persons of other nationalities recognized as refugees by UNHCR; and 8 other Myanmarese with claims pending before UNHCR.” [37b] (p1)

The US Committee for Refugees and Immigrants (USCRI, formerly USCR) World Refugee Survey 2006 stated that there had been no reported cases of *refoulement* in 2005; however, Bangladeshi authorities reportedly “pressured” ethnic Rohingya refugees from Burma to voluntarily repatriate. [37f]

ROHINGYA REFUGEES

- 32.03 The US Committee for Refugees (USCR) Country Report for 2002 had noted:

“Some 250,000 Rohingya fled to Bangladesh from Burma in late 1991 and early 1992. The Rohingya, who are Muslim, claim religious and other forms of persecution in Burma. Although the refugees were initially welcomed by Bangladeshis who share ethnic and cultural links with the Rohingya, relations

between the refugees and the local residents quickly turned sour. Between mid-1992 and 1999, more than 230,000 Rohingya repatriated to Burma. Although some returned voluntarily, Bangladesh coerced most into returning.” [37c]

The USCR ‘World Refugee Survey 2004’ estimated that by the end of 2003, Bangladesh was hosting nearly 19,800 Burmese Rohingya, most recognised as *prima facie* refugees by the UN High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) and over 100,000 other Rohingyas who had fled to Bangladesh since 1993 and who are considered illegal immigrants by the Bangladeshi Government and are not assisted by UNHCR. [37b]

32.04 The USCR World Refugee Survey 2004 added:

“During the year [2003], 3,200 Rohingya repatriated to Myanmar [Burma]. Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF), who received over 550 complaints, and other non-governmental organizations (NGOs) reported that the government imprisoned, evicted from homes, seized ration books for food and medicine, and threatened to physically attack or imprison Rohingya to force return. UNHCR acknowledged some coercion but disputed its severity, and the government denied it. MSF also reported that many repatriated refugees from Myanmar had returned to Bangladesh and were seeking shelter outside of the camps. Other NGOs reported that thousands of Myanmarese came to Bangladesh during the year, fleeing arbitrary taxation, extortion, restricted movement, and lack of citizenship...UNHCR announced that as of December [2003] it would no longer participate in the repatriation of refugees to Myanmar. Since the government refused to grant refugees permanent status, UNHCR planned to encourage and assist self-sufficiency until the refugees could repatriate. A local Bangladeshi official reportedly told the South Asia Forum that this decision had caused officials to try to speed up repatriations of the Myanmarese...In October [2003] the government sealed the border fearing an influx of refugees following clashes in Myanmar between Muslims and Buddhists. In November, an estimated 6,000 fled to the border. After initially denying them entry, the local Bangladeshi authorities let them in.” [37b]

According to the USCRI World Refugee Survey 2005:

“Bangladesh increased pressure on ethnic Rohingya refugees from Myanmar to sign voluntary repatriation forms by threatening to resume repatriation practices that, in the past, included false criminal accusations and arrests, physical abuse, withholding of rations and medical care, and arbitrary relocation within the camp. Authorities also tightened border controls, slowing the influx of new arrivals. In October [2004], refugees stopped entering the country altogether after leaders removed General Khin Nyunt in Myanmar and conditions improved, but this was reversed in February 2005 when the army reasserted control.” [37e]

The USCRI World Refugee Survey 2006 (USCRI 2006) recorded that only 92 Rohingya refugees had repatriated to Burma in 2005, down from 210 in 2004, despite a UNHCR offer announced in January 2005 to provide US\$225 in housing grants as an incentive. According to this report, “Some [refugees] claimed coercion by camp officials, several refused to go at the last minute, and others returned to Bangladesh soon after crossing the border into Myanmar. In Myanmar, authorities prosecuted 283 persons for illegal

departure to Bangladesh.” The report adds that “The Government [of Bangladesh] reportedly turned back Rohingyas who tried to enter at the border, claiming that they were economic migrants.” According to USCRI 2006, Rohingya refugees in Bangladesh remained confined to their camps and authorities sometimes arrested, threatened with detention, or extorted money from those caught outside the camps. [37f]

32.05 The USSD 2005 report relates:

“During the year [2005] 20,939 Rohingya refugees remained in 2 camps [Nayapara and Kutupalong, which are in the Cox’s Bazar district in Chittagong], administered by the government in cooperation with the UNHCR, while another approximately 200 thousand Rohingyas not officially recognized as refugees lived outside the camps in the surrounding area of Teknaf and Cox’s Bazaar. The Government and UNHCR collaborated in the repatriation of 92 refugees [in 2005]. While UNHCR managed to substantially decrease the number of forced repatriation cases, they have received numerous allegations that government camp authorities placed pressure on refugees to repatriate, intimidating them with arbitrary arrest, physical abuse, and harassment ... During the year the government denied asylum to Rohingya from Burma by categorizing them as illegal economic migrants and turned back as many persons as possible at the border. According to the UNHCR, some refugees returned by the government were fleeing persecution and were entitled to refugee status. Some unregistered persons in UNHCR camps returned illegally after their official repatriation to Burma, sharing food and lodging with relatives who received rations as registered members of the camps. On a number of occasions, camp officials handed some of the unregistered persons over to police, who sent them to prison under the Foreigners’ Act. There were 114 Rohingya refugees in local prisons in the Cox’s Bazaar area at year’s end [2005]. UNHCR officials visited the detained refugees once a month ... UNHCR field workers reported several cases of refugee abuse including rape, assault, domestic abuse, deprivation of food ration entitlements, and documentation problems ... The government placed excessive restrictions on refugees’ freedom of movement and ability to work or earn a livelihood. The government continued to ignore UNHCR requests to allow those Rohingya refugees, unable to return to Burma, to work, benefit from local medical programs, or participate in the education system, insisting that all Rohingya refugees remained in camps until their return to Burma ... The government has repeatedly rejected a UNHCR proposal to grant the refugees rights for temporary stay and freedom of movement under a self-reliance program. ” [2f] (section 2d)

32.06 A UNHCR briefing paper of 19 July 2005 outlined the plight of between 6,000 and 10,000 Rohingyas living, outside of the refugee camps, on the tidal river flats of the Teknaf River – which forms the border with Burma. The paper described conditions there as “extremely risky and deplorably squalid”. The river flats are very vulnerable to seasonal high tides, flooding and cyclones during the monsoon season. There is, according to the briefing paper, no water or sanitation at the site. The UNHCR paper commented:

“The group are unregistered people of concern to UNHCR. The only difference from Rohingya refugees in the two government-run camps is that they were either not in the camps during the 1991-92 influx, or they had arrived after the 1994 cut-off date for prima facie refugee status. Even though Rohingyas in the

group have been living unregistered outside the camps, their reasons for coming to Bangladesh are the same as the refugees in the camps.” [67a]

UNHCR stated that the Government had not responded to international requests to relocate the group; the Bangladeshi authorities reportedly regarded the group as illegal immigrants who should return to Burma. [67a] The USCRI World Refugee Survey 2006 notes that the Government, in 2005, refused a request by a delegation from UNHCR, the European Commission and five donor countries to relocate refugees from the Teknaf River tidal flats. USCRI 2006 records that flooding was rampant in 2005 in the Teknaf river settlement, as were diseases such as diarrhoea. Three refugees reportedly starved to death in 2005. [37f]

32.07 In September 2005, UNHCR published a paper on living conditions in the government-run Nayapara and Kutupalong camps in Cox’s Bazar district. “The refugees in the camps claim to have been beaten, family books which entitled them to rations confiscated and money extorted, often by refugee leaders, known as Mahjees, and their followers.” The UNHCR Representative in Bangladesh confirmed that many such claims were true, and that UNHCR had brought the matter to the attention of the authorities many times. Refugees had apparently also been left feeling fearful and traumatised by some violent incidents during the previous year, including the murder of a Mahjee in Nyapara in July 2005 and the killing of three refugees in Kutupalong after a power struggle; the killings in Kutupalong led to many arrests and several hundred other refugees fled the camp. Living conditions in the two camps were described as sub-standard; housing was overcrowded and badly in need of repair or renewal. Children, who made up 65 per cent of the camp population, received only a partial education and this was in the Myanmar language. Most children, however, used a Chittagong dialect and many also spoke Bengali. Some refugees claimed to have been coerced by the Mahjees and camp officials to repatriate; none had reportedly been forced to do so. Between January and August 2005, about 90 refugees returned to Burma. The local Bangladeshi authorities were said to believe that some of the camp inhabitants were involved in criminal activities such as arms trafficking, drugs smuggling and terrorism, though there had been no court convictions to date on these charges. Although not officially allowed to do so, some refugees from the camps worked in the local community. [67c] A Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF) Report of April 2002, entitled “10 Years for the Rohingya Refugees in Bangladesh”, had observed: “For 10 years running, the majority of the Rohingya refugees have been malnourished. In a closed-camp setting, the refugees still do not have enough food. Today, 58 percent of the refugee children and 53 percent of the adults are chronically malnourished.” [29a] (p12) According to USCRI 2006, “Sixty-five percent of children in the refugee camps suffered from chronic malnutrition and thirteen percent from acute malnutrition. The Government refused to allow UNHCR to rebuild camp shelters, many of which badly needed repair.” [37f]

32.08 The USCRI World Refugee Survey 2006 states:

“The Rohingya Solidarity Organization, the largest Rohingya group in Bangladesh, reportedly had ties to *Jamaat-e-Islami*, *Harkat-ul-Jihad-al Islami*, and other militant Islamic groups. In October [2005], authorities arrested 25 Rohingyas in Chittagong saying they had admitted involvement with Islamist militants who set off more than 400 time bombs in 63 of the country’s 64

districts on August 17 [2005] and bombed courts earlier in the month [of October 2005]. Afterwards, the Ministry of Home Affairs called for the arrest of all Myanmar refugees living outside the camps.” [37f]

- 32.09 UNHCR reported on 25 November 2005 that a two-month registration campaign was underway in the Nayapara and Kutupalong camps, which would provide up-to-date information on the number of refugees in those camps and their demographic profile. The last registration had been carried out in 2002. [67d]

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

CITIZENSHIP AND NATIONALITY

- 33.01 The Bangladesh Citizenship (Temporary Provisions) Order 1972 introduced the citizenship laws after the country’s independence. Article 2 of the Order stipulates that anyone who was born in the territories now comprised in Bangladesh (or whose father or grandfather was born in these territories) and who was a permanent resident in these territories on 25 March 1971 and continues to be so resident, will be deemed to be a Bangladeshi citizen. [18a] Article 2A provides that a person to whom the above article would have applied, but who is resident in the United Kingdom, shall be deemed to have continued to have been permanently resident in Bangladesh. [18a] The Government may notify, in the official Gazette, any person or categories of persons to whom this Article shall not apply. In case of doubt as to whether a person is qualified to be deemed a citizen of Bangladesh under Article 2 of the Order, a decision of the Government will be final. [18a]
- 33.02 The Bangladesh Citizenship Order of 1972 further provides that any person who ‘owes, affirms or acknowledges, expressly or by conduct, allegiance to a foreign state’, or is notified under the provisions of Article 2A, does not qualify for Bangladeshi citizenship. [18a]
- 33.03 The 1978 Bangladesh Citizenship (Temporary Provisions) Rules allow for the Government to consider an application for citizenship from an applicant who is a foreign woman and married to a Bangladeshi citizen and has resided in Bangladesh for two years, or from any other applicant who has resided in Bangladesh for a period of five years. [18b]

EXIT/ENTRY PROCEDURES

- 34.01 The USSD 2005 report confirmed that the law provides for freedom of movement in respect of foreign travel, emigration and repatriation; the government generally respected these rights in practice during 2005, although there were instances in which the government restricted these rights. [2f] (section 2d)
- 34.02 The Immigration and Refugee Board of Canada (IRB), in a document dated 21 February 2005, quoted the Bangladesh High Commission as saying that the validity of passports or travel documents might vary from ‘months’ to several years (usually up to five years); documents with shorter validity were normally issued to Bangladesh nationals who had lost their passport or were unable to produce details of their previous passport. Passports were issued after standard identity checks, as required by law. [3s]

- 34.03 The Canadian IRB was advised by the Bangladesh High Commission in November 2003 that a woman did not need her husband's consent in order to obtain a passport. A child under the age of 12 would usually be included on their mother's passport but, should there be cause for concern regarding the application, the authorities might request the other parent's consent. There was no official requirement for parental consent for children over the age of 12 who apply for a passport, although children were "typically accompanied by a parent when filing their application". Passport applicants were required to provide their birth certificates and, since 2002, the names of both parents had appeared in passports. [3p]
- 34.04 A Canadian IRB paper of 20 November 2003 quoted the Bangladesh High Commission as stating that in instances where minor children were travelling from Bangladesh with one parent, the presence and/or consent of the other parent was not normally required. Children could travel alone provided that a form had been filled out and signed by one parent; the name of the guardian who was to pick the child up at the destination was stipulated on the form. According to the High Commission, there were no provisions in policy or legislation that restricted the travel of children although, in practice, the view was that children should travel in the presence of their mother. Furthermore, immigration authorities had the right to prevent anyone from leaving the country if they reasonably suspected wrong-doing. Where the parents were separated or divorced and a custody order had been issued stipulating that a parent was not permitted to leave the country with their child(ren), immigration authorities were notified of this by the courts. [3q] However, a separated or divorced parent could leave the country with their child(ren) after obtaining permission from the courts. [3r]

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

EMPLOYMENT RIGHTS

- 35.01 The USSD 2005 report states:
- "The law provides for the right to join unions and, with government approval, the right to form a union; however, the government did not always respect these rights in practice. The total work force was approximately 65 million persons, of whom 1.8 million belonged to unions, most of which were affiliated with political parties. There were no reliable labor statistics for the large informal sector, in which the vast majority (75 to 80 percent) of citizens worked ... An estimated 15 percent of the approximately 5,450 labor unions were affiliated with 25 officially registered National Trade Union (NTU) centers. There were also several unregistered NTUs... Unions were generally highly politicized, and unions were strongest in state-owned enterprises and in such institutions as the government-run port in Chittagong. Civil service and security force employees were forbidden to join unions because of their highly political character. Teachers in both the public and the private sector were not allowed to form trade unions." [2f] (section 6a)
- 35.02 Freedom House, in a report of June 2005, noted:

“The organized trade union movement in Bangladesh remains weak, politically fragmented, and in many cases subject to control by individual leaders or employers. As a result, rates of trade union membership in Bangladesh remain among the lowest in the world. In the principal export industry – ready-made garments – most owners severely discourage unionization of their workers and prefer to treat them as casual labor with few legally enforceable rights. Formation of trade unions in the export processing zones is illegal, and unions affiliated with the political opposition tend to face repression. In the past decade, many professional and business organizations have also become politically factionalized.” [65a] (p73)

- 35.03 The USSD 2005 report comments as follows: “The right to strike is not recognized specifically by the law, but strikes were a common form of workers' protest and were recognized as a legitimate avenue for addressing unresolved grievances by the Industrial Relations Ordinance of 1969. In addition opposition political parties used general strikes to pressure the government to meet political demands.” [2f] (section 6b) USSD 2005 adds:

“Collective bargaining, other than in EPZs [Export Processing Zones], is legal on the condition that unions are legally registered by the Registrar of Trade Unions as collective bargaining agents represent workers...The Essential Services Ordinance permits the government to bar strikes for three months in any sector it declares essential ...The Government is empowered to prohibit a strike or lockout at any time before or after the strike or lockout begins and to refer the dispute to the Labor Court.” [2f] (section 6b)

- 35.04 The 2004 Annual Report of Amnesty International (events of 2003) states: “Police continued to use excessive force during opposition or trade union demonstrations. Hundreds of protesters were injured, some critically.” On 10 October 2003 police officers attacked and beat unemployed and student nurses when the demonstrators – who were from 38 government nursing institutions – attempted to enter the Directorate of Nursing Services. Over 50 nurses were reportedly injured, with three of them in a critical condition. The nurses had been protesting against changes in the terms and conditions of their employment. [7j] (p1)

- 35.05 USSD 2005 notes:

“There is no national minimum wage. Instead, the wage commission, which convenes sporadically, sets wages and benefits for each industry, using a range based on skill level. In most cases, private sector employers ignored this wage structure ...In September [2005] the government returned the country to a 5 day, 40 hour work week, with a Friday and Saturday weekend. The law applied to government employees, banks, NGOs, and other office workers. Factory workers continued to labor under the old law, a 48 hour work week, with a mandated 1 day off, and up to 12 hours of overtime. The law was enforced poorly.” [2f] (section 6e)

Following a trip to Bangladesh, the entrepreneur Dame Anita Roddick was quoted by BBC News on 15 April 2004 as saying that she was angered by the low pay, long hours and denial of basic rights for the estimated two million women making up the vast majority of workers in Bangladesh's textile industry. She blamed the Western corporations who use textile factories in the developing world for putting pressure on local owners, who in turn impose

“slave labour” conditions on staff in order to keep costs down. She also commented: “In Bangladesh, the garment workers have the legal right to three months’ maternity leave with full pay. Yet, in over 90 per cent of the factories, where women were sewing some of the best-known labels in Europe and America, this right to maternity leave with benefits is routinely violated.” [20ao]

- 35.06 Keesing’s records that unprecedented labour unrest occurred in the garment industry in May and June 2006. A dispute over dismissals in a single factory in Dhaka led to strikes and riots by garment workers on 20-23 May, citing low pay and long hours. Some 250 factories were damaged, one demonstrator died and over 100 people were injured before police and troops intervened. The Government announced on 25 May that it would establish a commission to set a minimum wage in the garment sector. [5j] On 4 June, some 3000 garment workers in and around Dhaka renewed protests against poor wages and working conditions and lack of union rights. On 17 June, several thousand workers demonstrated following the indefinite closure of a number of factories. Police used tear gas and baton charges to disperse the workers. (Keesing’s, June 2006) [5k]

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

Annex A: Chronology of Major Events

Source: BBC News 'Timeline: Bangladesh' [2006], unless otherwise specified.

- 1947** British colonial rule over India ended. A largely Muslim state comprising East and West Pakistan was established, either side of India. The two provinces were separated from each other by more than 1,500 km of Indian territory.
- 1949** The Awami League was established to campaign for East Pakistan's autonomy from West Pakistan.
- 1970** The Awami League, under Sheikh Mujibur Rahman, won an overwhelming election victory in East Pakistan. The Government in West Pakistan refused to recognise the results, leading to rioting.

Independence

- 1971** Independence of the province of East Pakistan – as the People's Republic of Bangladesh – was proclaimed on 26 March. The Awami League formed the government-in-exile on 17 April with Sheikh Mujibur Rahman, imprisoned in Pakistan, as the President.
- 1972** Sheikh Mujibur became Prime Minister. He began a programme of nationalising key industries in an attempt to improve living standards, but with little success.
- 1974** Severe floods devastated much of the grain crop, leading to an estimated 28,000 deaths. A national state of emergency was declared as political unrest grew.
- 1975** Sheikh Mujibur became President of Bangladesh. The political situation worsened. Sheikh Mujibur was assassinated in a military coup in August. Martial law was imposed.
- 1976** The military banned trade unions.
- 1977** General Ziaur Rahman assumed the presidency. Islam was adopted in the Constitution.
- 1979** Martial law was lifted following elections, which Zia's Bangladesh Nationalist Party (BNP) won.
- 1981** Zia was assassinated during an abortive military coup. He was succeeded by Abdus Sattar.

The Ershad era

- 1982** General Ershad assumed power in an army coup. He suspended the Constitution and political parties.
- 1983** Ershad's proposal that all schools should teach Arabic and the Koran led to demonstrations. Limited political activity was permitted. Ershad became President.

- 1986** Parliamentary and presidential elections were held. Ershad was elected to a five-year term. He lifted martial law and reinstated the Constitution.
- 1987** A State of emergency was declared after opposition demonstrations and strikes.
- 1988** Islam became the state religion. Floods covered up to three-quarters of the country. Tens of millions were displaced.
- 1990** Ershad stepped down following mass protests.
- 1991** Ershad was convicted and jailed for corruption and illegal possession of weapons. Begum Khaleda Zia, widow of President Ziaur Rahman, became Prime Minister. The Constitution was changed to render the position of president ceremonial. The prime minister now had primary executive power. A cyclonic tidal wave killed up to 138,000.

Awami League returns to power

- 1996** Two sets of elections saw the Awami League win power, with Sheikh Hasina, the daughter of Sheikh Mujibur Rahman, becoming Prime Minister.
- 1997** Ershad was released from prison. The opposition BNP began a campaign of strikes against the Government.
- 1998** Two-thirds of the country was affected by floods. Fifteen former army officers were sentenced to death for involvement in the assassination of President Mujibur in 1975.
- 2000** **September:** Sheikh Hasina criticised military regimes in a UN speech, prompting Pakistani leader General Musharraf to cancel talks with her. Relations were strained further by a row over the leaked Pakistani report on the 1971 War of Independence.
December: Bangladesh expelled a Pakistani diplomat for comments on the 1971 war. The diplomat had put the number of dead at 26,000, whereas Bangladesh insisted nearly three million were killed. Bangladesh wanted Pakistan to apologise for alleged genocide that it said Pakistani forces were guilty of during the War of Independence.
- 2001** **July:** Sheikh Hasina stepped down and handed power to a caretaker government. She was the first Prime Minister in the country's history to complete a five-year term.

BNP-led coalition Government

- 2001** **October:** A BNP-led coalition won an overwhelming victory in the general election. Khaleda Zia once again became Prime Minister. [20i] Three hundred international monitors declared the poll to have been free and fair. [1a] [5f]
- 2002** **March:** The Government introduced a law making acid attacks punishable by death.
April: The Government approved a temporary law to speed up the legal process for dealing with violent crime.

June: President Chowdhury resigned after the Bangladesh Nationalist Party accused him of taking an anti-party line.

The opposition Awami League ended its boycott of parliament and attended for the first time since losing the general election of October 2001.

September: Iajuddin Ahmed, a retired professor from Dhaka University, was announced as the new President. [20s]

October: "Operation Clean Heart" was launched by the Government in response to criticism over rising crime and deteriorating law and order. This involved the deployment of nearly 40,000 soldiers in all major cities to help restore law and order, arrest "listed criminals" and recover illegal firearms. More than 11,000 people were arrested during the Operation, and between 31 and 40 people died after soldiers detained them.

- 2003** **January to March:** Local elections to 4,267 local councils were held. By February 2003, 25 people had reportedly been killed in election-related violence.
- February:** The Joint Force Indemnity Ordinance 2003 was passed by Parliament to give legal protection to members of the army and security forces who took part in Operation Clean Heart.

- 2004** **January:** A bomb attack took place on a shrine in Sylhet.
- May:** A Constitutional amendment increased the number of seats in Parliament from 300 to 345, the additional 45 being reserved for nominated women members.
- May:** A bomb attack at a Muslim shrine in Sylhet killed two and injured 25, including the British High Commissioner.
- July-August:** Devastating floods hit Bangladesh: more than 600 people were killed and an estimated 30 million people were displaced or stranded; 60 per cent of the country, including half of Dhaka, was under water at one stage.
- August:** On 21 August a grenade attack at an Awami League rally in Dhaka, addressed by Sheikh Hasina, killed 23 people and injured about 200. Rioting by Awami League supporters subsequently erupted across the country; the Awami League called general strikes.
- September-October:** Police carried out "blanket" arrests ahead of an Awami League mass rally on 3 October.
- November:** The Anti-Corruption Commission was established.
- December:** An Awami League-led opposition alliance staged two "human chain" demonstrations stretching right across the country.

- 2005** **January:** Former Finance Minister Shah AMS Kibria and four other Awami League activists were killed in a grenade attack in Habiganj.
- February:** The Government banned two militant Islamic groups, Jumatul Mujahedin Bangladesh (JMB) and Jagrata Muslim Janata Bangladesh (JMJB).
- August:** Some 400 small home-made bombs exploded almost simultaneously in 63 cities and towns across Bangladesh, killing two people and injuring over 100. Jumatul Mujahedin Bangladesh (JMB) reportedly claimed responsibility.
- October:** Bomb attacks inside law court buildings in three districts killed two people and injured dozens; Jumatul Mujahedin Bangladesh (JMB) were believed responsible. The Islamist group Harkat-ul-Jihad-al-Islami (HuJI) was banned.
- November:** Two judges were assassinated on 14 November. Several other judges received death threats from Islamist groups. On 29 November at least 14 people were killed and over 40 injured in a suicide bombing inside the law

courts in Gazipur and a bomb blast in Chittagong. JMB were believed to be responsible.

- 2006 February:** At least 40,000 members of the Awami League-led opposition alliance held a mass rally in Dhaka. [23o]
- March:** Sheikh Abdur Rahman and Siddiqui Islam, alias 'Bangla Bhai', the leaders of Jama'ul Mujahedin Bangladesh (JMB) and Jagrata Muslim Janata Bangladesh (JMJB), were captured by police and RAB units. [20bq] [39ac]
- May:** Sheikh Abdur Rahman, Siddiqui Islam and other senior JMB members were sentenced to death for involvement in the assassination of two judges in November 2005. [5j]
- May-June:** Unprecedented labour unrest in the garment sector results in the closure of several factories. Primary school teachers began an indefinite strike over pay and conditions. [5j] [5k]
- June-September:** The AL-led opposition alliance stepped up its campaign of street protests and *hartals*, demanding reforms to the election commission and the caretaker government due to take power in October 2006. [20bz]

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

Annex B: Political Organisations

MAIN POLITICAL PARTIES

Awami League (AL)

Founded 1949. The Awami League spearheaded the war of independence under Sheikh Mujibur Rahman and is currently headed by his daughter, former Prime Minister Sheikh Hasina. Advocates socialist economy, but with a private sector, and a secular state. Has about 1,025,000 members, according to Europa. The AL last governed Bangladesh between June 1996 and July 2001, after 21 years in opposition. [1b] [40a] Despite obtaining the votes of 22,365,516 people in the 2001 general election (40 per cent of all votes cast), the AL secured only 62 seats in the 300-seat parliament due to the first-past-the-post electoral system. [16]

Bangladesh Nationalist Party (BNP) (*Bangladesh Jatiyatabadi Dal*)

Founded in 1978 by a former President, General Zia, and is now led by his widow, current Prime Minister, Khaleda Zia. [40a] The BNP won 193 of the 300 parliamentary seats in the 2001 general election and formed a government in coalition with Jamaat-e-Islami, the Jatiya Party and the Islamic Oikya Jote. [16] According to the Economist Intelligence Unit Country Profile 2003: "The BNP espouses Bangladesh nationalism with anti-Indian and pro-Islamic nuances; however, these nuances have not been evident in its policymaking since coming to power in October 2001... The BNP, with close links to business, is committed to fostering a market economy and liberal democracy, and encourages private sector-led economic growth." [40a]

Islami Oikya Jote (IOJ) (alternatively Islami Oikya Jote)

Won two seats in 2001 election and is currently a member of the BNP-led coalition Government. Seeks to implement Islamic doctrine and draws support from traditional religious groups. Mufti Fazlul Haque Amini is secretary-general of IOJ. [40a] (p11) [2b]

Jamaat-e-Islami

Founded 1941. A fundamentalist party that espouses an Islamic state. Opposed to Bangladesh's independence in the 1971 civil war with Pakistan. [1b] [40a] Leader is Matiur Rahman Nizami. [40a] The party was banned after independence but got its rights back after General Zia allowed it and other fundamentalist parties to enter politics after the first AL-led Government had banned them from politics. [4b] Won 17 seats in the October 2001 election to form part of the ruling BNP-led coalition. [20m]

Jatiya Party (Ershad): The Jatiya (Jatio) Party was founded 1983 as Jana Dal; it reorganised in 1986 when the National Front (founded 1985) formally converted itself into a single pro-Ershad grouping. [5g] The JP's main faction is led by the deposed former President, General Ershad. Stood in the 2001 general election as '**Islami Jatio Oikya Front**' and won 14 seats. [40a] [16]

Bangladesh Jatiya Party (N-F), or Jatiya Party (Naziur): This faction, led by Naziur Rahman Manzur, secured four seats in the 2001 election and is currently part of the BNP-led governing coalition. The party is secular.

Jatiya Party (Manju) is a separate party/faction which broke away from the Jatiya party in 1999 and won one seat in 2001. [1b]

A full list of the 95 political parties allocated symbols for the 2001 general election is on the website of the Bangladesh Election Commission:

http://www.bangla2000.com/Election_2001/150_symbols.shtm

Another extended list of political parties can be found at:

<http://elive.matamat.com/ppb.php>

STUDENT/YOUTH ORGANISATIONS

Bangladesh Chhatra League (BCL)

Affiliated to Awami League. [11c]

Jatiyatabadi Chhatra Dal (JCD)

Affiliated to Bangladesh Nationalist Party (BNP). [11c]

Islami Chhatra Shibir (ICS)

Affiliated to Jamaat-e-Islami (Jamaat). [11c]

Jatiya Chhatra Samaj

Affiliated to Jatiya Party. [11c]

Gonotantrik Chhatra League

Affiliated to the Democratic League. [11c]

PROSCRIBED AND/OR EXTREMIST ORGANISATIONS

[See also Section 12: [Abuses By Proscribed Militant Groups](#)]

Jamatul Mujahedin Bangladesh (JMB or JM) (alternatively Jama'atul Mujahideen)

A militant Islamist group founded in the 1990s, JMB is said to be an offshoot of Hizb ul-Mujahedin. JMB has strong links with Jagrata Muslim Janata of Bangladesh (JMJB) – *see below*. Agence France-Presse, Associated Press and BBC News reported on 23 February 2005 that the Home Ministry had banned both JMB and JMJB. [23j] [61b] [20az] A *Daily Star* report of 28 August 2005 comments: "Activists of [JMB] believe in capturing power through armed revolution and running the country by establishing Islamic rule by a Majlish-e-Shura." [38ac] JMB is believed to have been responsible for the 400+ simultaneous bomb blasts across the country on 17 August 2005; leaflets bearing JMB's name and calling for the implementation of Islamic law were reportedly found at some of the bomb sites. Sheikh Abdur Rahman, referred to as the leader of JMB by both of these news sources, was charged in absentia on 26 August 2005 for his alleged role in the 17 August bombings. (Agence France-Presse and United News of Bangladesh) [23i] [23m] [39r] [38ac] On 28 February 2006, a court in Jhenidah district sentenced 21 men to death for their part in the 17 August bombings. All 21 were reported to be members of JMB. (Agence France-Presse) [23p]

BBC News announced on 2 March 2006 that Abdur Rahman had surrendered to police in the district of Sylhet. [20bq] On 29 May 2006, a court in the southern town of Jhalakathi convicted both Abdur Rahman and apparent deputy JMB leader Siddiquil Islam (alias 'Bangla Bhai') and sentenced them to death for the murder the two judges in November 2005. Five other JMB members were also sentenced to death for the crime, including three members of the ruling council, the Majlish-e-Shura. (Keesing's May 2006) [5j] Amnesty International (AI) noted in a statement of 28 September 2006

that the High Court, on 31 August 2006, had rejected the appeals of these JMB leaders. [7p]

Jane's Terrorism and Security Monitor, in a report of 12 April 2006, stated that, despite the high-profile arrests of Sheikh Abdur Rahman and Siddiqui Islam and other leading Islamist militants, "...security officials fear the militant group Jama'tul Mujahedin Bangladesh (JMB) could prove numerous and resilient enough to pose a significant short-term threat in the run-up to the January 2007 parliamentary election". [83d]

Jagrata Muslim Janata of Bangladesh (JMJB)

JMJB is a fundamentalist 'vigilante' group whose aim is Islamic revolution through jihad. It is claimed that the group was first founded in 1998; the present name (JMJB) first became apparent in April 2004. According to the *South Asia Intelligence Review* of 31 May 2004, its highest decision-making body is the seven-member Majlis-e-Shura (also referred to as the Sura Board); the first tier of the organisation has activists called 'Ehsar' who are recruited on a full-time basis and act at the directive of top echelons. The second tier, 'Gayeri Ehsar', has over 100,000 part-time activists. The third tier involves those who indirectly co-operate with JMJB. The organisation operates mainly in the northern districts of the country, but also has bases in some southern districts. Sheikh Abdur Rahman is said to be *amir* ('spiritual leader') of JMJB – as well as being the leader of Jamatul Mujahedin Bangladesh (JMB), with which JMJB has close links – *see below*. Siddiqui Islam, also known as 'Bangla Bhai', is a senior member who has assumed command of JMJB operations. There have been violent clashes between JMJB and the maoist Purba Bangla Communist Party (PBCP) since April 2004; for example, in May 2004 JMJB operatives killed six members of the PBCP; the PBCP retaliated by killing two JMJB men and injuring six others. In May 2004 the Government issued a warrant for Bangla Bhai's arrest. [38l] [19a] [59b] [38ac] JMJB is believed to have been involved in a number of recent bombings and vigilante killings, including a bomb attack on a *jatra* folk theatre show in Shahjahanpur on 14 January 2005 in which two people were killed and 70 wounded. [38t] The *Daily Star* of 25 January 2005 reported that at least 50 people, including eight policemen, were injured in clashes between the security forces and JMJB militants in Bagmara the previous day. [38r] [20av] A police spokesman, on 4 February 2005, warned that JMJB planned to continue with bomb attacks on cinemas, theatres and *jatra*, which they have deemed to be "un-Islamic". NGOs were also to be targets. [38w] It was announced on 23 February 2005 that the Government had officially banned JMJB. [61b] [23j] [38ac] The newspaper *Prothom Alo* reported on 21 July that JMJB had secretly continued with fund raising and recruitment since being banned in February 2005. [21f] BBC News reported on 2 March 2006 that Sheikh Abdur Rahman, had surrendered to police in the district of Sylhet. [20bq] United News of Bangladesh and BBC News announced on 6 March 2006 that 'Bangla Bhai' had been arrested after an encounter with the Rapid Action Battalion in Mymensingh district. [39ac] [20bw] He was sentenced to death on 29 May 2006 for his role in the murder of two judges in November 2005. [5j]

Other JMJB activities are detailed at

<http://www.satp.org/satporgrp/countries/bangladesh/terroristoutfits/JMJB.htm> and
<http://www.thedailystar.net/2005/08/28/d5082801022.htm>

Harkat-ul-Jihad-al-Islami (alternatively known as HuJI or Harkatul Jihad)

According to a *Daily Star* report of 28 August 2005, Harkatul Jihad was established in the early-1990s apparently with assistance from Osama bin Laden; its ideals were also inspired by the Taliban in Afghanistan. Many of its founders fought with the Mujahideen in Afghanistan in the 1980s. Current leader is said to be Shawkat Osman, alias 'Sheikh

Farid'; Imtiaz Quddus is apparently general secretary. HuJI mainly operates in the southern coastal belt and apparently has training camps in Chittagong division. It is said to have around 15,000 members in Bangladesh. HuJI has been accused of plotting to assassinate Sheikh Hasina when she was Prime Minister. [38ac] BBC News reported on 17 October 2005 that the Government had banned Harkat-ul-Jihad-al-Islami (HuJI), describing it as a terrorist organisation. [20bk] According to Keesing's, members of HuJI held a rally in Dhaka on 18 August 2006 under the banner of Sachetan Islami Janata (SIJ). According to an organiser of the rally, HuJI leaders had been holding discussions with the government in an attempt to persuade it that the group was not a terrorist organisation. Keesing's reported that an article in the newspaper *Jai Jai Din*, on 22 August 2006, had stated that HuJI was planning to adopt the name Islami Gondolo Andolon (IGA – Islamic People's Movement). [51]

Other Islamist extremist/militant organisations in Bangladesh include **Shahadat Al Hiqma**, **Hizbut Towhid (HT)**, **Hizb-ut Tahrir** and **Islami Biplobi Parishad (IBP)**. Further information on each of these appears in a *Daily Star* report of 28 August 2005 (source [38ac]) at <http://www.thedailystar.net/2005/08/28/d5082801022.htm>

Purba Bangla(r) Communist Party (PBCP)

A proscribed radical Maoist movement. Seeks communist revolution by violent means. Responsible for the murder of police, officials, merchants and others; also engaged in robbery and extortion. According to the South Asia Terrorism portal of the Institute for Conflict Management (accessed 30 March 2005), current leader is Mofakkar Chowdhury. The PBCP was founded in 1968 following a split in the Bangladesh Communist Party. [11a] [59a]

As stated above, there were violent clashes between the PBCP and Jagrata Muslim Janata of Bangladesh (JMJB) during 2004. Various articles from United News of Bangladesh have also recorded that several gun battles took place between the security forces and PBCP in the latter half of 2004 and early 2005; some of these involved the PBCP faction **Janajuddha**. Also during this period a number of PBCP members were apparently lynched by civilian mobs. [39i]; A regional leader of Janajuddha was killed in a shootout with police in Akamdanga upazila on 8 October – he had been wanted for seven murders [39j]; five Janajuddha operatives were killed in gunfights with police in Alamdabga upazila in late November [39k]; on 2 December 2004 a PBCP (Janajuddha) cadre was beaten to death by a mob in Rupsa when he went to collect his takings [39l]; Mohidul Islam Shamim, said to be second-in-command of PBCP (Janajuddha), was killed in a gunfight between police and PBCP cadres in Daulatour upazila on 12 February 2005. [39n] Abdul Malek, a regional leader of PBCP, had been killed in a gunfight between PBCP and New Biplobi Communist Party members on 2 February 2005. [39m]

The Janajuddha faction claimed responsibility for the assassination of the Khulna president of the Awami League in August 2003. [20n] PBCP has also reportedly claimed responsibility for a number of attacks on journalists, including the bombing outside the Khulna Press Club on 5 February 2005 in which a journalist was killed and others injured. PBCP has threatened that it has "many more journalists in its sights". [9f] Several PBCP cadres were killed in encounters with the police and the Rapid Action Battalion during 2005 and 2006. (SATP) [59a]

Further details at

<http://www.satp.org/satporqtp/countries/bangladesh/terroristoutfits/PBCP.htm>

Biplobi Communist Party (before 1971 was known as the Communist Party of East Pakistan)

Maoist movement. Fought against both the Pakistan army and the Awami League during independence struggle. By mid-1970s largely suppressed by State; revived 1980s. [11a]

New Biplobi Communist Party (NBCP)

Formed in 1999 after the Biplobi Communist Party split. Police estimate about 5,000 'cadres'. Leader was Monoranjon Goshal, alias 'Mrinal'. Has mainly been active in Khulna, Jessore, Bagerhat and Satkhira districts. Financed through racketeering. [38x]

The Bangladesh *Daily Star* reported on 22 September 2004 that 'Mrinal' had been shot dead the previous day by unidentified assailants. He had been wanted by the police in connection with 103 cases of murder, 43 abductions for ransom and various other crimes. [38x]

According to a United News of Bangladesh article of 20 December 2004, Habibur Rahman, alias Ekdil, had styled himself as "commander-in-chief" of NBCP. Three of his bodyguards were killed in an encounter with police on this date. [39o]

Swadhin Bangabhumii Movement ('Free Land of Bengal')

Hindu separatist movement. Founded in Calcutta by former Awami League MP, who fled to India in August 1975. Seeks separate state in southwest Bangladesh (where there is a large Hindu minority). Responsible for attempted take-over of Bangladesh High Commission in Calcutta in 1984. [11a]

Shanti Bahini ('Peace Force')

Armed wing of the Parbattya Chattagram Jana Sanghati Samity (PCJSS), a tribal insurgency which operated in the Chittagong Hill Tracts. Founded in 1972. Stood for political independence for the Chittagong Hills Tracts, and drew support from Chakma tribes. [11a] However, following the Peace Accord of 2 December 1997, [4c] Shantu Larma reportedly declared an end to the Shanti Bahini. [4e] On 10 February 1998 the Shanti Bahini formally surrendered their arms to the Government, marking an end to the 25-year insurgency. [4e] The group is now considered to have disbanded, having surrendered their arms and had criminal cases against them dropped as part of the Peace Accord. [7b]

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

Annex C: Prominent People

AHMED Prof. Iajuddin

President of Bangladesh since 6 September 2002. [20s]

ERSHAD General Hossain Mohammed

Leader of the main faction of the Jatiya Party. Came to power following a military coup in March 1982 and ruled as an autocrat until December 1990. [1a] [40a]

HASINA Sheikh

The leader of the opposition Awami League. Prime Minister in 1996-2001. A daughter of Bangladesh's founder, Sheikh Mujibur Rahman, Sheikh Hasina Wajed became leader of the AL in 1981. Sheikh Hasina and the current Prime Minister, Khaleda Zia, have a legendary antipathy toward one another. [40a] [1a]

NIZAMI Motiur Rahman

Leader of Jamaat-e-Islami, Bangladesh's largest Islamist party and a member of the BNP-led coalition Government. [40a]

RAHMAN Sheikh Mujibur (Mujib)

Bangladesh's first Prime Minister; assassinated in August 1975. [1a]

RAHMAN Ziaur (General Zia)

Assumed presidency April 1977; assassinated in May 1981. [1a]

ZIA Begum Khaleda

Leader of the Bangladesh Nationalist Party (BNP) and Prime Minister since October 2001. She was previously Prime Minister from 1991 to 1996. The wife of former President Ziaur Rahman, she became leader of the BNP in 1981. [20i] [40a]

Return to contents
Go to list of sources

Annex D: List of Abbreviations

AI	Amnesty International
AL	Awami League
BCL	Bangladesh Chhatra League
BJP	Bangladesh Jatiya Party
BLAST	Bangladesh Legal Aid and Services Trust
BNP	Bangladesh Nationalist Party
BNWLA	Bangladesh National Women Lawyers Association
BRAC	Bangladesh Rural Advancement Committee
CEDAW	Committee on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women
CHT	Chittagong Hill Tracts
CPJ	Committee to Protect Journalists
EU	European Union
FCO	Foreign and Commonwealth Office (UK)
FH	Freedom House
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
HRW	Human Rights Watch
HUJI/HuJI	Harkat-ul-Jihad-al-Islami
ICG	International Crisis Group
ICRC	International Committee for Red Cross
ICS	Islami Chhatra Shibir
IDP	Internally Displaced Person
IMF	International Monetary Fund
IOJ	Islami Oikya Jote
IOM	International Organization for Migration
JCD	Jatiyatabadi Chhatra Dal
JMB or JM	Jamatul Mujahedin Bangladesh
JMJB	Jagrata Muslim Janata of Bangladesh
MSF	Médecins sans Frontières
NBCP	New Biplobi Communist Party
NGO	Non-Governmental Organisation
OCHA	Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs
ODIHR	Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights
ODPR	Office for Displaced Persons and Refugees
OECD	Organization of Economic Cooperation and Development
OSCE	Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe
PBC	Purba Bangla(r) Communist Party
RSF	Reporters sans Frontières
STC	Save The Children
TI	Transparency International
UN	United Nations
UNAIDS	Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS
UNHCHR	United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
UNHCR	United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
UNICEF	United Nations Children's Fund
USAID	United States Agency for International Development
USSD	United States Department of State
WFP	World Food Programme
WHO	World Health Organization

[Return to contents](#)
[Go to list of sources](#)

Annex E: References to Source Material

The Home Office is not responsible for the content of external websites.

Numbering of source documents is not always consecutive because some older sources have been removed in the course of updating this document.

[1] Europa Publications:

- a Europa World Year Book 2004, Volume I (pp.635-656)
- b Europa Regional Surveys of the World: South Asia 2005 (pp. 88-121)

[2] US State Department

- <http://www.state.gov/g/drl/rls/hrrpt/> (Human Rights reports)
- <http://www.state.gov/g/drl/irf/rpt/> (Religious Freedom reports)
- a Bangladesh Country Report on Human Rights Practices 2002, published 31 March 2003
- b Bangladesh Country Report on Human Rights Practices 2003, published 25 February 2004
- c Bangladesh: International Religious Freedom Report 2006, published 15 September 2006
- d Bangladesh Country Report on Human Rights Practices 2004, published 28 February 2005
- e Background Note: Bangladesh; updated September 2006
- f Bangladesh Country Report on Human Rights Practices 2005, published 8 March 2006
- g Bangladesh: International Religious Freedom Report 2003
- h Bangladesh: International Religious Freedom Report 2004
- i Bangladesh: International Religious Freedom Report 2005

[3] Canadian Immigration and Refugee Board

- <http://www.irb.gc.ca/default.htm>
- a Bangladesh: Country Profile: June 1990
- f Bangladesh: State Protection: September 1998
- i BGD42249.E, 12 January 2004. Violence against women, especially domestic violence; state protection and resources available to survivors of abuse.
- j BGD42086.E, 12 January 2004. Whether civilians bribe newspapers to publish fraudulent articles; frequency of this practice; which newspapers are most vulnerable to corruption; names of newspapers known to be corrupt (2001-2003).
- k BGD41325.E, 3 March 2003. Situation of the Buddhist minority; recent incidents of violence against Buddhists; and availability of state protection and internal flight alternatives since the October 2001 elections.
- l BGD41287.E, 9 April 2003. Update to BGD23489.E of 2 April 1996 on the treatment of Biharis in Bangladesh.
- m Cultural Profile: The Ahmadiyya: June 1991
- n BGD41682.E, 5 August 2003. Update to BGD32321.E of 3 August 1999; recent treatment of Christians by Muslims and the political and police authorities
- o BGD43465.E, 1 April 2005. Bangladesh: 'Information on the impact of the May 2003 High Court ruling allowing some Bihari citizenship...'
- p BGD42162.E, 11 November 2003. 'Bangladesh: Whether a man is required to give his consent...'

- q BGD42137.E, 20 November 2003. 'Bangladesh: Whether minor children travelling...'
- r BGD42288.E, 9 December 2003. Follow-up to BGD42137.E
- s BGD43359.E, 21 February 2005. 'Bangladesh: Meaning of the phrase "No further extension"...'
- t BGD101510.E, 9 August 2006. 'Bangladesh: The situation of Christians...'
- u BGD101509.E, 4 August 2006. 'Bangladesh: The situation of Hindus...'
- v BGD100462.E, 16 August 2005. 'Bangladesh: The situation of Buddhists...'
- w BGD101313.E, 24 May 2006. 'Bangladesh: The Special Powers Act; police authority to...'
- x BGD42664.E, 1 June 2004. 'Bangladesh: Societal attitudes towards handicapped people...'

[4] Constitution of the People's Republic of Bangladesh

(website of the Prime Minister's Office)

<http://www.pmo.gov.bd/constitution/contents.htm> (Last accessed 15 October 2006)

[5] Keesing's Record of World Events

(by subscription)

- a June 2002
- b March 1998
- c April 1998
- d May 1998
- f October 2001
- g March 2002
- h March 1999
- i April 2006
- j May 2006
- k June 2006
- l August 2006

[6] The World Bank

- a Private Sector assessment for Health, Nutrition and Population (HNP) in Bangladesh: May 2004

[7] Amnesty International

<http://web.amnesty.org>

- a Bangladesh: Urgent need for legal and other reforms to protect human rights, ASA 13/012/2003
- b Bangladesh: Human Rights in the Chittagong Hill Tracts, February 2000
- c Bangladesh: Torture and impunity, ASA 13/007/2000, 29 November 2000
- e Bangladesh: Accountability needed in 'Operation Clean Heart', AI Index: ASA 13/015/2002, 23 October 2002
- g Bangladesh Report 2002
- i Bangladesh Annual Report 2003 (events of 2002)
- j Bangladesh Annual Report 2004 (events of 2003)
- k Bangladesh: The Ahmadiyya Community – their rights must be protected, AI Index: ASA 13/005/2004: 23 April 2004
- l Bangladesh: Ahmadiyya community headquarters, AI Index: ASA 13/016/2004: 25 August 2004
- m Chittagong Hill Tracts: A Call for Justice at Mahalchari. AI Index: ASA 13/003/2004: 1 March 2004
- n Bangladesh Annual Report 2005 (events of 2004)

- o Bangladesh: Alleged government complicity in physical attacks against opposition activists. AI Index: ASA 13/008/2005: 18 August 2005
- p Bangladesh: Fear of imminent execution. AI Index: ASA 13/009/2006: 28 September 2006

[8] United Nations

- a Office of the UN Commissioner for Human Rights (website): Status of Ratifications of the Principal International Human Rights Treaties, as at 9 June 2004. (Accessed 10 March 2006) www.unhchr.ch/pdf/report.pdf
- b 'Human Security in Bangladesh, In Search of Justice and Dignity', a report on behalf of the United Nations Development Programme: September 2002 <http://www.un-bd.org/undp/info/hsr/index.html> (Accessed 24 March 2006)
- c Economic and Social Council. Statement submitted by the Asian Legal Resource Centre: 'Integration of the Human Rights of Women and the Gender Perspective: Violence against Women'. E/CN.4/2003/NGO/96 dated 10 March 2003.
- d Bangladesh Common Country Assessment 2004 (published January 2005) http://www.un-bd.org/docs/CCA_Jan_2005.pdf
- e United Nations Development Programme: 'Largest ever development project in the CHT approved' (Media release): 15 December 2005

[9] Reporters sans frontières (Reporters without Borders)

- http://www.rsf.org/rubrique.php3?id_rubrique=50
- c Underground Maoist group admits responsibility for journalist's murder and threatens nine others: 27 January 2004
- d Bangladesh: 2004 Annual Report, dated 3 May 2004
- e Journalist dies from wounds in press club bomb attack: 11 February 2005
- f Maoist group admits responsibility for fatal Khulna bombing: 15 February 2005
- g Journalists targets of sickening and growing violence: 26 May 2005
- h Intelligence agents beat up nine newspaper photographers: 8 July 2005
- i Bangladesh - 2005 Annual Report, dated January 2005
- j Bangladesh: 2006 Annual Report, dated 3 May 2006 http://www.rsf.org/article.php3?id_article=17344&Valider=OK (Accessed 3 October 2006)

[10] Human Rights Watch

- <http://www.hrw.org/>
- a Breach of Faith: Persecution of the Ahmadiyya Community in Bangladesh: June 2005. (Vol. 17, No. 6c.)
- b HRW World Report 2006 (covering 2005): Published 18 January 2006

[11] UK Foreign & Commonwealth Office or British High Commission, Dhaka

- a 10 April 1992
- c June 1998
- f Letter dated 4 November 2003 (Medical facilities in Bangladesh)
- g Letter dated 1 December 2003 (Authentication of documents in Bangladesh)
- h Letter dated 1 October 2004 (Petitioning a magistrate)

[12] Himal South Asian magazine

- a The Shadow Citizens: May 2004 <http://www.south-asia.com/himal/July/shadow.htm>

- [13] **War Resisters' International 1998: Bangladesh**
- [14] **World Health Organization (WHO)**
<http://www.who.int/en/>
 a Country Profile, Bangladesh. WHO Report 2002
 b Department of Mental Health and Substance Dependence, WHO, Geneva. Project Atlas report, 2005. (Accessed 20 March 2006)
http://www.who.int/mental_health/evidence/atlas/
 c World Health Report 2005
<http://www.who.int/whr/2005/en/> (Accessed 28 February 2006)
 d 'Emergency Response and Preparedness'
http://w3.who.int/Section23/Section1108/Section1418_5769.htm
 (Accessed 1 October 2004)
 e 'Multi-country Study on Women's Health and Domestic Violence against Women': November 2005
http://www.who.int/gender/violence/who_multicountry_study/en/
 (Accessed 17 October 2006)
- [15] **Clarinet**
 '50 more injured in Bangladesh local elections'
 ClariNet website, quoting Agence France-Presse: 28 January 2003
 (Accessed 3 April 2003)
- [16] **Bangladesh Election Commission**
<http://www.bd-ec.org/stat/Main%20Menu.htm> (Accessed 10 January 2006)
 a Share of Votes by Party
 b Parliamentary General Seats after By-Election
- [17] **USAID**
www.usaid.gov/bd and <http://www.usaid.gov/bd/files/niphp.doc>
 (Accessed March 2006) "Partnerships within the National Integrated Health and Population Program (NIPHP)"
- [18] **Government of Bangladesh: Ministry of Law and Parliamentary Affairs**
 a "The Bangladesh Citizenship (Temporary Provisions) Order, 1972", Notification published by the Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh, Ministry of Law and Parliamentary Affairs (Law Division)
<http://www.bangladoot.org/CitizenshipOrder1972.pdf>
 b "Bangladesh Citizenship (Temporary Provisions) Rules, 1978", Notification published in the Bangladesh Gazette Extraordinary dated (27/7/1978)
<http://www.bangladoot.org/Citizenshiplaw%20amendment.pdf>
- [19] **South Asia Intelligence Review**
<http://satp.org>
 a Volume 2, No 46, 31 May 2004
 b Volume 3, No 33, 28 February 2005
- [20] **BBC News OnLine**
<http://news.bbc.co.uk/>
 d Charges over Bangladesh bombing: 19 July 2000
 e Police probe Bangladesh bombing: 13 January 2004
 g Four killed in Dhaka riot: 13 February 2001
 h Bangladesh's feuding politicians: 26 September 2001
 i Challenges ahead for Bangladesh: 2 October 2001

- j Bangladesh MPs sworn in: 9 October 2001
- k Bangladesh bomb kills politician: 7 September 2003
- l Bangladesh opposition leader killed: 27 August 2003
- m Bangladesh's Islamic revival: 3 October 2001
- n Thousands at Bangladesh funeral: 26 August 2003
- o Timeline: Bangladesh (updated 4 September 2006)
- p Dhaka police jailed for extortion: 8 April 2003
- q Fighting for sexual tolerance: 21 June 2005
- s Bangladesh names new president: 5 September 2002
- t Bangladesh soldiers jailed: 20 March 2003
- u Bangladesh arrests Muslim militants: 12 March 2003
- v Mass arrests after Bangladesh bombs: 12 March 2003
- w Army fights crime in Bangladesh: 17 October 2002
- x Bangladesh winds down crime fight: 9 January 2003
- y Bangladesh army deaths amnesty: 9 January 2003
- z Bangladesh crime troops go home: 11 January 2003
- aa Deaths ahead of Bangladesh poll: 17 February 2003
- ab Troops resume Dhaka crime fight: 18 February 2003
- ac Top Bangladesh judge sacked: 20 April 2004
- ad Bangladesh serial killer hanged: 10 May 2004
- ae Bangladesh law boosts women MPs: 16 May 2004
- af Bangladesh appeals for food aid: 3 August 2004
- ag Bangladesh opposition comeback: 15 June 2004
- ah UK envoy hurt in Bangladesh blast: 21 May 2004
- ai Bangladesh's unsolved bombings: 21 August 2004
- aj Bombs hit Bangladesh party rally: 22 August 2004
- ak Clashes erupt across Bangladesh: 22 August 2004
- al New strike over Bangladesh attack: 30 August 2004
- am Country Profile: Bangladesh (updated 31 August 2006)
- an Arrests as Bangladesh editor dies: 28 June 2004
- ao Roddick targets 'sweatshop' shame: 15 April 2004
- ap Top Bangladeshi politician killed: 7 May 2004
- aq Bangladesh inmate count ordered: 5 January 2004
- ar Bangladesh garments aim to compete: 6 January 2005
- as Protests broken up in Bangladesh: 3 February 2005
- at Bombs hit Bangladesh NGO offices: 17 February 2005
- au Bangladesh police chief 'sacked': 14 December 2004
- av Militants held in Bangladesh: 25 January 2005
- aw Bangladesh and Islamic Militants: 25 February 2005
- ax Aid worker charged with sedition: 21 June 2004
- ay Court suspends Ahmadiyya book ban: 21 December 2004
- az Police hold Bangladesh professor: 23 February 2005
- ba Bangladesh 15 on sedition charges: 28 February 2005
- bb Bombs thrown at Bangladesh shrine: 13 August 2005
- bc Bombs explode across Bangladesh: 17 August 2005
- bd Bangladesh to appeal court ruling: 31 August 2005
- be Ten charged with Bangladesh murder: 21 March 2005
- bf Village governments ruled illegal: 2 August 2005
- bg Death for 22 in Bangladesh murder: 16 April 2005
- bh Fall in Bangladesh acid attacks: 29 April 2005
- bi Bangladesh custody deaths probed: 11 May 2005
- bj Bangladesh tops the most corrupt list: 18 October 2005
- bk Dhaka outlaws third Islamic group: 17 October 2005
- bl Judges killed in Bangladesh blast: 14 November 2005

- bm Nine die in Bangladesh bombings: 29 November 2005
- bn Fresh bombing in Bangladesh town: 1 December 2005
- bo Sentencing over Bangladesh bombs: 9 February 2006
- bp Bangladesh politician 'critical': 20 February 2006
- bq Top Bangladesh militant captured: 2 March 2006
- br Politician killed in Bangladesh: 24 October 2005
- bs Bangladesh party to end boycott: 5 February 2006
- bt Bangladesh 'militants' sentenced: 20 February 2006
- bu Bangladesh okays telephone taps: 12 December 2005
- bv Violent Dhaka rally against sect: 23 December 2005
- bw 'Top Bangladeshi militant' held: 6 March 2006
- bx Bangladesh strike turns violent: 14 June 2006
- by Four dead in Bangladesh protest: 26 August 2006
- bz Huge Bangladesh opposition rally: 18 September 2006
- ca Dozens hurt in Bangladesh rally: 21 September 2006

[21] LexisNexis Database

- a 19 February 2003 – The Statesman Ltd (India): Bangla army on anti-crime drive
- b 27 February 2003 – Financial Times Information: Immunity for actions during anti-terror drive
- c 13 March 2003 – International Herald Tribune
- d 28 August 2004 – Financial Times Information: Bangladesh police, civil groups thwart attempt to seize Ahmadiyya complex
- e Humustarbangladesh Islamist party leader opposes sectarian violence. 6 November 2004. Prothom Alo, Dhaka, via BBC Monitoring
- f Bangladesh militants continue to get new recruits. 21 July 2005. Prothom Alo, Dhaka, via BBC Monitoring
- g Bangladesh opposition holds 'grand rally' in Dhaka: 5 February 2006. ATN Bangladeshi Television, via BBC Monitoring

[22] xe.com Universal Currency Converter

website <http://www.xe.com/ucc/full.shtml> (Accessed 15 September 2006)

[23] Agence France-Presse

(via LexisNexis)

- a More than 6.3 million child workers in Bangladesh: 11 June 2003
- f Bangladesh bans publications of minority Islamic sect: 9 January 2004
- g FBI and Interpol investigate bomb attack on Bangladesh political rally: 31 August 2004
- h Two Bangladeshi policemen hanged for rape-murder of teenage girl: 2 September 2004
- i Third Bangladeshi policeman hanged for rape-murder of teenage girl: 30 September 2004
- j Bangladesh government bans two Islamic groups over recent bombings: 23 February 2005
- k 'Stranded Pakistanis' in Bangladesh hold symbolic hunger strike: 21 December 2004
- l Bangladesh bombing 'mastermind' charged in absentia: 26 August 2005
- m Bangladesh arrests a leader of banned Islamic group in blast probe: 29 August 2005
- n Bangladesh passes law to tackle early marriages: 8 March 2005
- o Tens of thousands rally against Bangladesh government: 5 February 2006

- p Bangladesh sentences 21 Islamic militants to death for bombings. 28 February 2006
- [24] **The International Lesbian and Gay Association: World Legal Survey**
http://www.ilga.info/Information/Legal_survey/Asia_Pacific/bangladesh.htm
 (Accessed 4 October 2006)
- [25] **The Mappa Ltd: Bangladesh Guide Map 2003**
- [26] **Bangla2000 website**
www.bangla2000.com
 a Education in Bangladesh (Accessed 25 October 2004)
- [27] **Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies**
<http://www.apcss.org>
 a Religious Radicalism & Security in South Asia (2004) Chapter 5. Madrassa Education in Pakistan and Bangladesh by Mumtaz Ahmad
- [28] **Espicom Business Intelligence Ltd**
 Beximco launches ARV drugs 31 October 2003 (via LexisNexis, 31 October 2003)
- [29] **Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF)**
www.msf.org
 a MSF concerned about humanitarian situation of Rohingya refugees in Bangladesh: 1 April 2002
 b Bangladesh: Assisting people in neglected areas: 5 December 2005
 c Bangladesh: Five hour hikes common when assisting remote populations in Chittagong Hills: 19 July 2004
- [30] **The Child Rights Information Network (CRIN)**
www.crin.org
 a Situation of Children in Bangladesh 1996 (Accessed 3 October 2006)
 b Bangladesh High Court Verdict on Children in Conflict with the Law. 11 July 2006. (Source: Save the Children UK – Bangladesh Office)
- [31] **Refugee and Migratory Movements Research Unit (University of Dhaka)**
 “A Forsaken Minority: The Camp Based Bihari Community in Bangladesh”, by Chowdhury R Abrar (undated document)
- [32] **International Labour Organisation,**
 ILO-IPEC Programme in Bangladesh, www.ilo.org
 (Accessed 24 March 2006)
- [33] **“Modernisation, Mass Education and the Role of the State in Bangladesh”**
 by Steinar Askvik
 A paper for European Network of Bangladesh Studies Workshop in Oslo 14-16 May 2000
- [34] **The Redress Trust**
<http://www.redress.org>
 “Torture in Bangladesh, 1971 – 2004”. Report dated August 2004

- [35] **The Coalition to Stop the Use of Child Soldiers Bangladesh**
<http://child-soldiers.org> (Accessed 13 September 2004)
- [36] **UNAIDS**
<http://www.unaids.org> (Bangladesh and epidemiology sections)
 a Accessed 13 September 2004
 b Accessed 2 October 2006
- [37] **US Committee for Refugees and Immigrants**
<http://www.refugees.org>
 a World Refugee Survey 2003
 b World Refugee Survey 2004
 c Worldwide Refugee Information: Bangladesh Country Report 2002
<http://www.refugees.org/world/countryrpt/scasia/bangladesh.htm>
 d Worldwide Refugee Information: 'Fifty Years in Exile: The Biharis Remain in India.' Source: World Refugee Survey 1998
http://www.refugees.org/world/articles/india_wrs98.htm
 e World Refugee Survey 2005: Published June 2005
 f World Refugee Survey 2006: Published June 2006
<http://www.refugees.org/article.aspx?id=1565&subm=19&ssm=29&area=Investigate&> (Accessed 12 September 2006)
- [38] **The Daily Star (of Bangladesh)**
<http://www.thedailystar.net/>
 a 5,000 cops likely to get the axe by year-end: 4 November 2003
 b Proshika chief granted bail in five cases: 29 June 2004
 c Rapist killer of 7-yr-old girl hanged: 11 March 2004
 d Hasina vows to sacrifice her life for people: 4 October 2004
 e Scotland Yard in city to probe shrine blast: 24 May 2004
 g Massive arrests ahead of Hawa Bhaban siege plan: 20 April 2004
 h Arrest frenzy continues in city: 23 April 2004
 i Mass arrests stopped: 27 April 2004
 j Police thwart anti-Ahmadiyya plan: 29 August 2004
 k 22 houses torched, robbed: 25 August 2004
 l The hidden face of the Bangla Bhai gang: 17 May 2004
 m Govt orders arrest of Bangla Bhai: 17 May 2004
 n Mass arrest put on hold until Oct 3: 30 September 2004
 o Kibria, 4 AL men killed in grenade attacks: 28 January 2005
 p Police go too harsh on strikers: 31 January 2005
 q Six lynched, 7 others murdered in 4 days: 25 January 2005
 r 50 injured as Bangla Bhai's men clash with police: 25 January 2005
 s 40 JMJB cadres remanded in Bagmara: 11 February 2005
 t JMJB behind Jatra attack, nabbed terrorist says: 30 January 2005
 u Bangladesh police, civilians thwart Ahmadiyya mosque seige: 9 October 2004 (via BBC Monitoring /LexisNexis)
 v Ahmadiyya mosque razed, 11 injured in Bangladesh: 30 October 2004 (via BBC Monitoring /LexisNexis)
 w Bangla Bhai's men to keep bombing cinemas: 4 February 2005
 x Top outlaw Mrinal slain in West Bengal: 22 September 2004
 y 10 BNP men charged with killing Kibria: 21 March 2005
 z Zealots vandalise Ahmadiyya mosque: 19 July 2005
 aa Killing spree in 'crossfire': 18 July 2005
 ab Bail prayer of Rab men rejected, sent to jail: 10 March 2005
 ac Inside Militant Groups: Profiles show them interlinked: 28 August 2005

- ad Bangladesh minister denies role in attack on opposition rally: 17 August 2005
- ae Militants strike again on courts: 4 October 2005
- af Opposition observes Black Day with hartal: 11 October 2004
- ag The Judiciary and its importance: 16 July 2005

[39] United News of Bangladesh/UNB News Agency

(via LexisNexis)

- a HC Judge Removed: 20 April 2004
- b Court – Government: 19 April 2004
- c Moudud – Judiciary: 26 July 2004
- d Death penalty: 29 August 2004
- e Anti-Corruption – Constitution: 2 December 2004
- f Women – Jail: 10 February 2004
- g New – Jail: 29 September 2004
- h Cases – Pending: 26 January 2004
- i Outlaw – killed: 4 October 2004
- j Gunfight – killed: 8 October 2004
- k Lead, Crossfire – 5 killed: 26 November 2004
- l Outlaws – Lynched: 2 December 2004
- m Outlaw – Killed: 2 February 2005
- n Crossfire – Killed: 12 February 2005
- o Gunfight – Three killed: 20 December 2004
- p Police reform project starts: 12 January 2005 (via BNWLA website)
- q Bill – Early Marriage: 16 February 2005
- r Shaikh Abdur Rahman accused in five cases: 24 August 2005
- s Report: Government gets tough with militants: 4 March 2005
- t Relieved of charge in bomb attack case: 25 June 2005
- u HC rejects bail to Dr Galib: 7 August 2005
- v Report: Caretaker on a dissection table: 22 July 2005
- w Law Minister reiterates govt stand, no scope for reforms of caretaker government: 5 August 2005
- x HC declares illegal Gram Sarkar: 2 August 2005
- y Supreme Court stays HC verdict that declared Gram Sarkar illegal: 7 August 2005
- z Report: Government gets tough with militants: 4 March 2005
- aa Crossfire: Executive inquiry into every encounter: 11 May 2005
- ab By-election: 11 October 2001
- ac Two injured by bullet, splinter as the militants retaliate: 6 March 2006

[40] Economist Intelligence Unit

(by subscription)

- a Bangladesh Country Profile 2006 (Editorial closing date 9 August 2006)
- b Bangladesh Country Report, January 2005
- c Bangladesh Country Report, July 2005
- d Bangladesh Country Report, January 2006
- e Bangladesh Country Report, July 2006
- f Bangladesh Country Report, September 2006
- g Bangladesh Country Report, May 1998

- [41] **United Nations Electoral Assistance Secretariat:**
Statement by the International Election Observation Country Delegations,
Bangladesh Parliamentary Elections: 1 October 2001
<http://www.bd-ec.org/news.php3>
- [42] **Transparency International**
http://www.transparency.org/policy_and_research/surveys_indices/cpi#cp2004
a Corruption in Bangladesh: A Household Survey, 2002 (pages 52-63)
http://www.ti-bangladesh.org/cgi-bin/cgiwrap/Wtiban/tibdocs-docs.cgi?folder=Recent_documents&next=outline&restricted=none&category=Recent_documents (Accessed 10 August 2004)
b Corruption Perceptions Index 2005
http://www.transparency.org/policy_and_research/surveys_indices/cpi/2005 (Accessed 1 October 2006)
c Corruption in Bangladesh: A Household Survey, 2005 – Summary Findings
www.ti-bangladesh.org/documents/HouseholdSurvey200405-sum1.pdf (Accessed 19 September 2005 and March 2006)
d Global Corruption Report 2006: Bangladesh.
http://www.transparency.org/publications/gcr/download_gcr#download (Accessed 1 October 2006)
- [43] **Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, Ministry of Planning, Bangladesh**
<http://www.bbsgov.org/>
a Preliminary Report: Population Census 2001. Published August 2001 (Accessed 19 January 2006)
b 'Bangladesh Census Result at a Glance': 1991 Census (Accessed 10 August 2004)
- [44] **GlobalGayz.com**
<http://www.globalgayz.com/g-bangladesh.html>
a Gay Bangladesh by R. Ammon. June 2006 (Accessed 1 October 2006)
- [45] **Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre of the Norwegian Refugee Council (formerly Global IDP Project)**
<http://www.internal-displacement.org/>
a "Profile of Internal Displacement: Bangladesh" (as of 25 February 2005)
<http://www.idpproject.org/>
b "Minorities increasingly at risk of displacement." Special report dated 28 March 2006
[http://www.internal-displacement.org/8025708F004CE90B/\(httpCountries\)/6E57E5E3F7F7952F802570A7004BB1F8?OpenDocument](http://www.internal-displacement.org/8025708F004CE90B/(httpCountries)/6E57E5E3F7F7952F802570A7004BB1F8?OpenDocument)
c Profile of the internal displacement situation: 28 March 2006
[http://www.internal-displacement.org/8025708F004BE3B1/\(httpInfoFiles\)/DEE60477D0B99F33C125713F002EFFD8/\\$file/Bangladesh+-March+2006.pdf](http://www.internal-displacement.org/8025708F004BE3B1/(httpInfoFiles)/DEE60477D0B99F33C125713F002EFFD8/$file/Bangladesh+-March+2006.pdf)
- [46] **Odhikar, Bangladesh**
<http://www.odhikar.org/> (Last accessed 19 March 2006)
a "Police Reform in Bangladesh. An Agenda for Action": 2003
b "Women and Children in Disadvantaged Situations": April 2001

- [47] **United Nations Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW)**
 a [CEDAW/C/BGD/5] Consideration of reports submitted by States parties under Article 18 of the Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination against Women. Dated 3 January 2003.
 b [CEDAW/C/2004/II/CRP.3/Add.2/Rev.1] Concluding comments: Bangladesh. Dated 26 July 2004.
- [48] Country-data.com
<http://www.country-data.com/> (Accessed 24 March 2006)
- [49] **Population Concern: Population & Development Database**
http://www.alsagerschool.co.uk/subjects/sub_content/geography/Gpop/HTMLLENH/index.htm (Accessed 24 September 2004)
- [50] **Australian Department of Immigration and Multicultural Affairs: Country Information Service**
 a CX31417, dated February 1998: Bangladesh: Profile of Asylum Claims and Country Conditions.
- [51] **Committee to Protect Journalists (CPJ)**
<http://www.cpj.org/>
 a Attacks on the Press 2005 Bangladesh (accessed 24 March 2006)
 b Bangladesh: Journalist murdered: 28 June 2004
 c Islamic groups threaten dozens of journalists in Bangladesh 13 July 2004 (website of the South Asia Human Development Forum)
http://www.hdfnet.org/index.cfm?fuseaction=message&messageID=140077&lang=en&cat_id=70
 d Attacks on the Press 2004: Bangladesh
 e Veteran Journalist Brutally Murdered: 5 October 2004 (via BBC Monitoring)
 f CPJ disturbed by journalist's sedition trial: 3 March 2006
 g Office of controversial magazine Weekly Blitz bombed: 7 July 2006
- [52] **United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC)**
 a [CRC/C/65/Add.22] Consideration of reports submitted by States parties under Article 44 of the Convention: Bangladesh. Dated 14 March 2003
 b [CRC/C/OPAC/BGD/1] Consideration of Reports submitted by States Parties under Article 8 (1) of the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child on the Involvement of Children in Armed Conflict: 14 July 2005
 c [CRC/C/OPSC/BGD/1] Consideration of Reports Submitted by States Parties under Article 12 (1) of the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child on the Sale of Children, Child Prostitution and Child Pornography. Dated 23 December 2005.
- [53] **Asian Centre for Human Rights (ACHR)**
<http://www.achrweb.org>
 a "The Ravaged Hills of Bangladesh". ACHRF/35/2004: 25 August 2004
 b "Destruction of a people: Jummas of the CHTs". Review 74/05: 25 May 2005
 c "Judges under the attacks of the Jihadis": 23 November 2005
- [54] **Time Magazine (Asia edition)**
<http://www.time.com/time/asia>

- a "State of Disgrace": 5 April 2004. Issue date 12 April 2004
 - b "Reining in the Radicals". Webpage article dated 28 February 2005
(Subsequently published in Time Asia: 7 March 2005 Vol.165 No 9.)
- [55] **The Guardian (UK)**
www.guardian.co.uk
a Rape and torture empties the villages: 21 July 2003
- [56] **The Press Trust of India**
(accessed via Lexis/Nexis)
a Bangla-Attack: 2 January 2004
- [57] **UK Bangladesh Hindu Baudha Christian Unity Council (BHBCUC)**
a 'Communal Attack and Repression on Minority' Reports: August 2005 – July 2006
- [58] **UNICEF**
a "At a glance: Bangladesh"
<http://www.unicef.org/infobycountry/bangladesh.html>
(Accessed 28 March 2005)
b "Overview: Economics"
http://www.unicef.org/bangladesh/overview_364.htm
(Accessed 19 September 2005)
- [59] **Institute for Conflict Management, New Delhi: South Asia Terrorism Portal**
<http://www.satp.org/satporgtp/countries/bangladesh/terroristoutfits/PBCP.htm>
(Accessed 9 October 2006)
a Purba Bangla Communist Party
b Jagrata Muslim Janata Bangladesh (JMJB)
c Harkut-ul-Jihad-al-Islami (HuJI)
d Islami Chhatra Shibir (ICS)
- [60] **The Independent (Bangladesh)**
<http://independent-bangladesh.com/news>
a Crime and Punishment: 376 hanged in 32 years: 16 October 2004
- [61] **Associated Press**
(via LexisNexis)
a Bangladesh court hands death sentences to three fugitives in killing of four Cabinet ministers: 20 October 2004
b Bangladesh bans two radical Islamic groups, arrests 19 suspected militants: 23 February 2005
c Police in Bangladesh arrest 11 Islamic militants: 25 February 2005
d Bangladesh police arrest two suspects in connection with shrine bomb blasts: 14 August 2005
e Bangladesh police charge eight suspected Maoist rebels with murder of a newspaper editor: 27 April 2005
- [62] **CIA World Factbook**
<http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/geos/bg.html>
Updated 10 January 2006 (Accessed 19 January 2006)

- [63] **Bangladesh Rehabilitation Centre for Trauma Victims (BRCT)**
<http://www.brct.org/> (Accessed 17 March 2005 and 19 September 2005)
'Human Rights Situation of Bangladesh 2003:
- [64] **Acid Survivors Foundation (ASF)**
Statistical Facts page: Updated January 2006 (Accessed 28 March 2006)
http://www.acidsurvivors.org/html/info_statistics.htm
- [65] **Freedom House**
<http://www.freedomhouse.org/template.cfm?page=1>
a "Countries at the Crossroads 2005: A Survey of Democratic Governance": June 2005. Report on Bangladesh by Rounaq Jahan
<http://65.110.85.181/modules/publications/ccr/modPrintVersion.cfm?edition=2&ccrpage=8&ccrcountry=77>
(Accessed 10 March 2006)
b 'Freedom in the World' – Bangladesh (2006)
<http://www.freedomhouse.org/template.cfm?page=22&year=2006&country=6918>
- [66] **Asian Human Rights Commission**
<http://www.ahrchk.net>
a "Extra-judicial killings of 378 people allegedly at the hands of Bangladesh's law enforcement agencies": 19 July 2005
- [67] **United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR)**
<http://www.unhcr.ch/cgi-bin/texis/vtx/home>
a Briefing notes: Bangladesh: Joint emergency mission to Teknaf sees plight of Rohingya Myanmarese: 19 July 2005
b UNHCR comments to the Advisory Panel on Country Information on the April 2005 Home Office Country Report for Bangladesh: 8 September 2005. Full comments appear on the APCI website - www.apci.org.uk
c Rohingya refugees living in tough conditions in Bangladesh camps: 21 September 2005
d Bangladesh: Registration starts in camps: 25 November 2005
- [68] **International Federation for Human Rights/Fédération Internationale des ligues des Droits de l'Homme (FIDH)**
<http://www.fidh.org/news.php3>
a Report on fact-finding mission of December 2004: "Speaking out Makes of You a Target – Human Rights Defenders and Journalists at Risk." No 421/2: June 2005
- [69] **Religion News Service**
<http://www.religionnews.com/>
a Christians showing Jesus film stabbed to death in Bangladesh: 9 August 2005
- [70] **Rapid Action Battalion (RAB)**
<http://www.rab.gov.bd/bns.html> (Accessed 7 October 2006)
- [71] **Bangladesh Military Forces Group**
<http://www.bdmilitary.com/main/military/militaryforces.htm>
(Accessed 7 October 2006)

- [72] **Bangladesh Rifles (BDR)**
<http://www.mha.gov.bd/bdr/index.html> (Accessed 7 October 2006)
- [73] **Hands Off Cain**
<http://www.handsoffcain.org/>
 a Bangladesh applies the death penalty for such crimes as murder, sedition and drug trafficking: 1 January 2006
<http://www.handsoffcain.org/news/index.php?iddocumento=8001046>
- [74] **Refugees International (RI)**
<http://www.refugeesinternational.org/>
 a Citizens of Nowhere: The Stateless Biharis of Bangladesh: January 2006
<http://www.refugeesinternational.org/content/publication/detail/7828/>
- [75] **European Commission: Delegation to Bangladesh**
<http://www.eudelbangladesh.org/>
 a Press Release: The European Commission supports UNDP Chittagong Hill Tracts Development facility Programme with 7.5 million euros: 21 August 2005
- [76] **Grameen Bank (website)**
<http://www.grameen-info.org/>
 a 'Grameen Bank at a Glance': February 2006
- [77] **Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Bangladesh**
<http://www.mofa.gov.bd/index.htm>
 a 'About Bangladesh': Country Profile
http://www.mofa.gov.bd/glimpse_of_bangladesh.htm
 (Accessed 10 March 2006)
 b Booklet entitled 'Bangladesh: The Pioneer of Microcredit'. Published December 2005
- [78] **King's College, London: International Centre for Prison Studies**
<http://www.kcl.ac.uk/depsta/rel/icps/home.html>
 a Prison Brief for Bangladesh (Accessed 14 September 2006)
- [79] **High Commission of the People's Republic of Bangladesh, London**
 a "Implementation status of the Chittagong Hill Tracts Peace Accord 1997."
 Paper presented at a House of Lords seminar on the CHT: 28 March 2006
- [80] **Dr Nusrat Ameen: *Wife Abuse in Bangladesh: An Unrecognised Offence***
 Book published in 2005 for the Bangladesh National Women Lawyers' Association. ISBN 984 05 1742 2
- [81] **National Kidney Foundation, Bangladesh**
<http://www.kidneybangla.org/> (Accessed 1 October 2006)
- [82] **Directorate General of Health Services: Dhaka Health Database**
<http://www.angelfire.com/ak/medinet/database.html> (Accessed 1 October 2006)
- [83] **Jane's Information Group (by subscription)**
<http://www.janes.com/>
 a Jane's Terrorism & Security Monitor: Bangladesh's Rapid Action Battalion: 15 May 2006

- b Jane's Terrorism & Security Monitor: Bangladesh's first suicide bombers: 18 January 2006
- c Jane's Intelligence Review: Jihadist ideology struggles to take root in Bangladesh: 16 June 2006
- d Jane's Terrorism & Security Monitor: Captures fail to halt Bangladesh militants: 7 April 2006

[84] Law Commission of Bangladesh (website)

<http://www.lawcommissionbangladesh.org/about.htm> (Accessed 1 October 2006)

[85] Bangladesh Embassy, Washington DC (website)

<http://www.bangladoot.org/aboutbangladesh.asp> (Accessed 8 October 2006)

[86] CARE International UK: Bangladesh

<http://www.careinternational.org.uk/?lid=3503> (Accessed 8 October 2006)

Return to contents